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Loss of the lupus autoantigen Ro52/Trim21 induces tissue inflammation and systemic autoimmunity by disregulating the IL-23–Th17 pathway

Alexander Espinosa,1 Valerie Dardalhon,4 Susanna Brauner,1 Aurelie Ambrosi,1 Rowan Higgs,5 Fransisco J. Quintana,4 Maria Sjöstrand,1 Maija-Leena Eloranta,6 Joan Ni Gabhann,5 Ola Winqvist,1 Birgitta Sundelin,2 Caroline A. Jefferies,3 Björn Rozell,4 Vijay K. Kuchroo,4 and Marie Wahren-Herlenius1

Ro52/Trim21 is targeted as an autoantigen in systemic lupus erythematosus and Sjögren's syndrome. Polymorphisms in the Ro52 gene have been linked to these autoimmune conditions, but the molecular mechanism by which Ro52 may promote development of systemic autoimmune diseases has not been explored. To address this issue, we generated Ro52-null mice (Ro52−/−), which appear phenotypically normal if left unmanipulated. However, Ro52−/− mice develop severe dermatitis extending from the site of tissue injury induced by ear tags. The affected mice further develop several signs of systemic lupus with hypergammaglobulinemia, autoantibodies to DNA, proteinuria, and kidney pathology. Ro52, which was recently identified as an E3 ligase, mediates ubiquitination of several members of the interferon regulatory factor (IRF) family, and the Ro52-deficient mice have an enhanced production of proinflammatory cytokines that are regulated by the IRF transcription factors, including cytokines involved in the Th17 pathway (interleukin [IL] 6, IL-12/IL-23p40, and IL-17). Loss of IL-23/IL-17 by genetic deletion of IL-23/p19 in the Ro52−/− mice conferred protection from skin disease and systemic autoimmunity. These data reveal that the lupus-associated Ro52 protein is an important negative regulator of proinflammatory cytokine production, and they provide a mechanism by which a defective Ro52 function can lead to tissue inflammation and systemic autoimmunity through the IL-23–Th17 pathway.

© 2009 Espinosa et al. This article is distributed under the terms of an Attribution–Noncommercial–Share Alike–No Mirror Sites license for the first six months after the publication date (see http://www.jem.org/misc/terms.shtml). After six months it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution–Noncommercial–Share Alike 3.0 Unported license, as described at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/).
et al., 2001; Hennig et al., 2005, 2008; Ottosson et al., 2006). Like several members of this family, Ro52 has RING-dependent E3 ligase activity (Espinosa et al., 2006) and has been suggested to polyubiquitinate IRF3 and IRF8 (Kong et al., 2007; Higgs et al., 2008). Although genetic polymorphisms in the Ro52 gene have been linked to the development of SLE and Sjögren’s syndrome (Frank et al., 1993; Nakken et al., 2001), it has not been addressed how Ro52 regulates cytokine production, tissue inflammation, and development of systemic autoimmunity. To investigate the molecular mechanisms that may link Ro52 to the development of systemic autoimmunity, we generated Ro52-deficient GFP-reporter mice.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Ro52 is an immune-specific gene induced by IFNs
We inserted an IRES-GFP reporter cassette into the Ro52 locus to generate Ro52-deficient mice in which the GFP expression allowed us to track Ro52-expressing cells in vivo (Fig. 1, A–C). Tissue specificity of Ro52 expression has not been investigated systematically, and using GFP as a reporter for Ro52 expression, we observed that Ro52 was predominantly expressed in the lymphoid compartments of spleen, lymph node, and thymus, with little or no expression in non-immune tissues including liver, pancreas, heart, kidney, and skin (Fig. 1 D and Fig. S1). Some expression was observed in endothelial cells (Fig. S1). Our findings are supported by microarray analysis, showing that Ro52 messenger RNA is predominantly expressed in lymphoid cell populations in both male and female mice and humans (http://biogps.gnf.org). These observations suggest that the primary function of Ro52 may be in the immune system. The vast majority of leukocytes in the bone marrow, thymus, spleen, lymph nodes, and peripheral blood expressed Ro52, as detected by GFP expression, with the highest expression in CD3+ and CD11b+GR-1+ cells (Fig. 1 E and not depicted). Using GFP as the reporter, we noted that, as has previously been reported (Rhodes et al., 2002; Strandberg et al., 2008), the expression of Ro52 was induced by IFN-γ and, to a lesser extent, by IFN-α and IFN-β (Fig. 1 F). Other cytokines (TGF-β or TNF-α) did not affect the expression of Ro52 (Fig. 1 F).

