Detecting Industrial Pollution in the Atmospheres of Earth-like Exoplanets

Citation

Lin, Henry W., Gonzalo Gonzalez Abad, and Abraham Loeb. 2014. "DETECTING INDUSTRIAL POLLUTION IN THE ATMOSPHERES OF EARTH-LIKE EXOPLANETS." *The Astrophysical Journal* 792 (1): L7. <https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/792/1/L7>.

Permanent link

<http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:41412245>

Terms of Use

This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at <http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA>

Share Your Story

The Harvard community has made this article openly available.
Please share how this access benefits you. [Submit a story](#).

[Accessibility](#)

DETECTING INDUSTRIAL POLLUTION IN THE ATMOSPHERES OF EARTH-LIKE EXOPLANETS

HENRY W. LIN¹, GONZALO GONZALEZ ABAD², AND ABRAHAM LOEB²

¹ Harvard College, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA; henrylin@college.harvard.edu

² Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden St., Cambridge, MA 02138, USA; ggonzalezabad@cfa.harvard.edu, aloe@cfa.harvard.edu

Received 2014 June 20; accepted 2014 July 19; published 2014 August 12

ABSTRACT

Detecting biosignatures, such as molecular oxygen in combination with a reducing gas, in the atmospheres of transiting exoplanets has been a major focus in the search for alien life. We point out that in addition to these generic indicators, anthropogenic pollution could be used as a novel biosignature for intelligent life. To this end, we identify pollutants in the Earth’s atmosphere that have significant absorption features in the spectral range covered by the *James Webb Space Telescope*. We focus on tetrafluoromethane (CF₄) and trichlorofluoromethane (CCl₃F), which are the easiest to detect chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) produced by anthropogenic activity. We estimate that ~1.2 days (~1.7 days) of total integration time will be sufficient to detect or constrain the concentration of CCl₃F (CF₄) to ~10 times the current terrestrial level.

Key words: astrobiology – planets and satellites: atmospheres – white dwarfs

Online-only material: color figures

1. INTRODUCTION

The search for extraterrestrial intelligence (SETI) has so far been mostly relegated to the detection of electromagnetic radiation emitted by alien civilizations (e.g., Wilson 2001; Tarter 2001; Shostak et al. 2011). These signals could be the byproduct of internal communication (Loeb & Zaldarriaga 2007) or perhaps simply the result of the alien civilization’s need for artificial lighting (Loeb & Turner 2012).

On the other hand, the search for biosignatures in the atmospheres of transiting Earths has thus far been limited to the search for pre-industrial life. Detecting biosignatures such as molecular oxygen (along with a reducing gas like methane) at terrestrial concentrations in the atmospheres of transiting Earths around white dwarfs will be feasible with next-generation technology like the *James Webb Space Telescope* (JWST; Loeb & Maoz 2013). Though molecular oxygen and other signals like the red edge of photosynthesis are strong indicators of biological processes (Scalo et al. 2007; Seager et al. 2006; Kaltenegger et al. 2002) one might ask whether there are specific atmospheric indicators of intelligent life (Campbell 2006) that could be simultaneously searched for.

In this Letter, we explore industrial pollution as a potential biosignature for intelligent life. This would provide an alternative method for SETI, distinct from the direct detection of electromagnetic emission by alien civilizations. We defer a brief discussion of Earth-like planets around main sequence stars to Section 3, focusing instead on Earth-size planets orbiting a white dwarf as Agol (2011) has argued that white dwarfs have long-lived habitable zones, and the similarity in size of the white dwarf and an Earth-like planet will give the best contrast between the planet’s atmospheric transmission spectrum and the stellar background (Loeb & Maoz 2013). As in Loeb & Maoz (2013), we consider white dwarfs that have been cooling for a few Gyr and have the same surface temperature as our Sun ~ 6000 K. Consequently, the shape of the illuminating spectrum of the white dwarf should resemble that of our Sun, though the normalization should be suppressed by a factor of ~10⁴. As a result, the habitable zone of a white dwarf is at small orbital radii ~ 0.01 AU, increasing the chance of a transit. The similar-

ity of a ~6000 K white dwarf spectrum to that of our Sun also implies that an Earth-like atmosphere is a plausible model of a habitable-zone exoplanet’s atmosphere as similar photochemistry will take place in both cases (Fossati et al. 2012).

