Statistical Mechanics of Developable Ribbons

Citation

Giomi, L., and L. Mahadevan. 2010. "Statistical Mechanics of Developable Ribbons." *Physical Review Letters* 104 (23). <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.104.238104>.

Permanent link

<http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:41412206>

Terms of Use

This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Open Access Policy Articles, as set forth at <http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#OAP>

Share Your Story

The Harvard community has made this article openly available.
Please share how this access benefits you. [Submit a story](#).

[Accessibility](#)

Statistical Mechanics of Developable Ribbons

L. Giomi^{1,2} and L. Mahadevan¹

¹*School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA*

²*Martin A. Fisher School of Physics, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA 02454, USA*

(Dated: October 24, 2018)

We investigate the statistical mechanics of long developable ribbons of finite width and very small thickness. The constraint of isometric deformations in these ribbon-like structures that follows from the geometric separation of scales introduces a coupling between bending and torsional degrees of freedom. Using analytical techniques and Monte Carlo simulations, we find that the tangent-tangent correlation functions always exhibits an oscillatory decay at any finite temperature implying the existence of an underlying helical structure even in absence of a preferential zero-temperature twist. In addition the persistence length is found to be over three times larger than that of a wormlike chain having the same bending rigidity. Our results are applicable to many ribbon-like objects in polymer physics and nanoscience that cannot be described by the classical worm-like chain model.

A shell or plate is a body whose two dimensions are comparable to each other and much larger than the third. A rod, on the other hand, is a body whose two dimensions are comparable to each other and much smaller than the third. In each of these objects, this separation of scales leads to constraints and an energetic ordering of the different possible deformations such as bending, twisting, stretching and shearing, and there are well accepted continuum and statistical theories of their behavior. There is, finally, an intermediate class of objects where all three dimensions are widely separated from each other, which we call strips or ribbons.

An example of such a chimeric object is a rectangular sheet of paper of large aspect ratio (see Fig. 1); its thickness is much smaller than its width which is itself much smaller than its length. The nanoscale world offers a number of compelling examples of these ribbon-like structures such as DNA, the secondary structures of proteins such as α -helices and β -sheets, Carbon (graphene) and Molybdenum ribbons etc. A classical theoretical approach to the study of these filamentous objects is embodied in the wormlike chain (WLC) model [1], in which the polymer is assumed to have an inextensible backbone, but with a flexibility governed by the persistence length ℓ_p which expresses the exponential rate of decay of tangent-tangent correlation function. Its relative simplicity and precision in describing the entropic elasticity of many semiflexible polymers has made it very attractive as an object of theoretical study. However, the accuracy of the WLC model for finite width biopolymers is still debated and a number of alternatives have been proposed to account for the torsional rigidity, the excluded volume and other features associated with a finite width [2–4]. In this Letter we consider a model for the statistical mechanics of ribbon-like objects based on a classical geometric formulation of developable surfaces. In the limit when the thickness of a ribbon is much less than its width which is itself much less than its length, we can define a centerline. Then isometric deformations of the ribbon (i.e. those that preserve local distances so that

the metric tensor is always Euclidean, $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$) can be described in terms of the orientation of the generators of the developable surface relative to the centerline [5] and account for the finite width of the ribbon. Because of its tensorial nature, developability strongly constrains the allowable deformations of a ribbon in a fundamentally different way than other milder constraints such as the “railway-track” models of polymers [3]. The most striking consequence of this, illustrated in Fig. 1, is that a developable ribbon can bend without twisting but *cannot twist without bending*, which as we shall see has far reaching consequences.

To characterize a ribbon, we first consider the geometry of its centerline in terms of the Frenet frame $(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{b})(s)$ of the tangent, normal and binormal vector at each point along the curve parametrized by arc-length coordinate s [6]. This orthogonal triad evolves in space according to the Frenet-Serret equations:

$$\mathbf{t}' = \kappa \mathbf{n}, \quad \mathbf{n}' = -\kappa \mathbf{t} + \tau \mathbf{b}, \quad \mathbf{b}' = -\tau \mathbf{n}.$$

where κ, τ are the curvature and torsion of the space curve and the prime denotes the derivatives with respect to s . In physical ribbons, there is another distinguished frame, the material frame which is attached to each cross-section; the spatial rate of rotation of this material frame is known as the twist τ_e and is not the same as the tor-

FIG. 1: (Color online) A twisted paper ribbon. In presence of twist the ribbon necessarily develop a non-zero curvature, as required by the Sadowsky functional. The Frenet frame $(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{b})$ and the material frame $(\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2, \mathbf{d}_3)$ are sketched in red and green respectively. For a developable ribbon, the two frames coincide, so that the twist of the material frame and the torsion of the center line are identical.

sion of the geometric centerline. For example, an arbitrary twisted ribbon can have a straight center line, while a helically wound telephone cord may only have torsion and no twist. However, when the ribbon is deformed isometrically, the direction perpendicular to the centerline, which points along the binormal, also points along a material axis that corresponds locally to the only direction in which bending is possible, so that Frenet frame and the material frame coincide and $\tau = \tau_e$. Equivalently, the projection of the curvature of the centerline on the material frame always vanishes in the infinitely stiff direction.

