## Lipschitz Maps and Nets in Euclidean Space

## Citation

McMullen, Curtis T. 1998. Lipschitz maps and nets in Euclidean space. Geometric and Functional Analysis 8(2): 304-314.

## Published Version

doi:10.1007/s000390050058

## Permanent link

http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:9871963

## Terms of Use

This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at http:// nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use\#LAA

## Share Your Story

The Harvard community has made this article openly available.
Please share how this access benefits you. Submit a story.

Accessibility

# Lipschitz maps and nets in Euclidean space 

Curtis T. McMullen*

1 April, 1997

## 1 Introduction

In this paper we discuss the following three questions.

1. Given a real-valued function $f \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $\inf f(x)>0$, is there a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that the Jacobian determinant det $D \phi=f$ ?
2. Given $f \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, is there a Lipschitz or quasiconformal vector field with $\operatorname{div} v=f$ ?
3. Given a separated net $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, is there a bi-Lipschitz map $\phi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ ?

When $n=1$ all three questions have an easy positive answer. In this paper we show that for $n>1$ the answer to all three questions is no. We also find all three questions have positive solutions if the Lipschitz condition is relaxed to a Hölder condition.
Definitions. A map $\phi$ is bi-Lipschitz if there is a constant $K$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{K}<\frac{\left|\phi(x)-\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|}{\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|}<K
$$

for $x \neq x^{\prime}$. A set $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a net if there is an $R$ such that $d(x, Y)<R$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$; it is separated if there is an $\epsilon>0$ such that $\left|y-y^{\prime}\right|>\epsilon>0$ for every pair $y \neq y^{\prime}$ in $Y$.
History. In 1965, J. Moser showed that any two positive, $C^{\infty}$ volume forms on a compact manifold with the same total mass are related by a diffeomorphism [Mos]. Extensions of this result to other smoothness classes such as $C^{k, \alpha}$ were given in [Rei1] and [DM]; see also [RY1], [RY2], and [Ye].

[^0]Questions (1) and (2) remained open. Question (3) was posed in Gromov's 1993 book [Gr, p.23], and popularized by Toledo's review [Tol].

Recently counterexamples to (1) and (3) were discovered independently by Burago and Kleiner [BK], and the author. Here we show the linearized question (2) can be settled using a 1962 result of Ornstein (§2). The counterexample to (2) suggests the right type of $f$ to make a counterexample to (1), as we sketch in $\S 3$. This $f \in L^{\infty}$ is similar to the one constructed in [BK], to which we refer for a detailed resolution of (1). In $\S 4$ we show questions (1) and (3) are equivalent, completing the discussion of Lipschitz mappings. Finally in $\S 5$ we show questions (1-3) have positive answers in the Hölder category.

## 2 Vector fields

We begin with the infinitesimal form of the problem of constructing a map with prescribed volume distortion. That is, we study the equation

$$
\operatorname{div} v=\sum \frac{\partial v_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}=f
$$

on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $f$ is a real-valued function and $\operatorname{div} v$ is the divergence of the vector field $v$. We will show:

Theorem 2.1 For any $n>1$ there is an $f \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ which is not the divergence of any Lipschitz, or even quasiconformal, vector field.

Definitions. Let $D=\left(\partial / \partial x_{i}\right)$; then the matrix of partial derivatives of a vector field $v$ is given by the outer product

$$
(D v)_{i j}=\frac{\partial v_{i}}{\partial x_{j}},
$$

and $\operatorname{div} v=\operatorname{tr}(D v)$. Similarly, letting

$$
\left(D^{2}\right)_{i j}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}},
$$

we have $\Delta f=\operatorname{tr}\left(D^{2} f\right)$.
A vector field $v$ is quasiconformal if the distribution $S v$ lies in $L^{\infty}$, where the conformal strain

$$
S v=\frac{1}{2}\left(D v+(D v)^{*}\right)-\frac{1}{n}(\operatorname{tr} D v) I
$$

is the symmetric, trace-free part of $D v$. Explicitly,

$$
(S v)_{i j}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial v_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}+\frac{\partial v_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}\right)-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k} \frac{\partial v_{k}}{\partial x_{k}}
$$

