Evidence of evolutionary selection for cotranslational folding

Citation

Jacobs, William M., and Eugene I. Shakhnovich. 2017. "Evidence of evolutionary selection for cotranslational folding." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 114 (43): 11434–11439. doi:10.1073/pnas.1705772114. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1705772114>.

Published Version

doi:10.1073/pnas.1705772114

Permanent link

<http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:34493374>

Terms of Use

This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at <http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA>

Share Your Story

The Harvard community has made this article openly available.
Please share how this access benefits you. [Submit a story](#).

[Accessibility](#)

Evidence of evolutionary selection for cotranslational folding

William M. Jacobs^a and Eugene I. Shakhnovich^{a,1}

^aDepartment of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138

Edited by Susan Marqusee, University of California, Berkeley, CA, and approved September 7, 2017 (received for review April 7, 2017)

Recent experiments and simulations have demonstrated that proteins can fold on the ribosome. However, the extent and generality of fitness effects resulting from cotranslational folding remain open questions. Here we report a genome-wide analysis that uncovers evidence of evolutionary selection for cotranslational folding. We describe a robust statistical approach to identify loci within genes that are both significantly enriched in slowly translated codons and evolutionarily conserved. Surprisingly, we find that domain boundaries can explain only a small fraction of these conserved loci. Instead, we propose that regions enriched in slowly translated codons are associated with cotranslational folding intermediates, which may be smaller than a single domain. We show that the intermediates predicted by a native-centric model of cotranslational folding account for the majority of these loci across more than 500 *Escherichia coli* proteins. By making a direct connection to protein folding, this analysis provides strong evidence that many synonymous substitutions have been selected to optimize translation rates at specific locations within genes. More generally, our results indicate that kinetics, and not just thermodynamics, can significantly alter the efficiency of self-assembly in a biological context.

cotranslational folding | synonymous codon usage | protein-folding intermediates | free-energy landscapes

Many proteins can begin folding to their native states before their synthesis is complete (1, 2). As much as one-third of a bacterial proteome is believed to fold cotranslationally (3), with an even higher percentage likely in more slowly translated eukaryotic proteomes. Numerous experiments on both natural and engineered amino acid sequences have shown that folding during synthesis can have profound effects: Compared with denatured and refolded chains, cotranslationally folded proteins may be less prone to misfolding (4–11), aggregation (12), and degradation (13), or they may preferentially adopt alternate stable structures (14–16). Because the timescales for protein synthesis and folding are often similar (17, 18), it is clear that the rate of translation can be used to tune the self-assembly of peptide chains in vivo (19, 20). To this point, however, there exists little evidence that evolution has selected specifically for efficient cotranslational folding kinetics across any substantial fraction of an organism's proteome.

In this work, we provide evidence that evolutionary selection has tuned protein-translation rates to optimize cotranslational folding pathways. Our approach is motivated by the hypothesis that pauses during protein synthesis may be beneficial for promoting the formation of native structure. By increasing the separation between the timescales for folding and translation, such pauses may promote the assembly of on-pathway intermediates, which, in turn, template the growth of further native structure. Many experimental and computational studies have shown that protein folding naturally proceeds in a stepwise manner via structurally distinct intermediates (21, 22) and that cooperative folding cannot commence until a minimal number of residues have emerged from the ribosome exit tunnel (23–26). These general findings suggest that any beneficial pauses during synthesis should occur at specific locations within an amino acid sequence.

Using a coarse-grained model of cotranslational folding, we find that translational pauses tend to be associated with stable, native-like cotranslational folding intermediates. The relevant folding intermediates are typically not complete structural domains, as has often been assumed (27), and may be distinct from intermediates that are observed when refolding from a denatured ensemble. By comparing putative translational pause sites with a neutral model that accounts for gene-specific codon use, we show that evolutionarily optimized cotranslational folding is a widespread feature of the *Escherichia coli* genome. Our results therefore highlight the extent to which evolution has tuned the self-assembly pathways, and not just the native structures, of complex biomolecules.

Results

Unbiased Identification of Slowly Translated Regions. Our analysis of beneficial pauses in protein synthesis relies on the identification of regions within mRNA transcripts that are enriched in “rare” codons (*SI Appendix, Table S1*), i.e., codons that are used substantially less often than alternate synonymous codons in highly expressed genes (28). Despite numerous attempts to predict codon-specific translation rates based on physical factors (29–32), such as tRNA concentrations, translation-speed estimates based on relative-use metrics (28, 33) remain among the most accurate (34–36). Thus, using codon rarity as a proxy for translation speed, we can look for pauses in synthesis by identifying regions in a mRNA transcript that are locally enriched in rare codons.