The elastic energy due to isometric deformations of a naturally flat sheet of thickness h is given by the functional: $F = \frac{1}{2}D \int dA (\kappa_1 + \kappa_2)^2$, where κ_1 and κ_2 are the principal curvatures, $D = h^3 E / [12(1 - \nu^2)]$ is the bending rigidity, E the Young modulus of the material and ν its Poisson ratio. The assumption of developability allows one to reduce the two dimensional integral to a one-dimensional integral along the centerline of the strip [7, 8]:

$$F = \frac{1}{2}D \int_0^L ds \frac{(\kappa^2 + \tau^2)^2}{\kappa'\tau - \kappa\tau'} \log \left[\frac{\kappa^2 + \frac{w}{2}(\kappa'\tau - \kappa\tau')}{\kappa^2 - \frac{w}{2}(\kappa'\tau - \kappa\tau')} \right],$$

where κ and τ are respectively the curvature and the torsion of the centerline, w is the lateral width of the ribbon and L the length of the centerline. In the limit $w/L \ll 1$ this yields the Sadowsky functional [5]:

$$F = \frac{1}{2}Dw \int_0^L ds \frac{(\kappa^2 + \tau^2)^2}{\kappa^2}. \quad (1)$$

As anticipated, the nonlinear coupling between curvature and torsion in (1) implies that an inextensible ribbon can bend without twisting ($\tau = 0, \kappa \neq 0$), but cannot twist without bending ($\tau \neq 0 \rightarrow \kappa \neq 0$).

To make the Sadowsky's functional (1) amenable to numerical simulation of a discretized analog, we consider a chain of $N = L/a$ consecutive vertices $\mathbf{x} = \{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \dots \mathbf{x}_N\}$ separated by a fixed length a . At each point $\mathbf{x}_i$ in the chain, the discrete Frenet frame is given by: $\mathbf{F}_i = (\mathbf{t}_i, \mathbf{n}_i, \mathbf{b}_i)$. $\mathbf{F}_i$ are orthogonal 3×3 matrices whose columns are given by the column vectors $\mathbf{t}_i$, $\mathbf{n}_i$ and $\mathbf{b}_i$. Calling θ_i and ϕ_i the angles formed by consecutive tangent and binormal vectors (i.e. $\mathbf{t}_i \cdot \mathbf{t}_{i-1} = \cos \theta_i$ and $\mathbf{b}_i \cdot \mathbf{b}_{i-1} = \cos \phi_i$), the Frenet equations for the moving trihedron are expressed as $\mathbf{F}_i = \mathbf{F}_{i-1} \mathbf{R}_i$ [9], where

$$\mathbf{R}_i = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_i & -\sin \theta_i & 0 \\ \sin \theta_i \cos \phi_i & \cos \theta_i \cos \phi_i & -\sin \phi_i \\ \sin \theta_i \sin \phi_i & \cos \theta_i \sin \phi_i & \cos \phi_i \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

We note that $\mathbf{t}_i \cdot \mathbf{n}_{i-1} = \sin \theta_i \cos \phi_i$ and $\mathbf{t}_i \cdot \mathbf{b}_{i-1} = \sin \theta_i \sin \phi_i$. Thus θ_i and ϕ_i are also the polar and azimuthal angle of a system of spherical coordinates (see inset of Fig. 2). The discrete analog of curvature and torsion can be easily found $\kappa_i^2 = a^{-2}|\mathbf{t}_i - \mathbf{t}_{i-1}|^2 = 2a^{-2}(1 -$

FIG. 2: (Color online) The quantity Δ defined in the text as a function of β , calculated from the exact integral expression given on the last page. With exception for the two limits $\beta \rightarrow 0, \infty$, Δ is always negative and the associated eigenvalues $\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2}(b \pm \sqrt{\Delta})$ are complex and conjugate. In the inset the discrete Frenet frame.

