The Spanish version of Face-Name Associative Memory Exam (S-FNAME) performance is related to amyloid burden in Subjective Cognitive Decline

Citation

Sanabria, A., M. Alegret, O. Rodriguez-Gomez, S. Valero, O. Sotolongo-Grau, G. Monté-Rubio, C. Abdelnour, et al. 2018. "The Spanish version of Face-Name Associative Memory Exam (S-FNAME) performance is related to amyloid burden in Subjective Cognitive Decline." Scientific Reports 8 (1): 3828. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-21644-y. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-21644-y>.

Published Version

doi:10.1038/s41598-018-21644-y

Permanent link

<http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:35981919>

Terms of Use

This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at <http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA>

Share Your Story

The Harvard community has made this article openly available.
Please share how this access benefits you. [Submit a story](#).

[Accessibility](#)

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

The Spanish version of Face-Name Associative Memory Exam (S-FNAME) performance is related to amyloid burden in Subjective Cognitive Decline

Angela Sanabria¹, Montserrat Alegret¹, Octavio Rodriguez-Gomez¹, Sergi Valero², Oscar Sotolongo-Grau¹, Gemma Monté-Rubio¹, Carla Abdelnour¹, Ana Espinosa¹, Gemma Ortega¹, Alba Perez-Cordon¹, Anna Gailhajanet¹, Isabel Hernandez¹, Maitee Rosende-Roca¹, Liliana Vargas¹, Ana Mauleon¹, Domingo Sanchez¹, Elvira Martin¹, Dorene M. Rentz³, Francisco Lomeña⁴, Agustin Ruiz¹, Lluís Tarraga¹, Merce Boada¹ & The FACEHBI study group*

The Face-Name Associative Memory Exam (FNAME) is a paired associative memory test created to detect memory deficits in individuals with preclinical Alzheimer's disease (AD). Worse performance on FNAME in cognitively healthy individuals were found related to higher amyloid beta (A β) burden measured with Positron-Emission-Tomography using ¹¹C-PiB (PiB-PET). We previously reported normative data of a Spanish version of FNAME (S-FNAME) in cognitively healthy Spanish-speaking subjects. The aim of the present study was to determine whether performance on S-FNAME was associated with A β burden in subjective cognitive decline (SCD) individuals. 200 SCD subjects received neurological and neuropsychological assessments, including the S-FNAME and the Word List task from the Wechsler-Memory-Scale-III (WMS-III). Moreover, they received an MRI and (18)F-Florbetaben Positron-Emission-Tomography (FBB-PET) to measure A β burden. Three cognitive factor composites were derived for the episodic memory measures (face-name [SFN-N], face-occupation [SFN-O] and WMS-III) to determine whether episodic memory performance was related to A β deposition. Higher global A β deposition was significantly related to worse performance on SFN-N but not with SFN-O or WMS-III Composite. Moreover, worse SFN-N performance was significantly related to higher A β deposition in bilateral Posterior Cingulate Cortex. The S-FNAME may be a promising neuropsychological tool for detecting SCD individuals with preclinical AD.

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a major health problem with an estimated 50 million people worldwide living with dementia^{1,2} with 33% of clinically normal older individuals having the underlying pathology of AD. With the advent of AD prevention trials, there is a growing interest in developing new diagnostic tools to detect the first symptoms of AD that may precede mild cognitive impairment (MCI).

Subjective cognitive decline (SCD) has been proposed as a risk factor for progression to AD dementia^{3,4}. It refers to the perception of memory or other cognitive problems without impairment on standardized cognitive tests. Longitudinal studies have demonstrated that cognitively healthy individuals with SCD have a

¹Alzheimer Research Center and Memory Clinic of Fundació ACE, Institut Català de Neurociències Aplicades, Barcelona, Spain. ²Psychiatry Department, Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, CIBERSAM, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain. ³Center for Alzheimer Research and Treatment, Departments of Neurology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA. ⁴Servei de Medicina Nuclear, Hospital Clínic i Provincial, Barcelona, Spain. *A comprehensive list of consortium members appears at the end of the paper. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.A. (email: malegret@fundacioace.com)

	Mean	SD	Range	
Age	65.81	7.28	51	86
Education (in years)	14.87	4.68	6	28
Vocabulary WAIS-III IQ	44.00	7.36	27	61
MMSE	29.22	0.94	27	30
Global SUVR	1.22	0.15	0.95	1.98
Frontal SUVR	1.23	0.16	0.95	2.05
PPCLP SUVR	1.22	0.15	0.97	1.93

Table 1. General characteristics of the participants. Mean age of the 200 subjects, typical deviation and range. WAIS-III IQ: Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale, Third edition, Intelligence Quotient; MMSE: Mini-Mental State Examination; SUVR: Standard uptake value ratio; PPCLP: Precuneus Posterior Cingulate and Lateral Parietal cortices.

greater incidence of progression to AD dementia than those who do not perceive cognitive problems^{5–8}. Other cross-sectional studies have demonstrated an association between SCD and AD biomarkers in clinically normal older individuals^{9–12}.