Any Lipschitz vector field is quasiconformal.
Quasiconformal vector fields with $\operatorname{div} v \in L^{\infty}$ are more general than Lipschitz vector fields, but they provide good models for infinitesimal biLipschitz maps. For example, $v(z)=i z \log |z|$ is not Lipschitz, but it generates a Lipschitz isotopy of the plane (shearing along circles). Theorem 2.1 states that even this broader class of quasiconformal vector fields is insufficient to solve $\operatorname{div} v=f$. (Further discussion of quasiconformal flows can be found in [Rei2] and [Mc2, Appendix A].)
Singular integral operators. Before proving Theorem 2.1, we mention how it fits into the general theory of singular integral operators and PDE.

Suppose $f \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\int f=0$. The most straightforward solution to $\operatorname{div} v=f$ is given by $v=D u$, the gradient of the solution to Laplace's equation $\Delta u=f$. The regularity of $v$ is thus determined by the behavior of the operator

$$
T f=D v=D^{2} \Delta^{-1} f
$$

For example $v$ is Lipschitz iff $D v=T f \in L^{\infty}$.
The operator $T$ is a singular integral operator of Calderón-Zygmund type, whose kernel is obtained by differentiating a fundamental solution to Laplace's equation. By the general theory of such operators, $T$ sends $L^{p}$ into $L^{p}$ for $1<p<\infty$, but it does not preserve $L^{\infty}$ or $L^{1}$.

In the case at hand, where $f$ is in $L^{\infty}$, one can say at most that $D v=$ $T f \in B M O$ with

$$
\|D v\|_{B M O} \leq C_{n}\|f\|_{\infty}
$$

(see [St, IV.4.1]). Just as vector fields with $D v \in L^{\infty}$ are Lipschitz, those with $D v \in B M O$ satisfy the Zygmund condition

$$
\|v\|_{Z}=\sup _{x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, y \neq 0} \frac{|v(x+y)+v(x-y)-2 v(x)|}{|y|}<\infty
$$

(see [Mc2, Thm. A.2]). It follows that $v$ has an $|x \log x|$ modulus of continuity, so while $v$ is generally not Lipschitz it is Hölder of every exponent $\alpha<1$.

On the other hand, a solution to $\operatorname{div} v=f$ is only determined up to a volume-preserving vector field $w$, so another solution $v+w$ might be Lipschitz even if $v$ is not.

To handle the kernel of the divergence operator, one is lead to argue by duality. Theorem 2.1 then reduces to a problem in $L^{1}$, which is settled by the following:

Theorem 2.2 (Ornstein) For any set of linearly independent degree $m$ differential operators on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
P_{i}=\sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_{i}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}, \quad i=0, \ldots, k,
$$

and any $C>0$, there exists an $g \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\left\|P_{0} g\right\|_{1}>C \sum_{1}^{k}\left\|P_{i} g\right\|_{1} .
$$

See [Or]; we are grateful to E. Stein for this reference.
Proof of Theorem 2.1. The proof is by contradiction.
Suppose for every $f \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ there exists a quasiconformal vector field $v$ such that $\operatorname{div} v=f$. Then there is a constant $C_{n}$ such that $v$ can be chosen with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|S v\|_{\infty} \leq C_{n}\|f\|_{\infty} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, let $B$ be the Banach space of quasiconformal vector fields with bounded divergence, equipped with the (pseudo-)norm

$$
\|v\|_{B}=\|S v\|_{\infty}+\|\operatorname{div} v\|_{\infty}
$$

then the divergence map div : $B \rightarrow L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is surjective, so (2.1) follows by the open mapping theorem.

