Seismicity Variations Associated with Aseismic Transients in Guerrero, Mexico, 1995-2006

Citation

Liu, Yajing, James R. Rice, and Kristine M. Larson. 2007. Seismicity variations associated with aseismic transients in Guerrero, Mexico, 1995-2006. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 262(3-4): 493-504.

Published Version

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2007.08.018>

Permanent link

<http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:2623550>

Terms of Use

This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at <http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA>

Share Your Story

The Harvard community has made this article openly available.
Please share how this access benefits you. [Submit a story](#).

[Accessibility](#)

Seismicity variations associated with aseismic transients in Guerrero, Mexico, 1995-2006

Yajing Liu ^{a,*}, James R. Rice ^{a,b}, and Kristine M. Larson ^c

^a*Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA.*

^b*School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA.*

^c*Department of Aerospace Engineering Sciences, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309, USA.*

1 **Abstract**

2 Primarily aseismic deformation transients in subduction zones, sometimes asso-
3 ciated with tremors and low-frequency earthquakes, are a newly recognized mode
4 of deformation. Stressing in the up-dip seismogenic zone is increased episodically
5 due to down-dip transient slips, and each event may make it more prone to fail-
6 ure in a large thrust earthquake. It is important for seismic hazard assessment to
7 search and identify patterns of seismicity variation associated with transients. The
8 Guerrero, Mexico, region is chosen for this study because of long-term continuous
9 geodetic observations and abundant seismicity in the shallow subduction zone. We
10 search the GCMT and NEIC catalogs for earthquakes with depths less than 100
11 km between 1995 and 2006 within the area covering the region affected by major
12 transients since 1996. A completeness magnitude of $M_c = 4.5$ is determined for the
13 NEIC catalog used in this study, based on the maximum likelihood method.

14 Three large transients in 1998, 2001-2002 and 2006 are all temporally correlated
15 with high seismic rates in the studied area. In particular, transients are either pre-
16 ceded by a cluster of extensional earthquakes relatively far inland from the trench,
17 or followed by shallow thrust earthquakes close to the trench. In some cases, such
18 as the 2001-2002 transient, both types of activity are found bordering the transient.
19 The assembled evidence suggests that transients may serve as a mechanism of stress
20 communication between distant seismicity clusters in shallow subduction zones.

21 *Key words:*

22 Aseismic transient, Seismicity variation, Guerrero subduction zone

* Corresponding author.

Email addresses: liu@esag.harvard.edu (Yajing Liu),

rice@esag.harvard.edu (James R. Rice), kristinem.larson@gmail.com

23 1 Introduction

24 Aseismic transients with as yet no obvious relation to large earthquakes are a
 25 newly recognized mode of deformation along major fault zones. Short-period
 26 transients (several months to years) have recently been detected in shallow-
 27 dipping subduction zones, such as Alaska-Aleutian [*Ohta et al.*, 2006], Casca-
 28 dia [*Dragert et al.*, 2001; *Rogers and Dragert*, 2003; *Szeliga et al.*, 2004; *Mc-*
 29 *Causland et al.*, 2005], Guerrero, Mexico [*Lowry et al.*, 2001; *Kostoglodov et*
 30 *al.*, 2003; *Larson et al.*, 2004; *Lowry*, 2006], Hikurangi, New Zealand [*Douglas*
 31 *et al.*, 2005; *Wallace and Beavan*, 2006], central and southwest Japan [*Hi-*
 32 *rose et al.*, 1999; *Ozawa et al.*, 2002; *Hirose and Obara*, 2005], along the San
 33 Andreas Fault [*Murray and Segall*, 2005] and on a detachment beneath the
 34 south flank of Kilauea volcano [*Segall et al.*, 2006]. *Natawidjaja et al.* [2004;
 35 2007] also suggested the occurrence of aseismic slip episodes, in 1962, 1968,
 36 1975 and 1984, on the Sunda Megathrust along the coast of Sumatra, based
 37 on the annual banding of corals, and *Meltzner et al.* [2007] have noted coral
 38 evidence for an aseismic uplift event in late 2003 in central Simeulue Island
 39 between the December 2004 and March 2005 megathrust slip zones. Aseismic
 40 transients in some subduction zones are accompanied by deep non-volcanic
 41 tremors, which are difficult to locate due to lack of distinct P or S-wave ar-
 42 rivals. Tremors or low-frequency earthquakes, which are possibly components
 43 of tremor sequences, may be distributed over a broad depth range [northern
 44 Cascadia, *Kao et al.*, 2005], or clustered in a tabular zone along the thrust in-
 45 terface [Shikoku, SW Japan, *Shelly et al.*, 2006] at the depths where transient
 46 deformation is inferred to take place.

(Kristine M. Larson).

