Origin of spiders and their spinning organs illuminated by mid-Cretaceous amber fossils

Diying Huang^{1,*}, Gustavo Hormiga², Chenyang Cai³, Yitong Su¹, Zongjun Yin¹, Fangyuan Xia⁴, Gonzalo Giribet^{5,*}

¹State Key Laboratory of Paleontology and Stratigraphy, Nanjing Institute of Geology and Palaeontology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanjing 210008, People's Republic of China. ²Department of Biological Sciences, The George Washington University, Washington, DC 20052, USA. ³Key Laboratory of Economic Stratigraphy and Palaeogeography, Nanjing Institute of Geology and Palaeontology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanjing 210008, People's Republic of China. ⁴Lingpoge Amber Museum, Shanghai 201108, People's Republic of China. ⁵Museum of Comparative Zoology & Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, 26 Oxford Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to D.H. (email: dyhuang@nigpas.ac.cn) and G.G. (email: ggiribet@g.harvard.edu).

Understanding the genealogical relationships among the arachnid orders is an onerous task, but fossils have aided in anchoring some branches of the arachnid tree of life. The discovery of Palaeozoic fossils with characters found in both, extant spiders and other arachnids, provided evidence for a series of extinctions of what was thought to be a grade, Uraraneida, that led to modern spiders. Here we report two extraordinarily well-preserved Mesozoic members of Uraraneida with a segmented abdomen, multi-articulate spinnerets with well-defined spigots, modified male palps, spider-like chelicerae and an uropygid-like telson. The new fossils, belonging to the species *Chimerarachne yingi*, were analysed phylogenetically in a large data matrix of extant and extinct arachnids under a diverse regime of analytical conditions, most of which result in placing Uraraneida as the sister clade of Araneae (spiders). The phylogenetic placement of this arachnid fossil extends the presence of spinnerets and modified palps more basally in the arachnid tree than previously thought. Ecologically, the new fossil extends the record of Uraraneida 170 million years towards the present, thus

showing that uraraneids and spiders co-existed for a large fraction of their evolutionary history.

Spiders (Arachnida, Araneae) constitute a megadiverse clade of nearly ubiquitous terrestrial predators¹ with the first fossils dating back to the Carboniferous² and an origin, based on molecular estimates, in the Devonian/Permian³—at the time when putative stem-Araneae or stem-Tetrapulmonata first appeared in the fossil record⁴⁻⁸. Salient characters preserved in some of these non-spider Palaeozoic arachnids^{2,4-7,9,10} include one or more of the following features: a segmented opisthosoma, similar to that found in modern Mesothelae spiders; silk spigots (without differentiated spinnerets); and a flagellum similar to that of extant Uropygi (whip scorpions) and Palpigradi (micro whip scorpions). The co-occurrence of silk spigots and the flagellum are thus an exclusively Palaeozoic combination of characters which served to recognize a new group of arachnids named Uraraneida⁶, although they are sometimes considered a grade. Uraraneida and its relatives are thus known from a handful of Palaeozoic fossils from the Devonian—Permian, in the genera *Attercopus* and *Permarachne*. This order of arachnids has long thought to have missed the Palaeozoic/Mesozoic transition and uraraneids have been interpreted as a transitional form between spiders and their flagellate tetrapulmonate counterparts (i.e., Uropygi). However, our understanding of the anatomy of these fossils was rather limiting, restricted to a few Devonian cuticular fragments of *Attercopus fimbriunguis*¹⁻³ from Gilboa, New York, complemented by additional fragments from Middle Devonian strata from Schoharie County of a supposed conspecific⁶, or a single compression fossil of *Permarachne novokshonovi*, from the Permian of the Ural Mountains, Russia⁸.

A third fossil, *Idmonarachne brasieri*, from the Late Carboniferous of Montceau-les-Mines, France, was recently described⁷ as a spider-like arachnid with spider chelicerae, a pedicel separating prosoma and opisthosoma, and a segmented opisthosoma lacking spinnerets or a flagellum. While Uraraneida was not tested for its monophyly (the two uraraneid fossils were combined into a single composite terminal), phylogenetic analyses based on an extensive morphological data matrix for living and extinct arachnids^{11,12} have suggested a sister group relationship of Araneae + *Idmonarachne*^{7,13}, with uraraneids branching earlier, giving the

impression that Uraraneida and *Idmonarachne* are some sort of stem group Araneae that became extinct around the time that spiders started diversifying.