Ro52−/− mice were born at a slightly reduced Mendelian ratio (Ro52+/+, 27%; Ro52+−, 51%; Ro52−/−, 22%; P = 0.0001 at n = 280, Chi-Square test) without affecting the male/female ratio. However, the Ro52−/− mice that were born appeared normal and had no detectable abnormalities in organ morphology or histology. Specifically, we did not observe any differences in the size or organization in spleen, thymus, and lymph nodes or in subsets of immune cells when comparing young unmanipulated Ro52+/+, Ro52+−, and Ro52−/− mice (Figs. S2 and S3). However, splenic CD3+ T cells of Ro52−/− mice had an increased frequency of T cells with an activated phenotype (CD62Llow; Fig. S3). These data indicate that although there are discreet signs of early activation in splenic T cells in Ro52−/− mice, there is no apparent immune phenotype or other physical abnormalities present in naive unmanipulated Ro52-deficient mice.

Ro52 deficiency leads to tissue inflammation and systemic autoimmunity
Individual mice are routinely identified either by ear punching or by metallic ear tags. After ear tagging with metal clips, no visible tissue reaction, other than transient erythema and swelling, is normally observed. However, 25 wk after application of metal ear tags, >90% of Ro52−/− mice had developed a very severe and progressive dermatitis emanating from the tagged ear, which did not occur in Ro52+/+ WT littermates (Fig. 2, A–C). Dermatitis also developed in Ro52−/− mice but was not present in Ro52-deficient mice that had lost their ear tag. Histological analysis of the skin lesions showed epidermal hyperplasia with ulcerations and inflammatory infiltrates consisting primarily of neutrophils (Fig. 2 B). Serum immunoglobulin analysis revealed increased IgG levels in Ro52−/− mice with dermatitis (Fig. 2 D), and the affected mice also developed antinuclear antibodies (ANAs), with specificity for DNA, and autoantibodies to several lupus-associated autoantigens and other autoantigens (Figs. 2 E and Fig. S4). Furthermore, affected mice developed kidney pathology with substantiated mesangium and intraglomerular immunoglobulin deposits, as well as proteinuria, showing impaired renal function (Fig. 2 F). The systemic nature of the inflammation was further supported by the development of splenomegaly and lymphadenopathy in affected mice (Fig. S4 and not depicted). Ro52−/− mice that were not ear tagged did not develop either dermatitis or systemic autoimmunity when observed up to 40 wk of age, suggesting that initial tissue injury induced by physical ear tagging results in uncontrolled tissue inflammation in Ro52−/− mice and, subsequently, leads to development of systemic autoimmunity.

To elucidate the cellular mechanism causing tissue inflammation and systemic autoimmunity in Ro52-deficient mice, we analyzed draining lymph node cells and splenocytes from the affected animals for phenotypic and functional changes. There was a marked expansion of CD11b+GR-1+ cells in both spleen and lymph nodes, there was an expansion of CD19+ B cells and CD3+ T cells in the lymph nodes, and the T cells had attained an activated phenotype in the affected mice (Fig. S4). Furthermore, lymph node and spleen cells from affected mice hyperproliferated spontaneously (not depicted) and produced IL-6, IL-12/IL-23p40, IL-21, IL-22, and IL-17 (Fig. 2, G and H), which was further increased upon T cell activation (Fig. 2, G and H). IL-4 and IFN-γ production was not increased in the Ro52−/− mice compared with the Ro52+/+ mice (Fig. 2 H). IL-17 in affected mice was produced by CD4+ cells (Fig. 2 I), indicating that Th17 cells are induced in the Ro52−/− mice after skin inflammation. The increased production of IL-17 also begins to explain why dermal lesions are predominantly composed of neutrophils in the Ro52−/− mice, as IL-17 recruits and activates these cells.