Preclinical AD, by definition, is the identification of those clinically normal individuals with AD pathological burden. However, preclinical AD cannot be diagnosed with current standard neuropsychological tools and its diagnosis relies on the positivity of the different biomarkers of AD¹³. For this reason, there is an increasing interest in developing neuropsychological tools capable of identifying the subtle cognitive deficits present in the preclinical stage of AD¹⁴. The Face-Name Associative Memory Exam (FNAME), developed by Rentz and colleagues¹⁵, is a highly demanding face-name associative memory exam, but easy to administer and found useful for detecting evidence of amyloid- β (A β) burden related memory impairment in cognitively healthy individuals. The authors reported that lower performance on the FNAME were related to increased A β deposition in frontal, precuneus, posterior cingulate and lateral parietal cortex. We recently developed and validated the Spanish version of FNAME (S-FNAME) in 110 cognitively healthy subjects¹⁶ and demonstrated that subjects with MCI performed worse on this complex episodic memory test than cognitively healthy subjects¹⁷. The main aim of the present study was to determine whether performance on S-FNAME in 200 SCD subjects was associated with A β burden measured with (18) F-Florbetaben Positron Emission Tomography (FBB-PET).

Results

Subject Characteristics. The mean age of the 200 participants was 65.81 years (range: 51–86 years). The 38% of subjects ($n = 75$) were men and 62% ($n = 125$) women. Men had a statistically significant higher level of education than women ($t = 3.57$, $p < 0.001$), but they did not differ on age ($t = 0.46$, $p = 0.644$). Demographic and clinical characteristics of the sample are detailed in Table 1.

Memory Composite factor scores. We conducted a Principal Component Analysis of all the variables of the S-FNAME and the Word List Learning test from the Wechsler Memory Scale, Third Edition (WMS-III)¹⁸, and found that the most consistent factorial solution was constituted by a 3-factor solution, that explained 84.75% of the total variance. Factor 1 contributed to 58.22% of the total variance, grouped the S-FNAME Name scores (SFN-N Composite). Factor 2 contributed to 15.14% of the variance and was associated with the S-FNAME Occupation scores (SFN-O Composite). Factor 3 contributed to 11.39% of the variance and was associated with the Word List Learning test from the WMS-III (WMS-III Composite). The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin value of 0.85 and Bartlett's test of Sphericity ($p < 0.001$) supported the factorability of the correlation matrix. The component correlation matrix revealed that the SFN-N was significantly correlated with the WMS-III ($r = 0.57$, $p < 0.001$) and the SFN-O ($r = 0.53$, $p < 0.001$). Factorial loadings for each subject in each memory factor composite were obtained and then correlated with A β deposition. Table 2 shows the distribution and factorial weights of the neuropsychological variables in the three-factor-solution.

Relationship between Amyloid deposition and Memory Performance. The Global A β score was highly correlated with A β deposition in Frontal ($r = 0.98$, $p < 0.001$) and Posterior Cingulate and Lateral Parietal (PPCLP) ($r = 0.97$, $p < 0.001$) cortices, thus the Global A β deposition score was used to explore correlations between amyloid burden and the Memory Composite factor scores. In exploring these relationships, we adjusted for age and Vocabulary of WAIS-III IQ. As detailed in Table 3, the results of multiple regression analyses showed that Global A β deposition was significantly negatively correlated with performance on SFN-N Composite ($\beta = -0.22$, $p = 0.013$) (see Fig. 1), but not significantly correlated with the SFN-O ($\beta = -0.04$, $p = 0.565$) or the WMS-III Composite ($\beta = 0.01$, $p = 0.950$). We then examined, using a Random Decision Forest analysis, whether the SFN-N was sensitive to region-specific amyloid load and found that the only statistically significant association was in the bilateral posterior cingulate cortex (PCC), where amyloid load negatively correlated with performance on this composite ($\beta = -0.20$, $p = 0.01$).

The logistic regression analysis, adjusted for age and Vocabulary of WAIS-III IQ, showed that the global amyloid load (positive vs negative) was significantly related to SFN-N Composite (Wald = 5.75, OR = 3.13, $p = 0.016$), but it was not significantly related to SFN-O or WMS-III Composites. Lower scores in SFN-N Composite were associated with a higher probability to be endorsed in the positive amyloid load group. A t-test comparison

Pattern Coefficients				Structure Coefficients			
Tests	Component			Tests	Component		
	1	2	3		1	2	3
S-CRN30	0.974	0.017	0.011	S-CRN	0.975	−0.537	0.476
S-CRN	0.968	0.006	0.022	S-CRN30	0.969	−0.526	0.464
S-ILN	0.945	−0.026	−0.012	S-ILN	0.954	−0.545	0.445
S-CRO	−0.024	−0.985	−0.002	S-CRO	0.520	−0.971	0.363
S-CRO30	0.007	−0.974	−0.020	S-CRO30	0.535	−0.969	0.354
S-ILO	−0.005	−0.960	0.027	S-ILO	0.538	−0.967	0.391
Recognition Memory WMS-III	−0.104	0.060	0.851	Delayed recall WMS-III	0.510	−0.440	0.853
Delayed recall WMS-III	0.093	−0.093	0.773	Recognition Memory WMS-III	0.265	−0.207	0.779
Verbal Learning WMS-III	0.211	−0.080	0.633	Verbal Learning WMS-III	0.555	−0.438	0.764

Table 2. Pattern and structure matrix for Principal Component Analysis with oblim rotation of 3-factor solution from which regression weighted factor scores were derived for each subject. S-CRN30: S-FNAME cued recall of names at 30 minutes; S-CRN: S-FNAME cued recall of names; S-ILN: S-FNAME initial learning of names; S-CRO30: S-FNAME cued recall of occupations at 30 minutes; S-CRO: S-FNAME cued recall of occupations; S-ILO: S-FNAME initial learning of occupations; WMS-III: Wechsler Memory Scale, version III.