However, an appropriate neutral model must account for two potential sources of synonymous codon-use bias at the level of an individual gene. First, we controlled for the overall rare-codon

Significance

The mechanisms that affect protein production are crucially important but incompletely understood. In particular, the speed at which a polypeptide chain is synthesized can affect whether it folds to its native state. We propose that the optimal rate of translation depends on the sequence of stable conformations that are sampled by a nascent chain as it is being synthesized. Using optimal and rare synonymous codons as a proxy for translation speed, we find that transient pauses in synthesis are associated with specific intermediate conformations that appear during cotranslational folding and that this behavior is conserved across hundreds of genes and multiple prokaryotic genomes. These results provide striking evidence of evolutionary selection for efficient protein folding in vivo.

Author contributions: W.M.J. and E.I.S. designed research; W.M.J. performed research; W.M.J. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; W.M.J. and E.I.S. analyzed data; and W.M.J. and E.I.S. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

This is an open access article distributed under the [PNAS license](#).

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: shakhnovich@chemistry.harvard.edu.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1705772114/-DCSupplemental.

use in a gene, which is defined as the fraction of rare codons in the entire transcript (*SI Appendix, Fig. S1*). Multiple factors have been hypothesized to contribute to the overall degree of codon adaptation of each gene, including evolutionary selection for rapid synthesis, accurate translation, and the stability of mRNA transcripts (37). By taking a gene's average codon use into account, we instead pick out regions that are locally enriched in rare codons relative to the gene-specific background. Second, we accounted for synonymous-codon bias due to the amino acid composition of the protein sequence. Assuming that amino acid sequences are under stronger selection pressure and can thus be considered immutable, we estimated the average rare-codon frequencies for each amino acid type among all genes with a similar level of rare-codon use. Having controlled for the overall rare-codon use and the amino acid sequence, we modeled neutral codon use as a Bernoulli process with sequence-dependent rare-codon probabilities (*SI Appendix, section S14*).

Evaluation of Evolutionary Conservation. Next, we assessed the functional importance of local rare-codon enrichment by looking for conservation of rare-codon use across multiple-sequence alignments (Fig. 1). We extended the neutral model described above to 18 sufficiently diverged prokaryotic genomes, with rare-codon definitions and gene-specific rare-codon probabilities computed for each genome independently. Here our approach differs from conventional conservation analyses, because we are interested in the enrichment of rare codons within contiguous 15-codon segments of a transcript, as opposed to the codon use at each aligned site (38, 39). By examining conservation of rare-codon enrichment, we can identify local regions that do not align precisely but nevertheless result in translational pauses at similar places within the protein sequence. This approach also allows for a meaningful comparison of the local rare-codon enrichment in sequence alignments that contain insertions and deletions. Our choice of a 15-codon enrichment region is comparable to choosing the length of a typical element of protein secondary structure, and we verified that regions with widths of 10 and 20 codons yield similar results. In contrast, larger enrichment regions defined on the basis of complete domains rarely differ significantly from the background rare-codon use, while analyses of single aligned sites tend not to produce statistically significant results.

To be relevant for cotranslational folding, putative slowly translated regions must meet two criteria: a high degree of conservation of slowly translated codons and a low probability of such an occurrence in the neutral model. For a region to be considered both enriched and conserved, we required that the local concentration of rare codons deviate from the background

distribution by approximately 1 SD in at least 75% of the sequences in the alignment; *SI Appendix, Fig. S2* shows that our results are robust with respect to this conservation threshold. We then computed an associated *P* value that reports the probability, within the neutral model, of randomly generating at least the observed number of enriched regions from reverse translations, i.e., by sampling synonymous sequences using the aligned amino acid sequences and a probabilistic model of the codon use for each amino acid type (*SI Appendix, section S14*). This second criterion is central to our findings, as we discuss below. We emphasize that these criteria are distinct: Depending on the amino acid identities, it is possible to observe low *P* values without significant rare-codon enrichment relative to the background, and vice versa. Consequently, both criteria must be satisfied to constitute evidence for evolutionary selection.

