



Physical Activity, Heart Rate, Metabolic Profile, and Estradiol in Premenopausal Women

Citation

Emaus A., Marit B. Veierød, Anne-Sofie Furberg, Sissi Espetvedt, Christine Friedenreich, Peter Ellison, Grazyna Jasienska, Lars Bo Andersen, Inger Thune. 2008. Physical activity, heart rate, metabolic profile and estradiol in premenopausal women. Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise 40(6): 1022-1030.

Published Version

http://dx.doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e318167411f

Permanent link

http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:2581622

Terms of Use

This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA

Share Your Story

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. <u>Submit a story</u>.

Accessibility

Physical activity, heart rate, metabolic profile, and estradiol in premenopausal women

Aina Emaus, Marit B. Veierød, Anne-Sofie Furberg, Sissi Espetvedt, Christine Friedenreich, Peter Ellison, Grazyna Jasienska, Lars Bo Andersen, Inger Thune

Running title: Physical activity, E2 and Metabolic profile

Address for correspondence:

Ph D Aina Emaus Dep of Oncology Ullevål University Hospital HF 0407 Oslo Norway

Fax number: 00 47 23 02 68 31 Cell phone: 00 47 95 93 06 82

e-mail: <u>aina.emaus@medisin.uio.no</u>

¹ Department of Oncology, Ullevål University Hospital, 0407 Oslo, Norway

² Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, Departments of Biostatistics, University of Oslo, Norway

³ NORM Surveillance program for antimicrobial resistance in human pathogens, Department of Microbiology and Infection control, University Hospital North Norway, 9038 Tromsø, Norway

⁴ Institute of Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Tromsø. 9037 Tromsø, Norway

⁵ Division of Population Health and Information, Alberta Cancer Board, Calgary, Alberta, Canada T2N 4N2

⁶ Department of Anthropology, Harvard University, 11 Divinity Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

⁷ Department of Epidemiology and Population Studies, Jagiellonian University, Collegium Medicum, Krakow, Poland

⁸ Department of Sports Medicine, Norwegian School of Sport Sciences, Oslo, Norway

Justification for more than 6 authors

| Author | Contributions to the manuscript | | | |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Marit B. Veierød | Significant manuscript reviser | | | |
| | Concept and design | | | |
| | Data analyses and interpretation | | | |
| | Statistical expertise | | | |
| Anne-Sofie Furberg | Concept and design | | | |
| | Data Acquisition | | | |
| | Significant manuscript reviser | | | |
| Sissi Espetvedt | Data analyses and interpretation | | | |
| | Significant manuscript reviser | | | |
| Christine Friedenreich | Concept and design | | | |
| | Significant manuscript reviser | | | |
| Peter Ellison | Concept and design | | | |
| | Data Acquisition | | | |
| | Significant manuscript reviser | | | |
| Grazyna Jasienska | Concept and design | | | |
| | Data Acquisition | | | |
| | Significant manuscript reviser | | | |
| Lars Bo Andersen | Data analyses and interpretation | | | |
| | Concept and design | | | |
| | Significant manuscript reviser | | | |
| Inger Thune | Concept and design | | | |
| | Data Acquisition | | | |
| | Data analyses and interpretation | | | |
| | Significant manuscript reviser | | | |
| Aina Emaus | Significant manuscript writer | | | |
| | Concept and design | | | |
| | Data Acquisition | | | |
| | Data analyses and interpretation | | | |

Abstract

Purpose: To study whether physical inactive women with a tendency to develop metabolic syndrome, have high levels of 17β -estradiol (E₂) of importance for breast cancer risk.

Methods: 204 healthy women of reproductive age were assessed for self-reported leisure-time physical activity (LPA), resting heart rate (HR), blood pressure (BP), anthropometry, and glucose, lipids and insulin (Norwegian EBBA study). E₂ were measured in daily saliva samples throughout an entire menstrual cycle. A clustered metabolic risk score (zMS; total cholesterol:HDL-C-ratio, insulin resistance, total fat tissue, BP and triglycerides) was defined. Linear regression and linear mixed models were used and confounding factors were tested.

Results: Physically active women had lower fat percentages (p_{trend} =0.003) and HRs (p_{trend} =0.003) than sedentary women. We estimated an increase in E₂ of 1.27 pmol/L (95% CI, 0.06-2.47) for each 11.7 beats/min (1SD) increase in HR, and this correspond to 7% change in mean concentration of E₂ for the total group. Associations with E₂ was also found for fat tissue, total cholesterol:HDL-C-ratio, insulin resistance and triglycerides. A dose–response relationship was observed among the three levels of LPA and HR, and zMS (p_{trend} =0.03 for LPA, p_{trend} =0.004 for HR). Women in the highest tertile of the clustered metabolic risk score had average salivary E₂ profiles that were markedly higher, throughout the cycle, than those of the other groups, with a cycle peak-day difference in E₂ of 22–29%.

Conclusion: LPA and HR were associated with metabolic risk score and this score was associated with daily level of 17β -estradiol, pointing to important biologic mechanisms operating between a sedentary lifestyle and increased breast cancer risk.

Key words: leisure-time physical activity, pulse, 17β-estradiol, clustered metabolic score

Introduction

"Paragraph Number 1" A sedentary lifestyle and high levels of estradiol are factors associated with increased breast cancer risk (34). The biological mechanisms underlying the relation between physical activity and breast cancer risk are complex and have not been fully identified (18). In contrast, estradiol is known to be associated with increased mitogenic and proliferative effect in breast cells (16) and has been identified as an important factor in the development and prognosis of breast cancer (18). However, reports on the association between estrogens and breast cancer risk among premenopausal women are sparse, partly because of the complexity of measuring hormonal levels throughout a menstrual cycle. Interestingly, in a recent publication from the Nurses' Health Study II (7), the risk of breast cancer was more than doubled among premenopausal women in the highest quartile of the follicular free estradiol level compared with women in the lowest quartile.

