



Arginine dependence of tumor cells: targeting a chink in cancer's armor

Citation

Patil, M D, J Bhaumik, S Babykutty, U C Banerjee, and D Fukumura. 2016. "Arginine Dependence of Tumor Cells: Targeting a Chink in Cancer's Armor." Oncogene 35 (38) (April 25): 4957–4972. doi:10.1038/onc.2016.37.

Published Version

doi:10.1038/onc.2016.37

Permanent link

http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:37133883

Terms of Use

This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA

Share Your Story

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. <u>Submit a story</u>.

Accessibility

| 1 | Arginine Dependence of Tumor Cells: Targeting a Chink in |
|----|--|
| 2 | Cancer's Armor |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Mahesh D. Patil, ¹ Jayeeta Bhaumik, ¹ Suboj Babykutty, ² |
| 6 | Uttam Chand Banerjee ¹ and Dai Fukumura ² * |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | ¹ Department of Pharmaceutical Technology (Biotechnology), National Institute of |
| 11 | Pharmaceutical Education and Research, Sector-67, S.A.S. Nagar – 160062 |
| 12 | Punjab, India |
| 13 | |
| 14 | ² Edwin L. Steele Laboratory, Department of Radiation Oncology, Massachusetts General |
| 15 | Hospital and Harvard Medical School, 100 Blossom Street, Boston, MA 02114, USA |
| 16 | |
| 17 | *Corresponding author's e-mail: |
| 18 | dai@steele.mgh.harvard.edu |
| 19 | |

1 Abstract

2 Arginine, one among the twenty most common natural amino acids, plays a pivotal role in cellular physiology as it is being involved in numerous cellular metabolic and 3 signaling pathways. Dependence on arginine is diverse for both tumor and normal cells. Due 4 5 decreased expression of argininosuccinate synthetase (ASS) and/or ornithine to 6 transcarbamoylase (OTC), several types of tumor are auxotrophic for arginine. Deprivation of 7 arginine exploits a significant vulnerability of these tumor cells and leads to their rapid 8 demise. Hence, enzyme-mediated arginine depletion is a potential strategy for the selective 9 destruction of tumor cells. Arginase, arginine deiminase (ADI) and arginine decarboxylase (ADC) are potential enzymes that may be used for arginine deprivation therapy. These 10 arginine catabolizing enzymes not only reduce tumor growth but also make them susceptible 11 to concomitantly administered anti-cancer therapeutics. Most of these enzymes are currently 12 13 under clinical investigations and if successful will potentially be advanced as anti-cancer modalities. 14

15

16 Keywords: cancer, arginine deprivation, arginase, arginine deiminase, arginine

17 decarboxylase

Introduction

Amino acids play a major role in regulating important cellular events in both normal 2 and malignant cells. Besides their role in the synthesis of hormones and peptides, amino acids 3 also function as cell signaling molecules, playing a modulatory role in gene expression.¹ 4 Amino acids regulate RNA synthesis by diverse mechanisms ranging from regulating 5 transcription factors assembly,² to total mRNA turnover.^{3,4} Amino acids are major 6 determinants of a normal cellular physiology, therefore potential signaling pathways such as 7 amino acid response (AAR) pathway sense their altered metabolism [Figure 1]. Hence, amino 8 acid levels in the body are critical for important cellular functions.⁵⁻⁹ 9

There is a significant difference between the metabolism of normal and malignant 10 cells.¹⁰ For instance, bio-energetic requirements for homeostasis in normal cells are fulfilled 11 by catabolic metabolism. On the other hand, the majority of the tumor cells alter their 12 metabolic program ("metabolic remodeling") and consume additional nutrients in order to 13 maintain a balance between elevated macromolecular biosynthesis¹¹ and adequate levels of 14 ATP for survival.^{12,13} However, the endogenous supply of nutrients becomes inadequate 15 during intense growth. Thus tumor cells depend on exogenous nutrients in their 16 microenvironment to fulfill the elevated energy requirements *i.e.* they become auxotrophic 17 for nutrient and energy sources.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ Deprivation of amino acids results in growth inhibition 18 or death of tumor cells by the modulation of various signaling cascades.^{6-9,17,18} 19

Exogenously incorporated enzymes that deprive amino acids could be a novel strategy for the treatment of auxotrophic tumors. The first FDA approved heterologous enzyme for the treatment of cancer was *E. coli* L-asparaginase.¹⁹ L-asparaginase exploits the differences on their dependence of normal and leukemic cells towards L-asparagine.²⁰ Lasparaginase has been proven to be a promising agent for the treatment of L-asparagine auxotrophic T-cell acute lymphoblastic lymphoma (T-ALL). Use of L–asparaginase in T- ALL opened up new windows of 'amino acid-depriving therapy'. Currently, there is a resurgence of interest in enzyme-mediated amino acid deprivation as a new therapeutic approach for cancer treatment.^{6,7,21,22} For example, arginine depletion can inhibit tumor cell proliferation and induce cell death pathways. Here we endeavor to provide a basic understanding of the roles of arginine in normal and tumor cell with emphasis on current knowledge and developments in the application of enzyme-mediated arginine depriving therapy as a potential anticancer approach.

8

Enzyme-mediated arginine deprivation: a potential anti-cancer approach

9 Arginine is involved in the regulation of various molecular pathways and thus availability of arginine can modulate key metabolic, immunological, neurological and 10 signaling pathways of the cells [Figure 2 and 3].^{23,24} Auxotrophy towards arginine by certain 11 tumor cells (particularly that of hepatocellular carcinoma and melanoma) has been well 12 characterized.^{25,26} Normal cells, when deprived of arginine, undergo cell cycle arrest at G_0/G_1 13 phase and become quiescent. If reinstated with arginine, the majority of the normal cells 14 15 recover to their normal proliferation status. However, arginine deprivation in tumor cells does not arrest cell cycle at G₁ phase and continue to be in a cell cycle, leading tumor cells to 16 undergo unbalanced growth and eventually lead to the activation of apoptotic pathways.^{27,28} 17

Owing to the involvement of arginine in a plethora of cellular pathways, arginine dependence of tumor cells has rapidly emerged as a potential target for cancer.²⁹ However, dietary restriction results in the reduction of only 30% of plasma free arginine.³⁰ Thus, arginine degrading enzyme-mediated arginine deprivation has been proposed as a potential anti-cancer therapy by various research groups.²⁷⁻³⁵ Enzymes that can be used for arginine deprivation therapy (ADT) include arginine deiminase (ADI), arginase and arginine decarboxylase (ADC) as discussed below [Figure 3].

1. Arginine deiminase

Arginine deiminase (ADI) (E.C.3.5.3.6) is a prokaryotic enzyme originally isolated 2 from Mycoplasma, which catalyzes an irreversible deimination of the guanidine group of L-3 arginine to citrulline and ammonium ion.³⁶ Normal cells are able to convert citrulline into 4 arginine through argininosuccinate synthetase (ASS) and argininosuccinate lyase (ASL), 5 expression of which are tightly regulated. However, the expression of ASS/ASL is down-6 7 regulated in certain tumor cells by unknown mechanisms and these cells are unable to convert citrulline to arginine.^{30-33,37} This makes the tumor cells auxotrophic for arginine for 8 their growth and cellular functioning. ADI-mediated arginine deprivation leads to apoptotic 9 cell death, selectively of arginine auxotrophic ASS (-) tumor cells sparing the ASS (+) ADI 10 resistant normal cells³⁸ [Table 1]. Incidence of ASS deficiency varies depending on the 11 tumor type and expression level of ASS has been proposed as a biomarker for identification 12 of ADI sensitive tumors.^{24,25,39-42} 13

In 1990, Miyazaki and co-workers⁴³ were the first to report the growth inhibition of *Mycoplasma* infected human tumor cells. The cause of growth inhibition of human tumor cell lines was identified as a ADI produced by *Mycoplasma. In vitro* growth-inhibitory dose of *Mycoplasmal* ADI appeared to be 1000 times lower than that of bovine liver arginase. Subsequently in 1992, growth inhibitory activity of ADI was demonstrated in *ASS*downregulated human melanoma cells.⁴⁴ These pioneering studies established ADI as a potential anti-cancer enzyme [Figure 4].

21 **1.1 PEGylated ADI**

Being microbial in origin, ADI has serious disadvantages of eliciting strong antigenicity and rapid plasma clearance (half-life of 4 h). To circumvent these limitations, several studies have aimed to extend the plasma half-life of ADI and to minimize its antigenicity. In 1993, Takaku *et al* addressed these problems for the first time by

polyethylene glycol (PEG) modification.⁴⁵ Remarkably, PEGylation of *Mycoplasma arginini* 1 2 ADI enhanced its cytotoxic potential in vivo and once a week intravenous injection of PEG-ADI at a dose of 5 U/mouse (10 mg protein/Kg) depleted plasma arginine to an undetectable 3 level at least for a week, whereas native enzyme required 10 daily injections to achieve 4 similar effects. Nevertheless, PEGylation of Mycoplasma hominis ADI also resulted in 5 significant enhancement of arginine lowering potential of native Mycoplasma hominis 6 ADI.^{46,47} Recently, PEGylation and pharmacological properties of an engineered ADI 7 originated from *Pseudomonas plecoglossicida* have been studied. PEGylated *Pseudomonas* 8 plecoglossicida ADI remarkably improves the stystemic half-life (by 11-folds) and found to 9 exhibit superior efficacy than native ADI in depleting plasma arginine.⁴⁸ 10

PEG-ADI has also shown promising outcomes for the treatment of human malignancies. In March 1999, ADI-PEG20, PEGylated recombinant *Mycoplasmal* ADI was approved as an orphan drug by US-FDA for the treatment of HCC and malignant melanomas. Subsequently in July 2005, European Agency for the Evaluation of Medicinal Products (EMEA) granted orphan drug status to ADI-PEG20 for the treatment of HCCs.⁴⁹

ADI-PEG20 is currently undergoing clinical investigation as a randomized double-16 17 blind Phase III trial in patients with advanced HCC (NCT 01287585), Phase II studies in patients with ASS-negative metastatic melanoma (NCT 01279967) and Phase II studies in 18 patients with relapsed small-cell lung cancer (SCLC) (NCT 01266018)⁵⁰ [Table 2]. Outcomes 19 of the previous clinical studies were also encouraging, achieving response rates of 25% and 20 47% in melanoma and HCC, respectively [Table 2]. Moreover, grade III and IV toxicities 21 22 have not been observed in clinical investigations involving ADI-PEG20 in metastatic melanoma and HCC patients.^{51,52} Therefore, clinicians are looking forward to the 23 24 establishment of ADI-PEG20 as a potent anti-cancer modality.

1 1.2 Tumor sensitivity towards ADI

2 The auxotrophicity of tumors towards arginine and their sensitivity towards it can be attributed to the lack or reduced expression of ASS in tumors.^{25,37-39,53} Notably, numerous 3 tumor cells which are deficient in ASS expression, are sensitive towards ADI treatment 4 [Table 1]. Transfection of an expression plasmid containing human ASS cDNA in HCC and 5 melanoma cells confers severe resistance to ADI treatment compared to ASS-negative cells.⁴⁷ 6 7 Till date, most promising targets for ASS expression dependent ADT identified are human melanoma and HCCs. Other promising targets include malignant pleural mesothelioma 8 (MPM), renal cell carcinoma, prostate cancer, T-ALL and osteosarcoma.⁵⁰ However, 9 molecular mechanisms underlying tumor sensitivity towards ADI treatment, by down-10 regulation of ASS expression in tumor cells, are still elusive. Promoter hypermethylation-11 dependent silencing of ASS gene is an endorsed mechanism of ASS gene repression.^{37,54-56} 12 Methylation frequency of the ASS promoter upto 50-80% level at the CpG loci is 13 documented across a broad range of lymphomas. In contrast, normal lymphoid samples were 14 found unmethylated.²⁶ Treatment of ADI-PEG20 to ASS-methylated lymphoma cell lines 15 revealed dramatic decrease in the proliferation rate and viability count, by inducing caspase-16 17 dependent apoptosis, without affecting normal lymphoblastoid cell lines. Demethylationinduced resistance to ADI-PEG20 treatment has also been confirmed in Cutaneous T-cell 18 19 Lymphoma (CTCL) cell lines, as their incubation with 5-Aza-dC (demethylating agent) for 8 days which resulted in partial demethylation, followed by transcriptional activation and 20 21 synthesis of ASS protein.²⁶

Recently Rabinovich *et al* have confirmed that proliferation of the osteosarcoma cells is supported by down-regulation of ASS, by facilitating pyrimidine synthesis via activation of CAD (carbamoyl-phosphate synthase 2, aspartate transcarbamylase and dihydroorotase) complex.⁵⁷ As cytosolic aspartate serves as a substrate for both ASS and for CAD complex,

ASS down-regulation can enhance aspartate availability for CAD for the synthesis of 1 2 pyrimidine nucleotides to promote proliferation. Thus, aspartate transport can be exploited as an additional therapeutic target in tumors with ASS down-regulation, especially in those ones 3 4 which develop resistance to arginine-depriving enzymes.

5

1.3 Tumor resistance towards ADI

ASS-deficient tumors are sensitive to ADI treatment; however, arginine deprivation 6 eventually up-regulates ASS expression in tumor cells and thereby confers resistance towards 7 ADI.^{25,58} Transcriptional induction of ASS expression and increase in ASS mRNA level is 8 reported in human embryonic kidney cells and melanoma cells during arginine starvation.^{59,60} 9 Transcription factors such as c-Myc and HIF-1 α are involved in the up-regulation of ASS 10 expression under arginine depleted conditions.⁶⁰ E-box and GC-box are the important 11 12 sequences located between -85 and -35 nucleotides in the ASS promoter region that modulate 13 ASS expression through their interactions with c-Myc and HIF-1a. Under the normal concentrations of arginine, HIF-1a (but not c-Myc) binds to E-box and thus acts as a negative 14 15 regulator of ASS expression. Under the conditions of arginine depletion, HIF-1 α is degraded and replaced by up-regulated c-Myc, which directly binds to E-box; thus, c-Myc acts as a 16 17 positive regulator of ASS expression [Fig. 6 of Ref. 60]. Recently reported in melanoma cells, inhibition of ubiquitin-mediated protein degradation is a molecular mechanism 18 responsible for the stabilization and accumulation of c-Myc.⁶¹ Furthermore, various cellular 19 pathways, such as Ras and its downstream ERK/PI3K/AKT kinase cascade are associated 20 21 with the post-translational modifications of c-Myc, leading to its phosphorylation and stabilization during ADI-PEG20-mediated arginine deprivation conditions. Involvement of 22 23 Ras/PI3K/ERK signaling pathway in the development of resistance towards ADI treatment 24 suggests that combination of ADI with Ras/ERK, PI3K/AKT inhibitors is a potential therapeutic strategy to improve the anti-cancer response.^{62,63} 25

Development of anti-drug neutralizing antibodies is another possible mechanism of 1 resistance towards ADI-PEG20 treatment.⁶⁴ Arginine concentrations were recovered up-to 2 pre-treatment levels in a patient with malignant pleural mesothelioma and in Asian patients 3 with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma following the ADI-PEG20 treatment. This recovery 4 in arginine concentration was found concomitant with an increase in anti-ADI-PEG20 5 antibody titer.⁶⁵ These studies suggest the involvement of drug-associated resistance i.e. anti-6 7 drug neutralizing antibodies, rather than tumor-related factors as another possible mechanism of resistance of some tumor cell types towards ADI-PEG20 treatment.^{62,63} 8

9

10 **1.4 Anti-tumor mechanisms of ADI treatment**

11 1.4.1 Role of autophagy and apoptosis in ADI-mediated arginine deprivation therapy