As argued in Loeb & Maoz (2013) and Agol (2011) (and references therein), habitable planets around white dwarfs could plausibly form from a variety of processes including formation out of debris leftover from the expanding red giant and migration from a wider orbit. In fact, observational evidence for habitable-zone exoplanets around white dwarfs is already mounting, with the discovery of very close-in (0.006–0.008 AU) planets around a post-red-giant star (Charpinet et al. 2011), demonstrating that planets can survive the post-main-sequence evolution phases of their host star. This result has been interpreted theoretically in Bear & Soker (2012), Passy et al. (2012), Mustill & Villaver (2012), Barnes & Heller (2013), Veras et al. (2013), and Mustill et al. (2014), all giving scenarios by which Earth analogs could exist in the habitable zone of white dwarfs. In addition, dusty disks have been discovered in a few dozen white dwarfs (see e.g., Zuckerman & Becklin 1987; von Hippel et al. 2007; Farihi et al. 2010; Chu et al. 2011), suggesting the existence of exoplanets that can perturb minor planets into the Roche zone of the white dwarf (Jura 2003; Farihi et al. 2010).

On Earth, atmospheric pollution has been carefully studied in the context of global climate change and air quality concerns. It is ironic that high concentrations of molecules with high global warming potential (GWP), the worst-case scenario for Earth’s climate, is the optimal scenario for detecting an alien civilization, as GWP increases with stronger infrared absorption and longer atmospheric lifetime. On the other hand, the detection of high concentrations of molecules such as methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O) though suggestive, will not be conclusive evidence for industrial pollution, as the contribution from natural sources is comparable to the contribution from anthropogenic sources for the present-day Earth (Anderson et al. 2010). Instead, targeting pollutants like chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs, Schneider et al. 2010) is ideal, as they are only produced in significant quantities by anthropogenic activities. In addition, the lifetimes of CFCs vary from ~10¹ yr to ~10⁵ yr. Thus, detection of a short-life CFC will signal an active civilization,

whereas the detection of a long-lived CFC will be more probable, as the polluting civilization only needs to exist at any time in the past $\sim 10^5$ yr.

Our discussion is organized as follows. In Section 2 we calculate the necessary *JWST* exposure time for detecting different molecules. In Section 3, we focus on CFC-11 and CFC-14. Finally, we summarize our main conclusions in Section 4.

2. JWST EXPOSURE TIME ESTIMATE

First, we compute the exposure time needed to detect a given molecule in the atmosphere of a planet, given the presence of other molecules and the changing concentration of the molecules with altitude. Although we focus on planets around white dwarfs and *JWST*, our results are generalizable to other telescopes and planetary systems.

If we are interested in molecule j , then the number of signal photons in a given wavelength bin from λ to $\lambda + \Delta\lambda$ is given by

$$S_{j,\lambda} = \Delta\lambda \left(\frac{d\mathcal{N}_{wd}}{d\lambda} \right) \epsilon(\lambda) \frac{2\pi}{A_{\oplus}} \int_{r>r_{\oplus}} r dr \mathcal{T}_j (1 - T_j), \quad (1)$$

where $0 \leq T_i(r, \lambda) \leq 1$ is the intensity transmission coefficient I/I_0 of the i -th molecule at an altitude of $r - r_{\oplus}$, $T_j = \prod_{i \neq j} T_i(r, \lambda)$, and $\epsilon(\lambda)$ is the quantum efficiency of the instrument, which we take to be 0.6 for the Mid Infrared Instrument (MIRI) on *JWST* (Ressler et al. 2008). Further, we assume a blackbody spectrum for a white dwarf with a surface temperature of 6000 K:

$$\frac{d\mathcal{N}_{wd}}{d\lambda} \propto \frac{\lambda^{-4}}{\exp(2400 \text{ \AA}/\lambda) - 1} \quad (2)$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{wd}$ is the number of photons detected from the white dwarf, with the proportionality constant chosen such that at $\lambda = 7000 \text{ \AA}$, we have $d\mathcal{N}_{wd}/d\lambda = 8 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ \AA}^{-1} \times t_{\text{int}} \times A_{\text{JWST}}$, where A_{JWST} is the effective collecting area of *JWST* and t_{int} is the total integration time. Here we have assumed a typical half-occulted white dwarf to be about 18 mag (Loeb & Maoz 2013).

To estimate the exposure time needed to detect a given molecule, we use a Monte Carlo approach. We generate a signal $S_{j,\lambda}$, add Poisson noise $N_{j,\lambda} = \sqrt{\Delta\lambda d\mathcal{N}_{wd}/d\lambda}$, and then fit a functional form $\sum a_j S_j(\lambda)$ to the simulated photon deficit. We take $\Delta\lambda = \lambda/3000$ to simulate medium-resolution spectroscopy that MIRI on *JWST* will provide and only consider the signal in certain spectral windows to be determined.