"Paragraph Number 2" Even though the biological mechanisms that act in the association between physical activity and breast cancer risk are currently not fully outlined, there are several plausible mechanisms, including, among others, a suppressive effect of physical activity on ovarian steroid hormone production (21,23,25) and an effect on energy balance (12,18). The evidence for an inverse relationship between physical activity and breast cancer is stronger for postmenopausal than for premenopausal women (34). However, a number of case—control (2,11) and cohort studies (19,37) among various populations and on different continents, have observed a beneficial effect of physical activity on premenopausal breast cancer risk and on biological mechanisms important for breast cancer risk (22). The magnitude of the observed risk reduction has been, on average, between 20% and 40% for the most physically active women compared with the least active, and there is evidence for a dose—response relationship (18,28,34).

"Paragraph Number 3" Moreover, physical activity is one of the few risk factors for breast cancer that can be modified; it is also a promising preventive measure for many other chronic diseases, because physical activity improves the metabolic risk profile (18,38). In addition, breast cancer has been linked to metabolic components including lipids, insulin, blood pressure, and tissue fat (35,36). In a cohort study, we demonstrated that low high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C) is a potential marker of increased breast cancer risk among overweight women (14). In the Norwegian Energy Balance and Breast Cancer Aspect (EBBA) study (14), we confirmed the hypothesis that low HDL-C may be associated with increased levels of 17β-estradiol (E₂) throughout the entire menstrual cycle. Furthermore, in Poland, not that much westernized population yet and in a parallel study to our EBBA study, Jasienska et al. (23) observed habitual physical activity to be associated with lower levels of E₂ throughout Polish women's menstrual cycles.

"Paragraph Number 4" Since physical activity assessment is complex, a need exists to include objective measures of activity and energy expenditure when elucidating plausible biological mechanisms related to physical activity, E_2 , and later breast cancer risk. In the current study we have used resting heart rate (HR) and a set of metabolic factors related to the variation in physical activity as objective measurements. The aim of the present study was therefore (1) to study how self-reported leisure-time physical activity (LPA) and heart rate are related to levels of E_2 and with the metabolic profile among premenopausal women, and (2) to investigate how a set of metabolic risk factors (fat tissue, BP [(systolic BP + diastolic BP)/2], insulin resistance, triglycerides and total cholesterol:HDL-C ratio) are associated with E_2 throughout an entire menstrual cycle.

Materials and methods

Participants and study design

"Paragraph Number 5" Women who participated in the cross-sectional EBBA study during 2000–2002 were recruited through local media campaigns. A total of 204 6 women, 25–35 years of age, were included and met the following eligibility criteria: self-reported regular menstruation (normal cycle length: 22–38 days within the previous 3 months), no use of steroid contraceptives and no pregnancy or lactation over the previous 6 months, no history of gynecological disorders, and no chronic medical conditions (e.g. diabetes, hypo-/hyperthyroidism).

Ethical considerations

"Paragraph Number 6" All participating women signed an informed consent form and the study was approved by the Regional Committee for Medical Research Ethics and the Norwegian Data Inspectorate.

General questionnaires

"Paragraph Number 7" We used both self- and interviewer-administered questionnaires to collect general information, including education, reproductive history, previous use of hormonal contraceptives and lifestyle habits (smoking, alcohol). Recall aids including a lifetime calendar were used. We collected dietary data on 7 different week days during the menstrual cycle (days 3–6 and 21–23) using a pre-coded food diary developed for the present study population, based on a validated pre-coded food diary (29). The average daily intake of energy and nutrients was computed using a food database and software system developed at the Department of Nutrition, University of Oslo, Norway (29).

Leisure time physical activity, Heart rate and metabolic risk factors

"Paragraph Number 8" In the general questionnaire we asked about leisure time physical activity (LPA) over the last year. Leisure time was recorded and graded from 1 to 4 as follows:
1 = low/sedentary activities, including reading, watching television, or other sedentary activities
2 = moderate activities, including walking, bicycling, or physical activities for at least 4 hours a week

3 = hard activities, including exercises to keep fit for at least 4 hours a week

"Paragraph Number 9"

the analysis.

4 = very hard activities, defined as regular hard training or exercise for competition several times per week.

The study participants made three subsequent visits in a fasting

state during the collection period: first visit (days 1–5 of the menstrual cycle), second visit (days 7–12), and third visit (days 22–25). The participants met on the first day possible, after onset of menstrual bleeding, for the first visit with clinical examinations, including height, weight, waist and hip circumference, resting HR, BP, and fasting blood sampling. All clinical procedures and measurements were conducted by trained nurses at the Department of Clinical Research, University Hospital North Norway (UNN), Tromsø, Norway, at a scheduled time (14).

"Paragraph Number 10" Heart rate (HR) and blood pressure (BP) were measured three times (PROPAQ 104), sitting in a resting position, with the mean of the final two measurements used in

"Paragraph Number 11" Anthropometric measurements were taken with participants wearing light clothing and no footwear: height was measured to the nearest 0.5 cm and weight to the nearest 0.1 kg on an electronic scale. Waist circumference was measured in a horizontal line 2.5 cm above the umbilicus; hip circumference was measured at the largest circumference, both measured to the nearest 0.5 cm.

"Paragraph Number 12" Around the time of the second visit, participants underwent a whole-body scan using dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA – DPX-L 2288, Lunar Radiation Corporation, Madison, WI, USA), which was operated by our nurse; the percentage total fat tissue was estimated using standard Lunar software.