47 Transient deformation is estimated to be due to slow slips down-dip from the
 48 locked region. Stressing in the up-dip seismogenic zone is increased episodi-
 49 cally, and each transient can make it more prone to failure in a large thrust
 50 earthquake. Thus, it is very important for seismic hazard assessment [*Mazzotti*
 51 *and Adams*, 2004] to search and identify patterns of spatiotemporal seismic-
 52 ity variation associated with transients. Such patterns are indeed detected
 53 for some regions. For example, *Segall et al.* [2006] reported swarms of high-
 54 frequency earthquakes, which accompanied, and are hypothesized to be trig-
 55 gered by silent slip events on Kilauea volcano, Hawaii. During the Tokai slow
 56 slip event [*Ozawa et al.*, 2002; 2005], *Yoshida et al.* [2006] reported variations
 57 in the slab and crustal seismicity concurrent with changes in the plate slip
 58 velocity. *Liu and Rice* [2005] noted that the initiation of the large 2001-2002
 59 transient in Guerrero, Mexico, coincided with two normal-faulting earthquakes
 60 of $M_w = 5.0$ and 5.8 relatively far inland from the trench, and the transient
 61 was followed by several thrust earthquakes closer to the trench. The 2001-2002
 62 large transient was suggested to act as a spatial-temporal connection between
 63 the two clusters of seismicity. Repeated episodes of apparent switching be-
 64 tween down-dip and up-dip earthquake activity have earlier been reported by
 65 *Dmowska et al.* [1988] for other regions that have hosted large earthquakes
 66 along the Middle America trench (MAT). They suggested earthquakes near
 67 the down-dip end of the locked seismogenic zone as a mechanism of commu-
 68 nication between these seismicity clusters.

69 The above observed connections between transients and seismicity motivated
 70 us to systematically investigate their relation in a region with long-term con-
 71 tinuous geodetic measurements and abundant seismicity. Guerrero, Mexico,
 72 appears to be a well qualified candidate for this study. Guerrero is along the

73 MAT, where the Cocos plate subducts beneath the North American Plate
 74 (Figure 1). The convergence rate varies from 53 mm/yr to 58 mm/yr along
 75 the trench in direction N33°E [NUVEL1-A, *DeMets et al.*, 1994]. No signifi-
 76 cant seismic energy has been released in the northwest seismic gap ($\sim 260^\circ$ to
 77 258.8° E) since the rupture of the December 16, 1911 ($M_s = 7.8$) earthquake
 78 [*Ortiz et al.*, 2000]. The most recent large earthquakes in the southeast seismic
 79 gap ($\sim 261^\circ$ to 260° E) were in 1907 ($M_s = 7.9$) and 1957 ($M_s = 7.8$). These
 80 two segments are roughly within the area affected by aseismic transients, and
 81 covered by the Guerrero Global Positioning System (GPS) network. The first
 82 continuous GPS station in Guerrero was established at CAYA in January 1997.
 83 Permanent and campaign instrumentations were installed subsequently, and
 84 now consist of over 10 years of deformation record at some sites [*Larson et al.*,
 85 2004]. Locations of the permanent stations are shown in Figure 1. Two large
 86 aseismic transients (southward surface displacement of ~ 2 to 5 cm), which
 87 lasted for several months in early 1998 and from October 2001 to April 2002,
 88 respectively, have been reported from the continuous measurements [*Lowry et*
 89 *al.*, 2001; *Kostoglodov et al.*, 2003]. Recently, one transient with a deformation
 90 size comparable to the 2001-2002 event was detected from March to December
 91 2006 [*Larson et al.*, 2007]. Complete GPS time series of the north-component
 92 displacement between 1996 and 2004 are shown in Figure 1 of *Lowry* [2006].

93 **2 Earthquake catalogs and determination of completeness magni-** 94 **tude M_c**

95 The GCMT (Global Centroid Moment Tensor) and NEIC (National Earth-
 96 quake Information Center) catalogs are searched for seismic events between

1995 and 2006, within the area of latitude 16° to 20°N and longitude 258° to 262°E , which covers the region affected by the major transients since 1996, and where the permanent Guerrero GPS network is installed. Earthquake depth is limited to those less than 100 km, to roughly include events only related to the shallow subduction process. The relocated Centennial catalog [Engdahl *et al.*, 1998; Engdahl and Villaseñor, 2002] is also used for better constraints on the locations of particular earthquakes of interest, as will be discussed in Section 3.

Among all the events satisfying the above search criterions, the lowest magnitude in the GCMT catalog is $M_w = 4.9$, and the NEIC catalog includes smaller events down to $mb = 2.5$. However, the number of events at lower magnitudes may increase with time as an effect of improved seismic instrumentation detection capability. That influences our attempts to identify the natural variations of seismicity rate. Thus, it is necessary to define a completeness (cut-off) magnitude M_c , above which the catalog can be considered complete and appropriate for this study. There are basically two approaches in the literature to determine M_c . One is to calculate what the instruments should be able to detect, given their configuration, sensitivity, noise level and observations of which earthquakes particular instruments have or have not detected, following the procedures in Schorlemmer *et al.* [2006]. This is a very precise but complicated approach for the purpose of the present study. As a simple and generally robust approach, we use the maximum likelihood method [Aki, 1965] to calculate the b value for a wide range of M_c :

$$b = \frac{\log_{10}(e)}{\langle M \rangle - M_c} = \frac{0.4343}{\langle M \rangle - M_c}, \quad (1)$$

where $\langle M \rangle$ is the mean magnitude of all events equal or higher than the de-

122 tection threshold M_c . For all the NEIC earthquakes between 1995 and 2006,
 123 with depths less than 100km, within the dashed-line box, b -value, with 98%
 124 error bars, versus M_c is shown in Figure 2(a). b initially increases with M_c
 125 when M_c is below the detectability threshold. When M_c is high enough, b
 126 becomes statistically constant (e.g., b is roughly constant for different val-
 127 ues of M_c within the calculation error), and the catalog can be considered as
 128 complete. A completeness magnitude of ~ 4.3 can be roughly determined. In
 129 addition, the frequency-magnitude distributions, shown in Figure 2(b), also
 130 suggest a M_c around the same value. We assume the drop in the number of
 131 events within each 0.1-magnitude bin is caused by the incomplete reporting of
 132 events in the NEIC catalog. Adding a 0.2 or 0.3 safe factor to M_c inferred from
 133 the statistical analysis [*K. Felzer*, priv. commun.], we choose a completeness
 134 magnitude of $M_c = 4.5$. It is also consistent with an average completeness
 135 threshold of 4.3 to 4.4 reported for the NEIC catalog in a majority of regions
 136 [http://earthquake.usgs.gov/regional/neic/neic_bulletins.php, 2000]. The Cen-
 137 tennial catalog has a cut-off magnitude of 5.5 for earthquakes between 1964
 138 and April 2002.