We claim (2.1) implies, for any compactly supported smooth function $g$, that

$$
\|\Delta g\|_{1} \leq \frac{n}{n-1} C_{n}\|E g\|_{1}
$$

Here $E$ denotes the trace-zero part of $D^{2}$; it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D^{2} g\right)_{i j}=(E g)_{i j}+\frac{1}{n}(\Delta g) I_{i j} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{i j}=\delta_{i j}$ is the identity matrix.
The main point of the proof is the identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}(E(S v))=\sum E_{i j}(S v)_{j i}=\frac{n-1}{n} \Delta \operatorname{div} v . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To check (2.3), note that

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(D^{2}\right)(D v)\right)=\sum_{i, j} \frac{\partial^{3} v_{i}}{\partial x_{j}^{2} \partial x_{i}}=\Delta \operatorname{div} v
$$

while

$$
\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}((\Delta I)(D v))=\frac{1}{n} \Delta \operatorname{div} v
$$

so by (2.2) we have

$$
\operatorname{tr}(E(D v))=\frac{n-1}{n} \Delta \operatorname{div} v .
$$

But $E$ is trace-zero and symmetric, so $\operatorname{tr}(E(D v))=\operatorname{tr}(E(S V))$ and we have (2.3).

Now given any $g \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, choose $f \in L^{\infty}$ such that $|f|=1$ and

$$
\|\Delta g\|_{1}=\int f \Delta g=\int g \Delta f .
$$

Choose a quasiconformal vector field with $\operatorname{div} v=f$ and satisfying (2.1), so $\|S v\|_{\infty} \leq C_{n}$. Then

$$
\|\Delta g\|_{1}=\int g \Delta \operatorname{div} v=\frac{n}{n-1} \int g \operatorname{tr}(E(S v))
$$

by (2.3). Integrating by parts gives

$$
\int g \operatorname{tr}(E(S v))=\int \operatorname{tr}((E g)(S v))
$$

so we have

$$
\|\Delta g\|_{1} \leq \frac{n}{n-1}\|E g\|_{1}\|S v\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{n}{n-1} C_{n}\|E g\|_{1} .
$$

But $E$ and $\Delta$ are linearly independent differential operators, so this inequality contradicts Ornstein's theorem.

## 3 Maps

In this section we sketch the construction of a counterexample to (1). A similar counterexample is given in [BK, Theorem 1.2]. The $L^{1}$ counterexamples given by Ornstein in [Or] are also similar in spirit.

For simplicity we will work in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Let $T \subset S$ denote the square of side $1 / 3$ within the unit square $S$. Choose $f>0$ to be constant on $T$ and $S-T$, with $\int_{S} f=1$ and $\int_{T} f=0.99$. Cover the edges of $S$ and $T$ with much smaller squares $S_{i}$, and redefine $f \mid S_{i}$ as $f \circ h_{i}$, where $h_{i}: S_{i} \rightarrow S$ is a linear map. See Figure 1; the regions where $f>1$ are black.


Figure 1. Non-realizable density.

Now repeat the construction along the edges of each $S_{i}$, and iterate $j$ times to obtain $f_{j}$. As the construction is iterated, arrange that the ratio between the sizes of the squares at levels $j$ and $j+1$ tends to infinity. Then $f(x)=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} f_{j}(x)$ exists almost everywhere and is bounded above and below.

We claim $f$ cannot be realized as the Jacobian determinant of a biLipschitz homeomorphism. To see this, let $K=\sup |\phi(a)-\phi(b)| /|a-b|$, where the sup is over just the edges $[a, b]$ of all squares at all levels $j$. For simplicity, suppose $K$ is achieved on a horizontal edge $[a, b]$ of a square $S^{\prime}$ at level $j$. Let $S_{i}^{\prime}$ denote the squares at level $j+1$ running along $[a, b]$, and let $R=\bigcup S_{i}^{\prime}$ be the long, thin rectangular they form.