"Paragraph Number 13" Blood samples were drawn after overnight fasting (14). Serum concentrations of glucose, triglycerides, total cholesterol, HDL-C, and E₂ were measured in fresh sera at the Department of Clinical Chemistry, UNN. Serum glucose was measured enzymatically by the hexokinase method, serum triglycerides were assayed by enzymatic hydrolysis with lipase, serum cholesterol was determined enzymatically using cholesterol esterase and cholesterol oxidase, with HDL-C quantified by a direct assay using polyethylene glycol-modified enzymes and dextran sulfate. Finally serum concentrations of insulin were measured at the Hormone Laboratory, Aker University Hospital, Oslo by RIA using kits from Linco Research, Inc. (St Charles, MO, USA) (14).

Saliva hormone samples and analysis

"Paragraph Number 14" Women collected daily saliva samples at home for one entire menstrual cycle preferentially in the morning. They started on the first day of bleeding, according to previously established collection protocols developed at the Reproductive Ecology Laboratory at Harvard University, USA (31).

'Paragraph Number 15'' E₂ concentrations were measured in daily saliva samples for 20 days (reverse cycle days –5 to –24) of the cycle using an ¹²⁵I-based radioimmunoassay (RIA) kit (#39100, Diagnostic Systems Laboratory, Webster, TX, USA), along with published modifications to the manufacturer's protocol (20).

"Paragraph Number 16" The sensitivity, and inter- and intra-assay variability of E_2 have been described previously (13,14). Of the 4080 potential samples, improper collection or loss during the assay procedure resulted in a loss of 6.9% of daily E_2 samples for the analyses.

"Paragraph Number 17" Before statistical analysis, all cycles were aligned to the day of ovulation, based on the identification of the E_2 drop at the mid-cycle (day 0), which provides a reasonable estimate of the day of ovulation, according to published methods (31). The E_2 values for 20 consecutive days during each woman's cycle, aligned on day 0, were used in data analyses. Satisfactory identification of the mid-cycle E_2 drop could not be made for 14 women and their cycles were not aligned. Overall average salivary E_2 (mean E_2) was calculated for all 204 women, whereas additional indices were calculated for the 190 women with aligned cycles. The following E_2 indices were calculated: 'mean E_2 ' (mean of day -10 to +9), 'mean follicular E_2 ' (mean of day -7 to -1), and 'mean luteal E_2 ' (mean of day 0 to +11).

Statistical analysis

"Paragraph Number 18" In order to study E_2 concentrations in relation to physical activity, heart rate and a clustered metabolic risk score, linear regression and linear mixed models were used (SAS version 9.1).

"Paragraph Number 19" As only 10 participants reported that they performed group 4 (very hard) activities, in the analyses we combined activity groups 3 and 4. Hence, the study population was divided into three groups of LPA (low, moderate and high activity).

"Paragraph Number 20" As indicator of insulin resistance, we used the HOMA-score, calculated as the product of fasting glucose concentration (in mmol/L) and fasting insulin concentration (in μU/mL) divided by the constant 22.5 (26).

"Paragraph Number 21" To create clustered metabolic risk scores we computed the *Z* score (standard score) for selected individual risk factor variables associated with metabolic profile, broadly based on the World Health Organization definition of the metabolic syndrome. The *Z* score is calculated by subtracting the sample mean from the individual score (raw score), and dividing the difference by the sample standard deviation. It is negative when the raw score is below the sample mean and positive when the raw score is above the sample mean, and lower *Z* scores indicate a more favorable metabolic profile. The HOMA-score, fasting triglycerides and insulin were logarithmically transformed when used in the *Z* score, because of their skewed distribution. The *Z* scores of the individual risk factors were then summed to construct clustered risk scores. The five risk factors included in the main clustered risk score (zMS or z metabolic syndrome) were the ratio total cholesterol:HDL-C, logarithm of the HOMA-score, percentage of total fat as estimated from the DEXA scan, BP, and logarithm of the triglyceride levels. In parallel, we calculated a second clustered risk score containing the same variables without the obesity component (zMS-O).

"Paragraph Number 22" Age-adjusted linear regression analyses were used to study the associations of average salivary E₂ concentration (overall, in the follicular and luteal phases of the menstrual cycle) and different variables related to the metabolic profile. We used a linear mixed model for repeated measures to study salivary E₂ concentrations throughout the entire menstrual cycle in relation to HR, level of LPA, and the clustered risk scores. Different covariance structures were explored and the results using a heterogeneous Toeplitz method are presented. Dunnett's method was used for multiple comparisons, with examination of residuals. Age was included as a co-variate in the final models. In addition, adjustment for birth weight, body mass index (BMI), energy intake, smoking, alcohol, previous use of hormonal

contraceptives, education, and age at menarche gave similar estimates and are not presented.

Possible interactions were studied.

"Paragraph Number 23" E_2 measurements at the start and end of the cycles have higher coefficients of variation and higher rates of missing as a result of variation in cycle length; we therefore included E_2 measurements from day of cycle -10 to +9. Sample size estimation has shown that a sample size of 20-25 is sufficient to detect significant differences in indices of ovarian function between groups.

Results

"Paragraph Number 24" The participating 204 healthy women of reproductive age were, on average, 30.7 years of age; 15.7% reported low LPA, 59.3% moderate LPA and 25.0% high LPA. Descriptive analyses were stratified by reported LPA (Table 1). Women with a high level of reported LPA had lower total fat percentage (p_{trend} =0.003), lower HR (p_{trend} =0.003), and tended to have a lower total cholesterol:HDL-C ratio (p_{trend} =0.09) and higher HDL-C (p_{trend} =0.12) than women with a more sedentary level of LPA (Table 1). There were no statistically significant differences in overall average salivary hormones or HOMA-score between the three groups.