139 In Section 3, we present the seismicity variations between 1995 and 2006,
 140 showing the distribution of GCMT and NEIC events, the epicentral distance
 141 to trench versus time, and the seismicity rate in each four-year span, i.e.,
 142 1995-1998, 1999-2002 and 2003-2006. The earthquakes for periods including
 143 the three large transients are projected to a vertical cross-section along line
 144 AB (Figures 3, 5 and 7), which is perpendicular to the trench. We use the
 145 subduction slab geometry, specifically, the thrust interface profile determined
 146 by *Kostoglodov et al.* [1996] using the seismicity data of the regional network in
 147 Guerrero. The slab profile is also used in the dislocation model of *Kostoglodov*

148 *et al.* [2003] to fit the observed surface deformation in the 2001-2002 transient.

149 **3 Seismicity and transients, 1995-2006**

150 *3.1 1995-1998*

151 Figure 3(a) is the map view of the seismicity from GCMT (beachballs) and
152 NEIC (gray dots) catalogs between 1995 and 1998. Figure 3(b) shows earth-
153 quakes between 1997 and 1998 in the dashed-line box projected to a vertical
154 cross-section along AB (red line). For the same earthquake of interest, we plot
155 the compressional quadrants of the GCMT beachball, the NEIC and Centen-
156 nial (if available in that catalog) locations with the same color.

157 *3.1.1 Possible transient in 1996*

158 Before the first permanent GPS station was installed at CAYA in January
159 1997, survey measurements were conducted in March 1992, September 1995
160 and April 1996, along the coast and inland in Guerrero. For a complete de-
161 scription of campaign sites and operation epoches, see *Larson et al.* [2004]. Ev-
162 idence of a moderate-size transient was found from the 1995 and 1996 survey
163 records at ACAP (Acapulco). The north component of displacement relative
164 to the North American Plate is ~ 2 cm [*Larson et al.*, 2004], almost one order
165 of magnitude larger than the average horizontal surface deformation during
166 the northern Cascadia aseismic transients [*Dragert et al.*, 2001]. However, we
167 need to be cautious on the identification of this transient based on the cam-
168 paign data at a single station, as transient motions can also result from the

169 instability of the monument, localized mass-wasting phenomena, or different
170 conditions in campaign epoches. The possibility that the slow slip was trig-
171 gered by the $M_w = 7.3$, September 1995 Copala earthquake (“091405C” in
172 Figure 3(a)), ~ 100 km east of ACAP, cannot be ruled out.

173 Figure 4(a) shows the temporal variation of the epicentral distance to the
174 trench of NEIC events greater than 4.2 in the dashed-line box between 1995
175 and 1998. Blue and red circles represent earthquakes with normal- and thrust-
176 faulting focal mechanisms, respectively. The duration of the 1996 transient is
177 poorly constrained due to limited measurements. We mark it roughly from
178 November 1995 to February 1996, in Figure 4(a), based on the estimate by
179 *Larson et al.* [2004]. Since the beginning of 1995, no earthquakes with mag-
180 nitude higher than 4.5 were reported in either catalog until December 20,
181 1995, when a $M_w = 5.3$ normal-faulting earthquake occurred near the border
182 between Guerrero and Michoacán, with an epicentral distance of ~ 170 km
183 inland from the trench and a depth of 78 km (NEIC). A similar depth of 76
184 km is determined in GCMT. This extensional earthquake corresponded to the
185 initiation of the possible 1996 transient, and occurred in the subducting slab.
186 A thrust earthquake of $M_w = 5.5$ occurred on April 23, 1996, shortly after the
187 transient, given the estimated duration. While the GCMT centroid location of
188 the April event lies on the trench, NEIC reports an epicenter ~ 40 km inland
189 from the trench, which is probably a more precise estimate using data from
190 regional seismic network in Guerrero. Another cluster of thrust events was
191 reported in middle July, with the largest magnitude of $M_w = 6.6$.

192 3.1.2 Transient in 1998

193 About 1 year after the first permanent GPS station was installed at CAYA,
194 a transient motion, starting from early 1998, was observed and lasted for ~ 5
195 months. The reversed motion was later confirmed by displacement at an inland
196 station POSW. Continuous measurements from the beginning of 1997 to late
197 2000 were used to model the aseismic deformation and suggest a total static
198 displacement of 2 mm east, 26 mm south and 16 mm up during this transient
199 [Lowry *et al.*, 2001]. Along-strike propagation, a feature like that exhibited
200 by the Cascadia transients [Dragert *et al.*, 2001], is also implied based on
201 the surface eastward deflection at the beginning and westward deflection at
202 the end of the transient; a simple static slip patch cannot duplicate such a
203 time-varying feature. The deflection signal is consistent with a NW to SE slip
204 propagation motion, as also suggested by the seismicity variation associated
205 with this transient.