Our analysis reveals numerous rare-codon enrichment loci in the *E. coli* genome that are inconsistent with the neutral model and are thus likely to be a result of evolutionary selection (*SI Appendix, Fig. S3A*). Although these regions occur throughout the mRNA transcripts, their locations are biased toward both the 5' and 3' ends (*SI Appendix, Fig. S3B*). While these trends have been noted previously (40), our analysis confirms that the increased probability of rare-codon enrichment at the 3' end is evolutionarily conserved and is not a consequence of the amino acid sequences. Furthermore, we find that these biases become more pronounced as we lower the *P*-value threshold used for comparison with the neutral model (*SI Appendix, Fig. S3B*), suggesting that any false positives from our analysis are relatively evenly distributed throughout the transcripts. We also analyzed the codon-level similarity among the genomes in our alignments and verified that these results reflect conservation of rarity as opposed to conservation of specific codons (*SI Appendix, Fig. S4*).

Comparison with Predicted Cotranslational Folding Pathways. To probe the potential consequences of local rare-codon enrichment for protein folding, we next examined the formation of native-like intermediates during protein synthesis. A large body of simulation evidence (41) has shown that intermediates must be stable at equilibrium to be sampled with high probability during cotranslational folding and are likely to form only when the folding rate is fast relative to the protein elongation rate. Therefore, while an intermediate's equilibrium free energy does not completely determine whether it will appear on a cotranslational folding pathway, we assume that stability at equilibrium is necessary for a pause in translation to promote the development of native structure.

Fig. 1. An example multiple-sequence alignment identifies conserved rare-codon enrichment within the gene *folP*. (Upper) A histogram shows the number of sequences that have a given local concentration of rare codons at each position in the alignment. The local concentrations of rare codons are determined within 15-codon regions. Based on the average occurrence of rare codons in *folP*, local rare-codon concentrations of at least 3/15 are considered to be enriched and are colored red in the histogram, while 15-codon regions with fewer than 3 rare codons are not enriched and are shown in blue. (Lower) The fraction of sequences that are enriched at each position in the alignment (red) is shown along with the corresponding neutral-model *P* value (black), as explained in the main text. Conserved regions, where at least 75% of the sequences are enriched, are highlighted.

Fig. 2. Predicted cotranslational folding intermediates correspond to highly conserved regions of rare-codon enrichment. (Upper) The fraction of enriched sequences and corresponding P values for the gene *cmk* are shown as in Fig. 1. (Lower) The minimum free energy, relative to the unfolded ensemble, of a nascent chain of length L is shown by the solid blue line; the stability of the native full-length protein is $F_N/k_B T$. Native-like intermediates become stable where this minimum free-energy curve decreases sharply. The lowest P value for enriched sequences (highlighted region) is $\sim \Delta L = 30$ codons downstream of the first predicted folding intermediate.

Here we applied a coarse-grained model (22) to predict the formation of stable partial structures during nascent-chain elongation. Importantly, this model captures the tertiary structure of nascent chains and does not assume that domains fold cooperatively or independently. To model cotranslational folding, a nascent chain of length L is allowed to form native contacts among the first L residues of the full protein. We then computed the minimum free energy of a nascent chain, relative to an unfolded ensemble, using a mean-field theory based on the protein's native structure (*SI Appendix, section S1B*). This approach captures the opposing contributions to the free energy from energetically favorable native contacts and the configurational entropy of an unfolded chain. We used a native-centric energy function that emphasizes hydrogen bonds and contacts between larger residues (22), while the thermodynamic stability of the native state is fixed based on the full protein length (42). We show in *SI Appendix, Fig. S5* that tuning the native-state stability does not significantly affect the results of our analysis.

Our calculations predict that, in general, native structure forms discontinuously during nascent-chain elongation. In the example shown in Fig. 2, Lower, decreases in the nascent-chain free energy occur at distinct chain lengths. These sudden drops correspond to the appearance of stable intermediates with native-like tertiary structure. In contrast, at chain lengths corresponding to the intervening plateaus, the nascent-chain free energy remains constant because the newly synthesized residues cannot form sufficient stabilizing contacts with any existing tertiary structure. Unsurprisingly, the probability of finding a stable on-pathway intermediate increases as synthesis nears completion (*SI Appendix, Fig. S6*).