"Paragraph Number 25" We studied estimated changes in overall average, follicular, and luteal salivary E_2 concentrations by changes in the selected metabolic risk factor variables (Table 2). We estimated that an increase (of 1 SD) in total fat tissue, HOMA-score, total cholesterol:HDL-C ratio, triglycerides and HR was associated with an age-adjusted increase in E_2 concentrations (Table 2). The mean daily saliva concentration of E_2 throughout the menstrual cycle was 17.9 pmol/L (SD = 8.8 pmol/L) (data not presented). An age-adjusted increase in the

overall level of E₂ of 1.27 pmol/L (95% confidence interval, CI, 0.06–2.47) was observed for each 11.7 beats/min (1SD) increase in heart rate, and this correspond to 7% (1.3 pmol/L of 17.9 pmol/L) change in mean overall concentration of E₂ for the total group. For each 0.8 (1 SD) increase in the total cholesterol:HDL-C ratio, the mean age-adjusted increase in overall E₂ is 2.46 pmol/L (95% CI, 1.26–3.66), which equals a 13.7% change in mean overall concentration of E₂ for the total group.

"Paragraph Number 26" Figure 1A shows the association between the clustered metabolic score (zMS) and LPA. An inverse dose–response relationship was observed (p_{trend} =0.03). Likewise, figure 1B shows that zMS is directly related to HR in a dose-dependent manner (p_{trend} =0.004).

"Paragraph Number 27" We examined the average E_2 concentrations by cycle day throughout the whole menstrual cycle by tertile of HR (Figure 2a, p between levels= 0.15) and at the three levels of LPA (Figure 2b, p between levels= 0.48), without finding a clear pattern, even when stratifying by birth weight (data not shown). No interaction was found between HR and day of E_2 measurement (p=0.89) or level of activity and day of E_2 measurement (p=0.18).

"Paragraph Number 28" In contrast, when we examined the E_2 concentration by cycle day throughout the whole menstrual cycle, in tertiles of the clustered risk score, zMS, a clear pattern was observed; women in the highest tertile of the zMS had age-adjusted average salivary E_2 profiles that were markedly higher, throughout the cycle, than those of the other groups (Figure 3). Using a linear mixed model for repeated measures, we examined how, in women in the group of the highest tertile of the zMS, the average E_2 concentration by cycle day differed from that in the other groups of women described, and we observed significant age-adjusted differences for all comparisons (Figure 3; age-adjusted p values: tertile III–I, p=0.001; tertile III–II, p<0.001).

The same pattern was observed when the obesity component was removed (i.e. zMS-O, not shown).

"Paragraph Number 29" When studying the peak day (the day before the drop day of the menstrual cycle), we observed that the mean peak-day levels of E_2 in tertiles of zMS were as follows (Figure 3): lowest tertile 28.7 pmol/L, medium tertile 26.3 pmol/L, and highest tertile 36.6 pmol/L (p_{trend} =0.001), which corresponds to a 21.6% and 28.7% difference between the highest tertile of the clustered metabolic risk score and the lowest and medium tertiles, respectively.

Discussion

"Paragraph Number 30" Among 204 healthy premenopausal women we observed that those women with high leisure time physical activity (LPA) and women with lower heart rate (HR) had a more favorable clustered metabolic risk score, zMS, with a dose response relationship, than inactive women. In addition, we observed that this clustered risk score of metabolic factors was strongly associated with the daily level of free biologically active E₂ throughout an entire menstrual cycle, with a cycle peak-day difference of 22–29% between the tertiles of zMS.

"Paragraph Number 31" The observation that healthy women of reproductive age in the current study showed a clear association between LPA and zMS, and that zMS were associated to levels of estradiol, is of great interest and support previously hypothesized biological mechanisms operating between physical activity and breast cancer (14, 21, 24). Furthermore, western lifestyle, characterized by physical inactivity, is also associated with obesity and a metabolic imbalance, including unfavorable lipids, high blood pressure, and insulin resistance – factors that are also associated with increased breast cancer risk (15,18,30,37). Additionally,

several studies have observed a stronger protective effect of physical activity among lean women in comparisons with normal weighted women (21, 42). Furthermore, several recent studies provide support for the role of physical activity in the prevention of the metabolic syndrome (1,5). Randomized controlled trials have shown that exercise training has a mild or moderately favorable effect on many metabolic risk factors (27), whereas others have shown less association after controlling for obesity (17). Moreover, the metabolic syndrome is a cluster of risk factors that predisposes individuals to type 2 diabetes, and individuals with metabolic syndrome have an increased risk of all-cause mortality (27).

Recently, the association between metabolic syndrome and its components (especially insulin), have been observed to predispose individuals to breast cancer. Hence, if physical activity can improve the metabolic risk profiles (3,38), it might indirectly reduce breast cancer risk. Importantly, we have recently pointed to sex hormones and insulin as important pathways towards the development of breast cancer (13). In the current study, we observed an association between the clustered metabolic risk score and the level of LPA, but the association was not there for all the single factors alone. There could be not only an additive effect, but also a multiplicative effect when several metabolic risk factors are put together in a cluster score based on biological effects. Another explanation may be that a clustered risk score may compensate for fluctuations in the single risk factors, or there could be less error variation in the clustered risk score compared with each single risk factor. However, this observation underlines the fact that physical activity may influence several factors often hypothesized (14,21) which, independently and/or together, influence the level of E₂ and then lead to an increase in breast cancer risk.