Here we present two Burmese Cretaceous amber specimens of Uraraneida closing a fossil record gap of 170 million years. The new fossils (named *Chimerarachne yingi* based on additional specimens in a companion paper¹⁴), two extraordinarily well preserved, exhibit all the characters described for Uraraneida and other stem group spiders, such as the segmented abdomen with spigots, and a long flagellum, while also bearing characters previously only known from Araneae, such as a modified male palp (presumably to function as a sperm transfer organ) and typical spider spinnerets with spigots. We place the new fossils in a phylogenetic context with the previously described uraraneids and with *Idmonarachne* in order to evaluate the relationships among these Palaeozoic, Mesozoic and extant arachnids.

Results

Systematic palaeontology.

Arthropoda; Chelicerata; Arachnida; Serikodiastida; Uraraneida (total group)

Chimerarachne yingi Wang et al., 2018 (Figs. 1–3, Suppl. Figs. 1–11)

Material examined. NIGP167161, male (Figs. 1–2, Suppl. Figs. 1–8, 10), co-occurs with several other syninclusions: 2 spiders, 2 millipedes (an adult and a nymph), 7 mite nymphs, 1 beetle (Staphylinidae: Scydmaeninae), 1 orthopteran nymph, 1 nymph of ensign scale insect (Ortheziidae), 1 collembolan, and many plant trichomes. NIGP167162, male (Suppl. Fig. 9), co-occurs with a collembolan. Both amber pieces are deposited in the Nanjing Institute of Geology and Palaeontology, CAS, Nanjing.

Horizon and Locality.

The specimens are from the mid-Cretaceous (Late Albian or earliest Cenomanian) amber from Hukawng Valley, Kachin State, northern Myanmar^{15,16}.

Chimerarachne yingi can be distinguished from other arachnids by the unique combination of an externally segmented opisthosoma ventrally bearing two pairs of lateral, long multi-articulated spinnerets with spigots, two pairs of small non-articulated median spinnerets, a long flagellum and a modified male pedipalpal tarsus. Earlier uraraneids also have a flagellum, but the other two fossils known (*Attercopus* and *Permarachne*) lack spinnerets and modified palps; while the latter two features are present in Araneae, spiders lack a flagellum. While extant Mesothelae have a segmented abdomen, this is only evident dorsally, in the reduced tergites, while *Chimerarachne* has complete segmentation in all opisthosomal segments.

Phylogenetic analyses. Following the recommendations of Prendini¹⁷ we coded individual species instead of a terminal called “Uraraneida” that combined information from multiple species in the original data matrix of Garwood, et al.¹³, which in turn evolved from prior matrices^{7,11,18} (See Suppl. Information for the list of characters and codings). However, with the aim of having a critical amount of information, we did merge data from the Devonian cuticular fossils^{4-6,10} into a single terminal, *Attercopus fimbriunguis*, despite coming from different strata. Our data matrix therefore includes our codings of the two previously known Palaeozoic uraraneid species, and our Cretaceous fossils. The resulting data matrix is publicly available in MorphoBank (Project P2792).

Initial analyses coding *Attercopus fimbriunguis* and our interpretation of *Permarachne novokshonovi* resulted in a clade with *Chimerarachne yingi*, thus supporting the monophyly of Uraraneida, although with low nodal support. We coded some characters more conservatively than originally done by¹³, especially with reference to the presence of a sternum in *Permarachne* and *Idmonarachne brasieri*, which we coded as ‘unknown’, and tested our data set both coding the presence of a cheliceral venom gland as either ‘present’ or as ‘unknown’ in *Attercopus* (see a discussion of character codings in Suppl. Information). Whether a venom gland was coded as ‘present’ or as ‘missing data’ in *Attercopus* made no difference in our results and thus we proceeded with the matrix with the gland venom coded as present, as assumed in previous work.