We repeat the process, each time finding best fit parameters a_j and their associated uncertainties. We average these parameters $\bar{a}_j$ over the repeated trials and generate new parameters (averaging again) until all the $\bar{a}_j$ are within $\sim 1\%$ of unity and then take the average uncertainty s_j in a_j . Since $a_j = 0$ would indicate no signal, $a_j = 1 \pm 0.2$ corresponds to a 5σ detection, and in general $a_j = \bar{a}_j \pm s_j$ corresponds to $(\bar{a}_j/s_j)\sigma$ detection.

Note that the linear fit is approximate; in practice increasing the concentration for $S_{i \neq j}$ will diminish S_j signal via the T_j factor. However, the effects of the nonlinearity are small compared to the uncertainty in the molecular concentrations, so we ignore this issue by suppressing the factor T_j in our estimate. For real surveys where accurate constraints on molecular concentration are desired (and not just an estimate of the necessary integration time), a non-linear fit in concentration should be performed and uncertainties should be estimated by, say, a Markov Chain Monte Carlo method.

As a check on our calculations, we find that the necessary exposure time for detecting molecular oxygen (O_2) is just ~ 3 hr for an efficiency of $\epsilon = 0.15$ and a spectral resolution $R \equiv \lambda/\Delta\lambda = 700$. This is roughly in agreement with the ~ 5 hr estimate given by Loeb & Maoz (2013), though our method provides a more optimistic integration time as the fitting routine takes into consideration shape information in addition to photon count statistics.

3. TARGETING CFC-11 AND CFC-14

We compile a list of industrial pollutants with small or negligible production from natural sources. Of this list, we identify CFC-14 (CF_4) and CFC-11 (CCl_3F) as the strongest candidates for detection. The overlap of the $2\nu_4$ and ν_3 bands around $7.8 \mu\text{m}$ is the strongest absorption feature of CF_4 in the middle infrared region (McDaniel et al. 1991). For CCl_3F the ν_4 band around $11.8 \mu\text{m}$ is the strongest absorption feature (McDaniel et al. 1991). These features are fairly broad, $\sim 0.1 \mu\text{m}$ and $\sim 0.4 \mu\text{m}$ for CF_4 and CCl_3F respectively. The advantage of CF_4 is that it absorbs at $7.8 \mu\text{m}$, whereas CCl_3F absorbs at $\sim 11.7 \mu\text{m}$. Since these wavelengths are in the Rayleigh–Jeans regime of a 6000 K white dwarf, the photon flux will fall off rapidly with wavelength $\propto 1/\lambda^3$. On the other hand, CH_4 and N_2O are strong absorbers in the regions of interest for CF_4 , whereas in the $11\text{--}12 \mu\text{m}$ region where CCl_3F is strongly absorbing, interference (predominantly from O_3 and H_2O) is less relevant.

We have calculated the synthetic spectra using the Reference Forward Model.³ The RFM is a GENLN2-based (Edwards et al. 1992) line-by-line radiative transfer model originally developed at Oxford University, under an ESA contract to provide reference spectral calculations for the Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding (MIPAS) instrument (Fischer et al. 2008). In our simulations the model is driven by the HITRAN 2012 spectral database (Rothman et al. 2013). We use the US standard atmosphere⁴ to simulate the temperature, pressure, and gas profiles of the major absorbers and the IG2 climatology of CFC-11 and CFC-14 (Remedios et al. 2007) originally developed for MIPAS retrievals.

Since we are interested in CF_4 and CCl_3F , we consider the signal in the following spectral windows (see Figure 1):

1. $7760 \text{ nm} < \lambda < 7840 \text{ nm}$ for CH_4 , N_2O , CF_4
2. $11600 \text{ nm} < \lambda < 12000 \text{ nm}$ for CCl_3F

Tighter constraints on CH_4 and N_2O in turn improve the constraints on CF_4 due to CH_4 and N_2O signatures in the wavelengths where CF_4 features are present. We therefore consider four additional windows:

1. $2190 \text{ nm} < \lambda < 2400 \text{ nm}$ for CH_4 and N_2O
2. $3350 \text{ nm} < \lambda < 3550 \text{ nm}$ for CH_4
3. $3840 \text{ nm} < \lambda < 4130 \text{ nm}$ for CH_4 and N_2O
4. $4500 \text{ nm} < \lambda < 4600 \text{ nm}$ for N_2O

Although CH_4 and N_2O absorb strongly at other wavelengths, these windows minimize the interference from other molecules.