"Paragraph Number 33" In our study, the clustered risk score, was positively associated with daily levels of E_2 during the entire menstrual cycle: a high clustered metabolic risk score gave a

high level of E₂. Interestingly, this observation was similar when the obesity component was excluded from the metabolic risk score, indicating that the protective effect of physical activity is not entirely mediated by changes in adiposity also supported by others (7). In a recent study, Ekelund et al. observed that an increase in physical activity is associated with reduced metabolic risk, independent of changes in fatness and fitness (6). However, in another study, Campbell et al. found that a 12-week aerobic exercise training intervention improved aerobic fitness and body composition, but did not alter the urinary estrogen metabolites in premenopausal women (4). These results regarding metabolites cannot be compared with our measurements of the free biologically active E2 throughout the entire menstrual cycle.

"Paragraph Number 34" Despite the HR not showing any clear pattern with level of E_2 throughout the menstrual cycle, when analyzing it as a single factor, it clearly associated with a clustering of metabolic factors in the same way as leisure time activity. Previous studies have shown that heart rate has a clear association both with fitness and leisure time activity. These findings underline the role of heart rate as an objective measurement in clinical studies both in relation to metabolic profile and leisuretime activity (38).

"Paragraph Number 35" Current evidence supports an inverse relationship between physical activity and breast cancer risk (18), and one of the biologic mechanisms proposed to explain the protective effect is reduced exposure to E_2 (2), based on the suppressive effect of physical activity on ovarian steroid hormones (18,23,25). Endurance training as well as general physical activity have been reported to give low levels of E_2 (23,33); in a recent parallel study, a strong association was observed between habitual physical activity and level of E_2 among premenopausal women in Poland (23). In addition, when stratifying by birth weight, Polish women who were relatively fat babies did not exhibit ovarian suppression in response to

moderate levels of physical activity at adulthood, in contrast to women who were skinnier babies (22). This suggests that ovarian responsiveness may depend on conditions during fetal life. In comparison, in the present study among Norwegian women, we observed that women with the most unfavourable metabolic profile (highest tertile zMS) had higher level of E_2 throughout the menstrual cycle corresponding to a higher heart rate and lower leisure activity, compared to other women. This result suggests that ovarian responsiveness also may interact with normal physiology throughout adulthood of importance for premenopausal E_2 levels throughout an entire menstrual cycle. In the present study, we did not observe a clear association between level of E_2 and level of LPA, or between level of E_2 and HR as a single factor, even when stratifying by birth weight. These findings suggest that Norwegian and Polish women may have different ovarian responsivenes to physical activity or that other factors may have an influence.

"Paragraph Number 36" The evidence for an inverse relationship between physical activity and breast cancer is stronger for postmenopausal than for premenopausal women (34). The women in our study were premenopausal, and there is no clear reason why physical inactivity is often less strongly related to increased risk of breast cancer among premenopausal women. The main source of E₂ in premenopausal women is the ovaries, although it is also produced in adipose tissue. For postmenopausal women the main site for E₂ production is adipose tissue. Thus, physical activity may influence premenopausal and postmenopausal breast cancer risk differently through different physiological and hormonal mechanisms, as seen with obesity (9). To elucidate in detail the influence of physical activity, it might be necessary to do subgroup analyses of birth characteristics, obesity, and parity which more often is performed and underlined as well as different aspects of the activity: type, intensity, frequencies, duration and age at exposure (10).

"Paragraph Number 37" Our study has several strengths, including daily saliva sampling for estimation of daily E₂ concentrations through an entire menstrual cycle. We used well-developed and validated methods and assays to characterize the women's exposure to free, biologically active, ovarian steroids and performed comparisons of levels by aligned cycle days (31). This recommended approach, of examining all women at the same time during the menstrual cycle, is rarely achieved because of its logistic complexity (24). However, it is a major strength of this study, given the large intracycle fluctuations in levels of ovarian hormones and the wide interindividual variation in cycle length in menstruating women. Furthermore, salivary levels of E₂ was quite stable within participants over time (8). In addition, one trained nurse traced all the participants in the study and met them in the same clinical research department at a university hospital. This standardization enhanced the quality of our data and allowed us to sample all clinical variables within the same narrow frame of the cycle for each participant, using uniform procedures. To limit any potential influence of season, women did not participate during the winter months when there is no daylight (December and January). When adjusted for potential confounders such as age at menarche, parity, birth weight, energy intake, and use of alcohol, smoking, and previous oral contraceptives, we observed only small modifications of the interrelationships of clustered metabolic risk score and level of E₂, which did not change the result.

"Paragraph Number 38" Assessment of physical activity is difficult and self-reported physical activity is less precise than objective measurements of physical activity. In addition, we used recorded LPA data over the last year, so the effect of physical activity in different time periods of life on E_2 has not been examined in the current study. However, the self-reported LPA questionnaire that we used has previously been validated (32,39), found to be associated with HR

and to be a valid measure of physical fitness; 5869 women, 20 to 49 years of age, who both sustained high levels of physical activity and changed from sedentary to higher levels of physical activity, relative to sedentary women, improved their metabolic risk profiles (lipids, BMI) and HR (38), and the level of LPA and physical fitness, and HR and physical fitness were positively related (32). We used information about LPA, and not total activity, which includes habitual and occupational activity.

Conclusion

"Paragraph Number 39" In conclusion, our data suggest that higher physical activity and lower heart rate are associated with a healthier metabolic risk profile, which furthermore is positively associated with levels of E₂ throughout the entire menstrual cycle among healthy premenopausal women. These associations points to possible biological pathways operating in the inverse relationship between physical activity and breast cancer, but the interactions of these possible pathways are complex. Physical activity may influence the carcinogenesis of breast cancer by having a suppressive effect on ovarian steroid hormones as well as through reduced exposure to insulin and by reducing obesity.