By the triangle inequality, the horizontal edges of $R$ are mapped to almost straight lines stretched by $K$. Since area $\phi(R)=\operatorname{area}(R)$, the height of $R$ is compressed by $1 / K$. The horizontal edges of most $S_{i}^{\prime}$ are also stretched by $K$, so the perimeter of some $S_{i}^{\prime}$ is increased by a factor of at least $K / 2$.

But most of the area of $\phi\left(S_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ is filled by $\phi\left(T_{i}^{\prime}\right)$, the image of the black subsquare $T_{i}^{\prime} \subset S_{i}^{\prime}$. Since the perimeter of $T_{i}^{\prime}$ is $1 / 3$ that of $S_{i}^{\prime}$, it is stretched by a factor of about $3 K / 2$ under $\phi$, contradicting the definition of $K$.

A detailed proof can be given along lines similar to those presented in [BK], to which the reader is referred for a more complete discussion.

This counterexample to (1) was motivated for us by the area-modulus inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{area}(T) \leq \frac{\operatorname{area}(S)}{1+4 \pi \bmod (A)} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is the annulus between two disks $T \subset S \subset \mathbb{C}[$ Mc1, Lemma 2.17]. This inequality relates conformal distortion to distortion of relative areas. Since (3.1) comes from the isoperimetric inequality, for a rigorous proof one is lead to consider stretching along the edges and stability of geodesics as above.

## 4 Nets

In this section we show questions (1) and (3) are equivalent. In particular, a counterexample to (1) implies a counterexample to (3).

Theorem 4.1 The following two statements are equivalent:
A. Every measurable $f>0$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $f$ and $1 / f$ bounded can be realized as the Jacobian determinant of a bi-Lipschitz map.
B. Every separated net $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is bi-Lipschitz to $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. $(\mathrm{B}) \Longrightarrow(\mathrm{A})$. Choose a net $Y$ such that under rescaling, the measure that assigns a $\delta$-mass to each point of $Y$ accumulates weakly on the measure $\mu=f(x) d x$. By (B) there is a bi-Lipschitz map $\phi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n}$. Under suitable rescaling, $\phi$ converges to a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with Jacobian $f$. Compare [BK, Lemma 2.1].
$(\mathrm{A}) \Longrightarrow(\mathrm{B})$. Let $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a separated net. Let $\left\langle C_{y}: y \in Y\right\rangle$ be the tiling of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ determined by the Voronoi cells

$$
C_{y}=\left\{x:|x-y|<\left|x-y^{\prime}\right| \text { for all } y^{\prime} \neq y \text { in } Y\right\} .
$$

Since $Y$ is a net, we have sup diam $C_{y}<\infty$, and $\inf \operatorname{vol} C_{y}>0$ because $Y$ is separated. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{y: x \in C_{y}} \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol} C_{y}} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $f$ and $1 / f$ are bounded a.e., so (A) provides a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with Jacobian determinant $f$. Letting $D_{y}=\phi\left(C_{y}\right)$, we have $\operatorname{vol} \phi\left(D_{y}\right)=1$.

For $z \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ let $E_{z}$ denote the unit cube centered at $z$. Consider the relation $R \subset Y \times \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ given by the set of pairs $(y, z)$ such that $D_{y}$ meets $E_{z}$. Since diam $D_{y}$ and $\operatorname{diam} E_{z}$ are bounded, the distance $|\phi(y)-z|$ is also bounded for all $(y, z) \in R$.

Now think of the relation $R$ as a multi-valued map from $Y$ to $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$. Then for any finite set $A \subset Y$, we have $|R(A)| \geq|A|$. Indeed, the cubes labeled by $R(A)$ cover the cells $D_{y}$ labeled by $A$, so the inequality follows from the fact that $\operatorname{vol} D_{y}=\operatorname{vol} E_{z}=1$. Similarly, $\left|R^{-1}(B)\right| \geq|B|$ for any finite set $B \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n}$.