Results under parsimony, both using equal weights and implied weighting, all coincided in the monophyly of Uraraneida (except for implied weights, $k = 2$, where it was unresolved; Fig. 4d). The equal weights analysis did not resolve the position of *Idmonarachne* and Uraraneida with respect to Araneae (Fig. 4b), but all other analyses (Bayesian, implied weights under all k values except 2) support *Idmonarachne* as sister group to Serikodiastida¹⁹ (amber clade in Fig. 4), and Uraraneida (collapsed in the Bayesian tree) as sister group to a monophyletic Araneae, with Mesothelae as sister group to Opisthothelae (Mygalomorphae + Araneomorphae) (Fig. 4a, c). Serikodiastida is also found under $k = 2$ when only the more complete uraraneid, our Cretaceous specimen, is included in the analyses. Likewise, all analyses (except for implied weights, $k = 2$) support Tetrapulmonata. The Bayesian analysis produced a similar tree, supporting *Idmonarachne* as sister group to Serikodiastida, with monophyletic Araneae (including the clades Mygalomorphae and Araneomorphae, as the sister group of Mesothelae) but collapsed Uraraneida (Fig. 4e).

Discussion

It is well known that extant mesothele spiders retain traces of external abdominal segmentation (clearly visible as plate-like tergites) and have multi-segmented spinnerets²⁰, but opisthosomal segmentation is only visible dorsally while in *Chimerarachne* segmentation occurs throughout the opisthosoma (Fig. 2a, d; Suppl. Figs. 1e, 3b, 9a,e, 10a), including ventrally and laterally. The spinnerets and spigots of extant mesothele spiders and our fossil species nonetheless are remarkably similar, both having two long pairs of lateral, multi-articulated, distally tapering off spinnerets and two pairs of median spinnerets that are very small in comparison and not articulated. Like in mesotheles, *Chimerarachne* has mesoventrally placed spigots, at least one per spinneret article in the section of the PLS where this character is discernible. The morphology of these spigots (Figs. 1, 2, 4f; see also Suppl. Figs.) is similar to that of the extant mesothele genus *Liphistius*, with a high spigot base and a long shaft (Figs. 1h, Suppl. Fig. 6a). It is therefore not surprising that most analyses placed Uraraneida as sister group to Araneae, while *Idmonarachne*, originally proposed to be closer to spiders than uraraneids⁷, is the sister group of Serikodiastida. The monophyly of Serikodiastida is supported

by nearly all analyses and weighting schemes. While the transformation series between having a flagellum and the anal tubercle of spiders was not evident in the literature and previous arachnid data matrices, examination of the anal tubercle of *Liphistius* clearly suggests an intermediate character state, with a putative pygidium homolog formed of two ring-like segments (Fig. 4g–h) (similar to that of Ricinulei), a character state also found in some araneomorph spiders.

Aside from the phylogenetic importance of the new fossil, there are major ecological and evolutionary implications that derive from finding an uraraneid in the mid-Cretaceous, since the youngest uraraneids (or stem-group uraraneids or serikodiastids) were known from the Permian, and these lack key features like spinnerets. Our fossils therefore help to corroborate multiple characters that had been tentatively assigned to uraraneids based on partial reconstructions of *Attercopus* and *Permarachne*, such as the presence of a flagellum, which was originally interpreted as an elongate middle spinneret in *Permarachne*⁸. The well-preserved spider-like sternum (Figs. 1g, 2b,g) or the presence of spigots in the spinnerets (Fig. 1f), together with the highly modified male palp –possibly for sperm transfer (Figs. 1b, d), as in modern spiders— cast little doubt about the close relationship of *Chimerarachne* (and probably the Palaeozoic uraraneids, at least *Permarachne*) with the members of the order Araneae. However, details of the chelicerae and homologies of the palp, remain difficult to observe (in the former case) or interpret (in the latter).

