The Co-Morbidity Burden of Children and Young Adults with Autism Spectrum Disorders
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Abstract

Objectives: Use electronic health records Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) to assess the comorbidity burden of ASD in children and young adults.

Study Design: A retrospective prevalence study was performed using a distributed query system across three general hospitals and one pediatric hospital. Over 14,000 individuals under age 35 with ASD were characterized by their comorbidities and conversely, the prevalence of ASD within these comorbidities was measured. The comorbidity prevalence of the younger (Age<18 years) and older (Age 18–34 years) individuals with ASD was compared.

Results: 19.44% of ASD patients had epilepsy as compared to 2.19% in the overall hospital population (95% confidence interval for difference in percentages 13.58–14.69%), 2.43% of ASD with schizophrenia vs. 0.24% in the hospital population (95% CI 1.89–2.39%), inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) 0.83% vs. 0.54% (95% CI 0.13–0.43%), bowel disorders (without IBD) 11.74% vs. 4.5% (95% CI 5.72–6.68%), CNS/cranial anomalies 12.45% vs. 1.19% (95% CI 9.41–10.38%), diabetes mellitus type I (DM1) 0.79% vs. 0.34% (95% CI 0.3–0.6%), muscular dystrophy 0.47% vs 0.05% (95% CI 0.26–0.49%), sleep disorders 1.12% vs. 0.14% (95% CI 0.79–1.14%). Autoimmune disorders (excluding DM1 and IBD) were not significantly different at 0.67% vs. 0.68% (95% CI –0.14–0.13%). Three of the studied comorbidities increased significantly when comparing ages 0–17 vs 18–34 with p<0.001: Schizophrenia (1.43% vs. 8.76%), diabetes mellitus type I (0.67% vs. 2.08%), IBD (0.68% vs. 1.99%) whereas sleeping disorders, bowel disorders (without IBD) and epilepsy did not change significantly.

Conclusions: The comorbidities of ASD encompass disease states that are significantly overrepresented in ASD with respect to even the patient populations of tertiary health centers. This burden of comorbidities goes well beyond those routinely managed in developmental medicine centers and requires broad multidisciplinary management that payors and providers will have to plan for.
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Introduction

Over the course of the last 30 years populations of children with autism spectrum disorders have been studied to determine what other non-psychiatric or neuro-cognitive clinical correlates or comorbidities might exist in this population [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,9,10]. Most of these studies have numbered fewer than 200 patients, thus the estimation of prevalence for common co-morbid symptoms and diseases were not accurate. Moreover low-prevalence conditions could not be addressed at all. Nonetheless, given the large numbers of children, possibly as many as 1% [11], with ASD, the need for timely, comprehensive and accurate estimates of these co-morbidities are all the more pressing. This is particularly relevant as we enter an era of accountable care, where baseline data for the cost of each segment of the patient population will be obtained [12]. We have sought to leverage recent developments in informatics technologies for distributed queries across the electronic medical records (EMR) systems data of multiple health care systems to provide a snapshot of this co-morbidity landscape across four hospitals in the Boston area, one a
pediatric hospital and three general hospitals with significant pediatric services. In reporting on this study our motivation is first to improve the quantification of the prior estimates of co-morbid conditions such as sleep disorders [13], gastrointestinal complaints and disorders [1,5,14,15], epilepsy [6], cranial anomalies [16], muscular dystrophies [17,18,19,20] and schizophrenia [21] to improve recognition and enable early intervention for these morbidities that further challenge the management of individuals with ASD. Conversely, we also wish to see, for selected co-morbidities previously shown to have a higher prevalence of ASD, what the healthcare system’s data estimate that prevalence to be and how they change with age. Given the increased attention that the care of children with ASD has obtained, we hope to stimulate further formal assessment of the symptom and disease association of ASD to allow policy makers and payors to take a full measure of the burden of this common disorder beyond the methods afforded by these EMR-based informatics techniques.