206 During the transient slip period, an extensional earthquake of $M_w = 5.9$ oc-
207 curred on April 20, 1998, on the NW border between Guerrero and Michoacán,
208 near the epicenter of the 1995 normal-faulting earthquake. GCMT, NEIC and
209 Centennial locations of this April event are shown in Figure 3 by a beachball
210 with blue compressional quadrants, blue dot and blue star, respectively. There
211 is a significant discrepancy of more than 40 km between the GCMT and NEIC
212 horizontal locations, and NEIC has better agreement with the relocated Cen-
213 tennial position. Earthquakes with magnitudes less than 4.5, shown as gray
214 circles in Figure 4(a), near the extensional earthquake epicentral area were
215 also reported preceding or at the early stage of the 1998 transient. Further-
216 more, a group of thrust-faulting earthquakes occurred near Acapulco in July
217 1998, immediately after the transient. It is clear from Figures 3 and 4 that

the 1998 transient coincided with an extensional earthquake in the subducting slab at NW Guerrero and was followed by a cluster of shallower thrust earthquakes close to the trench near Acapulco, suggesting a NW to SE slow slip propagation and up-dip stress transfer.

Figure 4(b) shows the number of seismic events, above the completeness magnitude 4.5 (black bars) and between 4.2 and 4.5 (gray bars), per 10 days for the examined 4-year period. High seismic rate is generally observed in the temporal vicinity of the transient slip events.

3.2 1999-2002

Figure 5(a) is the map view of the seismicity from GCMT and NEIC catalogs between 1999 and 2002. Figure 5(b) shows the earthquakes between 2001 and 2002 within the dashed-line box projected to the vertical cross-section along AB.

3.2.1 Large transient in 2001-2002

From early 1999 to late 2001, GPS measurements vaguely suggest three small aseismic transients with the north-component of displacement less than 2 mm, at least an order of magnitude smaller than that of the 1998 transient [Lowry, 2006]. Seismicity during the same period is relatively sparse, as shown in Figure 6.

The Guerrero region became seismically more active since late 2001, signifying the beginning of a large transient. Aseismic deformation is clearly visible on the time series of all permanent GPS stations then operating [Kostoglodov et

240 *al.*, 2003]. The reversed motion was first detected at stations ACAP, CAYA,
 241 IGUA and YAIG, near the border of the NW and SE seismic gaps, then
 242 about two months later, at a northwest station ZIHP and southeast stations
 243 PINO, OAXA (~ 120 km northeast of PINO, not shown in Figure 1). The
 244 temporal delay in the transient motion onsets at different stations suggests a
 245 bilateral propagation at a speed of about 6-9 km/day [*Kostoglodov et al.*, 2003],
 246 similar to the speed inferred for the northern Cascadia and southwest Japan
 247 short-term slow slip events. Anomalous surface deformation was observed from
 248 October 2001 to April 2002 over an area of more than $\sim 550 \times 250$ km²,
 249 resulting in an equivalent moment magnitude of ~ 7.5 .

250 A cluster of earthquakes relatively far inland from the trench coincided with
 251 the beginning of the transient. Two of them (“100801B” and “102901B” in
 252 Figure 5) are normal-faulting events with $M_w = 5.8$ and 5.0. While the GCMT
 253 and NEIC catalogs are ambiguous in the depths of the two extensional earth-
 254 quakes, a study reported in an abstract by *Pacheco et al.* [2002] suggests
 255 the October 8, 2001 Coyuca earthquake occurred at a shallow depth of 8
 256 km and is thus a crustal event. GCMT and NEIC report depths at 10 and
 257 15 km, respectively, and are suspected to be fixed depths in both catalogs.
 258 As shown in Figure 5(b), the GCMT location of “100801B” is shifted by
 259 30-40 km inland from its NEIC epicenter (blue dot). The Centennial cata-
 260 log (blue star) and records from the Guerrero Accelerograph Network sta-
 261 tions [<http://www.seismo.unr.edu/Guerrero/>] also suggest epicenters close to
 262 the NEIC location. The normal-faulting mechanism might be explained by
 263 the shallow extensional stresses left in the wake of indentation of the upper
 264 plate by the locally steepened section of the slab near 80 km from the trench.
 265 The possibility that event “100801B” might have been triggered by the tran-

266 sient is also mentioned by *Kostoglodov et al.* [2003], although their discussion
 267 would seem to require an offshore nucleation of the transient slip. Neverthe-
 268 less, the epicenters of the two October earthquakes are approximately along
 269 the same trench-normal line inland from stations CAYA, ACAP, where the
 270 transient episode started. This spatial-temporal correlation provides evidence
 271 that stressing from the nearby seismicity may have triggered the transient or
 272 had a common origin with it. The seismic rates became higher during the
 273 transient; the October 8 Coyuca earthquake produced a large number of af-
 274 tershocks (> 300) that lasted ~ 6 months, overlapping the duration of the
 275 transient [*Kostoglodov et al.*, 2003]. We also note that toward the end of the
 276 transient, in middle April 2002, several thrust-faulting earthquakes occurred
 277 close to the trench, more than 100 km west of stations CAYA, ACAP. This
 278 is also consistent with the bilateral propagation of the slow slip event. The
 279 largest magnitude of the thrust events is $M_w = 6.7$ (“041802B” in Figure 5).
 280 Although, GCMT and NEIC horizontal locations have a ~ 30 km discrepancy
 281 in the trench-normal distance, the along-strike locations are relatively well
 282 resolved, thus wouldn’t affect the consistency with the slow slip propagation
 283 direction.

284 3.3 2003-2006

285 Figure 7(a) is the map view of the seismicity from GCMT and NEIC catalogs
 286 between 2003 and 2006. Figure 7(b) shows the earthquakes between 2005 and
 287 2006 within the dashed-line box projected to the vertical cross-section along
 288 AB.