High levels of proinflammatory cytokines after immune activation in Ro52-deficient mice
To further study the hyperresponsive immune system of Ro52−/− mice in a more controlled manner, we tested the response in Ro52−/− mice to low doses of the contact-sensitizing
Figure 1. Ro52 is expressed in the immune system and induced by IFNs. (A) Targeting construct for generating Ro52−/− mice. Primer pairs “a” and “b” were used for genotyping WT and targeted allele, respectively. (B) Genotyping PCR for WT (340 bp) and Ro52-null (700 bp) alleles by PCR in Ro52+/+, Ro52+/−, and Ro52−/− mice. (C) Immunoblotting for Ro52 and GFP expression in splenocyte cell extracts demonstrates loss of Ro52 expression and gain of GFP expression in Ro52+/+ and Ro52−/− mice. Data are representative for three independent experiments. (D) Ro52 is specifically expressed in immune tissues as shown by GFP expression (brown) in organ sections (five per organ) from Ro52+/− mice investigated by immunohistochemistry using an anti-GFP antibody. Slides were counterstained with hematoxylin. Data are representative of five independent mice and represent one of two experimental repeats. The original magnification was 10×. Bar, 100 µm. (E) Ro52 is expressed in all major leukocyte populations, as shown by GFP expression in Ro52+/− splenocytes using flow cytometry. The numbers in the quadrants represent the percentage of cells in each quadrant and are representative of 12 mice analyzed in four independent experiments. (F) Ro52 is induced by type I and II IFNs. GFP expression in cells from bone marrow of Ro52+/− mice cultured for 24 h with medium (gray line) or medium + cytokine (black line). Filled histogram represents cells from Ro52+/+ mice. Data are representative of three independent experiments.
Figure 2. Ro52-deficient mice develop tissue inflammation and systemic autoimmunity. (A) Photographs of the progressive dermatitis developing spontaneously from tissue injury mediated by ear tags in Ro52-deficient mice. Metal tag length, 1 cm. (B) Histology with Toluidine blue staining of ears of tagged Ro52+/+ and Ro52+/- mice. Bars, 150 µm. A and B are representative of mice in C. (C) Incidence of dermatitis. 13 independent Ro52-/- mice born during a period of 1.5 yr and their Ro52+/- littermates (n = 11) were followed for dermatitis development. Dermatitis developed at different ages but, eventually, in all tagged Ro52-/- mice, whereas none of their littermate controls developed the disease. (D) Serum immunoglobulin levels determined by ELISA in triplicates in Ro52-/- mice with dermatitis (n = 6) and Ro52+/- mice (n = 12; mean± SEM; Mann-Whitney U test). Data represent one of two experimental repeats. (E) Ro52-/- mice with dermatitis develop ANA. Presence of ANA was tested by immunofluorescence of Hep-2 cells and summarized as the percent positive in each group, and anti-DNA antibody levels were measured by ELISA (triplicates) in ANA-positive mice with dermatitis Ro52-/- (n = 5) and Ro52+/- (n = 16; mean± SEM; Mann-Whitney U test). Data represent one of two experimental repeats. Bar, 75 µm. (F, top) Electron microscopy photographs of renal glomeruli with increased mesangium and immunoglobulin deposition in Ro52-/- mice indicated by arrows. Bar, 2 µm. (F, bottom) Immunofluorescence IgG
agent Oxazolone. Mice lacking Ro52 had a significantly higher contact hypersensitivity to Oxazolone than Ro52+/+ mice, as measured by ear thickness (Fig. 3 A), with affected ears showing epidermal hyperplasia and inflammatory infiltrates consisting predominantly of neutrophils (Fig. 3 B). Analysis of lymphoid cell populations in the draining lymph nodes of the Ro52−/− mice treated with Oxazolone showed accumulation of T cells with an activated phenotype (Fig. 3 C) and production of high amounts of the proinflammatory cytokines IL-6, IL-12/IL-23p40, TNF-α, and IL-17 and IL-23R expression after application of Oxazolone (Fig. 3 D). Production of IFN-γ was not different between WT and Ro52−/− mice, and the frequency of FoxP3+ cells was not affected (Fig. 3 D). These data demonstrate that a lack of Ro52 leads to dysregulated tissue inflammation with enhanced generation of effector T cells that produce proinflammatory cytokines.