This study includes up to 14,381 individuals (but likely fewer as reviewed in the Discussion) with ASD over a patient population of 2,393,778 below age 35 years (approximately 1 in 200 patients). We employed a query system that does not require a centralized database in order to respect the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), patient consent-to-care, and institutional concerns. This query system entitled Shared Health Resource Information Network (SHRINE) [22] was developed as part of the Harvard Clinical Translational Science award and also leverages the i2b2 National Center for Biomedical Computing [23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30]. By its very nature, this study can only be exploratory and suggestive. Understanding the degree to which changes in practice or population stratification or referral bias may play in determining the results requires further investigation of the databases and records in each of the individual hospitals which is both beyond the scope of this investigation and indeed is the follow-on activity that tools such as SHRINE are designed to stimulate. Also, this study uses ICD-9 codes (the controlled vocabulary employed principally by healthcare providers to bill for their services), of which some are clearly disease states while others are codes representing symptom complexes. Without chart review we cannot determine if, for example, a diagnosis is determined by symptoms or by diagnostic tests. The ICD-9 codes are also coarse grained and because there are often used for billing, they therefore have potential for bias [31,32]. Additionally, the assignment of the diagnostic codes will be in part determined by the nature of the specialist seeing the patients which in turn will be driven by what kinds of specialists are available at each hospital [33,34]. Therefore, we expect that the raw prevalence rates may differ from those obtained in prior, smaller, and more fine-grained studies. However, we also expect that there will be a close correspondence in the directionality of increased/decreased co-occurrence of the previously reported co-morbidities and ASD.

Notwithstanding, these limitations, the results presented here summarize one of the largest populations analyzed for the co-morbidity of a common disease that has a growing presence in pediatric clinical practice.

Methods

Data Access

We used the Shared Health Research Informatics Network (SHRINE) system [22] for extraction and analysis of whole electronic record datasets from hospital systems equipped with a variety of electronic health care records. This free software has been deployed to over 60 academic health centers internationally. SHRINE in its current implementation only returns aggregate counts of patient populations meeting user-defined criteria based on medications, laboratory data and diagnosis. The Institutional Review Boards of Harvard Medical School, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Children’s Hospital, Boston, and Partners Healthcare Systems have reviewed this system and in the absence of any identifying data and only aggregate counts (i.e. this constitutes non-human subjects research per NIH and institutional guidelines) have approved the research protocol and waived the requirement for consent.

For the purpose of this study we only analyzed diagnoses encoded in the ICD-9 system (see [35]) which is widely used in healthcare and therefore can be used for replication/comparison studies using less data-rich EMR systems available nationally [36]. For example, ASD in this study was defined to include the ICD-9 codes of autistic disorder, Asperger’s Syndrome and other pervasive developmental disorders (i.e. ICD 9 codes 299.00, 299.01,299.10,299.11, 299.80, 299.81, 299.90, 299.91—see Table S1). The study covers the period from 2001 to 2010.

Analysis

All data was analyzed using the base stats package of R. The calculation of chi-square statistics, 95% confidence intervals on the difference in proportions and p values were obtained from the prop.test function.

SHRINE queries were composed to determine two sets of fractions or conditional probabilities for those major co-morbidities previously identified for ASD in individuals younger than 35 years of age. We further subcategorized the analyses into age groups 0–17 and 18–34 to see how the co-morbidity prevalence changed across those two age groups.