$\cos \theta_i$) and $\tau_i^2 = a^{-2}|\mathbf{b}_i - \mathbf{b}_{i-1}|^2 = 2a^{-2}(1 - \cos \phi_i)$. The energy (1) can thus be written as:

$$\frac{F}{k_B T} = \beta \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{[(1 - \mathbf{t}_i \cdot \mathbf{t}_{i+1}) + (1 - \mathbf{b}_i \cdot \mathbf{b}_{i+1})]^2}{(1 - \mathbf{t}_i \cdot \mathbf{t}_{i+1})}, \quad (3)$$

where $\beta = Dw/(ak_B T)$. This serves as a starting point for Monte Carlo simulations of a chain composed of N segments of unit length and its conformation is updated using pivot moves through a Metropolis algorithm. For every chain we perform 10^6 Monte Carlo sweeps, the first half of which is devoted to equilibration. Fig. 3 shows the tangent-tangent and binormal-binormal correlation for a chain of $N = 100$ segments at various temperatures. We see that while $\langle \mathbf{b}_n \cdot \mathbf{b}_0 \rangle$ always exhibits exponential decay, $\langle \mathbf{t}_n \cdot \mathbf{t}_0 \rangle$ has oscillations superimposed on an exponential decay. Upon raising the temperature, the frequency increases, but the exponential damping becomes stronger.

To understand this result, we first derive the tangent-tangent and binormal-binormal correlation functions from the iterated transfer matrix: $\langle \mathbf{t}_n \cdot \mathbf{t}_0 \rangle = \langle \mathbf{R}_1 \mathbf{R}_2 \dots \mathbf{R}_n \rangle_{11}$ and $\langle \mathbf{b}_n \cdot \mathbf{b}_0 \rangle = \langle \mathbf{R}_1 \mathbf{R}_2 \dots \mathbf{R}_n \rangle_{33}$ [4], noting that since the energy (3) is a sum over individual segments, the Boltzmann measure $\exp(-F/k_B T)$ is factorizable and $\langle \mathbf{R}_1 \mathbf{R}_2 \dots \mathbf{R}_n \rangle = \langle \mathbf{R} \rangle^n$. Moreover, for sufficiently large spatial separation the behavior of the correlation functions will be dictated by the largest eigenvalue in the spectrum of $\mathbf{R}$. Assuming $\langle \sin \phi \rangle = 0$, the transfer matrix $\mathbf{R}$ becomes block-diagonal and the eigenvalues are given by the roots of the characteristic equation $\lambda^2 - b\lambda + c = 0$ with $b = \langle \cos \theta \rangle + \langle \cos \theta \cos \phi \rangle$ and $c = \langle \cos \theta \rangle \langle \cos \theta \cos \phi \rangle + \langle \sin \theta \rangle \langle \sin \theta \cos \phi \rangle$, so that

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{b \pm \sqrt{\Delta}}{2}, \quad \lambda_3 = \langle \cos \phi \rangle,$$

where $\Delta = b^2 - 4c$. Thus the binormal-binormal correlation function always exhibits exponential decay:

$$\langle \mathbf{b}_n \cdot \mathbf{b}_0 \rangle = e^{-s/\ell_\tau}, \quad (4)$$

FIG. 3: (Color online) Tangent-tangent (left) and binormal-binormal (right) correlation functions from Monte Carlo simulations of a polymer chain of $N = 100$ segments at $T = 0.1$ (black), 0.2 (blue), 0.3 (red) and 0.4 (green). The graphs of $\langle \mathbf{t}_n \cdot \mathbf{t}_0 \rangle$ have been translated along the vertical axis to avoid overlaps.

where $\ell_\tau^{-1} = -a^{-1} \log \langle \cos \phi \rangle$ is the *torsional persistence length* and $s = na$. On the other hand, the sign of Δ dictates the behavior of the tangent-tangent correlation function. When $\Delta > 0$, the eigenvalues $\lambda_{1,2}$ are real and $\langle \mathbf{t}_n \cdot \mathbf{t}_0 \rangle$ has the typical exponential decay of a WLC. When $\Delta < 0$ the eigenvalues are complex and $\langle \mathbf{t}_n \cdot \mathbf{t}_0 \rangle$ has the form:

$$\langle \mathbf{t}_n \cdot \mathbf{t}_0 \rangle = e^{-s/\ell_p} \cos ks, \quad (5)$$

with $k = a^{-1} \text{atan}(\sqrt{-\Delta}/b)$ and $\ell_p^{-1} = -\frac{1}{2}a^{-1} \log c$. An exact numerical calculation of Δ , shown in Fig. 2 as function of β , shows it is always negative so that the tangent-tangent correlation function is always oscillatory at any non-zero temperature.