	Factor 1		Factor 2		Factor 3	
	S-FNAME Name (SFN-N) Composite		S-FNAME Occupation (SFN-O) Composite		Word List WMS-III (WMS-III) Composite	
ROI	β	p	β	p	β	p
Global	−0.22	0.013*	−0.04	0.565	0.01	0.950
Frontal	−0.22	0.012*	−0.07	0.413	0.01	0.958
PPCLP	−0.21	0.02*	−0.02	0.764	−0.01	0.891

Table 3. Multiple Regression analyses of the memory factor composite scores and FBB-PET SUVR in Global cortex, corrected for age and Vocabulary WAIS-III IQ. S-FNAME: Spanish version of Face-Name Associative Memory Exam; ROI: Regions-of-interest; β : unstandardized partial regression coefficient. PPCLP: Precuneus, Posterior Cingulate and Lateral Parietal cortices * $p < 0.05$.

Figure 1. Plotting Global Amyloid against SFN-N and Global FBB-PET SUVR.

showed that the global amyloid load positive group performed significantly worse than the global amyloid load negative group on the SFN-N Composite ($t = 2.93$, $p = 0.004$) (see Fig. 2), but no statistically significant differences were found between groups on SFN-O and WMS-III Composites.

Figure 2. Logistic Regression revealed a significant relationship between FBB-PET positive classification and lower performance on the SFN-N Composite. A t-test comparison showed that the FBB-PET positive group performed significantly worse than the FBB-PET negative group on the SFN-N Composite.

To explore whether specific subtest components of the SFN-N Composite were related to A β amyloid deposition, we analyzed all the S-FNAME subtests of the SFN-N Composite with the Global Amyloid Deposition score. Statistically significant correlations were found after Bonferroni correction (* $p < 0.016$) (S-ILN: $\beta = -0.16$; $p = 0.027$; S-CRN: $\beta = -0.19$; $p = 0.008^*$ and S-CRN30: $\beta = -0.19$; $p = 0.009^*$). While neither the SFN-O nor the WMS-III Composite were significantly correlated with A β deposition, we examined the remaining S-FNAME subtests (from SFN-O Composite) and the Word List Learning test from the WMS-III scores with the Global Amyloid Deposition score. No statistically significant correlations were found between the Global Amyloid Deposition score and performance on any of the subtests of S-FNAME Occupation (S-ILO: $\beta = -0.06$; $p = 0.417$; S-CRO30: $\beta = -0.04$; $p = 0.540$; S-CRO: $\beta = -0.06$; $p = 0.412$) or the Word List Learning test from the WMS-III (verbal learning: $\beta = -0.11$; $p = 0.247$; long-term memory: $\beta = 0.01$; $p = 0.970$; recognition: $\beta = 0.01$; $p = 0.940$).

Discussion

The results of the present study support that S-FNAME performance, a face-name associative memory test, is related to higher A β deposition in healthy adults with SCD and that the SFN-N may be the most sensitive subtest at detecting A β burden.

More specifically, the main finding of this study was that lower performance on the SFN-N (face-name associative memory variable scores, including initial learning, and immediate and delayed recall) were related to higher global A β deposition. Moreover, amyloid-positive subjects performed worse on SFN-N than those who were amyloid-negative. This is consistent with results reported by Rentz and coworkers (2011) in an English speaking population with the original FNAME test and using Pittsburgh compound B-positron emission tomography (PiB-PET)¹¹.

We used the Spanish version of FNAME (the S-FNAME) to determine whether it was also associated with A β burden in subjects with SCD as demonstrated with its original version (the FNAME)¹⁵. In accordance with previous results^{16,17}, the cognitive outcomes most closely related to the face-name associative memory scores of S-FNAME were episodic memory-sensitive NBACE variables (learning, long-term memory and recognition task of the Word List Learning test from WMS-III). These findings reinforce the results obtained in our previous studies using the WMS-III¹⁶ and with the original validation of FNAME, whose scores were highly correlated with those measured by another recognized episodic memory test, the 6-Trial Selective Reminding Test (SRT)¹⁹. It reinforces that S-FNAME is a complex associative memory test sensitive to episodic memory.

Concerning the neuroimaging, in contrast to previous studies^{15,20}, we used the FBB-PET imaging and found that global, frontal and posterior A β deposition values were highly correlated. For this reason, a global A β deposition score was used for the analyses. Moreover, both amyloid PiB-PET and FBB-PET A β imaging have been demonstrated to have narrow dynamic ranges and similar effect sizes for distinguishing AD from healthy elderly subjects, with a very high correlation between PiB-PET and FBB-PET global A β deposition values. The FBB-PET can reliably detect A β deposition in the brain without the limitation of the short decay half-life of the radiotracer used in PiB-PET²¹.

