



Why Men (and Women) Do and Don't Rebel: Effects of System Justification on Willingness to Protest

Citation

Jost, J. T., V. Chaikalis-Petrtsis, D. Abrams, J. Sidanius, J. van der Toorn, and C. Bratt. 2011. "Why Men (and Women) Do and Don't Rebel: Effects of System Justification on Willingness to Protest." *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin* 38 (2) (September 12): 197–208. doi:10.1177/0146167211422544.

Published Version

doi:10.1177/0146167211422544

Permanent link

<http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:14531754>

Terms of Use


This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Open Access Policy Articles, as set forth at <http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#OAP>

Share Your Story

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. [Submit a story](#).

[Accessibility](#)

Figure 1: Path Model Illustrating the Effects of Assignment to the System Rejection (vs. Control) Condition on System Justification, Ingroup Identification, Anger, and Willingness to Protest (Study 3)



Note: Numerical entries are standardized regression weights for the full model. Broken lines indicate nonsignificant paths ($p > .10$).

* $p \leq .05$, ** $p < .01$, *** $p < .001$.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics and Correlations among Study Variables (Study 1)

	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6
1. Economic System Justification	3.72	0.80	-					
2. Uncertainty Salience Condition	0.04	1.00	-.03	-				
3. Disruptive Protest	1.57	1.12	-.23*	-.20*	-			
4. Nondisruptive Protest	2.78	1.81	-.02	-.14	.28**	-		
5. Positive Affect	2.46	0.67	.19*	-.05	-.03	.02	-	
6. Negative Affect	1.64	0.65	.15	.08	-.06	.02	.04	-

Note. * $p \leq .05$, ** $p < .01$, *** $p < .001$.

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics and Correlations among Study Variables (Study 2)

	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	1	2	3	4	5
1. Stereotype Exposure Condition	0.12	1.01	-				
2. System Justification	1.32	0.56	.37 [^]	-			
3. Group Identification	7.21	1.62	-.29	-.09	-		
4. Anger	8.12	1.36	-.46 [*]	-.22	.68 ^{***}	-	
5. Disruptive Protest	6.68	2.91	-.44 [*]	-.19	.36 [^]	.36 [^]	-
6. Nondisruptive Protest	8.20	1.66	-.34 ^{*^}	-.07	.69 ^{***}	.56 ^{**}	.46 [*]

Note. [^] $p < .10$, ^{*} $p < .05$, ^{**} $p < .01$, ^{***} $p < .001$.

Table 3. Descriptive Statistics and Correlations among Study Variables (Study 3)

	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	1	2	3	4	5
1. System Rejection Condition	0.02	1.01	-				
2. System Justification	4.11	1.30	-.32*	-			
3. Ingroup Identification	7.09	1.87	.24 [^]	-.11	-		
4. Anger	6.92	1.73	.26*	-.40**	.43***	-	
5. Disruptive Protest	4.38	2.05	.37**	-.23 [^]	.60***	.32*	-
6. Nondisruptive Protest	4.97	2.07	.25 [^]	-.24 [^]	.52***	.51***	.70***

Note. [^] $p < .10$, * $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$, *** $p < .001$.