This oscillatory behavior is reminiscent of spin chains with competing ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic interactions. In these systems the oscillatory behavior of the two-point correlation functions denotes the existence of a *helical phase* in which the magnetization varies periodically in space. The critical point that divide the disorder phase and the helical phase is traditionally referred to as “Lifshitz point” [10]. Analogously, an oscillating tangent-tangent correlation function in our polymer model implies the presence of an underlying helical structure that persists at any finite temperature even in absence of a spontaneous twist, i.e. so that the Lifshitz point here is $T = \infty$. In Fig. 4 we show that our numerical simulations capture this feature, due to the strong geometrical constraint of developability, i.e. the ribbon is isometric to a flat strip at *any* temperature, and thus bends when twisted. The notion of a Lifshitz point has been used earlier to described the low temperature phase of a “railway-track” polymer consisting of two parallel WLCs connected by a rigid bond [3], and is marked by an oscillatory binormal-binormal correlation function which results in a “rod-kink” structure in which the ribbon is at every point along its contour either twisted and unbent (rod) or bent and untwisted (kink). This is qualitatively different from our results where it is impossible for the ribbon to be twisted and unbent simultaneously, due to the fact that the constraint of developability is

FIG. 4: (Color online) The typical conformation of a ribbon-like polymer after 10^6 Monte Carlo iterations. (Left) $\beta^{-1} = 0.1$ and (right) $\beta^{-1} = 1$. At low temperature the ribbon fluctuates around an underlying helical shape. The radius and the pitch of the helix are set by the persistence length ℓ_p and the wave-number k and are proportional to βa and $\beta^{1/2}a$ respectively.

implemented exactly in Eq. (1), unlike in any previous attempts.

Next we give an analytical estimate of the three relevant quantities ℓ_p , ℓ_τ and k in the low temperature regime. The partition function for the ribbon is given by $Z = z_1^N$ with:

$$z_1 = \int \frac{d\Omega}{4\pi} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta a^2 \left(\kappa^2 + 2\tau^2 + \frac{\tau^4}{\kappa^2} \right)}, \quad (6)$$

with $d\Omega = d\phi d\theta \sin \theta$ the infinitesimal solid angle. The analytical calculation of thermal average is complicated by the coupling term between curvature and torsion, but this can be removed by rewriting the τ^4/κ^2 term as a Gaussian integral of the form:

$$e^{-\beta a^2 \frac{\tau^4}{2\kappa^2}} = \left(\frac{\beta a^2 \kappa^2}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy e^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta a^2 (\kappa^2 y^2 + 2i\tau^2 y)}. \quad (7)$$

With this substitution, curvature and torsion are now decoupled and the single-node partition function reads:

$$z_1 = \left(\frac{\beta}{\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \int \frac{d\Omega}{4\pi} (1 - \cos \theta)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\beta[\lambda(1 - \cos \theta) + \mu(1 - \cos \phi)]}, \quad (8)$$

with $\lambda = (1 + y^2)$ and $\mu = 2(1 + iy)$, so that the ribbon is equivalent to a wormlike polymer with finite but complex-valued bending and torsional stiffness. Calculating the solid-angle integral in the previous expression yields

$$z_1 = \left(\frac{\beta}{4\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \frac{e^{-\beta\mu} I_0(\beta\mu) \gamma(\frac{3}{2}, 2\beta\lambda)}{(\beta\lambda)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad (9)$$

where I_0 and γ are respectively the modified Bessel function of zeroth order and the incomplete gamma function

[11]. Analogously one can calculate the ensemble average $\langle \cdot \rangle = z_1^{-1} \int \frac{d\Omega}{4\pi} (\cdot) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta a^2(\kappa^2 + 2\tau^2 + \tau^4/\kappa^2)}$ which yields:

$$\langle \cos \theta \cos m\phi \rangle = \left(\frac{\beta}{4\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} z_1^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy e^{-\beta\mu} I_m(\beta\mu) f_1(\beta\lambda),$$

$$\langle \sin \theta \cos m\phi \rangle = \left(\frac{\beta}{4\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} z_1^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy e^{-\beta\mu} I_m(\beta\mu) f_2(\beta\lambda),$$

where m is an integer in $\{0, 1\}$ and

$$f_1(\beta\lambda) = \frac{\gamma(\frac{3}{2}, 2\beta\lambda)}{(\beta\lambda)^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{\gamma(\frac{5}{2}, 2\beta\lambda)}{(\beta\lambda)^{\frac{5}{2}}},$$

$$f_2(\beta\lambda) = \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}(\beta\lambda)^2} - \frac{\sqrt{\pi}(3 + 4\beta\lambda)}{4(\beta\lambda)^{\frac{5}{2}}} e^{-2\beta\lambda} \text{erfi}(\sqrt{2\lambda\beta}),$$