In the exploratory Random Forest analysis to explore whether the SFN-N was sensitive to region-specific amyloid load, we found that worse performance on SFN-N were related to higher amyloid burden in bilateral PCC in cognitively healthy subjects with SCD. The Random Forest analysis has allowed identifying the most discriminant specific regional amyloid load. The results of the present study are consistent with the correlation between verbal episodic memory and bilateral PCC metabolism reported previously in subjects with memory complaint²². These results may be of special relevance, since the PCC is tightly connected with many other brain regions and it is a central nucleus of the default mode network²³ and a regulator of cognitive activities²⁴. Interestingly, this region

has been highly associated with a decrease of gray matter volume in preclinical AD patients^{25,26}, showing that subtle brain structural changes occur at the onset of the disease.

Recently, several studies have found a significant relationship between biomarkers and memory tests in cognitively healthy subjects^{8,20,27–29}. In addition to Rentz and coworkers study (2011), our findings are also consistent with other studies reporting that lower performance on episodic memory, such as the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test²² or the 6-Trial SRT³⁰, are related to greatest amyloid PiB uptake^{12,28}. In our study, except for SFN-N, no relationship was found between SFN-O (face-occupation associative memory variable scores) or the WMS-III (including learning, long-term memory and recognition memory) and global A β deposition. This finding is probably due to the fact that SFN-N task is more sensitive than SFN-O and WMS-III. That is, retrieving proper names is more difficult than occupations or common names, possibly because names do not provide semantic information about a subject, whereas other types of words, such as occupations, may be classified according to their semantic categorization and easily associated with different features of their meaning^{10,31,32}. Similarly, the association of the face to a name is considered a variable of greater effort in comparison with other memory domains^{33,34}. Recent studies support that changes in semantic processing are affected in preclinical AD, mainly involving some aspects of verbal fluency, compared to lexical retrieval-based demands⁸. These findings are consistent with other previous studies^{35–37} describing participants with MCI who routinely show decrements in semantic processing and, in particular, higher declines in category than letter fluency.

In contrast to classical episodic memory tests, such as the 6-Trial SRT and the Word List from the WMS-III, the S-FNAME has been shown to be useful in detecting memory impairment related to amyloid burden in those cognitively healthy subjects with SCD. That is, the S-FNAME may be a useful tool for detecting the first subtle cognitive deficits associated with amyloid burden in preclinical subjects, prior to MCI. Our findings are consistent with those obtained by Rentz and colleagues on the original version of the FNAME and the classical memory test SRT in cognitively healthy individuals.

This study has limitations. First, this is a cross-sectional study, so the results reflect the changes observed in one time point, but should be confirmed in longitudinal follow-up in order to investigate the evolution of these performances and its relationship with increased risk of developing AD dementia. Despite this, the prediction of amyloid positivity, regardless of its prognostic implications, can be considered useful in itself. The new generation of clinical trials targeting preclinical AD is requiring expensive positive amyloid imaging as inclusion criteria, which has led to higher rates of screen failures. Inexpensive and innocuous tools capable of predicting amyloid positivity could be useful for identifying those individuals who should go on to receive amyloid imaging. Finally, our sample size was small, given that we found only 18 subjects who were amyloid positive in a cohort of 200 individuals. Further longitudinal analysis will be needed to determine whether lower SFN-N scores in SCD subjects are related to PCC amyloid burden and an increased risk for future decline and AD dementia.

In conclusion, the results of the present study suggest that S-FNAME may be a useful tool for the detection of AD in its preclinical stage. We found worse performance on SFN-N related to higher levels of cerebral A β deposition. Thus, the S-FNAME is a sensitive episodic memory test that shows promise in being able to detect amyloid burden in Spanish speaking subjects without cognitive impairment.

Methods

Subjects. All participants were from the Fundació ACE Healthy Brain Initiative (FACEHBI) project³⁸ which consists of 200 individuals diagnosed with SCD in the Memory Clinic of Fundació ACE, *Institut Català de Neurociències Aplicades* (Barcelona, Spain)³⁹.

All subjects met the following inclusion criteria: they were older than 49 years; literate with at least elementary school (that is, at least 6 years of formal education); with subjective cognitive complaints defined by a score of ≥ 8 on the Spanish Modified Questionnaire of Memory Failures in Everyday (MFE-30)⁴⁰; with a preserved performance (≥ 27 scores) on the Spanish version of the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE)^{41,42} a strictly normal performance in a comprehensive neuropsychological battery of Fundació ACE (NBACE). This battery has its own normative data for Spanish individuals older than 49 years old⁴³ and also, cut-off scores⁴⁴ and includes measures of attention, orientation, information processing speed, verbal learning and memory, language, visuospatial perception, praxis, and visuospatial and executive functions^{43,44}; a Clinical Dementia Rating Score (CDR)⁴⁵ of 0; without relevant depressive/anxiety symptoms (score < 11) on the Spanish version of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression (HAD) Scale^{46,47} or other psychiatric illness; and without severe auditory or visual abnormalities that could affect performance on neuropsychological tests. Exclusion criteria were: evidence of impairment in activities of daily living, presence of psychiatric diagnosis, history of alcoholism or epilepsy, renal or liver failure, and presence of severe auditory or visual abnormalities, including glaucoma and cataracts.

All participants underwent a complete neurological and neuropsychological examination, a set of self-administered questionnaires and a battery of multimodal biomarkers, including the FBB-PET³⁸.