12 Due to the involvement of arginine in numerous cellular pathways [Figure 2], the exact anti-proliferative mechanisms of ADI treatment, besides that of arginine depletion, are 13 still elusive. One of the potential pathways involved in the cytostatic and cytotoxic potential 14 of ADI is TRAIL (tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand).⁶⁶⁻⁶⁸ TRAIL plays 15 an important role in the cleavage of Beclin-1 (Atg6) and Atg5 in arginine deprived melanoma 16 cells.⁶⁹ Beclin-1 and Atg5 are essential for the formation of autophagosomes and thus crucial 17 for autophagy. Since autophagy serves as a mean to evade apoptosis in arginine depleted 18 cells, TRAIL induced cleavage of Beclin-1 and Atg5 leads to decreased autophagy, thereby 19 increasing apoptosis.⁶⁹ Additionally, these two drugs (ADI and TRAIL) complement each 20 other by activating the intrinsic apoptosis pathways. ADI-PEG20 increases cell surface 21 22 receptors DR4/5 for TRAIL thereby binding TRAIL to these death receptors. As a result, caspase-8 or 10 are activated.⁶⁶ ADI-PEG20 treatment also modulates different autophagic 23 24 pathways involved in the cell survival. AMPK and ERK pathways are activated in ADI-25 treated prostate cancer cells; while AKT, mTOR and S6K pathways are attenuated. ADI-

PEG20 treatment to CWR22Rv1 prostate cancer cells induced autophagy, as revealed by the appearance of LC-II only after 30 minutes exposure continues its persistence after 24 hours following ADI-PEG20 treatment.^{70,71} Additionally, inhibition of autophagy by chloroquine, a clinically approved anti-malarial agent which inactivates lysosomal functions, accelerates the ADI-induced apoptotic cell death of prostate cancer ^{70,71} and SCLCs.³⁹ Thus autophagy has been proposed as a pro-survival mechanism of tumor cells during arginine deprivation.⁷¹

7 ADI-mediated arginine deprivation is also known to induce caspase-dependent apoptotic pathways in many of the tumor cells types. ADI-PEG20 treatment activates 8 9 caspase-3 in ASS-methylated malignant lymphoma cells, whereas ASS-positive normal lymphoblastoid cells are resistant to it.²⁶ Similarly, cell death has been attributed to caspases 10 activation in glioblastoma,⁵⁴ melanoma,^{38,72} leukemia⁷³ and pancreatic cancer cells.⁷⁴ 11 12 Moreover, all these studies indicate that inhibition of autophagy leads to further advancement 13 in the ADI-PEG20-mediated demise of tumor cells, suggesting the induction of autophagy as a mechanism of tumor resistance to ADI-PEG20 treatment. 14

Cumulative pieces of evidence suggest that the activation of caspases is not a sole 15 decisive phenomenon in programmed cell death pathways. Caspase-dependent apoptosis is a 16 17 major mode of cell death, but in its absence or failure, there are other pathways which can also execute cell death.⁷⁵⁻⁷⁷ ADI-PEG20 treatment to SCLC, leukemia, retinoblastoma and 18 prostate cancer cells induces apoptotic cell death pathways, however, without activation of 19 caspases, suggesting the role of caspase-independent apoptosis as a cell death pathway.^{33,39,69} 20 ^{70,78} The inter-membrane space of mitochondrion contains proteins such as apoptosis-21 22 inducing factor (AIF) and endonuclease G (EndoG), which can induce apoptotic cell death in a caspase-independent fashion.⁷⁹ EndoG is one of the predominant endonucleases that are 23 24 involved in the regulation of cellular functions such as mitochondrial biogenesis, DNA 25 synthesis and repair. AIF is an FAD-containing flavoprotein which plays an important role in

the stability of an electron transport chain.⁸⁰ Nutrient deficiency-mediated stress signals 1 2 induce mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization (MOMP), which consequently releases inter-membrane space proteins such as AIF, EndoG and cytochrome c. AIF plays a 3 role of central mediator in caspase-independent cell death pathway.⁸¹ AIF, once released into 4 the cytosol, interacts with EndoG and cyclophilin A prior to its translocation into the 5 nucleus.⁸² Subsequently after translocation into the nucleus, it triggers cell death either 6 7 directly, through interaction with DNA, or indirectly, through the production of reactive oxygen species.^{73,74,79,80} MOMP promotes both, caspase-dependent and caspase-independent 8 apoptotic pathways, but with different kinetics.⁸³ Although, the upstream signaling stimulus 9 for both, a caspase-dependent and caspase-independent pathway is the same, *i.e.* via 10 induction of MOMP, their downstream pathways are different. Moreover, nuclear alterations 11 12 and the changes occurring in mitochondrial trans-membrane potential during caspase-13 independent pathways are different than those observed in a caspase-dependent apoptotic pathway.⁸⁴ 14

To summarize, growing evidence suggests that autophagy is a prevailing cell survival 15 mechanism in tumor cells undergoing ADI-mediated arginine deprivation. The overall 16 17 cellular response to ADI-mediated arginine deprivation in different tumor cells operates through a complex cascade, initiating with induction of autophagy and followed by the 18 19 activation of either caspase-dependent or caspase-independent cell death pathways. It is worth emphasizing that the discrepancy of cellular responses of tumor cells to ADI-mediated 20 21 arginine depletion in activation of either caspases-dependent or caspases-independent cell death pathways can vary depending on tumor cell type.^{38,39,70,71,74} As a result, the precise 22 23 mechanisms of tumor cell death- consequential of cellular response to ADI-mediated arginine 24 depletion- appear to be complex and variable, and need to be further elucidated.

1 1.4.2 Inhibition of de novo protein synthesis by ADI-mediated arginine deprivation

2 Inhibition of *de novo* protein synthesis is another mechanism which can be attributed 3 to the anti-tumor potential of ADI. As extracellular arginine pool is responsible for 40% of de 4 novo protein synthesis, ADI treatment to human lung carcinoma cells results in an antiproliferative effect, mediated by inhibition of protein synthesis.⁸⁵ Arginine is present in 5 various compartments such as extracellular, intracellular and citrulline-arginine regeneration 6 7 *i.e.* cytosolic compartment and it is known to regulate various cellular pathways differently. Protein synthesis mainly utilizes arginine either from the intracellular pool or the citrulline-8 arginine regeneration mechanism, while polyamines synthesis largely utilizes arginine pool 9 from the intracellular origin.^{86,87} Polyamines are synthesized through the methionine salvage 10 pathway via decarboxylation of S-adenosylmethionine (SAM). SAM is a donor metabolite 11 12 necessary for the transfer of methyl group to DNA and proteins. Human colon cancer 13 (HCT116) cells treated with short hairpin CD44 RNA interference showed a decrease in the total amount of methionine-pool metabolites including polyamines, suggesting the role of 14 polyamines in cancer proliferation.⁸⁸ 15

ADI treatment towards human mammary adenocarcinoma and lung carcinoma cells differently modulates polyamine synthesis and the global protein synthesis. Interestingly, inhibition of protein synthesis has been correlated with the ASS-mediated regeneration of arginine. Cells expressing low levels of ASS (A549) result in decreased protein synthesis (without affecting polyamine synthesis) and those expressing higher ASS levels (MCF-7) are resistant to ADI treatment, as the decreased arginine levels can be replaced by citrullinearginine regeneration pathway.⁸⁵

23

1.4.3 Anti-angiogenic effects of ADI-mediated arginine deprivation

As a tumor grows beyond a certain size (2 mm in diameter for most solid tumors), available vasculature within the tumor becomes inadequate to supply sufficient quantities of

essential nutrients for their growth.⁸⁹ This results in the generation of hypoxic tumor 1 microenvironment and leads to the development of new blood vessels (angiogenesis) as a 2 colossal requisite of the developing tumors.⁹⁰ Accordingly, neovascularization can be stated 3 as one of the decisive phenomena during tumor growth and metastasis.⁹¹ Emerging studies 4 now indicate that not only molecular signals but also metabolic mechanisms regulate 5 angiogenesis.⁹² Under stress conditions such as hypoxia, tumor cells secrete angiogenic 6 factors such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF).⁹³ Increased levels of VEGF 7 activate VEGF receptor 2 (VEGFR2) signaling in the quiescent endothelial cells which in 8 turn initiate angiogenesis.⁹⁴⁻⁹⁶ Endothelial cells produce 85% of their total amount of ATP via 9 glycolysis. Addiction of endothelial cells on anaerobic rather than aerobic pathway enables 10 them for the formation of vascular sprouts in hypoxic areas.^{97,98} Metabolism of tumor 11 endothelial cells resembles that of highly activated endothelial cells because of the tumor 12 induced switch from quiescence to proliferation due to metabolically regulated migration 13 during sprouting.^{99,100} 14

Besides ADI's role in modulation of apoptotic pathways, it has an anti-angiogenic activity that contributes to its anti-tumor potential. The growth, migration and differentiation of human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) are strongly impaired in a medium containing recombinant ADI.¹⁰¹ As a consequence; it results in decreased tube formation with intermittent and incomplete microvascular network. Similarly, Park *et al.* found that *E. coli* ADI inhibits angiogenesis by inhibiting tube formation of endothelial cells and neovascularization in Chick Chorioallantoic Membrane (CAM) and Matrigel plug assay.¹⁰²

Suppression of nitric oxide (NO) generation is also another possible mechanism for anti-angiogenic activity of ADI. Since L-arginine is required for nitric oxide synthases (NOSs) to generate NO, the depletion of arginine by ADI suppresses NO synthesis.¹⁰² Potential role of ADI-mediated arginine depletion in inhibition of NO synthesis has been

reported.^{103,104} We and others have previously reported that NO promotes tumor growth 1 through the stimulation of angiogenesis¹⁰⁵⁻¹⁰⁷ and regulates cellular interaction by controlling 2 adhesion molecule expression and ultimately cell adhesion.^{108,109} NO directly, or indirectly 3 through NO-mediated reactive nitrogen species (RNS), induces the activation of certain 4 angiogenic signaling pathways in the endothelial cells.¹¹⁰ NO acts as an autocrine mediator in 5 endothelial cell functioning and as a final modulator in VEGF stimulated angiogenesis.^{109,111} 6 NO not only mediates angiogenesis but also subsequent vessel maturation^{112,113} Moreover, 7 NO is known to inhibit angiostatin and thrombospondin-1, two main inhibitors of 8 angiogenesis.¹¹⁴ Owing to the important role of NO in angiogenesis, ADI inhibits tumor 9 growth not only by draining the supply of arginine, but also by its anti-angiogenic activity via 10 suppression of NO generation. 11

12 To summarize, certain tumor cell types such as, HCCs and metastatic melanomas are 13 invariably deficient in ASS expression and can be specifically targeted by ADI-mediated ADT. It is worth noting that more than one pathway may be attributed to the cytotoxic 14 potential of ADI-mediated ADT [Figure 5]. The anti-tumor potential of ADI may not only be 15 16 simply accredited to its action as arginine degrading enzyme but also to several other 17 mechanisms important in the cellular functioning of tumor cells. Induction of apoptotic pathways, inhibition of angiogenesis and inhibition of de novo protein synthesis are the 18 19 important mechanisms attributed to the cytotoxic potential of ADI. Moreover, studies have revealed the ADI-mediated modulations in tumor cell-cycle. The fundamental difference of 20 21 cell cycle modulations in normal and malignant cells should be exploitable as a means of selective demise of tumor cells and ADI, in combination with other anti-cancer 22 23 chemotherapeutic agents, which can be a potential strategy to improve chemo-sensitization against tumor cells.¹¹⁵⁻¹¹⁸ 24

2

2. Arginase

Arginase (E.C.3.5.3.1) is a mammalian enzyme which catalyzes the conversion of 3 arginine to ornithine and urea. Arginase is considered as an enzyme responsible for the cyclic 4 nature of urea cycle, since only the organisms containing arginase are able to carry out the 5 complete urea cycle.¹¹⁹ Two distinct isoforms of mammalian arginase have been identified 6 which are encoded by two separate genes.¹²⁰ Type I arginase (arginase I) is located in the 7 cytosol and is mainly expressed in liver. Type II arginase is located in the mitochondrial 8 matrix and is expressed in extra-hepatic tissues.^{121,122} Intracellular regulation of arginase 9 expression is of immense importance as it has crucial implications for the synthesis of 10 essential cellular metabolites,¹²³ For example, cytosolic co-localization of arginase I with 11 12 ornithine decarboxylase (ODC) preferentially utilizes ornithine for the biosynthesis of 13 polyamine. On the other hand, due to its co-localization with ornithine aminotransferase (OAT) in the mitochondria, arginase II directs ornithine for the production of proline and 14 glutamine.^{124,125} 15

16 2.1 PEGylated recombinant human arginase I

17 Elevated requirements of arginine by tumor cells were first identified in 1947 and preferential utilization of arginine by tumor bearing animals was revealed in 1953.^{126,127} The 18 use of bovine and murine arginase in arginine deprivation therapy was prevailing until the 19 advent of recombinant DNA technology,¹²⁸⁻¹³⁰ followed by the pervasive use of recombinant 20 human arginase in subsequent decades.^{131,132} Arginase from bovine and murine sources has 21 been extensively used for the arginine deprivation therapy *in vitro*. However, limited success 22 23 was achieved *in vivo* due to its alkaline optimum pH and very low affinity for the substrate. 24 Human arginase I also has a serious limitation of very short circulatory half-life (Approx. 30 25 minutes).

| 1 | To extend plasma half-life of arginase, PEGylation has been applied successfully. |
|----|--|
| 2 | PEGylated recombinant human arginase I (rhArg-Peg _{5000mw}) had efficient catalytic activity at |
| 3 | physiological pH with improved in vivo half-life of 3 days. Furthermore, rhArg-Peg _{5000mw} |
| 4 | was found to have significant tumor inhibitory activity in BALB/c nude mice bearing HCC |
| 5 | xenografts. ¹³¹ Notably, these results were consistent with those demonstrated by Tsui and co- |
| 6 | workers. ¹³³ Recently, a bio-engineered form of human arginase I was developed by the co- |
| 7 | factor replacement, the replacement of two Mn^{2+} ions by Co^{2+} ions. The modified Co^{2+} - |
| 8 | arginase I resulted in 10-fold increase in the catalytic activity and 5-fold greater stability at |
| 9 | the physiological pH. Nevertheless, IC ₅₀ values for killing human HCC and melanoma cell |
| 10 | lines were lowered by 12-15 folds. ¹³⁴ More recently, modifications in bioengineered Co ²⁺ - |
| 11 | arginase I were performed by conjugating 5-kDa PEG to enhance plasma half-life. This |
| 12 | modified version of bioengineered arginase I (Co-hArgI-PEG) was proven to be cytotoxic by |
| 13 | significantly increasing the expression of caspases-3 in HCC and pancreatic carcinoma (PC) |
| 14 | tumor xenografts. ¹³⁵ Lately, the cytotoxic potential of Co-hArgI-PEG was identified in acute |
| 15 | myeloid leukemia (AML) and glioblastoma cells. AML cell lines were found sensitive |
| 16 | towards Co-hArgI-PEG-mediated arginine deprivation with very low (58-722 PM) IC_{50} |
| 17 | values, suggesting a very high potential of Co-hArgI-PEG-mediated arginine depletion in |
| 18 | AML cells. ¹³⁶ Moreover, Co-hArgI-PEG-mediated arginine deprivation has been |
| 19 | demonstrated to induce caspase-independent, non-apoptotic cell death in human glioblastoma |
| 20 | cells. ¹³⁷ Alternative method to extend the plasma half-life of recombinant human arginase |
| 21 | also has been established. Plasma half-life of a fusion protein form of a recombinant human |
| 22 | arginase (rhArg-Fc, constructed by linking rhArg to the Fc region of human immunoglobulin |
| 23 | IgG1), was evidenced to significantly extend up-to approx. 4 days. ¹³⁸ In addition, rhArg-Fc |
| 24 | was confirmed to conspicuously inhibit the cell growth of human HCC cells in vitro and in |
| 25 | <i>vivo</i> . ¹³⁸ |

Last decade has evidenced a prevalent use of recombinant human arginase-mediated ADT in numerous cancer cell types, mainly metastatic HCC and melanomas.^{131,139,140} Currently, PEGylated derivative of recombinant human arginase I is undergoing clinical trials for the treatment of human HCC.^{141,142} Moreover, initiatives are now being taken to overcome the possible problem of accumulation of PEGylated products in the liver by impending approaches such as fusion proteins.¹³⁸

7 2.2 Anti-tumor mechanisms of arginase-mediated arginine deprivation

8 Selective starvation of L-arginine in tumor cells, which are auxotrophic for L-9 arginine, is one of the most important anti-tumor mechanisms of ADT. Arginase can render 10 its cytostatic effect as a result of modulations in the cell cycle proteins, whereas, cytotoxic 11 effects rendered by arginase I-mediated arginine deprivation have been proposed as a result 12 of induction of potential cell death pathways namely apoptosis and probably by 'autophagic 13 cell death'. Summarized below are the current understandings of the molecular mechanisms 14 of cytostatic and cytotoxic effects rendered by arginase-mediated ADT.