Results

The prevalence of symptoms and co-morbidities with ICD-9 codes corresponding to those diseases previously shown to be comorbid with ASD are shown in Table 1, and Table S2. Despite the nature of the tools in this study, the estimates obtained are consistent with those from prior studies. Within the group of individuals with ASD, Epilepsy (ICD-9 Diagnosis Code 345.*, see Table S1) was found to have prevalence of 19.4%, schizophrenia 2.4% (83 ICD-9 codes listed in Table S1), bowel disorders 11.7% (112 ICD-9 Codes excluding those for inflammatory bowel disease), inflammatory bowel disease 0.8% (ICD 9.555.*, and 556.*), type 1 diabetes mellitus (subset of ICD9 250.* as in Table S1) 0.8%, autoimmune disorders (which for the purpose of this study, specifically excludes IBD and type 1 diabetes mellitus—See Table S1) 0.7%, and CNS/head anomalies (80 ICD-9 codes) 12.4%. Sleep disorders (ICD9 307.4*) at 1.1% were much lower than the prior estimates of 50% obtained in formal assessment of children with ASD [13]. The prevalence of genetic disorders of Fragile X Syndrome, Tuberous Sclerosis and Down Syndrome in individuals with ASD prevalence of 0.5%, 0.8%, 0.9% is consistent with prior studies finding that single gene disorders are responsible for only small fractions of ASD even if collectively they might exceed 20% [37]. Many other genetic syndromes could not be assessed in this study because there was no corresponding ICD-9 code. For other EMR analysis projects we have successfully used natural language processing (NLP) techniques across the clinical notes for these “uncoded” syndromes. Such an approach, which takes considerable time and effort to ensure the accuracy of each phenotype [26,38], was beyond the scope of this investigation. Also Figure 1s shown the prevalence of ASD in these same co-morbidities where the aforementioned genetic disorders had ASD...
prevalences of 21%, 10.8%, and 3.1% respectively. Several other co-morbidities had ASD prevalence of greater than 5% including CNS anomalies (6.3%), epilepsy (5.3%), and schizophrenia (6.1%).

When prevalence of these co-morbidities in ASD were compared to their prevalence in the general population captured by this study (i.e. individuals with at least one inpatient or outpatient visit to one of the four hospitals and therefore enriched for diseases in the population less than 35 years of age—Figure 2), all the above disorders were found to be at significantly higher counts (Pearson’s chi-square, $p < 0.0001$, 95% confidence intervals shown in Table 2) except for autoimmune disorders (in ASD at 0.67% vs 0.68%, $p = 0.97$). Even though the category of autoimmune disorders was not significantly greater than the general population, the autoimmune disorders excluded from this category: type 1 diabetes mellitus and IBD were significantly enriched. Also, bowel disorders were found to be significantly increased, a point of some divergence in prior studies [39]. Although the prevalence of sleep disorders in ASD was found to be lower than in prior studies, it nonetheless was approximately ten-fold higher than the general (hospital) population, as was schizophrenia. Muscular dystrophy was almost ten times more prevalent in the ASD population than in the general population, providing quantification absent in prior associations.

A question increasingly asked is how does the comorbidity landscape of ASD change as children become young adults [40,41]? Consequently we stratified the study population into two

Figure 1. Prevalence of co-morbidities of autism and prevalence of autism in these comorbidities. Shown here is the prevalence of co-morbidities for individuals with autism (denoted as $p(Dx|Autism)$ where $Dx$ is the co-morbidity) and the reciprocal prevalence of autism given the co-morbidity (i.e. $p(Autism|Dx)$). The prevalence is reported for patients younger than 35 years old. These results are consistent with prior studies and also reinforce that monogenic disorders associated with autism individually only account for a small fraction of the disorder. It also reinforces that autism is present in over 5% of the individuals evaluated for CNS anomalies, epilepsy, muscular dystrophy, schizophrenia, Fragile X Syndrome and Tuberous Sclerosis.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033224.g001