The Cretaceous Burmese amber has yielded fossil representatives belonging to all extant arachnid orders^{15,21-28}, although fossil schizomids are not formally named. A total of 244 described arachnid species are known to date²⁹, most of them being spiders. The co-existence of extant groups with this small extinct arachnid order in the Cretaceous of Myanmar is unique, as no other ecosystem is known to host such diversity of arachnids, probably illustrating the maturity and complexity of the region in the Cretaceous, even though some lineages found in Burmese amber are now entirely missing from that part of the world, most notably Ricinulei — now restricted to west Africa and the Neotropical region³⁰. But perhaps most important, the co-existence of uraraneids with spiders at least until the Cretaceous shows that uraraneids were not direct ancestors or stem-group spiders, but an independent lineage that continued

evolving in parallel with spiders since the Carboniferous (when the spider *Palaeothele montceauensis* was already present^{31,32}), for at least 200 million years.

Methods

Light imaging. The amber pieces were polished with sand paper of different grain sizes and diatomite mud and observed and photographed using a Zeiss Discovery V20 stereomicroscope and a Zeiss Axio Imager 2 light microscope with a digital camera attached. Photomicrographs with green background were taken using fluorescence as light source attached to a Zeiss Axio Imager 2 light microscope (Figs. S3a–f, S4d, S5b, S8e, S9e–g). Focus stacking software (Helicon Focus 3.10) was used to increase depth of field. Measurements are expressed in mm.

X-ray microscopic tomography. The specimen NIGP167161 was scanned at micro-CT lab of NIGPAS using Zeiss Xradia 520 versa. As a three-dimensional X-ray microscope, Zeiss Xradia 520 versa provides nondestructive tomographic imaging of fossils with high resolution. Depending on the size of the amber specimen, a CCD-based 4X objective was employed, providing an isotropic voxel size of 2.4 μm with the help of geometric magnification. As the absorb contrast of the fossil is extremely low, we applied propagation phase contrast mode to detect the fossil. To obtain good contrast, the running voltage for the X-ray source was set to be 40 kV. During the scan, 3201 projections over 360° were obtained. The exposure time for each projection was 30 seconds. Volume data processing was performed using the software Vgstudio Max (version 3.0, Volume Graphics, Heidelberg, Germany).

Phylogenetic analyses. We used three search strategies in the computer program TNT v. 1.5³³: equal weights, implied weights³⁴, and the more recently implemented implied weights where characters with missing entries are down-weighted faster, as characters with missing data have relatively less chances to be homoplastic³⁵. For the implied weights analyses we tested a set of concavity (k) values of 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 10, and 100, as we found a switch of topologies between $k = 2$ or 3. Likewise, we explored two data matrices with alternative codings for the presence of a

venom gland in *Attercopus*. Nodal support was calculated using symmetric resampling in TNT, as it takes into account distortion by weights/costs.

A Bayesian analysis was conducted in MrBayes³⁶ using the discrete Mk model of Lewis³⁷, with four chains of 15 million generations each, sampled every 10,000 generations. MrBayes excluded the six invariant characters from the analysis as incompatible with the model. The consensus tree was estimated after a burn-in of 25% of the sampled trees. Convergence of the independent runs was confirmed with Tracer version 1.6.0³⁸. The analysis produced 4,504 post-burn-in trees that were combined in a majority-rule consensus tree.

Data availability. The specimens studied (NIGP167161, NIGP167162) are deposited in the Nanjing Institute of Geology and Palaeontology, CAS, Nanjing (People's Republic of China). The morphological data matrix has been deposited in MorphoBank (project P2792).