15 2.2.1 Role of autophagy in arginase-mediated arginine deprivation

Autophagy is a key sensing and regulatory mechanism of cells in nutrient deprived 16 conditions. Under stress conditions, autophagy functions as a bio-energy management 17 system by recycling cell organelles and damaged and/or long-lived proteins.¹⁴³ Although 18 autophagy seems to be a survival mechanism of the cells, there is a growing evidence of 19 20 accumulation of autophagosomes and other autophagic markers in dying cells unable to process apoptosis, raising the term 'autophagic cell death'.¹⁴⁴⁻¹⁴⁷ However, the term 21 'autophagic cell death' is based on morphological features rather than the causative role of 22 autophagy in cell death. New definition of 'autophagic cell death' has been proposed, 23

implying that cell death must occur without the involvement of apoptotic machinery,
 (caspase activation) but with an increase in autophagic flux.^{148,149}

Mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) is a key regulator of coupling cell growth 3 and nutritional status of the cell.^{150,151} Autophagy is induced by the inhibition of mTOR 4 signaling pathway.¹⁵² During nutrient affluent conditions, mTOR is involved in the negative 5 regulation of Atg1 (autophagy related gene 1) which inhibits autophagy.^{153,154} Arginase-6 7 mediated arginine deprivation leads to decreased levels of ATP, which in turn activates the adenosine 5'-monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK). Activated AMPK 8 eventually inhibits the mTOR-signaling pathway, manifested by the reduced 9 phosphorylation of key downstream molecules, such as 4E-BP1 (Eukaryotic translation 10 initiation factor 4E-binding protein-1). Dephosphorylation of 4E-BP1 is observed in Chinese 11 hamster ovary (CHO), human melanoma cells and human prostate cancer cells following 12 their exposure to recombinant human arginase I.^{65,155,156} Phagosome/lysosome activity is 13 also significantly increased following an incubation of human tumor cells in L-arginine 14 deficient medium.¹⁵⁷ Additionally, studies carried out by Hsueh et al.¹⁵⁶ evidenced no 15 significant induction of apoptotic mechanisms in prostate cells after their exposure to 16 rhArgI, suggesting the role of autophagic cell death, rather than apoptosis, as an alternative 17 cell death mechanism. In addition, autophagy has often accompanied damaged mitochondria 18 and higher levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS).^{158,159} Acute generation of ROS has been 19 20 attributed to causing severe damages to the cellular macromolecules, which in consequence, leads to necrosis of the tumor cells.^{160,161} Overall, arginase leads to deprivation of arginine, 21 in consequence, it inhibits mTOR pathway during the deprivation and thus forcing tumor 22 cells to undergo 'autophagic cell death' pathway.¹⁶² 23

SLC38A9, a member 9 of the solute carrier family 38, has been recently identified as
an integral component of the lysosomal machinery that controls amino acid-induced mTOR

activation.^{163,164} Amino acid starvation in human embryonic kidney (HEK293T) cells with 1 stable expression of SLC38A9 has been shown to activate mTOR in a sustained manner. 2 Moreover, shRNA-mediated silencing of SLC38A9 results in a reduction of arginine-3 induced mTOR activation. Also, depletion of SLC38A9 impaired mTOR activation induced 4 by cycloheximide (a protein synthesis inhibitor which induces accumulation of intracellular 5 amino acids), further suggests the role of SLC38A9 in mTOR activation at the lysosomal 6 rather than at the plasma membrane. These studies have demonstrated that SLC38A9 acts as 7 an upstream positive regulator tor mTOR functioning and thereby modulating autophagy in 8 arginine-deprived tumor cells. 9

10 Although some studies have advocated autophagy as a cell death mechanism of 11 arginase-mediated ADT,^{156,157} many groups have explained it as a pro-survival mechanism; 12 mainly by postponing the activation of apoptosis.^{38,161} Thus, understanding the exact role of 13 autophagy in arginase-mediated cell death pathways is a complicated episode.^{162,165} 14 Therefore, much need to be elucidated about these new findings related to 'autophagic cell 15 death' and caution must be taken to assign autophagy as a cell death pathway in arginase-16 mediated ADT.

17 2.2.2 Role of apoptosis in arginase-mediated arginine deprivation

The role of autophagy, either in cell survival or in cell death, depends on many factors such as cell type, nature and severity of the stimuli and so on.¹⁶⁶ If the attempt of the cells to survive through autophagy fails, apoptotic pathways take over and ultimately cause cell death.¹⁴³ Inhibition of autophagy in amino acid deficient conditions induces tumor cell death, mainly because of further exacerbation of energy dearth.^{167,168} Also, longer persistence of autophagy is proposed to eventually lead the activation of caspase-dependent cell death pathways, as autophagy and apoptotic cell death pathways are interconnected and also share some common pathways through the induction of the membrane permeability
 transitions.¹⁶⁹⁻¹⁷¹ Induction of apoptotic pathways is another consequence of arginine
 depletion and anti-tumor mechanism of arginase I-mediated arginine deprivation.

4 Involvement of apoptosis as a cell death mechanism in arginase-mediated ADT has been illustrated in various literature reports. Annexin V is known to selectively stain the 5 6 cells, which are destined for apoptosis or in the process of apoptosis. 33% of human melanoma cell population was destined for apoptotic cell death following rhArg 7 treatment.¹³⁹ Arginase I-mediated arginine deprivation led to the transcriptional up-8 regulation of caspase 3, the intrinsic mitochondrial pathway of apoptosis, which is marked 9 by the change in mitochondrial membrane potential.¹⁷² Recently, an anti-leukemic potential 10 of PEGylated-arginase has been attributed to kinases general control nonderepressible 2 11 (GCN2)-mediated induction of apoptosis in T-ALL cells.¹⁷³ 12

13 2.2.3 Cell cycle arrest by arginase-mediated arginine deprivation and combination 14 approaches

rhArg-Peg_{5000mw}-mediated arginine deprivation in various HCC cells results in their 15 cell cycle arrest at G_2/M phase, by decreased expression levels of cyclin B1 and cdc2, or in S 16 17 phase, by a transcriptional up-regulation of cyclin A1 [Ref. 140]. rhArg-Peg_{5000mw}-mediated 18 arginine depletion was witnessed to impair the expression of cyclin D3 in T-ALL cells, which was followed by an arrest of the cells in the G₀-G₁ phase of the cell cycle and induction of 19 apoptosis.¹⁷² Recent investigations of rhArg-Fc-mediated arginine deprivation in human HCC 20 cells exhibited cell cycle arrest at S phase.¹³⁸ The exact mechanisms of these findings are still 21 elusive, but the possible reasons seem to be the increased expression of cyclin A and declined 22 transcription levels of p27 and p21 (the key cyclin kinase inhibitors). 23

1 Owing to the evidence of cell cycle arrest, a combination of arginase and other cellcycle specific anti-cancer chemotherapeutics as potential anti-tumor approaches have been 2 established. Synergistic effects of rhArg-Peg_{5000mw} with 5-fluorouracil (5-FU, uracil analog 3 which interferes with RNA and DNA synthesis) and cytarabine (Ara-C, anti-metabolic 4 chemotherapeutic agent) have been investigated on the inhibition of proliferation of HCC and 5 T-ALL cells, respectively.^{131,172} Treatment of either rhArg-Peg_{5000mw} or Ara-C alone induces 6 7 a heterogeneous anti-tumor effect in vivo, whereas, combined treatment of rhArg-Peg_{5000mw} and Ara-C induces a homogenous prevention of spleen growth, leading to the prolonged 8 survival in all of the T-ALL bearing mice.¹⁷² Moreover, combined treatment of PEGvlated 9 recombinant human arginase I and oxaliplatin has been demonstrated to synergize the 10 inhibiting effect on tumor growth and enhanced overall survival probability as compared to 11 PEGylated recombinant human arginase I or oxaliplatin treatment alone.¹⁷⁴ 12

Altogether, arginase has an advantage over ADI that it is efficacious in both ASS-13 negative and OTC- negative tumors,⁵⁹ whereas ADI is efficacious only in ASS-negative 14 tumors. The tumor cell types expressing ASS are resistant to arginine deprivation treatment 15 by ADI.^{25,26,54,61,131} Even though arginase has been considered as a potential drug candidate 16 over a period of six decades, low substrate specificity (high k_m of 2-4 mM), short plasma life 17 and optimum alkaline pH (pH 9.3) limit *in vivo* applications of arginase.^{131,140} In addition, 18 19 robust homeostatic mechanisms in the body allow faster restoration of plasma free arginine, 20 making *in vivo* arginine deprivation by arginase more difficult. Most of the scientific efforts nowadays pay attention to these limiting characteristics of arginase.^{134,175,176} 21

22

3. Arginine decarboxylase

Arginine decarboxylase (ADC) (E.C. 4.1.1.19) metabolizes arginine to agmatine, one of the minor metabolic products of arginine. ADC is mainly found in plants, bacteria and mammalian liver and brain membranes.^{177,178} The mammalian ADC is different from other

sources and distinct but related to ODC.¹⁷⁹ Although, arginine decarboxylation by ADC is a 1 minor metabolic route, its product i.e. agmatine has a significant role in numerous cellular 2 pathways.¹⁸⁰ Agmatine modulates the polyamine metabolism through its negative interaction 3 with ODC.¹⁸¹ Agmatine also confers an inhibitory effect on intracellular polyamine content 4 by inhibiting polyamine uptake¹⁸² and probably by increased polyamine catabolism.¹⁸³ 5 Mayeur *et al.*,¹⁸⁴ has reported the effect of agmatine accumulation on polyamine metabolism, 6 7 cell proliferation and cell cycle distribution in human colon adenocarcinoma epithelial cell lines. Due to the agmatine-mediated reduction in polyamine synthetic capacity of the cells, 8 agmatine markedly inhibits the cell proliferation of HT-29 and Caco-2 cells in a dose 9 dependent manner, without affecting cell membrane integrity. Moreover, agmatine modulates 10 the cell cycle progression by decreasing ODC activity and expression.^{181,185} As ODC plays an 11 important role in the G₁/S progression of the cells, agmatine-mediated modulations in ODC 12 expression lead to modifications in the cell cycle progression.¹⁸⁶ Additionally, agmatine also 13 has been shown to delay the expression of cyclins in tumor cells, leading to the modifications 14 in the cell cycle progression.¹⁸⁴ 15

ADC has been investigated for the enzymatic degradation of arginine in normal and malignant cell cultures.¹⁸⁷ Arginine deprivation in human diploid fibroblasts (normal cells), achieved using human recombinant ADC, resulted in the cell cycle arrest at G_1/G_0 . While treatment of 0.1 unit ml⁻¹ ADC to HeLa (Human cervical cancer) cells resulted in cell cycle arrest with an initiation of cell death after 2 days.¹⁸⁷ Similar results were evidenced in the studies by Wheatley *et al.*,¹⁸⁸ where 5 units ml⁻¹ ADC was found as effective as arginase in the inhibition of HeLa cells and cell cycle arrest at G1 (quiescence) in fibroblasts.

Although some research groups have exhibited ADC as a potential anti-tumor enzyme, only a few reports are available to support this fact [Table 1].^{187,188} Even though ADC possesses low *K*m and can degrade arginine very rapidly, the serious problem is related 1 to its product i.e. agmatine. Agmatine is toxic to normal cells when its concentration reaches 2 a millimolar level, particularly when free arginine levels are low. Additionally, agmatine is not converted back to arginine under normal physiological conditions, which may lead to its 3 accumulation and toxicity to normal cells.¹⁸⁹ Though recombinant human ADC expressed in 4 E. coli has been evidenced more active than Sigma enzymes prepared from other sources, its 5 PEGvlation has been shown to result in the loss of its entire activity.^{187,189} To consider the 6 7 further rational use of this prospective enzyme as potential anti-cancer modality, it clearly warrants further evaluation [Table 3]. 8

9

Concluding remarks

Sufficient evidence has been accumulated indicating that arginine catabolic enzymes-10 11 based approaches may be an effective way to target malignant cells. These enzymes control 12 tumor cell proliferation as well as make them highly vulnerable to cell-cycle specific 13 chemotherapeutic agents. This combinatorial approach is one of the potential strategies to maximize the efficacy to obliterate the tumor cells. Extensive research of the arginine 14 15 metabolic pathways led to the establishment of arginine-depriving enzymes as a potential anti-cancer strategy against arginine auxotrophic tumors. However, many of these enzymes 16 can be co-expressed in the cells, which results in complex interactions. For example, arginine 17 is a common substrate for arginase as well as NOS. The specific role of NO, either in 18 19 inhibition or induction of cell proliferation is dependent on numerous factors like its interaction with other free radicals, cellular makeup, tumor milieu, proteins present the 20 21 cellular microenvironment and also upon the chemical and biological heterogeneity of NO. 22 NO has been known to demonstrate bipolar cellular effects and often termed as "doubleedged sword". Although, NOS remains a viable candidate for cancer treatment, the precise 23 24 role of NO in the tumor microenvironment is extremely complex and conflicting. Also, the preferential utilization of arginine by arginase and/or NOS pathway is not fully understood. 25

Thus, many of these pathways warrant further research to understand the arginine metabolism
 at cellular and molecular levels involving upstream and downstream pathways of the
 enzymes involved.

It should be noted that modulation of the immunological responses is one of the major roles of arginine availability. Arginine metabolism in myeloid-derived suppressor cells via arginase and/or NOS markedly impairs the T-cell responses that would eradicate and remove tumor cells.¹⁹⁰ Many excellent articles are available which focus on the role of arginine in immunological aspects of the tumors. ¹⁹¹⁻¹⁹⁴ It would suffice to say here that the arginine deprivation therapy may have further anti-tumor effect through restoration of anti-tumor immunity.