289 3.3.1 Two possible small transients in 2003 and 2004

290 *Lowry* [2006] also inferred a small aseismic transient from late 2002 to early
291 2003, as marked on Figure 6 and continued on Figure 8. The deformation
292 signal is most prominent at coastal stations ZIHP and CAYA, which are in
293 the epicentral area of many small earthquakes during that period, suggesting
294 a casual relation between the seismicity and transient. We cannot rule out the
295 possibility that it is an aftermath of the large transient in 2001-2002, as most
296 of the seismicity in late 2002 and early 2003 are close the the trench and many
297 have thrust-faulting focal mechanisms.

298 An even smaller transient was inferred to have occurred in early 2004 [*Lowry*,
299 2006], as marked in Figure 8. We do not discuss that in detail, due to the little
300 constraint on deformation. Similarly, seismicity rate was high during and after
301 this possible transient, with a majority of earthquakes close to the trench.

302 3.3.2 Large transient in 2006

303 The most recently detected large transient in the Guerrero region started
304 around March 2006, and the GPS signal began to return to the normal trend
305 at some stations in late September while extending into December at others
306 [*Larson et al.*, 2007]. The size of this transient is comparable to that in 2001-
307 2002; the total horizontal displacement at CAYA is about 6 cm. The reversed
308 motion was continuously observed at all permanent GPS stations, except ZIHP
309 where only a net displacement before and after the transient is obtained due
310 to technical problems. *Larson et al.* [2007] modeled the deformation with four
311 patches of rectangular fault planes, and found the east-component of the slip
312 anomaly can be divided into two stages. In the first stage, from February to

313 June 2006, stations (CAYA, COYU, ACAP, ACYA and CPDP) near the coast
314 experienced a faster eastward movement. That was followed, in the second
315 stage, by a westward motion from May to the end of this transient episode.
316 On the contrary, inland stations (MEZC, IGUA and YAIG) moved faster
317 toward the west in the first stage and continued to move westward but with
318 gradually decreasing slip rates.

319 The 2006 large transient was preceded by a cluster of earthquakes far in-
320 land on the NW border of Guerrero, in the same epicentral area of the ex-
321 tensional earthquakes in 1996 and 1998. The earliest among the cluster of
322 GCMT events was a $M_w = 4.9$, normal-faulting earthquake on December 14,
323 2005 (“121405A” in Figure 7, colored blue), about two months before the
324 transient. On February 20, 2006, shortly before the transient signal could be
325 detected by GPS, a $M_w = 5.2$ event (“022006A”, colored green) occurred at
326 roughly 150 km (NEIC) inland from the trench. One month later, another
327 normal-faulting event with a similar magnitude was reported in GCMT with
328 its centroid location slightly NW out of the dashed-line box (“032006A”).
329 More earthquakes with large distances to the trench were observed during the
330 transient; the largest with a $M_w = 6.0$ on August 11, 2006 (“081106A”, col-
331 ored orange). All of the three normal-faulting earthquakes in February, March
332 and August 2006 are located at depths ~ 60 km or deeper thus in the sub-
333 ducting slab. The GCMT and NEIC horizontal locations agree relatively well
334 with each other, except for event “022006A”. Toward the end of the transient,
335 the seismicity cluster seemed to migrate closer to the trench. Although all
336 events are too small to have GCMT solutions, their locations suggest a shal-
337 low thrust focal mechanism. We also plot in Figure 7 a recent $M_w = 5.9$ thrust
338 earthquake on April 13, 2007 (“041307A”), which lies southeast from the ex-

339 tensional earthquakes cluster before and during the transient, and is much
340 closer to the trench. Although the GCMT solution suggests the thrust fault
341 plane activated during event “041307A” inclines either toward the ocean or
342 toward the continent at a steeper angle than the subducting slab, the spatial
343 evolution of seismicity cluster is consistent with a northwest toward southeast
344 aseismic slip migration implied from the transient duration offsets at different
345 GPS stations [*Larson et al.*, 2007].

346 4 Conclusion and discussion

347 Recent observations of aseismic deformation transients and sometimes associ-
348 ated deep non-volcanic tremors in the circum-Pacific subduction zones pose
349 significant questions as to their origin, and also relative to existing concepts of
350 interseismic loading of the locked seismogenic regions. Stressing in the up-dip
351 seismogenic zone is increased episodically due to down-dip transient slips, and
352 it can be made more prone to failure in a large thrust earthquake. Thus, it
353 is important for seismic hazard assessment to search and identify patterns of
354 spatiotemporal seismicity variation associated with transients. We rejuvenate
355 the suggestions made by *Dmowska et al.* [1988], which could not be linked to
356 a convincing mechanism at that time, on possible communication between ex-
357 tensional seismicity clusters down-dip in the slab, and later thrust clusters in
358 the shallow seismogenic zone, along the Middle American Trench off Mexico.
359 The pattern seems to continue in the recent seismicity along other region of
360 MAT (Guerrero) that we have studied here.