We are now in a position to test the relationship between translational pausing and the formation of native-like intermediates. The ribosome exit tunnel is widely believed to conceal between 30 aa and 40 aa (35, 43), although a greater number may be accommodated in partially helical conformations (44). In addition, some tertiary structure formation may commence within the exit-tunnel vestibule (45). A beneficial pause in synthesis should therefore be separated from a cotranslational intermediate by a distance that is roughly equivalent to the exit-tunnel length (*Materials and Methods*). An example of this correspondence is shown in Fig. 2, where a putative translational pause is located ~ 30 residues downstream of the formation of a predicted intermediate. However, we emphasize that, according to the present hypothesis, the formation of an intermediate is necessary but not sufficient to expect that a translational pause would be beneficial. For example, intermediates that fold quickly relative to the average translation rate or appear less than the

exit-tunnel distance from the end of the protein are unlikely to be accompanied by a productive pause.

Conserved, Enriched Regions Associate with Predicted Cotranslational Folding Intermediates. By applying this analysis to a set of ~ 500 *E. coli* proteins with known native structures, we find widespread support for our cotranslational folding hypothesis. In particular, we find that the cotranslational folding intermediates predicted by our coarse-grained model account for a significant proportion ($\gtrsim 50\%$) of the putative slowly translated regions (Fig. 3). Most importantly, we find that the fraction of rare-codon-enriched regions that can be explained by our model increases consistently as we reduce the P -value threshold for establishing evolutionary conservation. In other words, the predictive power of our model improves as false positives related to the random clustering of rare codons are preferentially eliminated. This trend is also robust with respect to variations in the precise definition of codon rarity (*SI Appendix, Fig. S7*).

We further tested the sensitivity of our cotranslational folding predictions by repeating the above analysis with randomized control sequences, which preserve the total number of pause sites at each P -value threshold but uniformly distribute their locations across the transcripts (Fig. 3 and *Materials and Methods*). Although a significant fraction ($\sim 35\%$) of the fictitious pause sites in the randomized sequences can also be explained by our model, likely due to chance overlaps with predicted intermediates, the difference between the genomic and randomized data increases markedly at lower P -value thresholds (one-sided $P < 10^{-7}$ at neutral-model P -value thresholds below 0.01; *SI Appendix, Fig. S8A*). Two alternative controls (*SI Appendix, Fig. S9*), in which the randomized pause sites are drawn from a nonuniform distribution with a 3'-end bias or obtained directly from reverse translations, verify that our results are not solely a consequence of the 3'-end rare-codon bias in the mRNA transcripts or the amino acid sequences of the proteins.

Next, we performed inverse tests to assess whether cotranslational folding intermediates are preferentially associated with putative translational pauses. However, because the formation of an intermediate is not in itself a sufficient condition for a translational pause to be beneficial, we find that the overall frequency of such associations is small relative to the number of predicted intermediates (*SI Appendix, Fig. S10*). We therefore computed the odds ratio of finding conserved, rare-codon-enriched regions just downstream of a predicted intermediate, as opposed to elsewhere in a mRNA transcript. The results shown in Fig. 4 confirm that the association between folding intermediates and translational pause sites is highly significant (one-sided $P < 10^{-7}$ at

Fig. 3. (Upper) The fraction of conserved, rare-codon-enriched regions that follow a predicted cotranslational folding intermediate increases as false positives are systematically eliminated. In contrast, folding intermediates precede a consistently smaller fraction of the uniformly distributed enriched regions in randomized sequences. (Lower) Analyzing domain boundaries instead of folding intermediates similarly exhibits no dependence on the P -value threshold and accounts for a much lower percentage of the observed rare-codon enrichment loci. The error bars on the control distributions indicate the SD of 100 randomizations, while the error bars on the genomic data are estimated from binomial distributions at each P -value threshold.

neutral-model P -value thresholds below 0.01; *SI Appendix, Fig. S8B*) and, importantly, is not related to the overall frequency of predicted cotranslational intermediates. Here again, the predictive power of our model shows a strong dependence on the P -value threshold used for screening putative pause sites. In contrast, tests with randomized control sequences do not deviate from an odds ratio of unity.