Arginine dependence of the tumor cells has been considered as the "Achilles heel" of 11 tumor cells.¹⁹⁵ Inability of tumor cells to proliferate in the absence of arginine can be targeted 12 13 for their selective destruction by arginine depriving enzymes. Large numbers of enzyme-14 based anti-cancer therapies are currently undergoing clinical evaluation. It is encouraging that arginase and arginine deiminase already have achieved considerable success, without causing 15 detrimental side effects and with high tolerability.^{51,63,141} The knowledge acquired about the 16 PEGylation has helped in the generation of adducts of potential value, overcoming the 17 serious limitations of the anti-cancer enzymes of the non-human origin. The approach of 18 enzyme-mediated arginine deprivation therapy is highly challenging, however rewarding 19 20 upon success due to the provision of overturning the cancer dogma.

21 Acknowledgments

MP gratefully acknowledges Department of Biotechnology (DBT), New Delhi, India for the award of Senior Research Fellowship. Authors are also thankful to Prof. Rakesh K. Jain, Department of Radiation Oncology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical

| 1 | Schoo | ol, USA; Dr. Utpal Mohan, Department of Biotechnology, NIPER, Guwahati, India and |
|----|--------|---|
| 2 | Dr. U | mesh Patil, School of Chemical Sciences, North Maharashtra University, Maharashtra, |
| 3 | India | for the assistance provided during preparation of the manuscript. We apologize to those |
| 4 | author | rs whose work could not be cited owing to space limitations. |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | Refer | ences |
| 7 | 1) | Wu G. Functional amino acids in nutrition and health. Amino Acids 2013; 45: 407- |
| 8 | | 411. |
| 9 | 2) | F. Loreni, M. Mancino, S. Biffo, Translation factors and ribosomal proteins control |
| 10 | | tumor onset and progression: how? Oncogene 2014; 33: 2145-2156. |
| 11 | 3) | Proud CG. Control of the translational machinery by amino acids. Am J Clin Nutr |
| 12 | | 2014; 99 : 231s-236s. |
| 13 | 4) | Luo J-Q, Chen D-W, Yu B. Upregulation of amino acid transporter expression |
| 14 | | induced by l-leucine availability in L6 myotubes is associated with ATF4 signaling |
| 15 | | through mTORC1-dependent mechanism. Nutr 2013; 29: 284-90. |
| 16 | 5) | Palii SS, Kays CE, Deval C, Bruhat A, Fafournoux P, Kilberg MS. Specificity of |
| 17 | | amino acid regulated gene expression: analysis of genes subjected to either complete |
| 18 | | or single amino acid deprivation. Amino Acids 2009; 37: 79-88. |
| 19 | 6) | Qie S, Liang D, Yin C, Gu W, Meng M, Wang C et al. Glutamine depletion and |
| 20 | | glucose depletion trigger growth inhibition via distinctive gene expression |
| 21 | | reprogramming. Cell Cycle 2012; 11: 3679-3690. |
| 22 | 7) | Agrawal V, Alpini SEJ, Stone EM, Frenkel EP, Frankel AE. Targeting methionine |
| 23 | | auxotrophy in cancer: discovery & exploration. Expert Opin Biol Ther 2012; 12: 53- |
| 24 | | 61. |

| 1 | 8) | Wu G, Wu Z, Dai Z, Yang Y, Wang W, Liu C et al. Dietary requirements of |
|----|-----|---|
| 2 | | "nutritionally non-essential amino acids" by animals and humans. Amino Acids |
| 3 | | 2013; 44 : 1107–1113 |
| 4 | 9) | Fu YM, Yu Z-X, Li Y-Q, Ge X, Sanchez PJ, Fu X et al. Specific amino acid |
| 5 | | dependency regulates invasiveness and viability of androgen-independent prostate |
| 6 | | cancer cells. <i>Nutr Cancer</i> 2003; 45 : 60–73. |
| 7 | 10) | Icard P, Lincet H. A global view of the biochemical pathways involved in the |
| 8 | | regulation of the metabolism of cancer cells. Biochim Biophys Acta Rev Cancer 2012; |
| 9 | | 1826 : 423-433. |
| 10 | 11) | Cantor JR, Sabatini DM. Cancer cell metabolism: One hallmark, many faces. Cancer |
| 11 | | Disc 2012; 2 : 881-898. |
| 12 | 12) | Locasale JW, Cantley LC. Metabolic flux and the regulation of mammalian cell |
| 13 | | growth, Cancer Cell 2011; 14: 443-451. |
| 14 | 13) | Ferreira LMR, Hebrant A, Dumont JE. Metabolic reprogramming of the tumor. |
| 15 | | Oncogene 2012; 31 : 3999-4011. |
| 16 | 14) | Yamamoto T, Takano N, Ishiwata K, Ohmura M, Nagahata Y, Matsuura T, et al. |
| 17 | | Reduced methylation of PFKFB3 in cancer cells shunts glucose towards the pentose |
| 18 | | phosphate pathway. Nat Commun 2014; 5: DOI: 10.1038/ncomms4480. |
| 19 | 15) | Matthew G, Heiden V. Targeting cancer metabolism: a therapeutic window opens. |
| 20 | | <i>Nat Rev Drug Disc</i> 2011; 10 : 671-684. |
| 21 | 16) | Ward PS, Thompson CB. Metabolic reprogramming: a cancer hallmark even Warburg |
| 22 | | did not anticipate. Cancer Cell 2012; 21: 297-308. |
| 23 | 17) | Gelb T, Pshenichkin S, Rodriguez OC, Hathaway HA, Grajkowska E, DiRaddo JO et |
| 24 | | al. Metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 acts as a dependence receptor creating a |

1 requirement for glutamate to sustain the viability and growth of human melanomas.

2 *Oncogene* 2015; **34:**2711-2720.

- 3 18) Cetinbas N, Daugaard M, Mullen AR, Hajee S, Rotblat B, Lopez A et al. Loss of the
 4 tumor suppressor Hace1 leads to ROS-dependent glutamine addiction. *Oncogene*5 2015; 34:4005-4010.
- 6 19) Graham ML. Pegaspargase:a review of clinical studies. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* 2003; 55:
 7 1293-1302.
- 8 20) Durden DL, Distasio JA. Characterization of the effects of asparaginase from
 9 *Escherichia coli* and a glutaminase-free asparaginase from *Vibrio succinogenes* on
 10 specific cell-mediated cytotoxicity. *Int J cancer* 1981; 27: 59-65.
- Dodd KM, Tee AR. Leucine and mTORC1: a complex relationship. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2012; **302**: E1329–E1342.
- 13 22) Takano N, Sarfraz Y, Gilkes DM, Chaturvedi P, Xiang L, Suematsu M, *et al.* Mol
 14 *Cancer Res* 2014; **12**: 1398-1406.
- Wu G, Bazer FW, Davis TA, Kim SW, Li P, Rhoads JM *et al.* Arginine metabolism
 and nutrition in growth, health and disease. *Amino Acids* 2009; **37**: 153–168.
- Appleton J. Arginine: Clinical potential of a semi-essential amino acid. *Altern Med Rev* 2007; 7: 512-522.
- Feun LG, Marini A, Walker G, Elgart G, Moffat F, Rodgers SE *et al.* Negative
 argininosuccinate synthetase expression in melanoma tumors may predict clinical
 benefit from arginine-depleting therapy with pegylated arginine deiminase. *Br J Cancer* 2012; 106: 1481-1485.
- 23 26) Delage B, Luong P, Maharaj L, O'Riain C, Syed N, Crook T *et al.* Promoter
 24 methylation of argininosuccinate synthetase-1 sensitises lymphomas to arginine

- deiminase treatment, autophagy and caspase-dependent apoptosis. *Cell Death Dis* 2012; 3: e342.
- Wheatley DN. Controlling cancer by restricting arginine availability-arginine
 catabolizing enzymes as anticancer agents. *Anti-Cancer Drugs* 2004; 15: 825-833.
- 5 28) García-Navas R, Munder M, Mollinedo F. Depletion of L-arginine induces autophagy
 6 as a cytoprotective response to endoplasmic reticulum stress in human T
 7 lymphocytes. *Autophagy* 2012; 8: 1557–1576
- 8 29) Synakiewicz A, Stachowicz-Stencel T, Adamkiewicz-Drozynska E. The role of
 9 arginine and the modified arginine deiminase enzyme ADI-PEG 20 in cancer therapy
 10 with special *emphasis* on phase I/II clinical trials. *Expert Opin Inv Drug* 2014; 23:
 11 1517-1529.
- 12 30) Dillon BJ, Holtsberg FW, Ensor CM, Bomalaski JS, Clark MA. Biochemical
 13 characterization of the arginine degrading enzymes arginase and arginine deiminase
 14 and their effect on nitric oxide production. Med Sci Monit 2002; 8: BR248- BR253.
- 15 31) Qui F, Huang J, Sui M. Targeting arginine metabolism pathway to treat argininedependent cancers. *Cancer Lett* 2015; 364: 1-7.
- 17 32) Phillips MM, Sheaff MT, Szlosarek PW. Targeting arginine-dependent cancers with
- arginine-degrading enzymes: Opportunities and challenges. *Cancer Res Treat* 2013;
 45: 251-262.
- Noh EJ, Kang SW, Shin YJ, Choi SH, Kim CG, Park IS *et al.* Arginine deiminase
 enhances dexamethasone-induced cytotoxicity in human T-lymphoblastic leukemia
 CCRF-CEM cells. *Int J Cancer* 2004; **112**: 502-508.
- 34) Stasyk OV, Boretsky YR, Gonchar MV, Sibirny AA. Recombinant argininedegrading enzymes in metabolic anticancer therapy and bioanalytics. *Cell Biol Int*2015; **39**:246-252. DOI: 10.1002/cbin.10383

| 1 | 35) | Feun LG, Kuo MT Savaraj N. Arginine deprivation in cancer therapy. <i>Curr Opin Clin</i> |
|----|-----|--|
| 2 | | Nutr 2015; 18: 78-82. doi: 10.1097/MCO.0000000000000122 |
| 3 | 36) | Shirai H, Blundell TL, Mizuguchi K. A novel superfamily of enzymes that catalyse |
| 4 | | the modification of guanidine groups. Trends Biochem Sci 2001; 26: 465-468. |
| 5 | 37) | Huang H-Y, Wu H-Y, Wang Y-H, Wang J-W, Fang F-M, Tsai J-W et al. ASS1 as a |
| 6 | | novel tumor suppressor gene in myxofibrosarcomas: Aberrant loss via epigenetic |
| 7 | | DNA methylation confers aggressive phenotypes, negative prognostic impact, and |
| 8 | | therapeutic relevance. Clin Cancer Res 2013; 19: 2861–2872. |
| 9 | 38) | Savaraj N, You M, Wu C, Wangpaichitr M, Kuo MT, Feun LG. Arginine deprivation, |
| 10 | | autophagy, apoptosis (AAA) for the treatment of melanoma. Curr Mol Med 2010; 10: |
| 11 | | 405-412. |
| 12 | 39) | Kelly MP, Jungbluth AA, Wu B-W, Bomalaski J, Old LJ, Ritter G. Arginine |
| 13 | | deiminase PEG20 inhibits growth of small cell lung cancers lacking expression of |
| 14 | | argininosuccinate synthetase. Br J Cancer 2012; 106: 324-32. |
| 15 | 40) | Manca A, Sini MC, Izzo F, Ascierto P, Tatangelo F, Botti G et al. Induction of |
| 16 | | arginosuccinate synthetase (ASS) expression affects the antiproliferative activity of |
| 17 | | arginine deiminase (ADI) in melanoma cells. Oncol Rep 2011; 25: 1495-1502. |
| 18 | 41) | Jungbluth AA, Tassello J, Frosina D, Hanson N, Ritter G, Wu B-W et al. Expression |
| 19 | | pattern of Argininosuccinate-Synthetase (ASS) in normal and tumor tissue as a |
| 20 | | marker for susceptibility to Arginine-Deiminase (ADI) therapy. Mod Pathol 2010; 23 |
| 21 | | (Suppl 1): 387A. |
| 22 | 42) | Savaraj N, Wu C, Li YY, Wangpaichitr M, you M, Bomalaski J. Targeting |
| 23 | | argininosuccinate synthetase negative melanomas using combination of arginine |
| 24 | | degrading enzyme and cisplatin. Oncotarget 2015; 6: 6295-6309. |

| 1 | 43) | Miyazaki K, Takaku H, Umeda M, Fujita T, Huang W, Kimura T, et al. Potent growth |
|----|-----|---|
| 2 | | inhibition of human tumor cells in culture by arginine deiminase purified from a |
| 3 | | culture medium of a <i>Mycoplasma</i> -infected cell line. <i>Cancer Res</i> 1990; 50 : 4522-4527. |
| 4 | 44) | Sugimura K, Ohno T, Kusuyama T, Azuma I. High sensitivity of human melanoma |
| 5 | | cell lines to the growth inhibitory activity of mycoplasmal arginine deiminase in vitro. |
| 6 | | <i>Melanoma Res</i> 1992; 2 : 191-196. |
| 7 | 45) | Takaku H, Misawa S, Hayashi H, Miyazaki K. Chemical modification by |
| 8 | | polyethylene glycol of the anti-tumor enzyme arginine deiminase from Mycoplasma |
| 9 | | arginini. Jpn J Cancer Res 1993; 84: 1195-1200. |
| 10 | 46) | Holtsberg FW, Ensor CM, Steiner MR, Bomalaski JS, Clark MA. Poly (ethylene |
| 11 | | glycol) (PEG) conjugated arginine deiminase: effects of PEG formulations on its |
| 12 | | pharmacological properties. J Control Release 2002; 80: 259-271. |
| 13 | 47) | Ensor CM, Holtsberg FW, Bomalaski JS, Clark MA. Pegylated arginine deiminase |
| 14 | | (ADI-SS PEG20,000mw) inhibits human melanomas and hepatocellular carcinomas |
| 15 | | in vitro and in vivo. Cancer Res 2002; 62: 5443-5450. |
| 16 | 48) | Zhang L, Liu M, Jamil S, Han R, Xu G, Ni Y. PEGylation and pharmacological |
| 17 | | characterization of a potential anti-tumor drug, an engineered arginine deiminase |
| 18 | | originated from Pseudomonas plecoglossicida. Cancer Lett 2015; 357: 346-354. |
| 19 | 49) | Ni Y, Schwaneberg U, Sun ZH. Arginine deiminase, a potential anti-tumor drug. |
| 20 | | <i>Cancer Lett</i> 2008; 261 : 1-11. |
| 21 | 50) | Yoon J, Frankel AE, Feun LG, Ekmekcioglu S, Kim KB. Arginine deprivation |
| 22 | | therapy for malignant melanoma. J Clin Pharmacol 2013; 5: 11-19. |
| 23 | 51) | Glazer ES, Piccirillo M, Albino V, Di Giacomo R, Palaia R, Mastro AA et al. Phase |
| 24 | | II study of pegylated arginine deiminase for nonresectable and metastatic |
| 25 | | hepatocellular carcinoma. J Clin Oncol 2010; 28: 2220-2226. |
| | | |