By the transfinite form of Hall's marriage theorem [Mir, Thm. 4.2.1], $R$ contains the graph of an injective map $\psi_{1}: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n}$. Similarly, $R^{-1}$ contains the graph of an injective map $\psi_{2}: \mathbb{Z}^{n} \rightarrow Y$. By the Schröder-Bernstein theorem [Hal, $\S 22], R$ contains the graph of a bijection $\psi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n}$. Since $\sup |\psi(y)-\phi(y)|<\infty$, the map $\psi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ is bi-Lipschitz, proving (B).

The proof of $(A) \Longrightarrow(B)$ shows that for any separated net $Y$, the quality of a bijection $\phi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ can be controlled by the quality of a solution to $\operatorname{det} D \phi=f$, where $f$ is determined by the Voronoi cells as in (4.1). This fact is exploited in the next section.

## 5 Hölder maps

To conclude we show questions (1-3) have positive answers if we relax the Lipschitz condition to a Hölder condition.
Definition. We say $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a homogeneous Hölder map if there are constants $K \geq 0$ and $0<\alpha \leq 1$ such that for $|x|,|y| \leq R$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\phi(x)-\phi(y)| \leq K R^{1-\alpha}|x-y|^{\alpha} . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\phi(x)$ satisfies (5.1), then so does $r \phi(x / r)$ for every $r>0$; it is this sense that the Hölder condition above is homogeneous.

If $\phi$ and $\phi^{-1}$ both satisfy (5.1) then we say $\phi$ is a homogeneous bi-Hölder homeomorphism. When $\alpha=1$ we obtain the class of bi-Lipschitz maps. Note that for any homogeneous bi-Hölder homeomorphism, we have

$$
|\phi(y)| \asymp|y|
$$

when $|y|$ is large. To see this, set $x=0$ and $R=|y|$ in (5.1).

We say a map $\phi: Y \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ between subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a homogeneous bi-Hölder bijection if $\phi$ and $\phi^{-1}$ satisfy (5.1) on their respective domains.

Theorem 5.1 Fix $n \geq 1$. Then:

1. For any $f \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $\inf f(x)>0$, there is a homogeneous biHölder homeomorphism $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{vol}(\phi(E))=\int_{E} f(x) d x \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all bounded open sets $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
2. For any $f \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, there is a vector field $v$ with Zygmund components such that $\operatorname{div} v=f$.
3. For any separated net $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, there is a homogeneous bi-Hölder bijection $\psi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n}$.

Lemma 5.2 Any radial function $f(r) \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $\inf f>0$ can be realized as the Jacobian determinant of a radial bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $\phi(r, \theta)=(\psi(r), \theta)$.

Proof. Define $\psi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ by

$$
\frac{\psi(r)^{n}}{n}=\int_{0}^{r} s^{n-1} f(s) d s
$$

Then we have

$$
\operatorname{det} D \phi=\frac{\psi^{\prime}(r) \psi(r)^{n-1}}{r^{n-1}}=f(r)
$$

The upper and lower bounds on $f$ imply $\psi(r) \asymp r$, so by the formula above we have $\psi^{\prime}(r) \asymp 1$. Thus $\phi$ is bi-Lipschitz.

## Proof of Theorem 5.1.

(2). This statement follows from the general theory of singular integral operators, as sketched in $\S 2$. Note that a vector field $v$ with Zygmund components has $|x \log x|$ modulus of continuity and generates a flow whose time-one map is Hölder [Rei2, Prop. 4].
(1). This result is due to Rivière and Ye. Consider the tiling of $\mathbb{R}^{n}-\{0\}$ by the dyadic annuli

$$
\left\langle A_{i}=\left\{x: 2^{i} \leq|x| \leq 2^{i+1}\right\}, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}\right\rangle
$$