We also applied our analysis to structural domain boundaries, which have previously been suggested to play a role in coordinating cotranslational folding (46). Nevertheless, in agreement with more recent works (27), we find little evidence of selection for translational pausing at domain boundaries. For these comparisons, we used domain definitions for approximately 800 *E. coli* proteins from the Structural Classification of Proteins (SCOP) database (47). Fig. 3 shows that domain boundaries explain a much smaller fraction ($\lesssim 12\%$) of the putative pause sites than our folding model. Furthermore, the predictive power of the domain-boundary hypothesis does not vary with the P -value threshold, and the odds ratios are nearly indistinguishable from the randomized controls (Fig. 4). These conclusions also hold for various related hypotheses: Instead of assuming that a domain

must be completely synthesized before folding, we tested models where native structure begins to form either at a fixed number of residues before the domain boundary or at a fixed percentage of the domain length (*SI Appendix, Fig. S11*). In all cases, the correspondence between the domain boundaries and the conserved, rare-codon-enriched loci is significantly weaker than the results of our cotranslational folding model. While these findings do not imply that domain boundaries are irrelevant for cotranslational folding, we can conclude that the domain-boundary hypothesis is insufficient to explain the vast majority of conserved, slowly translated regions.

Discussion

By integrating a multiple-sequence analysis of synonymous codon conservation with protein-folding theory, we have shown that highly conserved rare-codon clusters preferentially associate with predicted cotranslational folding intermediates. The putative pause sites in the *E. coli* genome that are both evolutionarily conserved and unaccounted for by the neutral model systematically appear downstream of predicted cotranslational folding intermediates at distances that are similar to the length of the ribosome exit tunnel. Our large-scale study therefore supports

Fig. 4. Conserved regions of rare-codon enrichment are more likely to appear between 20 and 60 codons downstream of a cotranslational folding intermediate than elsewhere in a mRNA transcript. (Upper) The odds ratio of finding an enriched region downstream of a predicted intermediate (presence) or downstream of no predicted intermediate (absence). Unlike the comparisons with randomized control sequences, both ratios deviate significantly from unity and depend on the P -value threshold used. (Lower) Domain boundaries do not exhibit statistically significant associations with conserved pause sites. Error bars are defined as in Fig. 3.

the hypothesis that beneficial pauses during protein synthesis follow key steps in the assembly of native structure. Comparisons with randomized control sequences confirm that our observations are highly significant.

This analysis of cotranslational folding pathways, as opposed to elements of the static native structure, provides insights into the interplay between translation and the self-assembly kinetics of nascent proteins. The stabilization of a partial structure often occurs well before a native domain is completely synthesized, especially in cases where the domain comprises more than 200 residues. In particular, subdomain cotranslational folding intermediates typically appear when sufficient tertiary contacts are available to compensate for the loss of chain entropy that is required for folding. Overall, a relatively small fraction ($\leq 15\%$) of all predicted intermediates are followed by conserved translational pauses (*SI Appendix, Fig. S10*), but the association between folding intermediates and conserved pauses is highly significant (Fig. 4). This observation is consistent with our hypothesis, since the effect of a pause depends on the relative timescales for translation and folding, as well as potential interference due to non-native interactions. In addition, this observation explains why pause sites are not preferentially associated with domain boundaries: Although fully synthesized domains may be stable on the ribosome, the prior formation of a partial-chain intermediate is likely to affect the subsequent folding rates for other parts of the protein. As a result, the entire cotranslational folding pathway must be considered when interpreting the effect of a pause in translation. We anticipate that an optimal translation protocol (48) could be predicted with knowledge of the substructure-specific folding and translation rates, as well as their propensities for forming nonnative interactions, including interactions with the surface of the ribosome (49). In addition, an optimal translation protocol is likely to be affected by the presence of misfolded intermediates, which may be avoided by increasing the local translation rate (50, 51).

The approach that we have taken in this work improves upon earlier studies of rare-codon use, which have addressed alternative hypotheses regarding translational pausing but yielded mixed results (35, 38, 52–56). In addition to our distinct focus on cotranslational folding pathways, our conclusions are more robust due to our use of a multiple-sequence analysis to detect evolutionary conservation, as well as our formulation of a neutral model that controls for both amino acid composition and the inherent codon-use variability across genes. The statistical significance of our results is further increased by the much larger sample size used here.

While this paper was under review, we became aware of a contemporaneous study (57) that identifies conserved rare-codon clusters via a complementary statistical analysis. The authors also observe extensive rare-codon conservation across mRNA transcripts and similarly find no evidence of enrichment near domain boundaries.