By demanding a given signal-to-noise ratio (say, $S/N = 5$) we can solve for the total integration time t_{int} necessary for a 5σ detection of the given molecule. Figure 2 shows these exposure times as a function of the exoplanet’s normalized concentration. Note that lower levels of CH_4 and N_2O concentrations on the

³ <http://www.atm.ox.ac.uk/RFM/>

⁴ <http://www.pdas.com/atmos.html>

Figure 1. Windows used for detecting CF_4 and CCl_3F . The top figure shows the combined transmission $T = I/I_0$ from the most relevant molecules in Earth's atmosphere over the *JWST* wavelength range. To constrain CF_4 concentration, we use five intervals in wavelength space where CF_4 , N_2O , and CH_4 features dominate. We show in shaded orange three of the five most important regions on this plot (1–3). The fourth region is used to constrain CCl_3F . The zoomed in versions of these plots are displayed at the bottom.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

exoplanet will lower the necessary exposure times for CF_4 detection, since these molecules provide most of the interference in the $\sim 7.8 \mu\text{m}$ region. For a CF_4 concentration of ~ 10 times that of the Earth, one needs ~ 1.7 days of exposure time, whereas ~ 1.2 days would be sufficient to detect CCl_3F at 10 times terrestrial levels. The long exposure time needed to detect CF_4 or CCl_3F in comparison to the time needed to detect molecular oxygen is mostly a consequence of the factor of ~ 200 fewer photons per unit wavelength emitted at $\sim 7.8 \mu\text{m}$ compared to $\sim 0.7 \mu\text{m}$, the location of the molecular oxygen signature. The situation is even worse for CCl_3F at $\sim 11 \mu\text{m}$.

Given the cost of long exposure times, we suggest the following observing strategy:

1. Identify Earth-size exoplanets transiting white dwarfs.
2. After ~ 5 hours of exposure time with *JWST*, water vapor, molecular oxygen, carbon dioxide, and other biosignatures of unintelligent life should be detectable if present at Earth-like levels (Loeb & Maoz 2013).
3. While observing for these conventional biosignatures, methane and nitrous oxide should be simultaneously detected, if they exist at terrestrial levels. Extreme levels of methane and nitrous oxide could be preliminary evidence of runaway industrial pollution.
4. If biosignatures of unintelligent life are found within the first few hours of exposure time, additional observing time could be used to reduce the uncertainties on the

Figure 2. Exposure time needed to detect various molecules as a function of their normalized concentration $C/C_{\oplus}$ where $C_{\oplus}$ is the current terrestrial concentration. On the left panel, N_2O and CH_4 are displayed. On the right, we display CCl_3F and CF_4 . The molecules in the left plot will be considerably easier to detect, requiring $\lesssim 1$ day of observing time for a 5σ detection, whereas detection of molecules on the right will be significantly more challenging unless present at concentrations ~ 10 times terrestrial levels.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

concentration of the discovered biosignatures and search for additional, rarer biosignatures. Methane and N_2O can be “subtracted out,” and constraints on CF_4 can be obtained. Constraints on CCl_3F can be simultaneously obtained.

5. Direct exoplanet detection experiments which look for reflection or thermal emission from the planet could then be used to push constraints on industrial pollutants to terrestrial levels. At the same time, these experiments could search for less exotic biosignatures like the “red edge” of chlorophyll (Seager et al. 2005). Detecting molecules in exoplanets around main-sequence stars with direct detection techniques will be just as feasible as detecting molecules in exoplanets around white dwarfs since the direct detection S/N is roughly independent of the host star’s R_* whereas for transits $S/N \sim 1/R_*$.

It is worth noting that a recent study by Worton et al. (2007) estimates the atmospheric concentration of CF_4 at ~ 75 parts per trillion (ppt), whereas CF_4 levels were at ~ 40 ppt around ~ 1950 . Assuming a constant production rate $C = C_0 + \gamma t$, we expect as a very crude estimate that in roughly ~ 1000 years, the concentration of CF_4 will reach 10 times its present levels. Coupled with the fact that the half-life of CF_4 in the atmosphere is $\sim 50,000$ years, it is not inconceivable that an alien civilization that industrialized many millennia ago might have detectable levels of CF_4 . A more optimistic possibility is that the alien civilization is deliberately emitting molecules with high GWP to terraform a planet on the outer edge of the habitable zone, or to keep their planet warm as the white dwarf slowly cools.

4. CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that *JWST* will be able to detect CF_4 and CCl_3F signatures in the atmospheres of transiting Earths around white dwarfs if these pollutants are found at concentrations of 10 times that of terrestrial levels with ~ 1.7 and ~ 1.2 days of integrated exposure time respectively, though N_2O and CH_4 can be detected at terrestrial concentrations in 1.9 hr and 0.4 hr respectively.