Acknowledgments

"Paragraph Number 40" We gratefully acknowledge the participants in the EBBA-I study and give special thanks to Gunn Knudsen, Heidi Jakobsen, Anna Kirsti Jenssen and Sissel Andersen for professional assistance, Dr Susan Lipson at the laboratory at Harvard University, and the Clinical Research Department, University Hospital North Norway, Tromsø, for the skilled and always professional setting.

"Paragraph Number 41" Funding for the study was provided by the Foundation for the Norwegian Health and Rehabilitation Organizations grants 59010-2000/2001/2002, Norwegian Cancer Society grant 05087 and TP 49 258 and Aakre Foundation grants 5695-2000 and 5754-2002. CF is supported by career award from the Canadian Institutes of health Research and the Alberta Heritage Foundation for Medical Research. IT is supported by The Research Council of Norway.

"Paragraph Number 42" There were no conflicts of interest.

References

- (1) Andersen LB, Harro M, Sardinha LB et al. Physical activity and clustered cardiovascular risk in children: a cross-sectional study (The European Youth Heart Study). Lancet 2006 July 22;368(9532):299-304.
- (2) Bernstein L, Henderson BE, Hanisch R, Sullivan-Halley J, Ross RK. Physical exercise and reduced risk of breast cancer in young women. J Natl Cancer Inst 1994 September 21;86(18):1403-8.
- (3) Brien SE, Katzmarzyk PT. Physical activity and the metabolic syndrome in Canada. Appl Physiol Nutr Metab 2006 February;31(1):40-7.
- (4) Campbell KL, Westerlind KC, Harber VJ, Bell GJ, Mackey JR, Courneya KS. Effects of aerobic exercise training on estrogen metabolism in premenopausal women: a randomized controlled trial. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2007 April;16(4):731-9.
- (5) Ekelund U, Brage S, Franks PW, Hennings S, Emms S, Wareham NJ. Physical activity energy expenditure predicts progression toward the metabolic syndrome independently of aerobic fitness in middle-aged healthy Caucasians: the Medical Research Council Ely Study. Diabetes Care 2005 May;28(5):1195-200.
- (6) Ekelund U, Franks PW, Sharp S, Brage S, Wareham NJ. Increase in physical activity energy expenditure is associated with reduced metabolic risk independent of change in fatness and fitness. Diabetes Care 2007 in press, published online May 29.
- (7) Eliassen AH, Missmer SA, Tworoger SS et al. Endogenous steroid hormone concentrations and risk of breast cancer among premenopausal women. J Natl Cancer Inst 2006 October 4;98(19):1406-15.
- (8) Ellison PT, Lipson SF. Salivary estradiol--a viable alternative? Fertil Steril 1999 November;72(5):951-2.
- (9) Friedenreich CM. Physical activity and breast cancer risk: the effect of menopausal status. Exerc Sport Sci Rev 2004 October;32(4):180-4.
- (10) Friedenreich CM, Courneya KS, Bryant HE. Relation between intensity of physical activity and breast cancer risk reduction. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2001 September;33(9):1538-45.
- (11) Friedenreich CM, Rohan TE. Physical activity and risk of breast cancer. Eur J Cancer Prev 1995 April;4(2):145-51.
- (12) Friedenreich CM, Thune I, Brinton LA, Albanes D. Epidemiologic issues related to the association between physical activity and breast cancer. Cancer 1998 August 1;83(3 Suppl):600-10.

- (13) Furberg AS, Espetvedt S, Emaus A, Khan N, Thune I. Low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol may signal breast cancer risk: recent findings and new hypotheses. Biomarkers in Medicine 2007 January 1;121-31.
- (14) Furberg AS, Jasienska G, Bjurstam N et al. Metabolic and hormonal profiles: HDL cholesterol as a plausible biomarker of breast cancer risk. The Norwegian EBBA Study. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2005 January;14(1):33-40.
- (15) Furberg AS, Veierod MB, Wilsgaard T, Bernstein L, Thune I. Serum high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, metabolic profile, and breast cancer risk. J Natl Cancer Inst 2004 August 4;96(15):1152-60.
- (16) Henderson BE, Feigelson HS. Hormonal carcinogenesis. Carcinogenesis 2000 March;21(3):427-33.
- (17) Hunter GR, Kekes-Szabo T, Treuth MS, Williams MJ, Goran M, Pichon C. Intraabdominal adipose tissue, physical activity and cardiovascular risk in pre- and postmenopausal women. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 1996 September;20(9):860-5.
- (18) IARC Handbook of Cancer Prevention. Weight control and physical activity. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC); 2002. 315 p.
- (19) Irwin ML. Randomized controlled trials of physical activity and breast cancer prevention. Exerc Sport Sci Rev 2006 October;34(4):182-93.
- (20) Jasienska G, Ellison PT. Energetic factors and seasonal changes in ovarian function in women from rural Poland. Am J Hum Biol 2004 September; 16(5):563-80.
- (21) Jasienska G, Thune I. Lifestyle, hormones, and risk of breast cancer. BMJ 2001 March 10;322(7286):586-7.
- (22) Jasienska G, Ziomkiewicz A, Lipson SF, Thune I, Ellison PT. High ponderal index at birth predicts high estradiol levels in adult women. Am J Hum Biol 2006 January;18(1):133-40.
- (23) Jasienska G, Ziomkiewicz A, Thune I, Lipson SF, Ellison PT. Habitual physical activity and estradiol levels in women of reproductive age. Eur J Cancer Prev 2006 October;15(5):439-45.
- (24) Kaaks R, Berrino F, Key T et al. Serum sex steroids in premenopausal women and breast cancer risk within the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC). J Natl Cancer Inst 2005 May 18;97(10):755-65.
- (25) Kaaks R, Lukanova A. Effects of weight control and physical activity in cancer prevention: role of endogenous hormone metabolism. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2002 June;963:268-81.