Synonymous substitutions can also affect protein synthesis through mechanisms that are unrelated to protein folding, most notably via changes to mRNA secondary structure and stability (37). However, many experimental studies have shown that these effects originate predominantly from substitutions near the 5' end of the mRNA transcripts and typically modulate the total protein production as opposed to the protein quality (58, 59). Such mRNA-specific effects are thus a likely explanation for

the observed 5'-end bias in rare-codon enrichment, where variations in translation speed are unlikely to play a role in cotranslational folding. Consequently, we have excluded N-terminal rare codons from our analysis. In addition to rare-codon use, various studies have proposed that additional factors, such as interactions between the nascent chain and the ribosome exit tunnel (35) or the presence of internal Shine–Dalgarno motifs (30), can affect translation rates. It is likely that a more complete picture of sequence-dependent translation kinetics will enable further refinements to the cotranslational folding model presented here.

In conclusion, our study highlights the importance of optimal kinetic pathways for efficient biomolecular self-assembly. Although a protein's amino acid sequence entirely determines its thermodynamically stable structure, it is becoming increasingly clear that synonymous mutations are not always silent. Our analysis provides strong evidence that evolutionary selection has tuned local translation rates to improve the efficiency of cotranslational protein folding. Further work is needed to understand the relationship between genome-wide codon use and translation rates and to improve the prediction of cotranslational folding intermediates, including those that contain significant amounts of nonnative structure. Nevertheless, our results indicate that folding kinetics play a role in evolutionary selection and suggest that similar relationships may exist for other biological self-assembly phenomena, such as the assembly of macromolecular complexes.

Materials and Methods

We constructed alignments based on the amino acid sequences of homologous genes from 18 prokaryotic species with between 50% and 85% average amino acid sequence identity to *E. coli* (*SI Appendix, Table S2*). We then computed *P* values associated with rare-codon-enriched regions, assuming biased reverse translations and a gene-specific model for the probability of each amino acid type being encoded by a rare codon. Consensus crystal structures were constructed for 511 nonmembrane *E. coli* proteins with 500 residues or fewer, using Protein Data Bank (60) entries containing complete structures for sequences with at least 95% amino acid identity to the *E. coli* gene. SCOP domain assignments were obtained from ref. 47 for all proteins with at most 500 residues. Due to the uncertainty in the number of amino acids that are concealed in the ribosome exit tunnel and the potential for steric interactions between folding intermediates and the ribosome, we consider a rare-codon-enriched region to be associated with a folding intermediate if the enriched region is anywhere between 20 and 60 codons downstream from the position at which an intermediate first becomes stable, ignoring enriched regions within the first 80 codons of a transcript. An intermediate is identified whenever the monotonic cotranslational free-energy profile decreases by more than 1 *k_BT* relative to the previous free-energy plateau; for example, see the pattern of alternating plateaus and precipitous free-energy decreases in Fig. 2, *Lower*. To generate the randomized control sequences from which the control distributions in Figs. 3 and 4 were calculated, we sampled locations for fictitious rare-codon-enriched regions from a uniform distribution over each mRNA transcript, excluding the first 80 codons. This uniform distribution was normalized such that the expected number of fictitious enriched regions is equal to the total number of observed enriched regions at each *P*-value threshold. See *SI Appendix, section S1* for complete details of all methodologies. Essential data are provided in *Dataset S1*. All code necessary to reproduce these results is available at <https://faculty.chemistry.harvard.edu/shakhnovich/software>.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank Sanchari Bhattacharyya and Michael Manhart for many insightful discussions. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grants R01GM124044 and F32GM116231.

- Komar AA (2009) A pause for thought along the co-translational folding pathway. *Trends Biochem Sci* 34:16–24.
- Pechmann S, Willmund F, Frydman J (2013) The ribosome as a hub for protein quality control. *Mol Cell* 49:411–421.
- Ciryam P, Morimoto RI, Vendruscolo M, Dobson CM, O'Brien EP (2013) In vivo translation rates can substantially delay the cotranslational folding of the Escherichia coli cytosolic proteome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 110:E132–E140.

- Netzer WJ, Hartl FU (1997) Recombination of protein domains facilitated by cotranslational folding in eukaryotes. *Nature* 388:343–349.
- Frydman J, Erdjument-Bromage H, Tempst P, Hartl FU (1999) Co-translational domain folding as the structural basis for the rapid de novo folding of firefly luciferase. *Nat Struct Biol* 6:697–705.
- Komar AA, Lesnik T, Reiss C (1999) Synonymous codon substitutions affect ribosome traffic and protein folding during in vitro translation. *FEBS Lett* 462:387–391.