Table 1. Characteristics of the ASD population studied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Pediatric Hospital</th>
<th>General Hospitals</th>
<th>Sum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total (All Patients, including ASD)</td>
<td>1246494</td>
<td>1147284</td>
<td>2393778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, ASD</td>
<td>7256</td>
<td>4153</td>
<td>11409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Female+Male), ASD</td>
<td>9105</td>
<td>5276</td>
<td>14381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD, Schizophrenia</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD, IBD</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD, Bowel Disorders</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>808</td>
<td>1688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD, Type 1 DM</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD, Autoimmune Disease</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD, Sleep Disorders</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD, Muscular Dystrophy</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD, Fragile X Syndrome</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD, Tuberous Sclerosis</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD, Epilepsy</td>
<td>2235</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>2796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD, Down Syndrome</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD, CNS/Cranial anomalies</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>1790</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Counts given for patients in the pediatric and general hospital(s), and their sum. All counts are for patients under age 35.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033224.t001
age ranges: 0–17 years and 18–34 years. Three co-morbidities did not change significantly with age (using \( p < 0.01 \) as threshold due to multiple hypothesis testing): bowel disorders (11.63% to 12.97% \( p = 0.13 \)), sleep disorders (1.25% to 0.41% \( p < 0.05 \)), and epilepsy (19.17% to 21.45% \( p < 0.05 \)). In contrast DM1 (0.67 to 2.08\% \( p < 10^{-10} \)), IBD (0.68% to 1.99% \( p < 9.7 \times 10^{-2} \)) and schizophrenia (1.43% to 8.76, \( p < 3.1 \times 10^{-2} \)) were significantly different, as in Figure 3. Excluding DM1 and IBD, the other autoimmune disorders did not increase with age.

Although unsurprising, the male to female ratio in this large sample was very close to 4:1 (see Table 1).

As an additional comparison, we conducted the parallel co-morbidity study for fractures of the lower limb (FxLL) as performed for ASD (see Table S3). All co-morbidities in ASD were significantly higher than those for FxLL with two exceptions: autoimmune disorders (excluding IBD and DM1 but including rheumatological disorders such as rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus) and DM1. There are several decades of documentation of the higher prevalence of FxLL in both DM1 [42,43] and the rheumatological diseases (e.g. Kim et al. [44]).

**Discussion**

In this study across multiple health care systems with almost 15,000 patients with ASD, we have been able to corroborate, with a few notable exceptions the co-morbidity findings of prior studies. This study reinforces that burden of co-morbidity is substantial

---

**Figure 2. Comorbidities in autism vs same morbidities in the general hospital population.** All co-morbidities have a significantly different proportion in the ASD population \( p < 0.0001 \) by Chi-square except for the autoimmune diseases (from which IBD and DM1 were excluded and are shown separately on the chart) for which \( p < 0.5 \). Several of the disorders (epilepsy, muscular dystrophy, schizophrenia, sleep disorders and CNS anomalies have approximately an order of magnitude increased prevalence).

**Table 2. Proportions of morbidity in the subpopulation with ASD and that of the hospital population.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disorder</th>
<th>Prop in ASD</th>
<th>Prop in hosp. (95% for ( \hat{\lambda} ))</th>
<th>Prop in ASD, Age 0–17</th>
<th>Prop in hosp. Age 0–17</th>
<th>Prop in ASD, Age 18–34</th>
<th>Prop in hosp. Age 18–34</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CNS/cranial anomalies</td>
<td>12.45%</td>
<td>1.19% (9.41–10.38%)</td>
<td>12.56%</td>
<td>2.08%</td>
<td>12.22%</td>
<td>0.44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epilepsy</td>
<td>19.44%</td>
<td>2.19% (13.58–14.69%)</td>
<td>19.17%</td>
<td>2.85%</td>
<td>21.45%</td>
<td>1.59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizophrenia</td>
<td>2.43%</td>
<td>0.24% (1.89–2.39%)</td>
<td>1.43%</td>
<td>0.08%</td>
<td>8.76%</td>
<td>0.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBD</td>
<td>0.83%</td>
<td>0.54% (0.13–0.43%)</td>
<td>0.68%</td>
<td>0.21%</td>
<td>1.99%</td>
<td>0.79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowel disorders (not IBD)</td>
<td>11.74%</td>
<td>4.5% (5.72–6.68%)</td>
<td>11.63%</td>
<td>5.02%</td>
<td>12.97%</td>
<td>3.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM1</td>
<td>0.79%</td>
<td>0.34% (0.3–0.6%)</td>
<td>0.67%</td>
<td>0.29%</td>
<td>2.08%</td>
<td>0.37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep Disorders</td>
<td>1.12%</td>
<td>0.14% (0.79–1.14%)</td>
<td>1.25%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.41%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autoimmune Disorders (not IBD, not DM1)</td>
<td>0.67%</td>
<td>0.68% (--0.14–0.13%)</td>
<td>0.51%</td>
<td>0.23%</td>
<td>0.53%</td>
<td>1.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscular Dystrophy</td>
<td>0.47%</td>
<td>0.05% (0.26–0.49%)</td>
<td>0.49%</td>
<td>0.06%</td>
<td>0.42%</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Confidence interval shown is the 95\% for the difference in the proportions. The columns 2,3 describe the proportions for all ages, columns 4,5 ages 0–17 and columns 6,7 ages 18–34.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033224.t002
and present across multiple health care systems with over 10 percent of patients with ASD having bowel disorders, or epilepsy, over 5% with CNS or cranial anomalies, and over 2% with schizophrenia. With regard to schizophrenia, although the 2% prevalence was high relative to the general hospital population study, there is a broader controversy of whether or not the population prevalence is 5% or much lower [21,45].