- Scierto PA, Scala S, Castello G, Daponte A, Simeone E, Ottaiano A *et al.* Pegylated
 arginine deiminase treatment of patients with metastatic melanoma: results from
 phase I and II studies. *J Clin Oncol* 2005; 23: 7660–7668.
- Kobayashi E, Masuda M, Nakayama R, Ichikawa H, Satow R, Shitashige M *et al.*Reduced argininosuccinate synthetase is a predictive biomarker for the development
 of pulmonary metastasis in patients with osteosarcoma. *Mol Cancer Ther* 2010; 9:
 535-544.
- 8 54) Syed N, Langer J, Janczar K, Singh P, Lo Nigro C, Lattanzio L *et al.* Epigenetic
 9 status of argininosuccinate synthetase and argininosuccinate lyase modulates
 10 autophagy and cell death in glioblastoma. *Cell Death Dis* 2013; 4: e458,
 11 DOI:10.1038/cddis.2012.197.
- 12 55) Nicholson LJ, Smith PR, Hiller L, Szlosarek PW, Kimberley C, Sehouli J *et al.* 13 Epigenetic silencing of argininosuccinate synthetase confers resistance to platinum 14 induced cell death but collateral sensitivity to arginine auxotrophy in ovarian cancer.
 15 *Int J Cancer* 2009; **125**: 1454-1463.
- Szlosarek PW, Klabatsa A, Pallaska A, Sheaff M, Smith P, Crook T *et al. In vivo* loss
 of expression of argininosuccinate synthetase in malignant pleural mesothelioma is a
 biomarker for susceptibility to arginine depletion. Clin Cancer Res 2006; 12: 71267131.
- 20 57) Rabinovich S, Adler L, Yizhak K, Sarver A, Silberman A, Agron S et al. Diversion of
 21 aspartate in ASS1-deficient tumours fosters *de novo* pyrimidine synthesis. *Nature*22 2015; **527**: 379-383. DOI:10.1038/nature15529.
- 58) Feun L, Savaraj N. Pegylated arginine deiminase: A novel anticancer enzyme agent. *Expert Opin Investig Drugs* 2006; 15: 815-822.

| 1 | 59) | Bobak YP, Vynnytska BO, Kurlishchuk YV, Sibirny AA, Stasyk OV. Cancer cell |
|----|-----|---|
| 2 | | sensitivity to arginine deprivation in vitro is not determined by endogenous levels of |
| 3 | | arginine metabolic enzymes. Cell Biol Int 2010; 34: 1085-1089. |
| 4 | 60) | Tsai WB, Aiba I, Lee SY, Feun L, Savaraj N, Kuo MT. Resistance to arginine |
| 5 | | deiminase treatment in melanoma cells is associated with induced argininosuccinate |
| 6 | | synthetase expression involving c-Myc/HIF-1α/Sp4. Mol Cancer Ther 2009; 8: 3223- |
| 7 | | 3233. |
| 8 | 61) | Tsai WB, Aiba I, Long Y, Lin HK, Feun L, Savaraj N et al. Activation of |
| 9 | | Ras/PI3K/ERK pathway induces c-Myc stabilization to upregulate argininosuccinate |
| 10 | | synthetase, leading to arginine deiminase resistance in melanoma cells. Cancer Res |
| 11 | | 2012; 72 : 2622-2633. |
| 12 | 62) | Long Y, Tsai W-B, Wangpaichitr M, Tsukamoto T, Savaraj N, Feun LG et al. |
| 13 | | Arginine deiminase resistance in melanoma cells is associated with metabolic |
| 14 | | reprogramming, glucose dependence and glutamine addiction. Mol Cancer Ther |
| 15 | | 2013; 12 : 2581-2590. |
| 16 | 63) | Feun L, You M, Wu CJ, Kuo MT, Wangpaichitr M, Spector S et al. Arginine |
| 17 | | deprivation as a targeted therapy for cancer. Curr Pharm Des 2008; 14: 1049-1057. |
| 18 | 64) | Szlosarek PW, Luong P, Phillips MM, Baccarini M, Ellis S, Szyszko T et al. |
| 19 | | Metabolic response to pegylated arginine deiminase in mesothelioma with promoter |
| 20 | | methylation of argininosuccinate synthetase. J Clin Oncol 2013; 31 : e111-e113. DOI: |
| 21 | | 10.1200/JCO.2012.42.1784. |
| 22 | 65) | Yang TS, Lu SN, Chao Y, Sheen IS, Lin CC, Wang TE et al. A randomised phase II |
| 23 | | study of pegylated arginine deiminase (ADI-PEG 20) in Asian advanced |
| 24 | | hepatocellular carcinoma patients. Br J Cancer 2010; 103: 954-960. |
| | | |

| 1 | 66) | You M, Savaraj N, Wangpaichitr M, Wu C, Kuo TM, Varona-Santos J et al. The |
|----|-----|---|
| 2 | | combination of ADI-PEG20 and TRAIL effectively increases cell death in melanoma |
| 3 | | cell lines. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2010; 394: 760-766. |
| 4 | 67) | Feun LG, Wu G, Clark M, Bombalaski J, Holtsberg F, Wangpaijit M et al. |
| 5 | | Mechanism of anti-tumor effect of arginine deiminase-polyethylene (ADI-PEG20) |
| 6 | | and the possible mechanism of resistance in melanoma. Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res |
| 7 | | 2004; 45: Abstract number 4565. |
| 8 | 68) | Wangpaichitr M, Wu C, Bigford G, Theodoropoulos G, You M, Li YY et al. |
| 9 | | Combination of Arginine Deprivation with TRAIL Treatment as a Targeted-Therapy |
| 10 | | for Mesothelioma. Anticancer Res 2014; 34: 6991-7000. |
| 11 | 69) | You M, Savaraj N, Kuo MT, Wangpaichitr M, Varona-Santos J, Wu C et al. TRAIL |
| 12 | | induces autophagic protein cleavage through caspase activation in melanoma cell |
| 13 | | lines under arginine deprivation. Mol Cell Biochem 2013; 374: 181-190. |
| 14 | 70) | Kim RH, Coates JM, Bowles TL, McNerney GP, Sutcliffe J, Jung JU et al. Arginine |
| 15 | | deiminase as a novel therapy for prostate cancer induces autophagy and caspase- |
| 16 | | independent apoptosis. Cancer Res 2009; 69: 700-708. |
| 17 | 71) | Kim RH, Bold RJ, Kung HJ. ADI, autophagy and apoptosis: Metabolic stress as a |
| 18 | | therapeutic option for prostate cancer. Autophagy 2009; 5: 567-568. |
| 19 | 72) | Savaraj N, Wu C, Kuo MT, You M, Wangpaichitr M, Robles C et al. The relationship |
| 20 | | of arginine deprivation, argininosuccinate synthetase and cell death in melanoma. |
| 21 | | Drug Target Insights 2007; 2: 119–128. |
| 22 | 73) | Gong H, Zölzer F, Recklinghausen G, Havers W, Schweigerer L. Arginine deiminase |
| 23 | | inhibits proliferation of human leukemia cells more potently than asparaginase by |
| 24 | | inducing cell cycle arrest and apoptosis. Leukemia 2000; 14: 826-829. |
| | | |

| 1 | 74) | Bowles TL, Kim R, Galante J, Parsons CM, Virudachalam S, Kung HJ et al. |
|----|-----|---|
| 2 | | Pancreatic cancer cell lines deficient in argininosuccinate synthetase are sensitive to |
| 3 | | arginine deprivation by arginine deiminase. Int J Cancer 2008; 123: 1950-1955. |
| 4 | 75) | Surova O, Zhivotovsky B. Various modes of cell death induced by DNA damage. |
| 5 | | Oncogene 2013; 32: 3789–3797 |
| 6 | 76) | Changou CA, Chen Y-R, Xing L, Yen Y , Chuang FYS, Cheng RH et al. Arginine |
| 7 | | starvation-associated atypical cellular death involves mitochondrial dysfunction, |
| 8 | | nuclear DNA leakage, and chromatin autophagy. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2014; 111: |
| 9 | | 14147-14152. |
| 10 | 77) | Kung H-J, Changou CA, Li C-F, Ann DK. Chromatophagy: Autophagy goes nuclear |
| 11 | | and captures broken chromatin during arginine-starvation. Autophagy 2015; 11: 419- |
| 12 | | 421. |
| 13 | 78) | Gong H, Zölzer F, Recklinghausen GV, Rössler J, Breit S, Havers W et al. Arginine |
| 14 | | deiminase inhibits cell proliferation by arresting cell cycle and inducing apoptosis. |
| 15 | | Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1999; 261: 10-14. |
| 16 | 79) | Lorenzo HK, Susin SA. Mitochondrial effectors in caspase-independent cell death. |
| 17 | | FEBS Lett 2004; 557 : 14-20. |
| 18 | 80) | Polster BM. AIF, reactive oxygen species, and neurodegeneration: A "complex" |
| 19 | | problem. Neurochem Int 2013; 62: 695-702. |
| 20 | 81) | Norberg E, Orrenius S, Zhivotovsky B. Mitochondrial regulation of cell death: |
| 21 | | processing of apoptosis-inducing factor (AIF). Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2010; |
| 22 | | 396 : 95–100. |
| 23 | 82) | Zhu C, Wang X, Deinum J, Huang Z, Gao J, Modjtahedi N et al. Cyclophilin A |
| 24 | | participates in the nuclear translocation of apoptosis-inducing factor in neurons |
| 25 | | after cerebral hypoxia-ischemia. J Exp Med 2007; 204: 1741–1748. |

| 1 | 83) | Pradelli LA, Bénéteau M, Ricci J-E. Mitochondrial control of caspase-dependent and |
|----|-----|---|
| 2 | | -independent cell death. Cell Mol Life Sci 2010; 67: 1589-1597. |
| 3 | 84) | Ulukaya E, Acilan C, Yilmaz Y. Apoptosis: why and how does it occur in biology? |
| 4 | | <i>Cell Biochem Funct</i> 2011; 29 : 468–480. |
| 5 | 85) | Shen LJ, Beloussow K, Shen WC. Modulation of arginine metabolic pathways as the |
| 6 | | potential anti-tumor mechanism of recombinant arginine deiminase. Cancer Lett |
| 7 | | 2006; 231 : 30-35. |
| 8 | 86) | Mandal S, Mandal A, Johansson HE, Orjalo AV, Park MH. Depletion of cellular |
| 9 | | polyamines, spermidine and spermine, causes a total arrest in translation and growth |
| 10 | | in mammalian cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2013; 110: 2169-2174. |
| 11 | 87) | Gerner EW, Meyskens FL Jr. Polyamines and cancer: old molecules, new |
| 12 | | understanding. Nat Rev Cancer 2004; 4: 781-792. |
| 13 | 88) | Ohmura M, Hishiki T, Yamamoto T, Nakanishi T, Kubo A, Tsuchihashi K et al. |
| 14 | | Impacts of CD44 knockdown in cancer cells on tumor and host metabolic systems |
| 15 | | revealed by quantitative imaging mass spectrometry. <i>Nitric Oxide</i> 2015; 46: 102–113. |
| 16 | 89) | Folkman J. What is the evidence that tumors are angiogenesis dependent? J Natl |
| 17 | | Cancer Inst 1990; 82: 4-6. |
| 18 | 90) | Jain RK. Tumor angiogenesis and accessibility: Role of vascular endothelial growth |
| 19 | | factor. Semin Oncol 2002; 29: 3-9. |
| 20 | 91) | Cao Z, Shang B, Zhang G, Miele L, Sarkar FH, Wang F et al. Tumor cell-mediated |
| 21 | | neovascularisation and lymphangiogenesis contrive tumor progression and cancer |
| 22 | | metastasis. Biochim Biophys Acta Rev Cancer 2013; 1836: 273-286. |
| 23 | 92) | Stapor P, Wang X, Goveia J, Moens S, Carmeliet P. Angiogenesis revisited – role and |
| 24 | | therapeutic potential of targeting endothelial metabolism. J Cell Sci 2014; 127: 4331- |
| 25 | | 4341. |

| 1 | 93) | Goel S, Duda DG, Xu L, Munn LL, Boucher Y, Fukumura D et al. Normalization of |
|----|------|---|
| 2 | | the vasculature for treatment of cancer and other diseases. Physiol Rev 2011; 91: |
| 3 | | 1071-1121. |
| 4 | 94) | Cantelmo AR, Brajic A, Carmeliet P. Endothelial Metabolism Driving Angiogenesis: |
| 5 | | Emerging Concepts and Principles. Cancer J 2015; 21: 244-249. |
| 6 | 95) | Chamorro-Jorganes A, Lee MY, Araldi E, Landskroner-Eiger S, Fernández-Fuertes |
| 7 | | M, Sahraei M, et al. VEGF-induced expression of miR-17~92 cluster in endothelial |
| 8 | | cells is mediated by ERK/ELK1 activation and regulates angiogenesis. Circ Res 2015; |
| 9 | | DOI: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.115.307408. |
| 10 | 96) | Zhao D, Pan C, Sun J, Gilbert C, Drews-Elger K, Azzam DJ et al. VEGF drives |
| 11 | | cancer-initiating stem cells through VEGFR-2/Stat3 signaling to upregulate Myc and |
| 12 | | Sox2. Oncogene 2015; 34 :3107-3119. |
| 13 | 97) | Kalucka J, Missiaen R, Georgiadou M, Schoors S, Lange C, De Bock K. Metabolic |
| 14 | | control of the cell cycle. Cell cycle 2015. DOI: 10.1080/15384101.2015.1090068. |
| 15 | 98) | Moens S, Goveia J, Stapor PC, Cantelmo AR, Carmeliet P. The multifaceted activity |
| 16 | | of VEGF in angiogenesis-Implications for therapy responses. Cytokine Growth |
| 17 | | Factor Rev 2014; 25 : 473-482. |
| 18 | 99) | Eelen G, Zeeuw P, Simons M, Carmeliet P. Endothelial cell metabolism in normal |
| 19 | | and diseased vasculature. Circ Res 2015; 116: 1231-1244. |
| 20 | 100) | Zecchin A, Stapor PC, Goveia J, Carmeliet P. Metabolic pathway |
| 21 | | compartmentalization: an underappreciated opportunity? Curr Opin Biotech 2015; 34: |
| 22 | | 73-81. |
| 23 | 101) | Beloussow K, Wang L, Wu J, Ann D, Shen W-C. Recombinant arginine deiminase as |
| 24 | | a potential anti-angiogenic agent. Cancer Lett 2002; 183: 155-162. |

| 1 | 102) | Park I-S, Kang S-W, Shin Y-J, Chae K-Y, Park M-O, Kim M-Y et al. Arginine |
|----|------|---|
| 2 | | deiminase: a potential inhibitor of angiogenesis and tumor growth. Br J Cancer 2003; |
| 3 | | 89 : 907-914. |
| 4 | 103) | Thomas JB, Holtsberg FW, Ensor CM, Bomalaski JS, Clark MA. Enzymic |
| 5 | | degradation of plasma arginine using arginine deiminase inhibits nitric oxide |
| 6 | | production and protects mice from the lethal effects of tumor necrosis factor $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ and |
| 7 | | endotoxin. <i>Biochem J</i> 2002; 363 : 581-587. |
| 8 | 104) | Noh EJ, Kang SW, Shin YJ, Kim DC, Park I-S, Kim MY et al. Characterization of |
| 9 | | Mycoplasma arginini deiminase expressed in E. coli and its inhibitory regulation of |
| 10 | | nitric oxide synthesis. Mol Cells 2002; 13: 137-143. |
| 11 | 105) | Fraisl P. Crosstalk between oxygen- and nitric oxide-dependent signaling pathways in |
| 12 | | angiogenesis. Exp Cell Res 2013; 319: 1331-1339. |
| 13 | 106) | Morbidelli L, Donnini S, Ziche M. Role of nitric oxide in tumor angiogenesis. Cancer |
| 14 | | <i>Treat Res</i> 2004; 117 : 155-167. |
| 15 | 107) | Jurasz P, Sawicki G, Duszyk M, Sawicka J, Miranda C, Mayers I et al. Matrix |
| 16 | | metalloproteinase 2 in tumor cell-induced platelet aggregation: Regulation by nitric |
| 17 | | oxide. Cancer Res. 2001: 61: 376-382. |
| 18 | 108) | Carreau A, Kieda C, Grillon C. Nitric oxide modulates the expression of endothelial |
| 19 | | cell adhesion molecules involved in angiogenesis and leukocyte recruitment. Exp Cell |
| 20 | | <i>Res</i> 2011; 317 : 29-41. |
| 21 | 109) | Fukumura D, Kashiwagi S, Jain RK. The role of nitric oxide in tumour progression. |
| 22 | | <i>Nat Rev Cancer</i> 2006; 6 : 521-534. |
| 23 | 110) | Lee MY, Luciano AK, Ackah E, Rodriguez-Vita J, Bancroft TA, Eichmann A, et al. |
| 24 | | Endothelial Akt1 mediates angiogenesis by phosphorylating multiple angiogenic |
| 25 | | substrates. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2014; 111: 12865-12870. |
| | | |