7. Kim SJ, et al. (2015) Protein folding. Translational tuning optimizes nascent protein folding in cells. *Science* 348:444–448.
8. Siller E, DeZwaan DC, Anderson JF, Freeman BC, Barral JM (2010) Slowing bacterial translation speed enhances eukaryotic protein folding efficiency. *J Mol Biol* 396:1310–1318.
9. Ugrinov KG, Clark PL (2010) Cotranslational folding increases GFP folding yield. *Biophys J* 98:1312–1320.
10. Agashe D, Martinez-Gomez NC, Drummond DA, Marx CJ (2013) Good codons, bad transcript: Large reductions in gene expression and fitness arising from synonymous mutations in a key enzyme. *Mol Biol Evo* 30:549–560.
11. Clark PL, King J (2001) A newly synthesized, ribosome-bound polypeptide chain adopts conformations dissimilar from early in vitro refolding intermediates. *J Biol Chem* 276:25411–25420.
12. Evans MS, Sander IM, Clark PL (2008) Cotranslational folding promotes β -helix formation and avoids aggregation in vivo. *J Mol Biol* 383:683–692.
13. Zhang G, Hubalewska M, Ignatova Z (2009) Transient ribosomal attenuation coordinates protein synthesis and co-translational folding. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 16:274–280.
14. Sander IM, Chaney JL, Clark PL (2014) Expanding Anfinsen's principle: Contributions of synonymous codon selection to rational protein design. *J Am Chem Soc* 136:858–861.
15. Buhr F, et al. (2016) Synonymous codons direct cotranslational folding toward different protein conformations. *Mol Cell* 61:341–351.
16. Zhou M, et al. (2013) Non-optimal codon usage affects expression, structure and function of clock protein FRQ. *Nature* 495:111–115.
17. O'Brien EP, Ciryam P, Vendruscolo M, Dobson CM (2014) Understanding the influence of codon translation rates on cotranslational protein folding. *Acc Chem Res* 47:1536–1544.
18. Nissley DA, et al. (2016) Accurate prediction of cellular co-translational folding indicates proteins can switch from post- to co-translational folding. *Nat Commun* 7:10341.
19. Xu Y, et al. (2013) Non-optimal codon usage is a mechanism to achieve circadian clock conditionality. *Nature* 495:116–120.
20. Kimchi-Sarfaty C, et al. (2007) A "silent" polymorphism in the MDR1 gene changes substrate specificity. *Science* 315:525–528.
21. Hartl FU, Hayer-Hartl M (2009) Converging concepts of protein folding in vitro and in vivo. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 16:574–581.
22. Jacobs WM, Shakhnovich EI (2016) Structure-based prediction of protein-folding transition paths. *Biophys J* 111:925–936.
23. Eichmann C, Preissler S, Riek R, Deuerling E (2010) Cotranslational structure acquisition of nascent polypeptides monitored by NMR spectroscopy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 107:9111–9116.
24. Holtkamp W, et al. (2015) Cotranslational protein folding on the ribosome monitored in real time. *Science* 350:1104–1107.
25. Elcock AH (2006) Molecular simulations of cotranslational protein folding: Fragment stabilities, folding cooperativity, and trapping in the ribosome. *PLoS Comp Biol* 2:e98.
26. O'Brien EP, Vendruscolo M, Dobson CM (2012) Prediction of variable translation rate effects on cotranslational protein folding. *Nat Commun* 3:868.
27. Jacobson GN, Clark PL (2016) Quality over quantity: Optimizing co-translational protein folding with non-'optimal' synonymous codons. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 38:102–110.
28. Sharp PM, Li WH (1987) The codon adaptation index—a measure of directional synonymous codon usage bias, and its potential applications. *Nucleic Acids Res* 15:1281–1295.
29. Dana A, Tuller T (2014) The effect of tRNA levels on decoding times of mRNA codons. *Nucleic Acids Res* 42:9171–9181.
30. Li GW, Oh E, Weissman JS (2012) The anti-Shine-Dalgarno sequence drives translational pausing and codon choice in bacteria. *Nature* 484:538–541.
31. Pelechano V, Wei W, Steinmetz LM (2015) Widespread co-translational RNA decay reveals ribosome dynamics. *Cell* 161:1400–1412.
32. Weinberg DE, et al. (2016) Improved ribosome-footprint and mRNA measurements provide insights into dynamics and regulation of yeast translation. *Cell Rep* 14:1787–1799.
33. Clarke TF 4th, Clark PL (2008) Rare codons cluster. *PLoS One* 3:e3412.