- (26) Keskin M, Kurtoglu S, Kendirci M, Atabek ME, Yazici C. Homeostasis model assessment is more reliable than the fasting glucose/insulin ratio and quantitative insulin sensitivity check index for assessing insulin resistance among obese children and adolescents. Pediatrics 2005 April;115(4):e500-e503.
- (27) Lakka TA, Laaksonen DE. Physical activity in prevention and treatment of the metabolic syndrome. Appl Physiol Nutr Metab 2007 February;32(1):76-88.
- (28) Lee IM. Physical activity and cancer prevention--data from epidemiologic studies. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2003 November;35(11):1823-7.
- (29) Lillegaard IT, Overby NC, Andersen LF. Can children and adolescents use photographs of food to estimate portion sizes? Eur J Clin Nutr 2005 April;59(4):611-7.
- (30) Lindgren A, Pukkala E, Tuomilehto J, Nissinen A. Incidence of breast cancer among postmenopausal, hypertensive women. Int J Cancer 2007 August 1;121(3):641-4.
- (31) Lipson SF, Ellison PT. Comparison of salivary steroid profiles in naturally occurring conception and non-conception cycles. Hum Reprod 1996 October;11(10):2090-6.
- (32) Lochen ML, Rasmussen K. The Tromso study: physical fitness, self reported physical activity, and their relationship to other coronary risk factors. J Epidemiol Community Health 1992 April;46(2):103-7.
- (33) Mitsuzono R, Ube M. Effects of endurance training on blood lipid profiles in adolescent female distance runners. Kurume Med J 2006;53(1-2):29-35.
- (34) Monninkhof EM, Elias SG, Vlems FA et al. Physical activity and breast cancer: a systematic review. Epidemiology 2007 January;18(1):137-57.
- (35) Sinagra D, Amato C, Scarpilta AM et al. Metabolic syndrome and breast cancer risk. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2002 March;6(2-3):55-9.
- (36) Stattin P, Bjor O, Ferrari P et al. Prospective study of hyperglycemia and cancer risk. Diabetes Care 2007 March;30(3):561-7.
- (37) Thune I, Brenn T, Lund E, Gaard M. Physical activity and the risk of breast cancer. N Engl J Med 1997 May 1;336(18):1269-75.
- (38) Thune I, Njolstad I, Lochen ML, Forde OH. Physical activity improves the metabolic risk profiles in men and women: the Tromso Study. Arch Intern Med 1998 August 10;158(15):1633-40.
- (39) Wilhelmsen L, Tibblin G, Aurell M, Bjure J, Ekstrom-Jodal B, Grimby G. Physical activity, physical fitness and risk of myocardial infarction. Adv Cardiol 1976;18(0):217-30.

Figure legends

Figure 1 Mean (95% CI) clustered risk score (zMS) in (a) low, moderate and high activity and in (b) tertiles of resting heart rate. The clustered risk score is a sum of the Z score to total-cholesterol:HDL-C ratio, logarithm of triglycerides, blood pressure [(systolic BP + diastolic BP)/2], logarithm of HOMA-score and total percentage tissue fat (n = 204).

Figur 2 Age-adjusted salivary 17β -estradiol concentrations by cycle day in women in (a) tertiles of the heart rate and (b) different levels of self-reported leisure-time physical activity. Linear mixed models for repeated measurements.

Figure 3. Age-adjusted salivary E_2 concentrations by cycle day in women in groups of clustered risk score, zMS [total-cholesterol:HDL-C ratio, logarithm of HOMA-score, total fat tissue % (DEXA), blood pressure [(systolic BP + diastolic BP)/2] and logarithm of triglycerides]. Linear mixed models for repeated measurements. N=192. P < 0.0001 for differences in E_2 concentration by cycle day of women in the highest tertile of zMS and cycles of women in the other tertiles (medium and lowest).

Table1: Characteristic of the study population by level of self-reported leisure time activity among premenopausal women: means (SD)* or proportions (from the Norwegian EBBA study $[n = 204^{\dagger}]$)