References

- 1 Wheeler, W. C. *et al.* The spider tree of life: phylogeny of Araneae based on target-gene analyses from an extensive taxon sampling. *Cladistics* **33**, 574-616, doi:10.1111/cla.12182 (2017).
- 2 Dunlop, J. A. Geological history and phylogeny of Chelicerata. *Arthropod Struct. Dev.* **39**, 124-142, doi:10.1016/j.asd.2010.01.003 (2010).
- 3 Garrison, N. L. *et al.* Spider phylogenomics: untangling the Spider Tree of Life. *PeerJ* **4**, e1719, doi:10.7717/peerj.1719 (2016).
- 4 Shear, W. A., Palmer, J. M., Coddington, J. A. & Bonamo, P. M. A Devonian spinneret: early evidence of spiders and silk use. *Science* **246**, 479-481 (1989).
- 5 Selden, P. A., Shear, W. A. & Bonamo, P. M. A spider and other arachnids from the Devonian of New York, and reinterpretations of Devonian Araneae. *Palaeontology* **34**, 241-281 (1991).
- 6 Selden, P. A., Shear, W. A. & Sutton, M. D. Fossil evidence for the origin of spider spinnerets, and a proposed arachnid order. *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **105**, 20781-20785, doi:10.1073/pnas.0809174106 (2008).
- 7 Garwood, R. J. *et al.* Almost a spider: a 305-million-year-old fossil arachnid and spider origins. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **283**, 20160125, doi:10.1098/rspb.2016.0125 (2016).
- 8 Eskov, K. Y. & Selden, P. A. First record of spiders from the Permian period (Araneae: Mesothelae). *Bull. Br. Arachnol. Soc.* **13**, 111-116 (2005).
- 9 Selden, P. A. A redescription of *Juraraneus rasnitsyni* Eskov, 1984 (Araneae: Juraraneidae), from the Jurassic of Russia. *Bull. Br. Arachnol. Soc.* **15**, 315-321 (2012).

- 240 10 Shear, W. A., Selden, P. A., Rolfe, W. D. I., Bonamo, P. M. & Grierson, J. D. New
241 terrestrial arachnids from the Devonian of Gilboa, New York (Arachnida,
242 Trigonotarbida). *Am. Mus. Novit.* **2901**, 1-74 (1987).
- 243 11 Giribet, G., Edgecombe, G. D., Wheeler, W. C. & Babbitt, C. Phylogeny and systematic
244 position of Opiliones: a combined analysis of chelicerate relationships using
245 morphological and molecular data. *Cladistics* **18**, 5-70, doi:10.1006/clad.2001.0185
246 (2002).
- 247 12 Garwood, R. J., Dunlop, J. A., Giribet, G. & Sutton, M. D. Anatomically modern
248 Carboniferous harvestmen demonstrate early cladogenesis and stasis in Opiliones. *Nat.*
249 *Commun.* **2**, 444, doi:10.1038/ncomms1458 (2011).
- 250 13 Garwood, R. J., Dunlop, J. A., Knecht, B. J. & Hegna, T. A. The phylogeny of fossil whip
251 spiders. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **17**, 105, doi:10.1186/s12862-017-0931-1 (2017).
- 252 14 Wang, B. *et al.* Cretaceous arachnid *Chimerarachne yingi* gen. et sp. nov. illuminates
253 spider origins. *Nat. Ecol. Evo.* (2018).
- 254 15 Ross, A., Mellish, C., York, P. & Crighton, B. in *Biodiversity of fossil in amber from the*
255 *major world deposits* (ed David Penney) Ch. 12, 208-235 (Siri Scientific Press, 2010).
- 256 16 Shi, G. *et al.* Age constraint on Burmese amber based on U–Pb dating of zircons.
257 *Cretaceous Res.* **37**, 155-163, doi:10.1016/j.cretres.2012.03.014 (2012).
- 258 17 Prendini, L. Species or supraspecific taxa as terminals in cladistic analysis? Groundplans
259 versus exemplars revisited. *Syst. Biol.* **50**, 290-300 (2001).
- 260 18 Shultz, J. W. A phylogenetic analysis of the arachnid orders based on morphological
261 characters. *Zool. J. Linn. Soc.* **150**, 221-265, doi:10.1111/j.1096-3642.2007.00284.x
262 (2007).
- 263 19 Garwood, R. J. & Dunlop, J. Three-dimensional reconstruction and the phylogeny of
264 extinct chelicerate orders. *PeerJ* **2**, e641, doi:10.7717/peerj.641 (2014).
- 265 20 Xu, X. *et al.* A genus-level taxonomic review of primitively segmented spiders
266 (Mesothelae, Liphistiidae). *ZooKeys* **488**, 121-151, doi:10.3897/zookeys.488.8726
267 (2015).
- 268 21 Giribet, G. & Dunlop, J. A. First identifiable Mesozoic harvestman (Opiliones: Dyspnoi)
269 from Cretaceous Burmese amber. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **272**, 1007-1013,
270 doi:10.1098/rspb.2005.3063 (2005).
- 271 22 Poinar, G. in *Advances in Arachnology and Developmental Biology. Papers dedicated to*
272 *Prof. Dr. Bozidar Curcic* Vol. Monographs, 12 (eds S. E. Makarov & R. N. Dimitrijevic)
273 267-274 (Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Vienna, and Serbian Academy of Sciences
274 and Arts, 2008).
- 275 23 Engel, M. S. *et al.* The first Mesozoic microwhip scorpion (Palpigradi): a new genus and
276 species in mid-Cretaceous amber from Myanmar. *Sci. Nat.* **103**, 19, doi:10.1007/s00114-
277 016-1345-4 (2016).
- 278 24 Dunlop, J. A., Bird, T. L., Brookhart, J. O. & Bechly, G. A camel spider from Cretaceous
279 Burmese amber. *Cretaceous Res.* **56**, 265-273, doi:10.1016/j.cretres.2015.05.003 (2015).
- 280 25 Henderickx, H. & Boone, M. The basal pseudoscorpion family Feaellidae Ellingsen, 1906
281 walks the earth for 98.000.000 years: An new fossil genus has been found in Cretaceous
282 Burmese amber (Pseudoscorpiones: Feaellidae). *Publicatie* **27**, 7-12 (2016).