361 We searched the GCMT and NEIC catalogs for earthquakes in a twelve-year
362 period (1995-2006) in the area affected by the aseismic transients in Guer-

363 rero, Mexico. The seismicity variation patterns are identified to be spatial-
364 temporally associated with the transients observed by the Guerrero GPS net-
365 work since 1996. Three large transients in 1998, 2001-2002 and 2006 are all
366 correlated with high seismic rates in the studied area. In particular, we found
367 that the initiation of the transients occurs in association with a cluster of
368 extensional earthquakes relatively far inland from the trench, in the subduct-
369 ing slab or the overlying crust, and may be followed by a cluster of shal-
370 low earthquakes close to the trench, among which many have thrust-faulting
371 mechanisms. In some cases, such as the transient in 2001-2002, both types
372 of activity are found bracketing the transient period. The beginning of the
373 2006 transient coincided with two normal-faulting earthquakes in February
374 and March, 2006, near the northwest border of Guerrero. Toward the end of
375 the transient, the NEIC catalog shows the seismicity cluster moved closer to
376 the trench, implying hypocenters up-dip in the seismogenic zone.

377 The assembled evidence suggests that aseismic deformation transients may
378 serve as a mechanism of stress communication between distant regions, e.g.,
379 down-dip and up-dip, in subduction zones. The Guerrero transients seem to be
380 initiated by earthquakes far inland from the trench, in subducting slab or the
381 continental crust, or to have a common cause of that activity. They transfer
382 stresses to the locked shallow part in a manner which sometimes results in
383 thrust earthquakes there. That conjecture has been taken into account in the
384 numerical modeling of subduction earthquakes and aseismic transients using
385 the rate and state-dependent friction [*Liu and Rice, 2007*]. When a moderate,
386 step-like stress perturbation, e.g., from a nearby earthquake, is applied to the
387 thrust interface, sequential aseismic transients can be resulted, and the timing
388 of the next large thrust earthquake is affected by three factors, namely, when,

389 where and how large is the stress perturbation.

390 The discovery of aseismic deformation transients is an important development
391 in our knowledge of the seismic cycle along major plate boundaries. It poses
392 significant puzzles and changes the way we should think about the loading
393 of seismogenic zones. Such transients contribute episodic steps in loading to
394 the thrust interface. Their improved understanding seems likely, based on
395 observations for the MAT and on theory, to increase the predictability of
396 earthquakes.

397 **5 Acknowledgments**

398 This work was supported at Harvard by NSF-EAR award 0510196 and USGS
399 grants 06HQGR0047 and 07HQGR0057, and at the University of Colorado
400 by NSF-EAR award 0609646. We thank Karen Felzer for discussion on the
401 catalog completeness magnitude, Renata Dmowska and Meredith Nettles for
402 comments on various aspects of the study, Vladimir Kostoglodov and Jose
403 Antonio Santiago (UNAM) for support of the GPS network in Guerrero, and
404 Göran Ekström and Roland Bürgmann for comments which helped to improve
405 the original presentation.

406 **References**

- 407 Aki, K., Maximum likelihood estimate of b in the formula $\log N = a - bM$
408 and its confidence limits (1965), *Bull. Earthquake Res. Inst.*, 43, 237-239.
409 DeMets, C., R. G. Gordon, D. F. Argus, and S. Stein (1994), Effect of recent

410 revisions to the geomagnetic reversal time scale on estimates of current plate
 411 motions, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *21*(20), 2191-2194.

412 Dragert, H., K. Wang, and T. S. James (2001), A silent slip event on the
 413 deeper Cascadia subduction interface, *Science*, *292*(5521), 1525-1528.

414 Dmowska, R., J. R. Rice, L. C. Lovison, and D. Josell (1988), Stress transfer
 415 and seismic phenomena in coupled subduction zones during the earthquake
 416 cycle, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *93*(B7), 7869-7884.

417 Douglas, A., J. Beavan, L. Wallace, and J. Townend (2005), Slow slip on the
 418 northern Hikurangi subduction interface, New Zealand, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*,
 419 *32*(L16305), doi:10.1029/2005GL023607.

420 Engdahl, E. R., R. van der Hilst, and R. Buland (1998), Global teleseismic
 421 earthquake relocation with improved travel times and procedures for depth
 422 determination, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, *88*, 722-743.

423 Engdahl, E. R., and A. Villaseñor (2002), Global seismicity: 1900-1999, in
 424 W. H. K. Lee, H. Kanamori, P. C. Jennings, and C. Kisslinger (editors),
 425 International Handbook of Earthquake and Engineering Seismology, Part
 426 A, Chapter 41, pp. 665-690, Academic Press.

427 Hirose, H., K. Hirahara, F. Kimata, N. Fujii, and S. Miyazaki (1999), A slow
 428 thrust slip event following the two 1996 Hyuganada earthquakes beneath the
 429 Bungo Channel, southwest Japan, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *26*(21), 3237-3240.

430 Hirose, H., and K. Obara (2005), Repeating short- and long-term slow slip
 431 events with deep tremor activity around the Bungo channel region, south-
 432 west Japan, *Earth Planets Space*, *57*(10), 961-972.

433 Kao, H., S.-J. Shan, H. Dragert, G. Rogers, J. F. Cassidy, and K. Ramachan-
 434 dran (2005), A wide depth distribution of seismic tremors along the northern
 435 Cascadia margin, *Nature*, *436*(7052), 841-844.

436 Kostoglodov, V., W. Bandy, J. Dominguez, and M. Mena (1996), Gravity

437 and seismicity over the Guerrero seismic gap, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *23*(23),
438 3385-3388.

439 Kostoglodov, V., S. K. Singh, J. A. Santiago, K. M. Larson, A. R. Lowry, and
440 R. Bilham (2003), A large silent earthquake in the Guerrero seismic gap,
441 Mexico, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *30*(15), 1807, doi:10.1029/2003GL017219.