Neuropsychological assessment. As detailed elsewhere³⁸, in the FACEHBI project, subjects were administered an extensive neuropsychological protocol which included the NBACE^{43,44} and some additional neuropsychological tests and questionnaires. For the purpose of the present study, all participants were administered the S-FNAME, the classical episodic memory Word List Learning test from the WMS-III and the Vocabulary subtest of the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale Third Edition (WAIS-III)¹⁸ to measure Intelligence Quotient (IQ). As detailed elsewhere¹⁶ the test begins with an exposure to all 16 faces (face study phase). Subjects were shown 4 faces to a page, 1 face in each quadrant. They were asked to look at each face for a total of 2 seconds until they had seen all 16 faces. To control the time, the examiner used his/her finger to point to each one of the 16 faces for 2 seconds. The subject had to read the name below and try to learn each face-name pair. The same procedure was repeated with the 16 face-occupation pairs.

In the *Initial study of face–name pairs (SFN–N)*, subjects were then presented the same 16 faces with names underneath and were asked to study the name that goes with the face. Subjects were given only one exposure to learn all 16 SFN–N pairs. In the *Initial cued recall of face–name pairs*, the subjects were then shown the face and were asked to recall the name that goes with the face. The correct number of SFN–N pairs was recorded as an initial learning score for names (S-ILN). In the *Initial study of face–occupation pairs (SFN–O)*, subjects were shown the same faces, but this time with occupations underneath. The SFN–O pairs were presented in the same manner as the SFN–N pairs until all 16 SFN–O pairs were studied. *Initial cued recall of face–occupation pairs*: Subjects were again shown the face and were asked to recall the occupation that goes with the face. Correct recall of SFN–O pairs was tabulated as initial learning of occupations (S-ILO). In the *Immediate cued recall*, subjects were shown the face and were asked to recall the name (S-CRN) and occupation (S-CRO) that was associated with the face. In the *30-minute delayed cued recall*, subjects were again presented the face and were asked to recall the name (S-CRN30) and occupation (S-CRO30) associated with the face. Score for each S-FNAME subscale (S-ILN, S-ILO, S-CRN, S-CRO, S-CRN30 and S-CRO30) ranged from 0 to 16, subtotal scores for names (SFN–N = S-ILN + S-CRN + S-CRN30) and occupations (SFN–O = S-ILO + S-CRO + S-CRO30) were out of 48, and total score for S-FNAME (S-ILN + S-ILO + S-CRN + S-CRO + S-CRN30 + S-CRO30) was out of 96. Taking into account that S-CRN30 and S-CRO30 subtests might be administered 30 minutes after the initial subtests, the S-FNAME takes between 35 and 40 minutes.

Development of Composite Factor Scores. All variables of the S-FNAME and the Word List Learning test from the WMS-III¹⁸ were analyzed in a Principal Component Analysis to obtain composite factor scores of episodic memory.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis of the clinical variables was performed using SPSS (version 20.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). All data were examined for normality, skew, and restriction of range. T-tests were used to compare age and education between men and women. Pearson's correlation analyses were carried out to explore the relationship between all Word List Learning test from the WMS-III and S-FNAME subtests and total scores; between Standard Uptake Value Ratio (SUVR) of Frontal, PPCLP and Global cortices; and between the 3 episodic memory composites and the Global SUVR cortex data.

As mentioned above, to determine whether the episodic memory variables of S-FNAME and Word List Learning test from the WMS-III were grouped in face-name, face-occupation and a classical memory test components, similar to Rentz *et al.*¹⁵ study, a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was carried out. The variables introduced in the analysis were the following: S-CRN30, S-CRN, S-ILN, S-CRO, S-CRO30, S-ILO and WMS-III variables (verbal learning [first + second + third + fourth trial scores], delayed recall [free recall of words] and recognition [cued recall of words]).

To determine whether the SFN–N was sensitive to region-specific amyloid load, a total of 19 bilateral cerebral regions were included in the analysis. According to the exploratory aim of the present analysis, and in order to avoid multicollinearity between predictors and/or overfitting of the resulting model, it was needed to select the most relevant predictors in order to be later analyzed in a standard multiple regression model. Random Decision Forest analysis was implemented⁴⁸. Random forest is an ensemble learning strategy usually implemented to identify the most discriminant variables. This approach combines two random principles: Resampling at random the subjects of the sample, and selecting sets of predictors, again at random, in each execution. These random strategies, convergent in a single analysis, are executed hundreds or thousands of times, providing thus hundreds or thousands of trees. These trees are later combined between them obtaining a more consistent and reproductively result. One interesting characteristic of the technique in an exploratory approach, as in the present study, is its capacity to provide a ranking of the best predictors included in each single model, counting the times that each predictor was located at the first node, the most discriminant one, among all the obtained trees. This strategy provides a high discriminative result, comparable to a classical Tree Decision technique, but avoiding overfitting. In this study, 10000 trees were executed including the 19 predictors and taking the three composites, in separate analyses, as variables to be discriminated. Gain ratio rule was applied as a splitting criterion⁴⁹. The R Analytics Platform v3⁵⁰ was used to execute Random Forests. There are no standard criteria to decide how many predictors have to be selected between the most discriminant one to be analyzed later in a standard inferential statistical procedure. In this study, the first 5 predictors were selected for each composite. These predictors were later analyzed together in a multivariate lineal regression, using a stepwise selection procedure to identify the final significant variables. A test for multicollinearity was executed in each regression analysis.