- 26 Selden, P. A., Dunlop, J. A., Giribet, G., Zhang, W. & Ren, D. The oldest armoured harvestman (Arachnida: Opiliones: Laniatores), from Upper Cretaceous Myanmar amber. *Cretaceous Res.* **65**, 206-212, doi:10.1016/j.cretres.2016.05.004 (2016).
- 27 Cai, C. & Huang, D. A new genus of whip-scorpions in Upper Cretaceous Burmese amber: Earliest fossil record of the extant subfamily Thelyphoninae (Arachnida: Thelyphonida: Thelyphonidae). *Cretaceous Res.* **69**, 100-105, doi:10.1016/j.cretres.2016.09.004 (2017).
- 28 Wunderlich, J. Description of the first fossil Ricinulei in amber from Burma (Myanmar), the first report of this arachnid order from the Mesozoic and from Asia, with notes on the related extinct order Trigonotarbitida. *Beiträge zur Araneologie* **7**, 233-244 (2012).
- 29 Selden, P. A. & Ren, D. A review of Burmese amber arachnids. *J. Arachnol.* **45**, 324-343 (2017).
- 30 Murienne, J., Benavides, L. R., Prendini, L., Hormiga, G. & Giribet, G. Forest refugia in Western and Central Africa as 'museums' of Mesozoic biodiversity. *Biol. Lett.* **9**, 20120932, doi:10.1098/rsbl.2012.0932 (2013).
- 31 Selden, P. A. First fossil mesothele spider, from the Carboniferous of France. *Rev. Suisse Zool. hors série*, 585-596 (1996).
- 32 Wolfe, J. M., Daley, A. C., Legg, D. A. & Edgecombe, G. D. Fossil calibrations for the arthropod Tree of Life. *Earth-Sci. Rev.* **160**, 43-110, doi:10.1101/044859 (2016).
- 33 Goloboff, P. A. & Catalano, S. A. TNT version 1.5, including a full implementation of phylogenetic morphometrics. *Cladistics* **32**, 221-238, doi:10.1111/cla.12160 (2016).
- 34 Goloboff, P. A. Estimating character weights during tree search. *Cladistics* **9**, 83-91 (1993).
- 35 Goloboff, P. A. Extended implied weighting. *Cladistics* **30**, 260-272, doi:10.1111/cla.12047 (2014).
- 36 Ronquist, F. *et al.* MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. *Syst. Biol.* **61**, 539-542, doi:10.1093/sysbio/sys029 (2012).
- 37 Lewis, P. O. A likelihood approach to estimating phylogeny from discrete morphological character data. *Syst. Biol.* **50**, 913-925 (2001).
- 38 Tracer v1.6, Available from <http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer> (2014).