442 Larson, K. M., A. R. Lowry, V. Kostoglodov, W. Hutton, O. Sánchez, K. Hud-
443 nut, and G. Suárez (2004), Crustal deformation measurements in Guerrero,
444 Mexico, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *109*(B04409), doi:10.1029/2003JB002843.

445 Larson, K. M., V. Kostoglodov, S. Miyazaki, and J. A. Santiago (2007), The
446 2006 aseismic slow slip event in Guerrero, Mexico: New results from GPS,
447 *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *34*, L13309, doi:10.1029/2007GL029912.

448 Liu, Y., and J. R. Rice (2005), Aseismic slip transients emerge spontaneously
449 in three-dimensional rate and state modeling of subduction earthquake se-
450 quences, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *110*(B08307), doi:10.1029/2004JB003424.

451 Liu, Y., and J. R. Rice (2007), Spontaneous and triggered deformation tran-
452 sients in a subduction fault model, *J. Geophys. Res.*, in press.

453 Lowry, A. R., K. M. Larson, V. Kostoglodov, and R. Bilham (2001), Transient
454 fault slip in Guerrero, southern Mexico, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *28*(19), 3753-
455 3756.

456 Lowry, A. R. (2006), Resonant slow fault slip in subduction zones forced by
457 climatic load stress, *Nature*, *442*(7104), 802-805.

458 Manea, V. C., M. Manea, V. Kostoglodov, C. A. Currie, and G. Sewell (2004),
459 Thermal structure, coupling and metamorphism in the Mexican subduction
460 zone beneath Guerrero, *Geophys. J. Int.*, *158*(2), 775-784.

461 Mazzotti, S., and J. Adams (2004), Variability of near-term probability for
462 the next great earthquake on the Cascadia subduction zone, *Bull. Seismol.*

463 *Soc. Amer.*, 94(5), 1954-1959.

464 Meltzner, A. J., K. Sieh, R. Briggs, H.-W. Chiang, C.-C. Shen, and B. W.
465 Suwargadi (2007), Coseismic, postseismic, and interseismic deformation at
466 the boundary of the 2004 and 2005 megathrust ruptures: Insights from coral
467 microtolls, *Seism. Res. Lett.*, 78(2), 300.

468 McCausland, W., S. Malone, and D. Johnson (2005), Temporal and spatial
469 occurrence of deep non-volcanic tremor: From Washington to northern Cal-
470 ifornia, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32(L24311), doi:10.1029/2005GL024349.

471 Murray, J. R., and P. Segall (2005), Spatiotemporal evolution of a transient
472 slip event on the San Andreas fault near Parkfield, California, *J. Geophys.*
473 *Res.*, 110(B09407), doi:10.1029/2005JB003651.

474 Natawidjaja, D. H., K. Sieh, S. N. Ward, H. Cheng, R. L. Edwards, J. Galetzka,
475 and B. W. Suwargadi (2004), Paleogeodetic records of seismic and aseismic
476 subduction from central Sumatran microatolls, Indonesia, *J. Geophys. Res.*,
477 109(B04306), doi:10.1029/2003JB002398.

478 Natawidjaja, D., K. Sieh, J. Galetzka, B. Suwargadi, H. Cheng, and R. L.
479 Edwards (2007), Interseismic deformation above the Sunda megathrust
480 recorded in coral microatolls of the Mentawai islands, West Sumatra, *J.*
481 *Geophys. Res.*, 112(B02404), doi:10.1029/2006JB004450.

482 Ohta, Y., J. T. Freymueller, S. Hreinsdottir, and H. Suito (2006), A large slow
483 slip event and the depth of the seismogenic zone in the south central Alaska
484 suduction zone, *Earth Planet Sci. Lett.*, 247(1-2), 108-116.

485 Ortiz, M., S. K. Singh, V. Kostoglodov, and J. Pacheco (2000), Source areas
486 of the Acapulco-San Marcos, Mexico earthquakes of 1962 ($M_w = 7.1, 7.0$)
487 and 1957 ($M_w = 7.7$), as constrained by tsunami and uplift records, *Geofís.*
488 *Int.*, 39, 337-348.

489 Ozawa, S., M. Murakami, M. Kaidzu, T. Tada, T. Sagiya, Y. Hatanaka, H.

490 Yarai, and T. Nishimura (2002), Detection and monitoring of ongoing aseis-
491 mic slip in the Tokai region, central Japan, *Science*, *298*(5595), 1009-1012.

492 Ozawa, S., M. Murakami, M. Kaidzu, and Y. Hatanaka (2005), Transient
493 crustal deformation in Tokai region, central Japan, until May 2004, *Earth*.
494 *Planets. Space*, *57*(10), 909-915.

495 Pacheco, J. F., A. Iglesias, and S. K. Singh, The 8 October Coyuca, Guerrero,
496 Mexico earthquake (Mw 5.9): A normal fault in the expected compressional
497 environment (2002), *Seism. Res. Lett.*, *73*(2), 263.

498 Rogers, G., and H. Dragert (2003), Episodic tremor and slip on the Cascadia
499 subduction zone: The chatter of silent slip, *Science*, *300*(5627), 1942-1943.

500 Segall, P., E. K. Desmarais, D. Shelly, A. Miklius, and P. Cervelli (2006),
501 Earthquakes triggered by silent slip events on Kilauea volcano, Hawaii, *Na-*
502 *ture*, *442*(7098), 71-74.

503 Schorlemmer, D., J. Woessner, and C. Bachmann (2006), Probabilistic esti-
504 mates of monitoring completeness of seismic networks, *100th Anniversary*
505 *Earthquake Conference*.