with erfi the imaginary error function [11]. At low temperatures ($\beta \gg 1$), asymptotic expansions of the functions I_0 , γ and erfi yield

$$\langle \cos \theta \cos m\phi \rangle \simeq 1 - \frac{21(1 - 4m^2)}{16\beta} + \frac{4(7 - 173m^2)}{5 + 128\beta},$$

$$\langle \sin \theta \cos m\phi \rangle \simeq \frac{128\beta[40\beta - 7(2 + m^2)] - 99(1 - 4m^2)}{12\sqrt{2\pi}\beta^{3/2}(5 + 128\beta)},$$

from which we find the persistence lengths ℓ_p and ℓ_τ

$$\ell_p = \left(\frac{75}{64} - \frac{25}{9\pi} \right)^{-1} \frac{Dw}{k_B T}, \quad \ell_\tau = \frac{32}{5} \frac{Dw}{k_B T},$$

and the wavenumber k :

$$k = \frac{5}{3} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi a} \frac{k_B T}{Dw}}.$$

A plot of ℓ_p , ℓ_τ and k versus temperature is shown in Fig. 5. We see that as a consequence of the geometric coupling between curvature and torsion in a developable ribbon, the persistence length is a factor $\left(\frac{75}{64} - \frac{25}{9\pi} \right)^{-1} = 3.476$ larger than that of a WLC at the same temperature.

Our study has a set of clear experimental predictions for the equilibrium statistical mechanics of ribbon-like polymers where all three length scales are well separated. Firstly, the tangent-tangent correlation function exhibits an oscillatory decay at any finite temperature, implying an underlying helical structure even in absence of any natural twist at zero temperature. For a ribbon with finite extensibility, even if the helical phase disappears at high enough temperatures, the Lifshitz point should persist but is shifted to $T = T^*$, and the mean field estimate for the oscillatory wave number $k \sim [k_B(T - T^*)/Dwa]^{1/2}$. The helical structures that arise herein are reminiscent of those found in biopolymers and proteins, and suggests a natural generalization of our theory to include short range forces that will stabilize these structures. In the

FIG. 5: (Color online) Persistence length and torsional persistence length (left) as a function of β^{-1} (in units of a). (Right) Tangent-tangent correlation function wave-number k (in units of a^{-1}) as a function of β^{-1} . Dots corresponds to numerical data and lines to analytical predictions for the low temperature regime. For biopolymers of Young modulus $E \approx 100$ MPa, $h \approx 1$ nm and $w/a \approx 1$, the value of β^{-1} in the plots spans the temperature range $T \in [0, 10^3]$ K.

presence of forces, the geometrical structure of ribbons is expected to lead to qualitatively differences in the force-extension curves of these ribbons as well as in their motion, topics of future study.

This work was partially supported by NSF through the Harvard MRSEC and the Brandeis MRSEC, by the Harvard Kavli Institute for Bionano Science & Technology and by the MacArthur Foundation.

-
- [1] O. Kratky and G. Porod, Rec. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas. **68**, 1106 (1949). J. F. Marko and E. D. Siggia, Macromolecules **27**, 981 (1994).
 - [2] J. D. Moroz and P. Nelson, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **94**, 14418 (1997); Macromolecules **31**, 6333 (1998). C. Bouchiat and M. Mézard, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 1556 (1998). S. Panyukov and Y. Rabin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 2404 (2000).
 - [3] T. B. Liverpool, R. Golestanian and K. Kremer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 405 (1998). R. Golestanian and T. B. Liverpool, Phys. Rev. E **62**, 5488 (2000).
 - [4] D. Marenduzzo, C. Micheletti, H. Seyed-allaei, A. Trovato and A. Maritan, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **38**, L277 (2005).
 - [5] M. Sadowsky, Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. **22**, 412 (1930).
 - [6] D. J. Struik, *Lectures on Classical Differential Geometry*, Dover Publications (1988).
 - [7] W. Wunderlich, Monatsh. Math. **66**, 276 (1962).
 - [8] L. Mahadevan and J.B. Keller, Proc. R. Soc. (A) **440**, 149 (1993).
 - [9] J. R. Quine, T. A. Cross, M. S. Chapman and R. Bertram, Bull. Math. Biology **66**, 1705 (2004).
 - [10] S. Redner and H. E. Stanley, Phys. Rev. B **16**, 4901 (1977). R. M. Hornreich, R. Liebmann, H. G. Schuster, and W. Selke, Z. Phys. B **35**, 91 (1979).
 - [11] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions: with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, Dover Publications (1996).