34. Yu CH, et al. (2015) Codon usage influences the local rate of translation elongation to regulate co-translational protein folding. *Mol Cell* 59:744–754.
35. Chaney JL, Clark PL (2015) Roles for synonymous codon usage in protein biogenesis. *Annu Rev Biophys* 44:143–166.
36. Spencer PS, Siller E, Anderson JF, Barral JM (2012) Silent substitutions predictably alter translation elongation rates and protein folding efficiencies. *J Mol Biol* 422:328–335.
37. Plotkin JB, Kudla G (2011) Synonymous but not the same: The causes and consequences of codon bias. *Nat Rev Genet* 12:32–42.
38. Pechmann S, Frydman J (2013) Evolutionary conservation of codon optimality reveals hidden signatures of cotranslational folding. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 20:237–243.
39. Widmann M, Clairo M, Dippon J, Pleiss J (2008) Analysis of the distribution of functionally relevant rare codons. *BMC Genomics* 9:207.
40. Clarke TF 4th, Clark PL (2010) Increased incidence of rare codon clusters at 5' and 3' gene termini: Implications for function. *BMC Genomics* 11:118.
41. Trovato F, O'Brien EP (2016) Insights into cotranslational nascent protein behavior from computer simulations. *Annu Rev Biophys* 45:345–369.
42. Ghosh K, Dill KA (2009) Computing protein stabilities from their chain lengths. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 106:10649–10654.
43. Gloge F, Becker AH, Kramer G, Bukau B (2014) Co-translational mechanisms of protein maturation. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 24:24–33.
44. Lu J, Deutsch C (2005) Folding zones inside the ribosomal exit tunnel. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 12:1123–1129.
45. Nilsson OB, et al. (2015) Cotranslational protein folding inside the ribosome exit tunnel. *Cell Rep* 12:1533–1540.
46. Purvis IJ, et al. (1987) The efficiency of folding of some proteins is increased by controlled rates of translation in vivo: A hypothesis. *J Mol Biol* 193:413–417.
47. Murzin AG, Brenner SE, Hubbard T, Chothia C (1995) SCOP: A structural classification of proteins database for the investigation of sequences and structures. *J Mol Biol* 247:536–540.
48. Sharma AK, Bukau B, O'Brien EP (2016) Physical origins of codon positions that strongly influence cotranslational folding: A framework for controlling nascent-protein folding. *J Am Chem Soc* 138:1180–1195.
49. Nilsson OB, et al. (2017) Cotranslational folding of spectrin domains via partially structured states. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 24:221–225.
50. O'Brien EP, Vendruscolo M, Dobson CM (2014) Kinetic modelling indicates that fast-translating codons can coordinate cotranslational protein folding by avoiding misfolded intermediates. *Nat Commun* 5:2988.
51. Trovato F, O'Brien EP (2017) Fast protein translation can promote co- and post-translational folding of misfolding-prone proteins. *Biophys J* 112:1807–1819.
52. Thanaraj TA, Argos P (1996) Ribosome-mediated translational pause and protein domain organization. *Protein Sci* 5:1594–1612.
53. Lee Y, Zhou T, Tartaglia GG, Vendruscolo M, Wilke CO (2010) Translationally optimal codons associate with aggregation-prone sites in proteins. *Proteomics* 10:4163–4171.
54. Chartier M, Gaudreault F, Najmanovich R (2012) Large-scale analysis of conserved rare codon clusters suggests an involvement in co-translational molecular recognition events. *Bioinformatics* 28:1438–1445.
55. Brunak S, Engelbrecht J (1996) Protein structure and the sequential structure of mRNA: α -Helix and β -sheet signals at the nucleotide level. *Protein Struct Funct Genet* 25:237–252.
56. Zhou T, Weems M, Wilke CO (2009) Translationally optimal codons associate with structurally sensitive sites in proteins. *Mol Biol Evol* 26:1571–1580.
57. Chaney JL, et al. (2017) Widespread position-specific conservation of synonymous rare codons within coding sequences. *PLoS Comput Biol* 13:e1005531.
58. Kudla G, Murray AW, Tollervey D, Plotkin JB (2009) Coding-sequence determinants of gene expression in *Escherichia coli*. *Science* 324:255–258.
59. Goodman DB, Church GM, Kosuri S (2013) Causes and effects of N-terminal codon bias in bacterial genes. *Science* 342:475–479.
60. Berman HM, et al. (2000) The Protein Data Bank. *Nucleic Acids Res* 28:235–242.