Acknowledgements

Financial support was provided by the Strategic Priority Research Program (B) (XDB18000000) and the program Macroevolutionary Processes and Paleoenvironments of Major Historical Biota (XDPB05) of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41688103 and 91514302) and by the US National Science Foundation Grants 1457300 (G.H.) and 1457539 (G.G.) (Collaborative Research: Phylogeny and diversification of the orb weaving spiders (Araneae)). We are grateful Jie Sun for reconstruction

and Suping Wu for micro-CT assistance. We thank three anonymous reviewers whose constructive criticism helped to improve this paper.

Author contributions

D.H., G.G., G.H., C.C., Y.S., Z.Y. and F.X. participated in morphological studies; D.H. coordinated the study; G.G. and G.H. conducted the phylogenetic analyses; G.G., D.H. and G.H. prepared the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Figure 1 | Light micrographs of *Chimerarachne yingi* (NIGP167161). **a**, general habitus. **b**, general view of pedipalps. **c**, detail of chelicerae. **d**, detail of palpal tarsi. **e**, detail of leg III (arrow points to metatarsal trichobothrium). **f**, detail of the posterior lateral spinneret with the spigots, red arrows indicating segments. **g**, ventral view of specimen with clear broad sternum. **h**, detail of the spinnerets, pygidium and proximal portion of flagellum. Scale bars represent 1 mm in **a**, 0.5 mm in **g–h**, 0.2 mm in **b–f**.

Figure 2 | Micro-CT reconstructions of *Chimerarachne yingi* (NIGP167161). **a**, general habitus in dorsal view. **b**, general habitus in ventral view. **c**, detail of opisthosoma in ventral view. **d**, right lateral view. **e**, left lateral view. **f**, frontal view illustrating the eyes (E) and chelicerae (C, F). **g**, ventrolateral view showing the cheliceral fangs (F) and sternum. **h**, detail of the spinnerets' proximal region with anterior median (green arrows), anterior laterals (red arrows), and posterior laterals (blue arrows). Scale bars: 0.5 mm in **a, b, d, e**; 0.2 mm in **c, f, g, h**.

Figure 3 | Morphological reconstruction of male *Chimerarachne yingi*. **a**, dorsal. **b**, ventral.

Figure 4 | Phylogenetic results of the parsimony and Bayesian analyses of the morphological data set. **a**, strict consensus of 18 trees ($k = 3$, 41.2441 weighted steps; similar topology obtained under $k = 4, 5, 10, 100$) based on the implied weights analysis of our data set with the arachnid orders highlighted. *Chimerarachne* is bolded and Serikodiastida is framed in an amber-coloured box. Support values over 50% are provided for the general tree at $k = 3$, and all values for Serikodiastida are plotted. **b–d**, alternative topologies obtained under different concavity values (**b**, unweighted; **c**, $k = 1$; **d**, $k = 2$). **e**, topology obtained under Bayesian analysis. **f**, detail of the posterior end (ventral view) of *Chimerarachne yingi*, showing the spinnerets, pygidium (*p*) and telson. **g–h**, details of the caudal abdominal region of *Liphistius* sp. (GH2776, male from northern Thailand) showing the spinnerets and the pygidium-like (*p*) anal tubercle in lateral (**g**) and ventral (**h**) views.

a.

Opiliones

Pseudoscorpiones

Scorpiones

Palpigradi

Solifugae

Ricinulei

Opilioacarida

Parasitiformes

Acariformes

Trigonotarbita

Uraraneida

Araneae

Haptopoda

Uropygi

Schizomida

Amblypygi

b.

Trigonotarbita
Idmonarachne
Uraraneida
Mesothelae
Mygalomorphae
Araneomorphae
Amblypygi
Haptopoda
Uropygi
Schizomida

c.

Ricinulei
Trigonotarbita
Idmonarachne
Uraraneida
Mesothelae
Mygalomorphae
Araneomorphae
Amblypygi
Haptopoda
Uropygi
Schizomida

d.

Ricinulei
Trigonotarbita
Idmonarachne
Attercopus
Permarachne
Chimerarachne
Mesothelae
Mygalomorphae
Araneomorphae
Amblypygi
Haptopoda
Uropygi
Schizomida

e.

Idmonarachne
Attercopus
Permarachne
Chimerarachne
Mesothelae
Mygalomorphae
Araneomorphae
Trigonotarbita
Amblypygi
Haptopoda
Uropygi
Schizomida