| | Low activity $(n = 32)$ | | | Moderate activity $(n = 121)$ | | High activity $(n = 51)$ | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|---------|-------------------------------|---------|--------------------------|-------|
| | Mean | (SD) | Mean | (SD) | Mean | (SD) | |
| Age (years) | 30.98 | (2.88) | 30.81 | (2.96) | 30.32 | (3.45) | 0.31 |
| Age at menarche | 13.16 | (1.44) | 13.03 | (1.23) | 13.29 | (1.62) | 0.55 |
| Energy intake (kJ/day) | 7767.34 | (1670.18) | 8153.36 | (1928.52) | 8153.92 | (1979.93) | 0.43 |
| Education (total years) | 16.08 | (2.99) | 15.61 | (2.69) | 17.11 | (3.59) | 0.05 |
| % with children | 40.63 | | 52.07 | | 43.14 | | 0.97 |
| Etnic minority, Sami (%) | 3.13 | | 9.92 | | 5.88 | | 0.83 |
| Current smokers (%) | 28.13 | | 23.97 | | 13.73 | | 0.10 |
| Alcohol (units/week) | 2.33 | (3.14) | 2.69 | (3.26) | 3.72 | (3.74) | 0.05 |
| Body composition | | | | | | | |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 24.90 | (4.21) | 24.38 | (3.46) | 24.14 | (4.20) | 0.39 |
| Waist:hip ratio | 0.78 | (0.06) | 0.77 | (0.06) | 0.77 | (0.07) | 0.40 |
| Waist circumference (cm) | 80.84 | (10.65) | 79.69 | (8.87) | 78.33 | (11.32) | 0.24 |
| Total tissue fat (%) | 36.38 | (8.88) | 34.61 | (6.79) | 31.63 | (8.12) | 0.003 |
| Clinical measurements | | | | | | | |
| Heart rate (beats/min) | 71.27 | (11.48) | 70.26 | (11.12) | 64.39 | (11.43) | 0.003 |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 114.47 | (10.67) | 112.72 | (10.36) | 113.75 | (13.34) | 0.90 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 71.36 | (8.95) | 70.78 | (7.39) | 70.84 | (8.91) | 0.81 |
| Saliva hormone concentrations (pmol/L) | | | | | | | |
| Overall average Estradiol | 17.92 | (8.93) | 17.20 | (8.86) | 19.55 | (8.49) | 0.29 |
| Overall average Progesterone | 121.24 | (61.06) | 129.31 | (72.37) | 137.75 | (62.68) | 0.28 |
| Estradiol follicular index | 18.70 | (9.63) | 16.91 | (8.7) | 19.72 | (8.86) | 0.45 |
| Estradiol luteal index | 18.97 | (9.54) | 18.20 | (9.92) | 19.70 | (9.08) | 0.66 |
| Serum concentrations§ | | | | | | | |
| Total cholesterol:HDL-cholesterol ratio | 3.17 | (0.71) | 3.02 | (0.81) | 2.87 | (0.83) | 0.09 |
| HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L) | 1.45 | (0.29) | 1.55 | (0.32) | 1.57 | (0.39) | 0.12 |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/L) | 4.45 | (0.67) | 4.49 | (0.77) | 4.34 | (0.88) | 0.42 |
| Fasting triglycerides (mmol/L) | 0.90 | (0.55) | 0.86 | (0.99) | 0.87 | (1.37) | 0.93 |
| Fasting glucose (mmol/L) | 5.06 | (0.54) | 5.06 | (0.62) | 4.92 | (0.42) | 0.20 |
| Insulin (µU/mL) | 13.25 | (10.20) | 11.70 | (6.35) | 12.00 | 7.58) | 0.55 |

^{*}SD, standard deviation.

[†]Numbers may vary as a result of missing information.

[‡]Linear regression or χ^2 test. §Blood sampling first visit (days 1–5).

Table 2 Estimated changes* in salivary overall average 17β-estradiol (E₂) with 95% confidence interval by 1 standard deviation (SD) increase in the subcomponents of the clustered metabolic risk score $(n = 204)^{\dagger}$

| Variable [‡] | Mean | Mean (SD) | | Overall average E ₂ change | | Average E ₂ change (follicular index)§ | | Average E ₂ change (luteal index)§ | |
|-----------------------|-------|-----------|-------|---------------------------------------|--------|---|------------|---|--|
| | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | (10111 | | (161 | ear meen) 3 | |
| Total fat tissue, % | 34.2 | (7.6) | 1.43 | $(0.23, 2.63)^a$ | 1.40 | $(0.14, 2.66)^a$ | 1.02 | (-0.34, 2.38) | |
| Insulin resistance | | | | | | | | | |
| Glucose, mmol/L | 5.02 | (0.56) | 0.84 | (-0.39, 2.06) | 0.93 | (-0.33, 2.2) | 0.44 | (-0.90, 1.80) | |
| Insulin, µU/mL | 12.02 | (7.35) | 1.00 | (-0.21, 2.21) | 0.82 | (-0.46, 2.10) | 1.34 | (-0.02, 2.72) | |
| HOMA score** | 2.67 | (1.71) | 1.25 | $(0.03, 2.46)^{a}$ | 1.07 | (-0.21, 2.35) | 1.55 | $(0.19, 2.93)^a$ | |
| Blood pressure | | , , | | | | | | | |
| Systolic BP, mmHg | 113.3 | (11.2) | 0.12 | (-1.10, 1.34) | 0.07 | (-1.23, 1.37) | 0.02 | (-1.37, 1.41) | |
| Blood pressure‡‡ | 92.07 | (9.01) | -0.11 | (-1.33, 1.10) | -0.15 | (-1.44, 1.13) | -0.32 | (-1.70, 1.06) | |
| Lipids | | , , | | | | | | | |
| Total C:HDL-C ratio | 3.0 | (0.8) | 2.46 | $(1.26-3.66)^{c}$ | 2.29 | $(1.01, 3.57)^{c}$ | 2.35 | $(0.97, 3.72)^{b}$ | |
| Triglycerides | 0.77 | (0.39) | 1.62 | $(0.40, 2.85)^{b}$ | 1.49 | $(0.23, 2.75)^{a}$ | 1.60^{a} | (0.25, 2.96) | |
| Heart rate, beats/min | 69.0 | (11.5) | 1.27 | $(0.06, 2.47)^{a}$ | 1.29 | $(0.03, 2.55)^a$ | 1.31 | (-0.04, 2.66) | |

^{*}Age adjusted regression coefficient and 95% confidence interval (CI).

[†]Number may vary due to missing information

[‡]Measurements at days 1–5 after onset of the menstrual cycle.

[§]Includes women with aligned cycles only, n = 190.

^{**}As indicators of insulin resistance we used homeostasis model assessment (HOMA), calculated as the product of fasting glucose concentration (mmol/L) and fasting insulin concentration (μU/mL) divided by the constant 22.5.

^{‡‡}Blood pressure= [(systolic BP + diastolic BP)/2]

p < 0.05

cp < 0.001