| 1 | 111) | Fukumura D, Gohongi T, Kadambi A, Izumi Y, Ang J, Yun CO et al. Predominant |
|----|------|---|
| 2 | | role of endothelial nitric oxide synthase in vascular endothelial growth factor-induced |
| 3 | | angiogenesis and vascular permeability. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2001; 98: 2604- |
| 4 | | 2609. |
| 5 | 112) | Kashiwagi S, Izumi Y, Gohongi T, Demou ZN, Xu L, Huang PL et al. NO mediates |
| 6 | | mural cell recruitment and vessel morphogenesis in murine melanomas and tissue- |
| 7 | | engineered blood vessels. J Clin Invest 2005; 115: 1816–1827. |
| 8 | 113) | Kashiwagi S, Tsukada K, Xu L, Miyazaki J, Kozin SV, Tyrrell JA et al. Perivascular |
| 9 | | nitric oxide gradients normalize tumor vasculature. Nat Med 2008; 14: 255-257. |
| 10 | 114) | Roberts DD, Isenberg JS, Ridnour LA, Wink DA. Nitric oxide and its gatekeeper |
| 11 | | thrombospondin-1 in tumor angiogenesis. Clin Cancer Res 2007; 13: 795-798. |
| 12 | 115) | McAlpine JA, Lu H-T, Wu KC, Knowles SK, Thomson JA. Down-regulation of |
| 13 | | argininosuccinate synthetase is associated with cisplatin resistance in hepatocellular |
| 14 | | carcinoma cell lines: implications for PEGylated arginine deiminase combination |
| 15 | | therapy. BMC Cancer 2014; 14: 621. |
| 16 | 116) | Liu J, Ma J, Wu Z, Li W, Zhang D, Han L et al. Arginine deiminase augments the |
| 17 | | chemosensitivity of argininosuccinate synthetase-deficient pancreatic cancer cells to |
| 18 | | gemcitabine via inhibition of NF-κB signaling. BMC Cancer 2014; 14: 686. |
| 19 | 117) | Allen MD, Luong P, Hudson C, Leyton J, Delage B, Ghazaly E et al. Prognostic and |
| 20 | | therapeutic impact of argininosuccinate synthetase 1 control in bladder cancer as |
| 21 | | monitored longitudinally by PET imaging. Cancer Res 2013; 74: 896-907. |
| 22 | 118) | Daylami R, Muilenburg DJ, Virudachalam S, Bold RJ. Pegylated arginine deiminase |
| 23 | | synergistically increases the cytotoxicity of gemcitabine in human pancreatic cancer. |
| 24 | | J Exp Clin Cancer Res 2014; 33: 102. |

| 1 | 119) | Jenkinson CP, Grody WW, Cederbaum SD. Comparative properties of arginases. |
|----|------|--|
| 2 | | Comp Biochem Physiol 1996; 114B: 107-132. |
| 3 | 120) | Wu G, Morris SM Jr. Arginine metabolism: nitric oxide and beyond. <i>Biochem J</i> 1998; |
| 4 | | 336 : 1-17. |
| 5 | 121) | Morris SM Jr. Regulation of enzymes of urea and arginine synthesis. Annu Rev Nutr |
| 6 | | 1992; 12 : 81-101. |
| 7 | 122) | Gotoh T, Sonoki T, Nagasaki A, Tereda K, Takiguchi M, Mori M. Molecular cloning |
| 8 | | of cDNA for nonhepatic mitochondrial arginase (arginase II) and comparison of its |
| 9 | | induction with nitric oxide synthase in a murine macrophage-like cell line. FEBS Lett |
| 10 | | 1996; 395 : 119-122. |
| 11 | 123) | Elms S, Chen F, Wang Y, Qian J, Askari B, Pandey D et al. Insights into the arginine |
| 12 | | paradox: Evidence against the importance of subcellular location of arginase and |
| 13 | | eNOS. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2013; 305: H651-H666. |
| 14 | 124) | Morris SM Jr. Arginine metabolism: Boundaries of our Knowledge. J Nutr 2007; 137: |
| 15 | | 1602S–1609S. |
| 16 | 125) | Li H, Meininger CJ, Hawker JR Jr., Haynes TE, Kepka-Lenhart D, Mistry SK et al. |
| 17 | | Regulatory role of arginase I and II in nitric oxide, polyamine, and proline syntheses |
| 18 | | in endothelial cells. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 2001; 280: E75-E82. |
| 19 | 126) | Bach SJ, Lasnitzki I. Some aspects of the role of arginine and arginase in mouse |
| 20 | | carcinoma. Enzymologia 1947: 12: 198-205. |
| 21 | 127) | Bach SJ, Maw GA. Creatine synthesis by tumor-bearing rats. Biochim Biophys Acta |
| 22 | | 1953; 11 : 69-78. |
| 23 | 128) | Koji T, Terayama H. Arginase as one of the inhibitory principles in the density- |
| 24 | | dependent as well as plasma membrane-mediated inhibition of liver cell growth in |
| 25 | | vitro. Exp Cell Res 1984; 155: 359-370. |

| 1 | 129) | Terayama H, Koji T, Kontani M, Okumoto T. Arginase is an inhibitory principle in |
|----|------|---|
| 2 | | liver growth of various mammalian cells in vitro Plasma membranes arresting the |
| 3 | | growth of various mammalian cells in vitro. Biochim Biophys Acta 1982; 720: 188- |
| 4 | | 192. |
| 5 | 130) | Huang M-H, Yang C-C, Wang C-C. Inhibition of lymphocyte proliferation by liver |
| 6 | | arginase. Life Sci 1992; 51: 1725-1730. |
| 7 | 131) | Cheng PNM, Lam TL, Lam WM, Tsui SM, Cheng AWM, Lo WH et al. Pegylated |
| 8 | | recombinant human arginase (rharg-peg 5,000mw) inhibits the in vitro and in vivo |
| 9 | | proliferation of human hepatocellular carcinoma through arginine depletion. Cancer |
| 10 | | <i>Res</i> 2007; 67 : 309-317. |
| 11 | 132) | Wheatley DN, Campbell E. Arginine deprivation, growth inhibition and tumor cell |
| 12 | | death: 3. Deficient utilisation of citrulline by malignant cells. Br J Cancer 2003; 89: |
| 13 | | 573-576. |
| 14 | 133) | Tsui SM, Lam WM, Lam TL, Chong HC, So PK, Kwok SY et al. Pegylated |
| 15 | | derivatives of recombinant human arginase (rhArg1) for sustained in vivo activity in |
| 16 | | cancer therapy: preparation, characterization and analysis of their pharmacodynamics |
| 17 | | in vivo and in vitro and action upon hepatocellular carcinoma cell (HCC). Cancer Cell |
| 18 | | <i>Int</i> 2009; 9 : 9. |
| 19 | 134) | Stone EM, Glazer ES, Chantranupong L, Cherukuri P, Breece RM, Tierney DL et al. |
| 20 | | Replacing Mn^{2+} with Co^{2+} in human arginase I enhances cytotoxicity toward L- |
| 21 | | arginine auxotrophic cancer cell lines. ACS Chem Biol 2010; 5: 333-342. |
| 22 | 135) | Glazer ES, Stone EM, Zhu C, Massey KL, Hamir AN, Curley SA. Bioengineered |
| 23 | | human arginase I with enhanced activity and stability controls hepatocellular and |
| 24 | | pancreatic carcinoma xenografts. Transl Oncol 2011; 4: 138-146. |

| 1 | 136) | Tanios R, Bekdash A, Kassab E, Stone E, Georgiou G, Frankel AE et al. Human |
|----|------|---|
| 2 | | recombinant arginase I(Co)-PEG5000 [HuArgI(Co)-PEG5000]-induced arginine |
| 3 | | depletion is selectively cytotoxic to human acute myeloid leukemia cells. Leukemia |
| 4 | | <i>Res</i> 2013; 37 : 1565-1571. |
| 5 | 137) | Khoury O, Ghazale N, Stone E, El-Sibai M, Frankel AE, Abi-Habib RJ. Human |
| 6 | | recombinant arginase I (Co)-PEG5000 [HuArgI(Co)-PEG5000]-induced arginine |
| 7 | | depletion is selectively cytotoxic to human glioblastoma cells. J Neuro-Oncol 2015; |
| 8 | | 122 : 75-85. DOI 10.1007/s11060-014-1698-5. |
| 9 | 138) | Li L, Wang Y, Chen J, Cheng B, Hu J, Zhou Y et al. An engineered arginase FC |
| 10 | | protein inhibits tumor growth In Vitro and In Vivo. Evid Based Complement Alternat |
| 11 | | Med 2013, Article ID 423129. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/423129. |
| 12 | 139) | Lam TL, Wong GKY, Chow HY, Chong HC, Chow TL, Knok SY et al. Recombinant |
| 13 | | human arginase inhibits the in vitro and in vivo proliferation of human melanoma by |
| 14 | | inducing cell cycle arrest and apoptosis. Pigment cell melanoma Res 2010; 24: 366- |
| 15 | | 376. |
| 16 | 140) | Lam TL, Wong GKY, Chong HC, Cheng PNM, Choi SC, Chow TL et al. |
| 17 | | Recombinant human arginase inhibits proliferation of human hepatocellular |
| 18 | | carcinoma by inducing cell cycle arrest. Cancer Lett 2009; 277: 91-100. |
| 19 | 141) | Yau T, Cheng PNM, Chan P, Chan W, Chen L, Yuen J et al. A phase 1 dose- |
| 20 | | escalating study of pegylated recombinant human arginase 1 (Peg-rhArg1) in patients |
| 21 | | with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. Invest New Drugs 2013; 31: 99-107. |
| 22 | 142) | Yau CC, Chan P, Pang R, Chan W, Cheng PNM, Poon R. A phase I study of |
| 23 | | recombinant human arginase I (rhArgI) for patients with advanced hepatocellular |
| 24 | | carcinoma. J Clin Oncol 2010; 28 (ASCO Annual meeting abstracts) e13503. |

| 1 | 143) | Ferraro E, Cecconi F. Autophagic and apoptotic response to stress signals in |
|----|------|---|
| 2 | | mammalian cells. Arch Biochem Biophys 2007; 462: 210-219. |
| 3 | 144) | Jiang P, Mizushima N. Autophagy and human diseases. Cell Res 2014; 24: 69-79. |
| 4 | | DOI:10.1038/cr.2013.161. |
| 5 | 145) | Gozuacik D, Kimchi A. Autophagy as a cell death and tumor suppressor mechanism. |
| 6 | | <i>Oncogene</i> 2004; 23 : 2891–2906. |
| 7 | 146) | Macintosh RL, Ryan KM. Autophagy in tumour cell death. Semin Cancer Biol 2013; |
| 8 | | 23 : 344-351. |
| 9 | 147) | Fulda S and Köge D. Cell death by autophagy: emerging molecular mechanisms and |
| 10 | | implications for cancer therapy. Oncogene 2015; 34:5105-5113. |
| 11 | 148) | Denton D, Nicolson S, Kumar S. Cell death by autophagy: facts and apparent |
| 12 | | artefacts. Cell Death Differ 2012; 19: 87–95. |
| 13 | 149) | Shen H-M., Codongo P. Autophagic cell death: Loch Ness monster or endangered |
| 14 | | species? Autophagy 2011; 7: 457-465. |
| 15 | 150) | Efeyan A, Zoncu R, Sabatini DM. Amino acids and mTORC1: from lysosomes to |
| 16 | | disease. Trends Mol Med 2012; 18: 524-533. |
| 17 | 151) | Beauchamp EM, Platanias LC. The evolution of the TOR pathway and its role in |
| 18 | | cancer. Oncogene 2013; 32: 3923–3932 |
| 19 | 152) | Yan L, Lamb RF. Amino acid sensing and regulation of mTORC1. Semin Cell Dev |
| 20 | | <i>Biol</i> 2012; 23 : 621-625. |
| 21 | 153) | Ryter SW, Cloonan SM, Choi AMK. Autophagy: A critical regulator of cellular |
| 22 | | metabolism and homeostasis. Mol Cells 2013; 36: 7-16. |
| 23 | 154) | Cui J, Gong Z, Shen H-M. The role of autophagy in liver cancer: Molecular |
| 24 | | mechanisms and potential therapeutic targets, Biochim Biophys Acta Rev Cancer |
| 25 | | 2013; 1836 : 15-26. |
| | | |

| 1 | 155) | Hara K, Yonezawa K, Weng QP, Kozlowski MT, Belham C, Avruch J. Amino acid |
|----|------|--|
| 2 | | sufficiency and mTOR regulate p70 S6 kinase and eIF-4E BP1 through a common |
| 3 | | effector mechanism. J Biol Chem 1998; 273: 14484-14494. |
| 4 | 156) | Hsueh EC, Knebel SM, Lo W-H, Leung Y-C, Cheng PNM, Hsueh CT. Deprivation of |
| 5 | | arginine by recombinant human arginase in prostate cancer cells. J Hematol Oncol |
| 6 | | 2012; 5 : 17-22. |
| 7 | 157) | Scott L, Lamb J, Smith S, Wheatley DN. Single amino acid (arginine) deprivation: |
| 8 | | rapid and selective death of cultured transformed and malignant cells. Br J Cancer |
| 9 | | 2000; 83 : 800-810. |
| 10 | 158) | Wang Y, Nartiss Y, Steipe B, McQuibban GA, Kim PK. ROS-induced mitochondrial |
| 11 | | depolarization initiates PARK2/PARKIN-dependent mitochondrial degradation by |
| 12 | | autophagy. Autophagy 2012; 8: 1462–1476. |
| 13 | 159) | Li Z-Y, Yang Y, Ming M, Liu B. Mitochondrial ROS generation for regulation of |
| 14 | | autophagic pathways in cancer. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2011; 414: 5-8. |
| 15 | 160) | Chen Y, McMillan-Ward E, Kong J, Israels SJ, Gibson SB. Oxidative stress induces |
| 16 | | autophagic cell death independent of apoptosis in transformed and cancer cells. Cell |
| 17 | | <i>Death Differ</i> 2008; 15 : 171–182. |
| 18 | 161) | Wang Z, ShiX, Li Y, Zeng X, Fan J, Sun Y et al. Involvement of autophagy in |
| 19 | | recombinant human arginase-induced cell apoptosis and growth inhibition of |
| 20 | | malignant melanoma cells. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2014; 98: 2485-2494. |
| 21 | 162) | Wu WKK, Coffelt SB, Cho CH, Wang XJ, Lee CW, Chan FKL et al. The autophagic |
| 22 | | paradox in cancer therapy. Oncogene 2012; 31: 939-953. |
| 23 | 163) | Rebsamen M, Pochini L, Stasyk T, de Arau'jo MEG, Galluccio M, Kandasamy RK et |
| 24 | | al. SLC38A9 is a component of the lysosomal amino acid sensing machinery that |
| 25 | | controls mTORC1. Nature 2015; 519: 477-481. |