After a preliminary radial Lipschitz map, whose existence is insured by Lemma 5.2, we can assume $\int_{A_{i}} f=\int_{A_{i}} 1$ for each $i$. By [RY2, Thm. 2], there exists a homeomorphism $\phi_{0}: A_{0} \rightarrow A_{0}$ such that
(i) $\int_{E} f(x) d x=\operatorname{vol}\left(\phi_{0}(E)\right)$ for any open set $E \subset A_{0}$;
(ii) $\phi_{0}(x)=x$ on $\partial A_{0}$; and
(iii) $K^{-1}|x-y|^{1 / \alpha} \leq|\phi(x)-\phi(y)| \leq K|x-y|^{\alpha}$, where $\alpha>0, K>1$ depend only on $\|f\|_{\infty}+\|1 / f\|_{\infty}$ (compare [RY2, (2.14)]).

Since $A_{i}$ is simply $A_{0}$ rescaled by a factor of $2^{i}$, we can apply this result to obtain homeomorphisms $\phi_{i}: A_{i} \rightarrow A_{i}$ satisfying the volume distortion equation (5.2) for $E \subset A_{i}$. The Hölder bounds in (iii) rescale to give the homogeneous bounds (5.1) for $\phi_{i}$ and $\phi_{i}^{-1}$, so the $\phi_{i}$ piece together to produce the desired homogeneous bi-Hölder map $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
(3). Let $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a separated net. Let $\left\langle C_{y}\right\rangle$ be the Voronoi cells for $Y$, and let $E_{z}$ denote the unit cube centered at $z \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$. Define $f(x)=1 / \operatorname{vol}\left(C_{y}\right)$ for $x \in C_{y}$ as in (4.1).

By (1) there exists a homogeneous bi-Hölder map $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ sending $f(x) d x$ to the standard measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Letting $D_{y}=\phi\left(C_{y}\right)$ we have $\operatorname{vol} D_{y}=1$ and $\operatorname{diam} D_{y}=O\left(1+|y|^{1-\alpha}\right)$, where $\alpha$ is the exponent in (5.1). As in the proof of Theorem 4.1, Hall's marriage theorem provides a bijection $\psi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ such that $D_{y} \cap E_{z} \neq \emptyset$ whenever $\psi(y)=z$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\phi(y)-\psi(y)| \leq C\left(1+|y|^{1-\alpha}\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C$.
We claim $\psi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ is a homogeneous bi-Hölder map. Indeed, given distinct points $x, y \in Y$ with $|x|,|y| \leq R$, by (5.1) and (5.3) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\psi(x)-\psi(y)| & \leq|\phi(x)-\phi(y)|+|\phi(x)-\psi(x)|+|\phi(y)-\psi(y)| \\
& \leq K R^{1-\alpha}|x-y|^{\alpha}+2 C\left(1+R^{1-\alpha}\right) \\
& =O\left(R^{1-\alpha}|x-y|^{\alpha}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

since $|x-y|>\epsilon>0$ by separation of $Y$. This shows $\psi$ satisfies the homogeneous Hölder condition.

To verify the same condition for $\psi^{-1}$, we apply the same reasoning to the inverse image cubes $F_{z}=\phi^{-1}\left(E_{z}\right)$. The Hölder condition on $\phi^{-1}$ gives $\operatorname{diam}\left(F_{z}\right)=O\left(1+|z|^{1-\alpha}\right)$, and since $F_{z} \cap C_{\psi^{-1}(z)} \neq \emptyset$ we have

$$
\left|\psi^{-1}(z)-\phi^{-1}(z)\right| \leq C^{\prime}\left(1+|z|^{1-\alpha}\right) .
$$

Thus for distinct $z, w \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ with $|z|,|w| \leq R$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\psi^{-1}(z)-\psi^{-1}(w)\right| & \leq K R^{1-\alpha}|z-w|^{\alpha}+2 C^{\prime}\left(1+R^{1-\alpha}\right) \\
& =O\left(R^{1-\alpha}|z-w|^{\alpha}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

since $|z-w| \geq 1$. Therefore $\psi^{-1}$ also satisfies (5.1) and we are done.
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