To compare performance on S-FNAME between subjects with A β positivity and A β negativity (that is, FBB-PET positive and FBB-PET negative groups), t-test analyses were carried out. Multiple regression analyses were performed relating Global cortical A β deposition as a continuous variable (Global SUVR) and memory performance using the 3-factor scores (SFN–N, SFN–O and WMS-III Composites), corrected for age and Vocabulary WAIS-III IQ score. Moreover, to describe the ability to discriminate between both groups, sensitivity and specificity values were calculated for the 3-factor scores using Logistic Regression analyses. Corrections for multiple comparisons were done using Bonferroni correction. Significance was defined at the $p < 0.05$ level and all hypotheses were tested directionally at a 95% confidence level.

FBB-PET neuroimaging processing. FBB-PET images were acquired for all subjects of the study in a 90 days window after the baseline visit. The MRI T1-3D of $1 \times 1 \times 1$ mm voxel size was acquired with a 1.5 T Siemens[®] Magnetom Aera. FBB-PET scans were acquired in a Siemens[®] Biograph molecular-CT machine. Four FBB-PET scans of 5 minutes were acquired after 90 minutes post injection of 300 Mbq of Florbetaben (18F) radio tracer (NeuraCeq[®]). FBB-PET scans were processed with FSL 5.0 suite. The FBB-PET images were coregistered onto structural MR images. Prior to the alignment between PET and MRI data, MRI segmentation was carried

out with Freesurfer 5.3, identifying regions from the Desikan-Killiany Atlas⁵¹. SUVR was determined as the mean value of the cortical regions segmented on MRI and normalized by the cerebellum. The SUVR were calculated in aggregate cortical regions-of-interest (ROIs) for the frontal association cortex constituted by inferior (opercularis, triangularis, orbitalis), superior and middle gyri aside from the supplementary motor area; the precuneus, posterior cingulate and lateral parietal cortices (PPCLP); and Global cortex. Besides aggregates, the SUVR from segmented structures were also explored as targets for the current study. A cut-off of SUVR = 1.45 was selected as amyloid positive criterion, that is, to classify subjects in FBB-PET positive and FBB-PET negative groups⁵².

Ethical standards. Prior to the evaluation a written informed consent was obtained from all participants. The FACEHBI protocol received approval from the ethics committee of the Hospital Clinic i Provincial (Barcelona, Spain) (EUdraCT number 2014-000798-38). The referral center ethics committee approved the patient recruitment and collection protocols were in accordance with ethical standards according to WMA Declaration of Helsinki-Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects.