| 1 | 164) | Wang S, Tsun Z-Y, Wolfson RL, Shen K, Wyant GA, Plovanich ME et al. Lysosomal |
|----|------|---|
| 2 | | amino acid transporter SLC38A9 signals arginine sufficiency to mTORC1. Science |
| 3 | | 2015; 347 :188-194. |
| 4 | 165) | Eng CH, Abraham RT. The autophagy conundrum in cancer: influence of tumorigenic |
| 5 | | metabolic reprogramming. Oncogene 2011; 30: 4687–4696. |
| 6 | 166) | Pérez E, Das G, Bergmann A, Baehrecke EH. Autophagy regulates tissue overgrowth |
| 7 | | in a context-dependent manner. Oncogene 2015; 34:3369-3376. |
| 8 | 167) | Tsujimoto Y, Shimizu S. Another way to die: autophagic programmed cell death. Cell |
| 9 | | <i>Death Differ</i> 2005; 12 : 1528–1534. |
| 10 | 168) | Wang Z, Shi X, Li Y, Fan J, Zeng X, Xian Z, et al. Blocking autophagy enhanced |
| 11 | | cytotoxicity induced by recombinant human arginase in triple-negative breast cancer |
| 12 | | cells. Cell Death Dis 2014; 5: e1563. doi:10.1038/cddis.2014.503 |
| 13 | 169) | Booth LA, Tavallai S, Hamed HA, Cruickshanks N, Dent P. The role of cell |
| 14 | | signalling in the crosstalk between autophagy and apoptosis. Cellular Signal 2014; |
| 15 | | 26 : 549-555. |
| 16 | 170) | Fimia GM, Corazzari M, Antonioli M, Piacentini M. Ambra1 at the crossroad |
| 17 | | between autophagy and cell death. Oncogene 2013; 32: 3311-3318. |
| 18 | 171) | Djavaheri-Mergny M, Maiuri MC, Kroemer G. Cross talk between apoptosis and |
| 19 | | autophagy by caspase-mediated cleavage of Beclin 1. Oncogene 2010; 29: 1717- |
| 20 | | 1719. |
| 21 | 172) | Hernandez CP, Morrow K, Lopez-Barcons LA, Zabaleta J, Sierra R, Velasco C et al. |
| 22 | | Pegylated arginase I: a potential therapeutic approach in T-ALL. Blood 2010; 115: |
| 23 | | 5214-5221. |

| 1 | 173) | Morrow K, Hernandez CP, Raber P, Del Valle L, Wilk AM, Majumdar S et al. Anti- |
|----|------|--|
| 2 | | leukemic mechanisms of pegylated arginase I in acute lymphoblastic T-cell leukemia. |
| 3 | | Leukemia 2013; 27 : 569-577. |
| 4 | 174) | Chow AK, Ng L, Sing Li H, Cheng CW, Lam CS, Yau TC, Cheng PN et al. Anti- |
| 5 | | tumor efficacy of a recombinant human arginase in human hepatocellular carcinoma. |
| 6 | | Curr Cancer Drug Targets 2012; 12: 1233–1243. |
| 7 | 175) | Marino T, Russo N, Toscano M. What occurs by replacing Mn^{2+} with Co^{2+} in human |
| 8 | | arginase I: First- principles computational analysis. Inorg Chem 2013; 52: 655-659. |
| 9 | 176) | Glazer ES, Kaluarachchi WD, Massey KL, Zhu C, Curley SA. Bioengineered |
| 10 | | arginase I increases caspase-3 expression of hepatocellular and pancreatic carcinoma |
| 11 | | cells despite induction of argininosuccinate synthetase-1. Surgery 2010; 148: 310- |
| 12 | | 318. |
| 13 | 177) | Regunathan S, Reis DJ. Characterization of arginine decarboxylase in rat brain and |
| 14 | | liver: distinction from ornithine decarboxylase. J Neurochem 2000; 74: 2201-2208. |
| 15 | 178) | Li G, Regunathan S, Barrow CJ, Eshraghi J, Cooper R, Reis DJ. Agmatine: an |
| 16 | | endogenous clonidine-displacing substance in the brain. Science 1994; 263: 966-969. |
| 17 | 179) | Zhu MY, Iyo A, Piletz JE, Regunathan S. Expression of human arginine |
| 18 | | decarboxylase, the biosynthetic enzyme for agmatine. Biochim Biophys Acta 2004; |
| 19 | | 1670 : 156-164. |
| 20 | 180) | Molderings GJ, Haenisch B. Agmatine (decarboxylated l-arginine): Physiological role |
| 21 | | and therapeutic potential. <i>Pharmacol Therapeut</i> 2012; 133 : 351–365. |
| 22 | 181) | Dudkowska M, Lai J, Gardini G, Stachurska A, Grzelakowska-Sztabert B, |
| 23 | | Colombatto S et al. Agmatine modulates the in vivo biosynthesis and interconversion |
| 24 | | of polyamines and cell proliferation. Biochim Biophys Acta 2003; 1619: 159-166. |

| 1 | 182) | Satriano J, Matsufuji S, Murakami Y, Lortie MJ, Schwartz D, Kelly CJ et al. |
|----|------|--|
| 2 | | Agmatine suppresses proliferation by frameshift induction of antizyme and |
| 3 | | attenuation of cellular polyamine levels. J Biol Chem 1998; 273: 15313-15316. |
| 4 | 183) | Choi YS, Cho YD. Effects of agmatine on polyamine metabolism and the growth of |
| 5 | | prostate tumor cells. J Biochem Mol Biol 1999; 32: 173-180. |
| 6 | 184) | Mayeur C, Veuillet G, Michaud M, Raul F, Blottière H, Blachier F. Effects of |
| 7 | | agmatine accumulation in human colon carcinoma cells on polyamine metabolism, |
| 8 | | DNA synthesis and the cell cycle. Biochim Biophys Acta 2005; 1745: 111-123. |
| 9 | 185) | Moinard C, Cynober L, Bandt JPD. Polyamines: metabolism and implications in |
| 10 | | human diseases. Clin Nutr 2005; 24: 184-197. |
| 11 | 186) | Satriano J. Arginine pathways and the inflammatory response: Interregulation of nitric |
| 12 | | oxide and polyamines. Amino Acids 2004; 26: 321-329. |
| 13 | 187) | Philip R, Campbell E, Wheatley DN. Arginine deprivation, growth inhibition and |
| 14 | | tumor cell death: 2. Enzymatic degradation of arginine in normal and malignant cell |
| 15 | | cultures. Br J Cancer 2003; 88: 613-623. |
| 16 | 188) | Wheatley DN, Scott L, Lamb J, Smith S. Single amino acid (arginine) restriction: |
| 17 | | Growth and death of cultured HeLa and human diploid fibroblasts. Cellular Physiol |
| 18 | | <i>Biochem</i> 2000; 10 : 37-55. |
| 19 | 189) | Wheatley DN, Campbell E. Arginine catabolism, liver extracts and cancer. Pathol |
| 20 | | Oncol Res 2002; 8: 18-25. |
| 21 | 190) | Bronte V and Zanovello P. Regulation of immune responses by L-arginine |
| 22 | | metabolism. Nat Rev Immunol 2005; 5: 641-654. |
| 23 | 191) | Sikalidis AK. Amino acids and immune response: A role for cysteine, glutamine, |
| 24 | | phenylalanine, tryptophan and arginine in T-cell function and cancer?. Pathol Oncol |
| 25 | | <i>Res</i> 2015; 21 : 9-17. |
| | | |

| 1 | 192) | Raber P, Ochoa AC, Rodriguez PC. Metabolism of L-arginine by myeloid-derived |
|----|------|---|
| 2 | | suppressor cells in cancer: Mechanisms of T-cell suppression and therapeutic |
| 3 | | perspectives. Immunol Invest 2012; 41: 614-634. |
| 4 | 193) | Peranzoni E, Marigo I, Dolcetti L, Ugel S, Sonda N, Taschin E et al. Role of arginine |
| 5 | | metabolism in immunity and immunopathology. Immunobiol 2008; 212: 795-812. |
| 6 | 194) | Popovic PJ, Zeh HJ III, Ochoa JB. Arginine and immunity. J Nutr 2007; 137:1681s- |
| 7 | | 1686s |
| 8 | 195) | Wheatley DN, Campbell E, Lai PBS, Cheng PNM. A rational approach to the |
| 9 | | systemic treatment of cancer involving medium-term depletion of arginine. Gene Ther |
| 10 | | <i>Mol Biol</i> 2005; 9 : 33-40. |
| 11 | 196) | Kilberg MS, Balasubramanian M, Fu L, Shan J. The transcription factor network |
| 12 | | associated with the amino acid response in mammalian cells. Adv Nutr 2012; 3: 295- |
| 13 | | 306. |
| 14 | 197) | Jackson RJ, Hellen CU, Pestova TV. The mechanism of eukaryotic translation |
| 15 | | initiation and principles of its regulation. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2010; 11: 113-127. |
| 16 | 198) | Zang H, Forman HJ. Glutathione synthesis and its role in redox signaling. Semin Cell |
| 17 | | <i>Dev Biol</i> 2012; 23 : 722-728. |
| 18 | 199) | Weiger TM, Hermann A. Cell proliferation, potassium channels, polyamines and their |
| 19 | | interactions: a mini review. Amino acids 2014; 46: 681-688. |
| 20 | 200) | Lind D. Arginine and cancer. J Nutr 2004; 134: 2837s-2841s |
| 21 | 201) | Kohler ES, Sankaranarayanan S, Van Ginneken CJ, Van Dijk P, Vermeulen JLM, |
| 22 | | Ruijter JM et al. The human neonatal small intestine has the potential for arginine |
| 23 | | synthesis; developmental changes in the expression of arginine-synthesizing and |
| 24 | | catabolizing enzymes. BMC Dev Biol 2008; 8: 107. |

| 1 | 202) | Kim JE, Kim SY, Lee KW, Lee HJ. Arginine deiminase originating from |
|----|------|---|
| 2 | | Lactobacillus lactis ssp. lactis American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) 7962 |
| 3 | | induces G ₁ -phase cell-cycle arrest and apoptosis in SNU-1 stomach adenocarcinoma |
| 4 | | cells. Br J Nutr 2003; 102: 1469-1476. |
| 5 | 203) | Gill P, Pan J. Inhibition of cell division in L5178Y cells by arginine-degrading |
| 6 | | mycoplasmas: the role of arginine deiminase. Can J Microbiol 1970; 16: 415-419. |
| 7 | 204) | Kim JH, Kim JH, Yu YS, Kim DH, Min BH, Kim KW. Anti-tumor activity of |
| 8 | | arginine deiminase via arginine deprivation in retinoblastoma. Oncol Rep 2007; 18: |
| 9 | | 1373-1377. |
| 10 | 205) | Huang CC, Tsai ST, Kuo CC, Chang JS, YT Jin, Chang JY et al. Arginine |
| 11 | | deprivation as a new treatment strategy for head and neck cancer. Oral Oncol 2012; |
| 12 | | 48 : 1227-1235. |
| 13 | 206) | Tan B, Yin Y, Kong X, Li P, Li X, Gao H et al. L-Arginine stimulates proliferation |
| 14 | | and prevents endotoxin-induced death of intestinal cells. Amino Acids 2010; 38: 1227- |
| 15 | | 1235. |
| 16 | 207) | Wheatley DN, Philip R, Campbell E. Arginine deprivation and tumor cell death: |
| 17 | | Arginase and its inhibition. Mol Cell Biochem 2003; 244: 177-185. |
| 18 | 208) | Izzo F, Marra P, Beneduce G, Castello G, Vallone P, De Rosa V et al. Pegylated |
| 19 | | Arginine Deiminase Treatment of Patients With Unresectable Hepatocellular |
| 20 | | Carcinoma: Results From Phase I/II Studies. J Clin Oncol 2004; 22: 1815-1822. |
| 21 | 209) | Feun LG, You M, Wu C, Wangpaichitr M, Kuo MT, Marini A et al. Final results of |
| 22 | | phase II trial of pegylated arginine deiminase (ADI-PEG20) in metastatic melanoma |
| 23 | | (MM) [abstract]. J Clin Oncol 2010; 28 (Suppl 15): 8528. |
| | | |

| 1 | 210) | Ott PA, Carvajal RD, Pandit-Taskar N, Jungbluth AA, Hoffman EW, Wu BW et al. |
|----|---------|---|
| 2 | | Phase I/II study of pegylated arginine deiminase (ADI-PEG 20) in patients with |
| 3 | | advanced melanoma. Invest New Drugs 2013; 31: 425-434. |
| 4 | 211) | Szlosarek PW, Steele J, Sheaff M, Szyszko T, Ellis S, Nolan L. A randomised phase |
| 5 | | II trial of pegylated arginine deiminase in patients with malignant pleural |
| 6 | | mesothelioma. 2013 World Conference on Lung Cancer; 2013. Abstr no. MO09.02. |
| 7 | 212) | Tomlinson BK, Bomalaski JS, Diaz M, Akande T, Mahaffey N, Li T et al. Phase I |
| 8 | | trial of ADI-peg 20 plus docetaxel (DOC) in patients (pts) with advanced solid |
| 9 | | tumors. J Clin Oncol (ASCO Meeting Abstracts) 2013; 31 (suppl) 2569. |
| 10 | 213) | Tomlinson BK, Thomson JA, Bomalaski JS, Diaz M, Akande T, Mahaffey N et al. |
| 11 | | Phase I trial of arginine deprivation therapy with ADI-PEG 20 plus Docetaxel in |
| 12 | | patients with advanced malignant solid tumors. Clin Cancer Res 2015; 21:2480-2486. |
| 13 | | DOI: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-14-2610. |
| 14 | 214) | Bach SJ, Swaine D. The effect of arginase on the retardation of tumor growth. $Br J$ |
| 15 | | Cancer 1965; 19: 379-386. |
| 16 | 215) | Currie GA. Activated macrophages kill tumor cells by releasing arginase. Nature |
| 17 | | 1978; 273 : 758-759. |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | Figure legends |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | Figur | e 1: Amino acid response (AAR) pathway |
| 22 | Restri | ction of essential amino acids activates the general control nondepressible protein 2 |
| 23 | (GCN | 2) kinase by increasing uncharged t-RNA pool. ¹⁹⁶ Activated GCN2 kinase |
| 24 | phosp | horylates the translation initiation factor $eIF2\alpha$. Phosphorylated $eIF2\alpha$ binds more |
| 25 | tightly | v to eIF2 β , inhibiting the exchange of GDP for GTP. Inhibition of GDP exchange for |
| 26 | GTP f | Further inhibits the binding of eIF2 complex to methionine aminoacyl tRNA, leading to |
| 27 | inhibi | tion of translational initiation. ¹⁹⁷ Recently, SLC38A9 has been identified as an |

upstream positive regulator of the mTOR pathway. Amino acids activate the RAG GTPases,
which then recruit mTOR to the lysosomal surface. Rheb also localizes to lysosomal
membrane. mTOR activation occurs only when both RAG GTPases and Rheb are active.
Upon amino acid deprivation, tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC) translocates to lysosomal
surface and promotes GTP hydrolysis by Rheb and thereby inhibiting mTOR complex.¹⁶⁴

6

7 Figure 2: Involvement of arginine in human physiology

Arginine is a dibasic, cationic amino acid and is considered as 'conditionally essential' 8 amino acid. Arginine plays a crucial role in innate and adaptive immunity. For example, 9 increased role of arginine in myeloid-derived suppressor cells results in the impairment of T-10 cell proliferation and function.¹⁹⁰ Arginine has been identified as the sole physiological 11 precursor for nitric oxide (NO), a key performer in many cellular regulatory functions. 12 Arginine also is a precursor of two important amino acids, proline and glutamate.¹⁹⁸ One of 13 14 the most important roles of arginine is its implication in the synthesis of polyamines through the diversion from NO synthesis pathway. Polyamines are known to promote tumor growth, 15 invasion and metastasis.¹⁹⁹ Arginine also plays a vital role in the synthesis of nucleotides, 16 creatine, agmatine and hormones such as insulin and prolactin.²⁰⁰ 17

18 Figure 3: Arginine synthesis and homeostasis pathways

19 Arginine is synthesized as an intermediate in the urea cycle. Arginine homeostasis is mainly achieved by catabolism. In neonates, the gene expression of arginine anabolic enzymes such 20 as 1-pyrroline-5-carboxylase, argininosuccinate synthetase (ASS) and argininosuccinate lyase 21 22 (ASL) is low. Thus, arginine is considered as an essential amino acid in neonates. After birth, the expression of ASS and ASL increases and expression of arginase is found undetectable at 23 this stage.²⁰¹ Arginine can be degraded by arginase, ADC, ADI and NOSs (Please note that 24 25 ADI is not a mammalian enzyme). The products of arginine catabolism play important roles 26 in tumor cell biology. For example, ornithine, the product of arginase, is diverted to 27 polyamine synthesis via ornithine decarboxylase. NOSs degrade arginine into citrulline and 28 NO. Citrulline is recycled to urea cycle, while NO is as a modulator of important metabolic 29 and signaling cascades. Agmatine is synthesized by decarboxylation of arginine via ADC and plays an important role in neurotransmission. 30

1 Figure 4: Timeline of important advancement in arginine deprivation therapy of cancer

2

Figure 5: Schematic representation of cytostatic and cytotoxic pathways involved in arginine
deprivation therapy

5 Arginine deprivation therapy (ADT) can potentially modulate numerous cellular and 6 signaling pathways rendering their cytotoxic and cytostatic pathways. Induction of apoptotic 7 pathways, inhibition of angiogenesis and inhibition of *de novo* protein synthesis are the 8 important mechanisms attributed to the cytotoxic potential of ADT. Moreover, ADT-9 mediated modulations in tumor cell-cycle can be exploited as a means of tumor growth arrest.