Given that conventional rare biosignatures will already take on the order of ~ 1 day to detect, constraints on CF_4 and CCl_3F , at ~ 10 times terrestrial levels, could be obtained at virtually no additional observing costs. Detection of high levels of pollutants like CF_4 with very long lifetimes without the detection of any

shorter-life biosignatures might serve as an additional warning to the “intelligent” life here on Earth about the risks of industrial pollution.

This work was supported in part by NSF grant AST-1312034, and the Harvard Origins of Life Initiative.

REFERENCES

- Agol, E. 2011, *ApJL*, **731**, L31
 Anderson, B., Bartlett, K., Frolking, S., et al. 2010, Methane and Nitrous Oxide Emissions from Natural Sources, Tech. Rep., EPA
 Barnes, R., & Heller, R. 2013, *Asbio*, **13**, 279
 Bear, E., & Soker, N. 2012, *ApJL*, **749**, L14
 Campbell, J. B. 2006, in IAU Colloq. 200: Direct Imaging of Exoplanets: Science and Techniques, ed. C. Aime & F. Vakili, **247**
 Charpinet, S., Fontaine, G., Brassard, P., et al. 2011, *Natur*, **480**, 496
 Chu, Y.-H., Su, K. Y. L., Bilikova, J., et al. 2011, *AJ*, **142**, 75
 Edwards, D., et al. 1992, GENLN2: A General Line-by-line Atmospheric Transmittance and Radiance Model., Tech. Rep., NCAR
 Farihi, J., Jura, M., Lee, J.-E., & Zuckerman, B. 2010, *ApJ*, **714**, 1386
 Fischer, H., Birk, M., Blom, C., et al. 2008, *ACP*, **8**, 2151
 Fossati, L., Bagnulo, S., Haswell, C. A., et al. 2012, *ApJL*, **757**, L15
 Jura, M. 2003, *ApJL*, **584**, L91
 Kaltenegger, L., Fridlund, M., & Kasting, J. 2002, in ESA Special Publication, Vol. 514, Earth-like Planets and Moons, ed. B. H. Foing & B. Battrock, **277**
 Loeb, A., & Maoz, D. 2013, *MNRAS*, **432**, L11
 Loeb, A., & Turner, E. L. 2012, *Asbio*, **12**, 290
 Loeb, A., & Zaldarriaga, M. 2007, *JCAP*, **01**, 020
 McDaniel, A. H., et al. 1991, *JatC*, **12**, 211
 Mustill, A. J., Veras, D., & Villaver, E. 2014, *MNRAS*, **437**, 1404
 Mustill, A. J., & Villaver, E. 2012, *ApJ*, **761**, 121
 Passy, J.-C., Mac Low, M.-M., & De Marco, O. 2012, *ApJL*, **759**, L30
 Remedios, J. J., Leigh, R. J., Waterfall, A. M., et al. 2007, *ACPD*, **7**, 9973
 Ressler, M. E., Cho, H., Lee, R. A. M., et al. 2008, Performance of the *JWST*/MIRI Si:As detectors
 Rothman, L., Gordon, I. E., Babikov, Y., et al. 2013, *JQSRT*, **130**, 4
 Scalo, J., Kaltenegger, L., Segura, A. G., et al. 2007, *Asbio*, **7**, 85
 Schneider, J., Léger, A., Fridlund, M., et al. 2010, *Asbio*, **10**, 121
 Seager, S., Ford, E. B., & Turner, E. L. 2006, in AGU Spring Meeting Abstracts, A5
 Seager, S., Turner, E. L., Schafer, J., & Ford, E. B. 2005, *Asbio*, **5**, 372
 Shostak, M. S., Zuckerman, P. B., Fujishita, M., Horowitz, P., & Werthimer, D. 2011, *Asbio*, **11**, 487
 Tarter, J. 2001, *ARA&A*, **39**, 511
 Veras, D., Mustill, A. J., Bonsor, A., & Wyatt, M. C. 2013, *MNRAS*, **431**, 1686
 von Hippel, T., Kuchner, M. J., Kilic, M., Mullally, F., & Reach, W. T. 2007, *ApJ*, **662**, 544
 Wilson, T. L. 2001, *Natur*, **409**, 1110
 Worton, D., Sturges, T., & Gohar, K. 2007, *EnST*, **41**, 2184
 Zuckerman, B., & Becklin, E. E. 1987, *Natur*, **330**, 138