506 Shelly, D. R., G. C. Beroza, S. Ide, and S. Nakamura (2006), Low-frequency
507 earthquakes in Shikoku, Japan, and their relationship to episodic tremors
508 and slip, *Nature*, *442*(7099), 188-191.

509 Szeliga, W., T. I. Melbourne, M. M. Miller, and V. M. Santillan (2004), South-
510 ern Cascadia episodic slow earthquakes, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *31*(L16602),
511 doi:10.1029/2004GL020824.

512 Wallace, L. M., and J. Beavan (2006), A large slow slip event on the central
513 Hikurangi subduction interface beneath the Manawatu region, North Island,
514 New Zealand, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *33*(L11301), doi:10.1029/2006GL026009.

515 Yoshida, A., K. Hosono, T. Tsukakoshi, A. Kobayashi, H. Takayama, and
516 S. Wiemer (2006), Change in seismic activity in the Tokai region related

517 to weakening and strengthening of the interplate coupling, *Tectonophysics*,
518 417, 17-31.

Fig. 1. Tectonic setting of the Guerrero, Mexico, region. Middle American Trench (MAT) defines the plate boundary between the Cocos and North American Plates. Bold arrows indicated the direction and magnitude of subduction, based on NUVEL1-A [DeMets *et al.*, 1994]. Black diamonds show the locations of permanent GPS stations. Dashed-line box is along the subduction direction and surrounds the region where most of the Guerrero permanent GPS stations are installed and mainly affected by aseismic transients, and thus the studied area in this paper.

Fig. 2. (a) Calculated b -value versus completeness magnitude M_c for all NEIC events, 1995-2006, depth less than 100 km, within the dashed-line box shown in Figure 1. 98% error bars are shown on b . As M_c increases, the number of analyzed events decreases, and the errors on b becomes larger. b becomes statistically constant for $M_c \geq 4.5$. (b) Number of events: cumulative (circle) and within each 0.1-magnitude bin (cross), for the same data set. Decrease of seismic activity for magnitude lower than ~ 3.8 is assumed to be due to the incomplete report of the NEIC catalog. A completeness (cut-off) magnitude of $M_c = 4.5$ is used for subsequent analysis.

Fig. 3. (a) Map view of seismicity in the Guerrero region, 1995-1998. Beachballs show the centroid locations and focal mechanisms of GCMT events. Labels on top are in order “Month/Day/Year/Event of that day”. Gray dots show the epicenters of NEIC events larger than the completeness magnitude $M_c = 4.5$. Dot size is proportional to event magnitude. GCMT and NEIC epicenters sometimes deviate by tens of km. NEIC events within the dashed-line box are used in the seismicity analysis. For reference, black diamonds represent locations of permanent GPS stations. (b) Seismicity, 1997-1998, within the dashed-line box projected to a vertical cross-section along AB (red line). Subduction thrust interface is adopted from *Kostoglodov et al.* [1996; 2003] and *Manea et al.* [2004]. The blue dot and beachball with blue compressional quadrants are NEIC and GCMT locations of the extensional earthquake “042098B”, respectively. Blue star represents its position from the relocated Centennial catalog [*Engdahl et al.*, 1998; *Engdahl and Villaseñor*, 2002].

Fig. 4. (a) Spatial-temporal variation of NEIC events with magnitude greater than 4.2, within the dashed-line box, 1995-1998. Circle size is proportional to event magnitude. Blue and red circles are NEIC events that have GCMT solutions; blue: normal-faulting (N), red: thrust-faulting (T). Numbers in the parenthesis are moment magnitudes by GCMT. Only an average number is marked for a cluster of earthquakes, e.g., “T(~ 5.3)” for the five thrust-faulting earthquakes after the 1998 transient. Gray circles represent events below $M_c = 4.5$, but greater than 4.2. (b) Number of earthquakes in every 10 days, 1995-1998. Black bars show numbers of events greater than $M_c = 4.5$. Gray bars show numbers of events between 4.2 and 4.5. Two light gray strips approximately mark the durations of aseismic transients in, possibly, 1996, and 1998.

Fig. 5. (a) Map view of seismicity in Guerrero, 1999-2002. Extensional earthquakes “100801B” and “102901B” are colored in blue and green, respectively. Thrust event “041802B” is colored in orange. NEIC and Centennial locations of “100801B”, represented by blue dot and star, almost overlap. (b) Seismicity, 2001-2002, within the dashed-line box projected to a vertical cross-section along AB. Legends are the same as in Figure 3.

Fig. 6. (a) Epicentral distance from trench and (b) seismicity rate, 1999-2002. Symbol representations are the same as in Figure 4. NEIC events above the completeness magnitude $M_c = 4.5$ are shown here. “T (max 6.7)” represents the largest moment magnitude among the thrust-faulting earthquakes (red circles) following the 2001-2002 transient is 6.7. The transient marked from late 2002 continues on Figure 8.

Fig. 7. (a) Map view of seismicity in Guerrero, 2003-2006. Extensional earthquakes “121405A”, “022006A” and “081106A” are colored in blue, green and orange, respectively. A recent thrust earthquake “041307A” following the 2006 transient is also shown. (b) Seismicity, 2005-2006, within the dashed-line box projected to a vertical cross-section along AB. Legends are the same as in Figure 3.

Fig. 8. (a) Epicentral distance from trench and (b) seismicity rate, 2003-2006. NEIC events above 4.2 are shown here. Symbol representations are the same as in Figure 4. The transient marked for early 2003 is continued from late 2002, as shown in Figure 6.