Figure 1:









Figure 3









List of tables

Table 1: Use of arginine catabolizing enzymes in arginine deprivation therapy (experimental studies)

Table 2: Clinical investigations involving arginine depriving enzymes

Table 3: Properties of arginine depriving enzymes

 Table 1: Use of arginine catabolizing enzymes in ADT (Experimental studies) [* indicates Tumor xenograft experiments]

| Enzyme used for deprivation | Cell line | Source and Cell type | Studies carried out | Reference |
|--------------------------------|---|--|--|----------------------|
| ADI | HSC-3 HSC-4 CaSki C41 A549 SCC T98G | Human tongue squamous carcinoma Human cervix squamous Human carcinoma Human cervix squamous epithelium Human colon adenocarcinoma Human glioblastoma | Cell growth inhibitory effect of ADI (purified from <i>Mycoplasma</i> infected cell lines) in comparison with arginase | [43] |
| | HeLa CHO FF9 HUVEC SNU-1 | Human cervix Chinese hamster ovary Fetal foreskin fibroblast Human umbilical vein endothelium Human stomach adenocarcinoma | Concentration dependent effect of ADI on cell proliferation Anti-angiogenesis effect of ADI by inhibiting capillary-like tube formation Anti-proliferative effect and ADI induced cell cycle arrest | [102] [202] |
| | L5178Y MCF7 A549 | Mouse lymphoblastic leukemia Human mammary adenocarcinoma Human lung carcinoma | and apoptosis Inhibition of cell division Effect of ADI on the regulation of cellular protein and polyamine synthesis | [203] [85] |
| | SNUOT-Rb1 Y79 | Human retinoblastoma Human retinoblastoma | ASS expression related sensitivity of cells towards ADI | [204] |
| ADI-PEG20 | CWR22Rv1* A2058 SK-Mel-2 HUVE SaOS | Human prostate Human melanoma Human melanoma Human umbilical vein endothelium | Autophagy and caspase independent apoptosis Combination effect of ADI and TRAIL, Cell cycle progression and apoptotis Inhibition of NO using Pegylated ADI | [71] [66] [78] |
| | WACZ Y-79 Meth AC14 | Human neuroblastoma Human retinoblastoma Human sarcoma | Effect of ADI-PEG20-mediated deprivation on the production of NO | [103] |
| | SK-LC-13* SW1271 | Human small cell lung Human small cell lung | ASS expression related sensitivity of cells towards PEG-ADI, Induction of autophagy and caspase-independent | [39] |

| | [47] | [61] | [26] | [205] | [72] | [74] | [187] | [206] |
|-----------------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| apoptosis | Specificity of ADI for degradation of arginine and other amino acids; ASS expression dependent sensitivity of HCC and melanomas towards ADI | Involvement of Ras/PI3K/ERK pathway in induction of c- Myc stabilization and up-regulation of ASS | Correlation between ASS methylation status and sensitivity of the cells towards ADI | Potential clinical correlation between ASS expression and tumor prognosis | The role of ASS gene expression in ADI response/resistance | The role of ASS gene expression in ADI response/resistance | Cell proliferation and non- recoverable cell death of malignant cells on restoration of arginine Cell proliferation and ASS expression dependent recycling of citrulline to arginine | LPS- induced cell damage involving mTOR and TLR4 pathways |
| Human small cell lung | Human melanoma Human melanoma Human HCC Human HCC Human HCC | Human melanoma Human melanoma Human breast | Human B-cell lymphoma Human T-cell lymphoma Human T-cell lymphoma | Human head and neck cancer Human head and neck cancer Human head and neck cancer | Human melanoma Human melanoma Human melanoma Human melanoma | Human pancreatic cancer Human pancreatic cancer Human pancreatic cancer Human pancreatic cancer | Murine lymphocytic leukemia Human cervical adenocarcinoma Human melanoma Human melanoma Human osteogenic sarcoma | Pig intestinal porcine epithelial cells-1 |
| NCI-H82 | A375 SK-mel-2 [*] SK-mel-28 [*] SK-hep 2 [*] SK-hep 3 [*] HEP3B | A2058* SK-MEL-2 MDA-MB-231 | Karpas-422 MyLa SeaX | OEC-MI SCC-15 HONE 1 | A375 A375 Sk-Mel2 A2058 MEL-1220 | MIA-PaCa-2* PANC-1 Capan-1 HPAF II | L1210 HeLa A375 MEWO SAos-2 | IPEC-1 |
| | | | | | | | Bovine liver arginase | rh-Arginase I |

| [156] | [139] | [207] | [131] | [172] | [133] | [140] | [173] | [135] | [134] |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|---|
| Expression levels of ASS and OCT, rhArginase I- mediated modulations in mTOR signaling pathway | Proliferation and cell cycle progression of melanoma cells, modulations in the cell cycle and apoptosis-related genes | Rescue of the arginase treated cells by norvaline (Arginase inhibitor) | Gene expression profiling of ASS and OTC, Synergistic effect of pegylated rhArginase I with 5- Fluorouracil on cell growth inhibition | Combination effect of pegylated rhArginase I with Cytarabine (Ara-C) on expression of cyclins | Effect of pegylation of rh-arginase I on its anti-tumor efficacy, immunogenicity and circulation half life | Cell cycle progression and transcriptional modulation of cyclins and/or CDKs | Global arrest in protein synthesis; Central role of phospho- eIF2a signaling and the kinases (GCN2 and PERK) in the induction of T-ALL cell apoptosis by rhArginase I- PEG _{5000w} | Effect of Co^{2+} substitution of the Mn^{2+} on catalytic activity and stability of human arginase I | Effect of Co^{2^+} substitution of the Mn^{2^+} on cytotoxicity |
| Human prostate Human prostate Human prostate | Human melanoma Human melanoma Human melanoma | Mouse melanoma Murine lymphocytic leukemia Human cervical adenocarcinoma | Human HCC Human liver adenocarcinoma Human HCC Human melanoma | Human T-ALL Human T-ALL Human T-ALL Human T-ALL | Human HCC Human HCC | Human HCC Human HCC Human HCC | Human T-ALL Human T-ALL Human T-ALL Human T-ALL Human T-ALL Human T-ALL Human T-ALL | Human HCC Human pancreatic carcinoma | Human HCC Human melanoma |
| PC-3 DU-145 LNCaP | A375* A375* SK-MEL-2 SK-MEL-28 | B16-F0* L1210 HeLa | Hep-3B* SK-HEP-1 Huh7 SK-MEL-28 DI CODED5 | CCRF-CEM* Jurkat Molt-3 | Hep3B* | HepG2 [*] PLC/PRF/5 [*] Hen3B | CCRF-CEM* Molt-4 H9 Lousy Jurkat HPB-ALL KOPTK1 | HepG2 [*] Panc-1 [*] | Hep3b A375 |
| | | | rhArginase I- PEG _{5000w} | | | | | Bioengineered human arginase I | |

| enzymes |
|--------------------|
| epriving |
| arginine de |
| involving |
| vestigations |
| Clinical in |
| Table 2: |

| Reference | [63] | [25] | [208] | [52] | [51] | [209] | [210] |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|----------------------------------|--|
| Post-treatment levels of plasma arginine [#] | < 2 µM | Undetectable | < 2 μM | < 2 µМ | Undetectable | | Undetectable |
| Common side effects | Hypersensitivity/skin rash, local tissue reaction at injection site, hyperuricemia, pruritus, fatigue, hyperammonemia, fever, diarrhea | Mild/moderate discomfort at the intramuscular injection site, neutropenia and thrombocytopenia, anaemia, fatigue | Occasional elevation in serum lipase, bilirubin and amylase levels, hyperuricemia, mild pain at the site of injection, increase in fibrinogen | Mild pain at the site of injection, hyperuricemia, elevated serum lipase, bilirubin, amylase and LDH, decreased hemoglobin, platelet and WBC count | Transient and reversible encephalopathy, skin irritation, or discomfort at the site of injection combined with low-grade fever, decreased serum sodium, hemoglobin, albumin, fibrinogen levels, increased Potassium levels, uric acid and lipase | Discomfort at the injection site | Pain and rash at injection site, nausea, anorexia, pruritus, arthralgia |
| Clinical outcomes | SD:31% (22/71) DCR: 31% (22/71) | PR: 23.5 % (4/17) SD: 29.4 % (5/17) CBR: 52.9 % (9/17) | CR: 11% (2/19) PR: 37 % (7/19) SD: 37% (7/19) | OR: 25 % (6/24) SD: 25 % (6/24) | OR: 3% (2/76) SD: 61% (50/76) | OR+SD: 28 % (10/36) | SD:31% (9/29) PMR: 27% (8/29) |
| Number of patients | 71 | 17 | 19 | 24 | 76 | 36 | 31 |
| Phase of a clinical trial | Π | Ι | II/I | II/I | П | Π | II/I |
| Cancer type | НСС | ASS (-) melanoma | НСС | MM | НСС | MM | Melanoma |
| Enzyme | ADI-PEG20 | | | | | | |

ഹ

| | MPM | Ш | 39 | PMR: 46% (18/39) SD: 31% (12/39) | Skin injection site reactions, neutropenia, anaphylactoid reactions, serum sickness | 2 μM \$ | [64,211] |
|--|---|-----|----|-------------------------------------|--|--------------|-----------|
| | нсс | III | | Ongoing (NCT01287585) | | | |
| | Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma | Π | | Ongoing (NCT01910025) | | | |
| | SLCL | П | | Ongoing (NCT01266018) | | | |
| ADI-PEG20 plus Cisplatin | MM | Ι | | Ongoing (NCT01665183) | | | |
| ADI-PEG20 plus Cisplatin and Pemetrexed | Arginine auxotrophic tumors such as MPM and NSCLC | н | | Ongoing (NCT02029690) | | | |
| ADI-PEG20 plus Docetaxel | Solid Prostate and NSCLC tumors | Ι | 18 | PR: 6% (1/18) SD: 33% (6/18) | | Undetectable | [212,213] |
| ADI-PEG20 Plus Doxorubicin | HER2 (-) Breast Cancer | Π | | Ongoing (NCT01948843) | | | |
| Peg-rhArgI | НСС | Ι | 15 | SD:26.7% (4/15) | Abdominal pain, diarrhea, nausea, elevated ALT, AST, GGT & bilirubin | < 8 µM | [141] |
| Peg-rhArgI plus Oxaliplatin and Capecitabine | НСС | Ш | | Ongoing (NCT02089633) | | | |

| Ongoing (NCT02089763) | e disease) | |
|---|--|--|
| | ~ 130 µM ~ 63 µM response + stabl ponse) rrginase 1 ptor 2 | |
| П | f arginine was f arginine was omplete/partial ste + partial res te + partial res othelioma binant human a sferase er er er er wth factor rece | |
| НСС | re-treatment) level o re-treatment) level o rease-control rate (cc e disease all survival al survival al response nical benefit rate astatic melanoma all response (Complé plete response tial metabolic respon alignant Pleural Mes g1- Pegylated recoml anine Transaminase ma-glutamyl trans and Cell Lung Canco Von-Small Cell Lung von-Small Cell Lung vanan epidermal grov | |
| Peg-rhArgl (the second- line therapy after sorafenib) | [#] Basal (P1 [*] Basal (P1 bCR- Dis SD- Stablo OS- Overa DR- Partia OR- Overa CR- Comp PMM- Met PMR- Partia CR- Comp PMR- Partia CR- Comp PMR- Partia CR- Comp PMR- Partia CR- Comp CR- Comp PMC- Ala CR- Comp CR- Comp PR- Partia PR- Partia CR- Comp PR- Partia PR- | |

| Arginine deiminase (E.C. 3.5.3.6) | Arginase (E.C.3.5.3.1) | Arginine decarboxylase (E.C.4.1.1.19) |
|--|---|--|
| Main products are citrulline and NH ₃ | Main products are ornithine and urea | Main products are agmatine and CO_2 |
| At physiological pH, Mycoplasmal ADI is 300x more effective than arginase at depleting arginine | Very high alkaline pH optimum (pH 9.3) and has little enzymic activity at physiological pH | Mammalian ADC has a basic pH optimum (pH 8.23) |
| Circulatory half-life of $\sim 4 \text{ h}$ | Very short circulatory half- life (Approx 30 minutes) | Not reported |
| Very high affinity for arginine (<i>K</i> m of 0.1-1 mM) | Low affinity for arginine (<i>K</i> m of 2-4 mM) | High affinity for arginine $(Km \text{ of } \sim 1mM)$ |
| Most normal cells and tissues are able to take up citrulline from the circulation | Ornithine can only be reconverted back into arginine in the liver and can cause toxicity to extra- hepatic tissues by inhibiting protein synthesis | Agmatine is not converted back to arginine under normal physiological conditions, may lead to its accumulation and toxicity to normal cells |
| Only found in microorganisms and is strongly antigenic in mammals | Human enzyme, non- immunogenic | Found in plants, microbes and human brain |
| Tumor sensitivity to ADI is dependent on ASS expression | The sensitivity of tumors to rhArg is independent of ASS expression | Studied only in human cervical cancer (HeLa) cell lines |
| Efficacious only in ASS-negative tumors | Efficacious in both ASS- negative and OTC- negative tumors | |
| No cofactor requirement | Mn ²⁺ is essential for catalytic activity | Pyridoxal phosphate is a cofactor |
| Pegylation improves catalytic activity at physiological pH | Pegylation improves catalytic activity at physiological pH | PEGylation results in the total loss of catalytic activity |

Table 3: Properties of arginine depriving enzymes