References

1. Blennow, K., Leon, M. J. de & Zetterberg, H. Alzheimer's Disease. *Lancet* **368**, 387–403 (2006).
2. World Health Organization. *Dementia: a public health priority*. (2012).
3. Jessen, F. *et al.* A conceptual framework for research on subjective cognitive decline in preclinical Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's Dement.* **10**, 844–852 (2014).
4. Mitchell, A. J., Beaumont, H., Ferguson, D., Yadergarfar, M. & Stubbs, B. Risk of dementia and mild cognitive impairment in older people with subjective memory complaints: Meta-analysis. *Acta Psychiatr. Scand.* **130**, 439–451 (2014).
5. Geerlings, M. I., Jonker, C., Bouter, L. M., Adèr, H. J. & Schmand, B. Association between memory complaints and incident Alzheimer's disease in elderly people with normal baseline cognition. *Am. J. Psychiatry* **156**, 531–537 (1999).
6. Kim, J.-M. *et al.* A Prospective Study of Changes in Subjective Memory Complaints and Onset of Dementia in South Korea. *Am. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* **14**, 949–956 (2006).
7. Schmand, B., Jonker, C., Geerlings, M. I. & Lindeboom, J. Subjective memory complaints in the elderly: depressive symptoms and future dementia. *Br. J. Psychiatry* **171**, 373–376 (1997).
8. Papp, K. V. *et al.* Biomarker Validation of a Decline in Semantic Processing in Preclinical Alzheimer's Disease. *Neuropsychology* **30**, 624–630 (2015).
9. Visser, P. J. *et al.* Prevalence and prognostic value of CSF markers of Alzheimer's disease pathology in patients with subjective cognitive impairment or mild cognitive impairment in the DESCRIPA study: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet Neurol.* **8**, 619–627 (2009).
10. Amariglio, R. E. *et al.* Subjective cognitive complaints and amyloid burden in cognitively normal older individuals. *Neuropsychologia* **50**, 2880–2886 (2012).
11. Scheef, L. *et al.* Glucose metabolism, gray matter structure, and memory decline in subjective memory impairment. *Neurology* **79**, 1332–1339 (2012).
12. Perrotin, A., Mormino, E. C., Madison, C. M., Hayenga, A. O. & Jagust, W. J. Subjective Cognition and Amyloid Deposition Imaging. *Arch. Neurol.* **62**, 223–229 (2012).
13. Sperling, R. A. *et al.* Toward defining the preclinical stages of Alzheimer's disease: Recommendations from the National Institute on Aging- Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's Dement.* **7**, 280–292 (2011).
14. Rentz, D. M. *et al.* Promising developments in neuropsychological approaches for the detection of preclinical Alzheimer's disease: a selective review. *Alzheimers. Res. Ther.* **5**, 5–58 (2013).
15. Rentz, D. M. *et al.* Face-name associative memory performance is related to amyloid burden in normal elderly. *Neuropsychologia* **49**, 2776–2783 (2011).
16. Alegret, M. *et al.* Validation of the Spanish version of the face name associative memory exam (S-FNAME) in cognitively normal older individuals. *Arch. Clin. Neuropsychol.* **30**, 712–720 (2015).
17. Alegret, M. *et al.* Concordance between subjective and objective memory impairment in volunteer subjects. *J. Alzheimer's Dis.* **48**, 1109–1117 (2015).
18. Weschler, D. *Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale*. (San Antonio: The Psychological Corporation., 1997).
19. Amariglio, R. E. *et al.* Validation of the Face Name Associative Memory Exam in cognitively normal older individuals. *J. Clin. Exp. Neuropsychol.* **34**, 580–587 (2012).
20. Hollands, S. *et al.* Amyloid- β related memory decline is not associated with subjective or informant rated cognitive impairment in healthy adults. *J. Alzheimer's Dis.* **43**, 677–686 (2015).
21. Villemagne, V. L. *et al.* Comparison of 11C-PiB and 18F-florbetaben for A β imaging in ageing and Alzheimer's disease. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* **39**, 983–989 (2012).
22. Brugnolo, A. *et al.* Metabolic correlates of Rey auditory verbal learning test in elderly subjects with memory complaints. *J. Alzheimers. Dis.* **39**, 103–113 (2014).
23. Buckner, R. L., Andrews-Hanna, J. R. & Schacter, D. L. The Brain's Default Network: anatomy, function, and relevance to disease. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **1124**, 1–38 (2008).
24. Pearson, J. M., Heilbronner, S. R., Barack, D. L., Hayden, B. Y. & Platt, M. L. Posterior cingulate cortex: adapting behavior to a changing world. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* **15**, 143–151 (2011).
25. Harper, L. *et al.* Patterns of atrophy in pathologically confirmed dementias: a voxelwise analysis. *J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry* jnnp-2016-314978, <https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2016-314978> (2017).
26. Chételat, G. *et al.* Using voxel-based morphometry to map the structural changes associated with rapid conversion in MCI: A longitudinal MRI study. *Neuroimage* **27**, 934–946 (2005).
27. Edmonds, E. C., Delano-Wood, L., Galasko, D. R., Salmon, D. P. & Bondi, M. W. Subtle Cognitive Decline and Biomarker Staging in Preclinical Alzheimer's Disease. *J. Alzheimer's Dis.* **47**, 231–242 (2015).
28. Rowe, C. C. *et al.* Amyloid imaging results from the Australian Imaging, Biomarkers and Lifestyle (AIBL) study of aging. *Neurobiol. Aging* **31**, 1275–1283 (2010).
29. Enache, D. *et al.* CAIDE Dementia Risk Score and biomarkers of neurodegeneration in memory clinic patients without dementia. *Neurobiol. Aging* **42**, 124–131 (2016).
30. Masur, D. M. *et al.* Distinguishing normal and demented elderly with the selective reminding test. *J. Clin. Exp. Neuropsychol.* **11**, 615–630 (1989).
31. Fogler, K. A. & James, L. E. Charlie brown versus snow white: the effects of descriptiveness on young and older adults' retrieval of proper names. *J. Gerontol. B. Psychol. Sci. Soc. Sci.* **62**, P201–207 (2007).
32. Griffin, Z. M. In *Psychology of Learning and Motivation* **53**, 345–387 (2010).
33. Cohen, G. Why is it difficult to put names to faces? *Br. J. Psychol.* **81**, 287–297 (1990).
34. McWeeny, K. H., Young, A. W., Hay, D. C. & Ellis, A. W. Putting names to faces. *Br. J. Psychol.* **78**, 143–149 (1987).