This study also confirms the high prevalence of ASD in fragile X syndrome, tuberous sclerosis and Down’s syndrome. Muscular dystrophy, which mostly is constituted of genetically defined diseases, also has a high prevalence of ASD. The prevalence of ASD in individuals with the diagnosis of epilepsy or schizophrenia in this population is similarly elevated.

Although several studies have previously pointed to an increased prevalence of bowel disorders in individuals with autism/PDD, with up to 25% with three or more gastrointestinal complaints/disorders [1,5], others have suggested that the prevalence of 9% in ASD is no larger than that of the general pediatric population [39]. In this study, we found a significant enrichment of bowel symptoms and disorders in patients with ASD (11.74% vs. 4.5%, \( p < 0.0001 \) by chi-square test) but these results may well be affected by the limitations of our study, discussed above. Similarly, sleep disorders have been documented in as many as 25% of all children with ASD [13] but even though the prevalence of sleep disorders in ASD in this study was almost ten times that of the general hospital population, it was nonetheless, only 1.1%.

Of those co-morbidities found to have a higher prevalence than that of the general hospital population studied, three increased significantly with age. Two of them, IBD and DM1 have an autoimmune component to their pathogenesis—a process that large population studies have implicated at least in the risk for ASD, and the third, schizophrenia has been found to have considerable genetic overlap with the ASD population [46,47,48,49].

In addition to the limitations outlined in the introduction, we did not implement a master patient index across the four (often competing) hospitals and therefore some patients may been represented more than once by moving from one hospital to another. Nonetheless, the pediatric hospital alone (ASD N = 9105) and the general hospitals alone (ASD N = 5276), did not have this problem individually and those comorbidities that were found to be significantly enriched across all hospitals were also found in the individual hospitals (data not shown).

These limitations noted above also point to future directions to pursue these investigations. Although it is reassuring that we replicated the approximate co-morbidities of ASD of several diseases found in other studies, the differences with regard to some prior studies do require additional explanation. Short of a prospective study, retrospective studies can be undertaken either with human chart review or by application of natural language processing of the electronically stored clinical notes. Based on our experience in other NLP-driven phenotyping efforts in major depressive disorder, asthma, bipolar disorder, and rheumatoid arthritis [26,38], this may be both a cost effective and accurate mechanism for a deeper study. The problem of double counting shared patients can and will be addressed by using established mechanisms [50,51] for automatically matching patients even while maintaining their anonymity.

The differences notwithstanding, this comorbidity topography in four large hospitals presents an informative sketch of the burden of ASD for healthcare system and families alike. It also suggests that this spectrum disorder includes substantial use of specialty clinics outside that of the developmental clinics/center and that this burden increases with age.
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