35. Joubert, S. *et al.* The cognitive and neural expression of semantic memory impairment in mild cognitive impairment and early Alzheimer's disease. *Neuropsychologia* **48**, 978–988 (2010).
36. Clark, L. J. *et al.* Longitudinal verbal fluency in normal aging, preclinical, and prevalent Alzheimer's disease. *Am. J. Alzheimers. Dis. Other Dement.* **24**, 461–468 (2009).
37. Murphy, K. J., Rich, J. B. & Troyer, A. K. Verbal fluency patterns in amnesic mild cognitive impairment are characteristic of Alzheimer's type dementia. *J. Int. Neuropsychol. Soc.* **12**, 570–574 (2006).
38. Rodriguez-Gomez, O. *et al.* Original Research FACEHBI: A Prospective Study of Risk Factors, Biomarkers and Cognition in a Cohort of Individuals with Subjective Cognitive Decline. Study Rationale and Research Protocols. *J Prev Alz Dis* **4**, 100–108 (2017).
39. Boada, M. *et al.* Design of a comprehensive Alzheimer's disease clinic and research center in Spain to meet critical patient and family needs. *Alzheimer's Dement.* **10**, 409–415 (2014).
40. Lozoya-Delgado, P., de León, J. M. R. S. & Pedrero-Pérez, E. J. Validación de un cuestionario de quejas cognitivas para adultos jóvenes: Relación entre las quejas subjetivas de memoria, la sintomatología prefrontal y el estrés percibido. *Rev. Neurol.* **54**, 137–150 (2012).
41. Folstein, M. F., Folstein, S. E. & McHugh, P. R. Mini-mental state. A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* **12**, 189–98 (1975).
42. Blesa, R. *et al.* Clinical validity of the 'mini-mental state' for Spanish speaking communities. *Neuropsychologia* **39**, 1150–1157 (2001).
43. Alegret, M. *et al.* Normative data of a brief neuropsychological battery for Spanish individuals older than 49. *J. Clin. Exp. Neuropsychol.* **34**, 209–219 (2012).
44. Alegret, M. *et al.* Cut-off Scores of a Brief Neuropsychological Battery (NBACE) for Spanish Individual Adults Older than 44 Years Old. *PLoS One* **8**, E76436 (2013).
45. Morris, J. C. The Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR): current version and scoring rules. *Neurology* **43**, 2412–4 (1993).
46. L. C. Castresana, C. D. E., Garcia-estrada Perez, A. & Gonzalez Rivera, J. DE. Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale y Psicopatología Afectiva. **11**, 126–130 (1995).
47. Zigmond, A. S. & Snaith, R. P. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. *Acta Psychiatr. Scand.* **67**, 361–70 (1983).
48. Breiman, L. Random Forests. *Mach. Learn.* **45**, 5–32 (2001).
49. Breiman, L. *Technical Note: Some Properties of Splitting Criteria.* **24**, 41–47 (1996).
50. M. R., Berthold, *et al.* The Konstanz Information Miner In: Studies in Classification, Data Analysis, and Knowledge Organization (GfKL 2007). *Springer* **11**, 319–326 (2007).
51. Desikan, R. S. *et al.* An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. *Neuroimage* **31**, 968–980 (2006).
52. Bahar-Fuchs, A. *et al.* Prediction of amyloid- β pathology in amnesic mild cognitive impairment with neuropsychological tests. *J. Alzheimer's Dis.* **33**, 451–462 (2013).

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge all individuals participating in the FACEHBI study for their generosity and their trust in our institution. We also want to thank our sponsors for making this project possible and all of the investigators from the Fundació ACE Barcelona Alzheimer Treatment and Research Center, Hospital Clinic and Clínica Corachan for their close collaboration and continuous intellectual input. Fundació ACE Institut Català de Neurociències Aplicades and we are indebted to Trinitat Port-Carbó and her family for their support of Fundació ACE research programs. Funds from Fundació ACE, *Institut Català de Neurociències Aplicades*, Grifols®, Piramal® and Araclon Biotech® are supporting the FACEHBI study. The sponsors were not involved in the study design, data collection, analysis or interpretation. The only exception is Araclon Biotech®, who is in charge of the determination of amyloid species in blood. The sponsors have reviewed the manuscript and have given their approval.

Author Contributions

A.S., and M.A., contributed to design, acquisition, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting and writing the article. M.B., A.R., D.M.R. and Ll.T. conceived and designed the study. O.R., M.A., A.S., A.E., G.O., C.A., I.H., A.P., A.G., M.R., L.V., A.M. and D.S. contributed to design and enrolled patients in the Fundació ACE. S.V. and E.M. analyzed the data and O.S., G.M. and F.L. contributed to neuroimaging processing and analysis of images. M.B. and A.R. obtained funding. The FACEHBI group study critically reviewed the manuscript and approved the final version prior to submission.

Additional Information

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests, except for Mercè Boada who has consulted for Araclon, Avid, Grifols, Lilly, Nutricia, Roche and Servier. She received fees for lectures and funds for research from Araclon, Grifols, Nutricia, Roche and Servier. She has not received personal compensations from these organizations.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2018

Consortia
The FACEHBI study group

N. Aguilera¹, M. Berthier⁵, M. Buendia¹, S. Bullich⁶, F. Campos⁴, P. Cañabate¹, C. Cuevas¹, I. de Rojas¹, S. Diego¹, S. Gil¹, J. Giménez⁷, R. Gismondi⁶, M. Gómez-Chiari⁷, M. Guitart¹, B. Hernández-Olasagarre¹, M. Ibarria¹, A. Lafuente¹, J. Martínez¹, M. Moreno¹, S. Moreno-Grau¹, L. Núñez⁸, A. Orellana¹, A. Páez⁸, A. Pancho¹, J. Pavía⁴, E. Pelejà¹, V. Pérez-Grijalba⁹, P. Pesini⁹, S. Preckler¹, J. Romero⁹, S. Ruiz¹, M. Santos¹, M. Sarasa⁹, M. A. Tejero⁷, M. Torres⁸ & A. Vivas⁷

⁵Cognitive Neurology and Aphasia Unit (UNCA), University of Malaga, Malaga, Spain. ⁶Piramal Imaging GmbH, Berlin, Germany. ⁷Departament de Diagnòstic per la Imatge, Clínica Corachan, Barcelona, Spain. ⁸Grifols®, Barcelona, Spain. ⁹Araclon Biotech®, Zaragoza, Spain.