Ro52 is a negative-feedback regulator of proinflammatory cytokine expression

To understand the mechanism by which a deficiency in the Ro52 E3 ligase leads to increased cytokine production and tissue inflammation, we aimed to identify substrates ubiquitinated by Ro52. IRF8 and IRF3 have been suggested as targets for Ro52-mediated ubiquitination (Kong et al., 2007; Higgs et al., 2008). However, as there is a genetic linkage with IRF5 polymorphisms to SLE and Sjögren’s syndrome, and proinflammatory cytokines IL-12/IL-23p40, IL-6, and TNF-α, which are increased in Ro52−/− mice, are also regulated by IRF5, we hypothesized that IRF5 may be a substrate for Ro52. Using in vivo ubiquitination assays, we confirmed that IRF3 and IRF8 are polyubiquitinated by Ro52 and, in addition, we observed polyubiquitination of IRF5 by Ro52 (Fig. 4 A). SMAD4, which has structural homology to the IRF proteins, was used as a negative control in our experiments and was not ubiquitinated by Ro52 (Fig. 4 A).

To directly investigate the effects of Ro52 on the transcriptional activity of IRFs, we used a GAL4 one-hybrid luciferase reporter approach. IRF3 and IRF5 fused to GAL4 were tested for their ability to drive luciferase expression from a 4xGAL4-luciferase reporter plasmid upon transfection into 293T cells. Cotransfection with Ro52 substantially decreased IRF3 and IRF5 transcriptional activity in response to toll-like receptor (TLR) 3 or TLR9 signaling induced by polyI:C or CpG stimulation (Fig. 4 B). These findings indicate that a deficiency in Ro52 would lead to increased IRF activity in vivo and persistent production of cytokines induced by IFN-γ and 5, such as IL-6, TNF-α, IFN-α, IFN-β, and IL-12/IL-23p40. To investigate the cytokine production in Ro52−/− cells after TLR stimulation, the knockout cells were cultured with TLR agonists including CpG, LPS, polyI:C, and Imiquimod. The stimulation of Ro52−/− splenocytes or bone marrow-derived macrophages resulted in increased expression of cytokines (IL-6, TNF-α, IFN-α, IFN-β, IL-12/IL-23p40, and IL-23p19) compared with Ro52+/+ cells (Fig. 4 C and not depicted), confirming that the loss of Ro52 indeed leads to increased expression of many proinflammatory cytokines. Together, our data show that IFNs will induce expression of Ro52 (Fig. 1F) and that Ro52, in turn, will suppress expression of the proinflammatory cytokines IL-6, TNF-α, IFN-α, IFN-β, and IL-12/IL-23p40 through ubiquitination of IRF3, 5, and 8. Thus, the cellular role of the IFN-induced Ro52 is to act as a negative-feedback regulator of immune responses and inflammation by suppressing the expression of IRF-induced proinflammatory cytokines.

Disruption of the IL-23–Th17 pathway inhibits tissue inflammation and systemic autoimmunity in Ro52−/− mice

Ro52−/− mice developing dermatitis and systemic autoimmunity produced IL-6 and IL-12/IL-23p40 and had increased levels of Th17 cells in the draining lymph nodes. IL-6 and IL-23 are needed for differentiation and maturation of Th17 cells (Cua et al., 2003; Bettelli et al., 2006), and the IL-23–IL-17 axis appears to be hyperactive in lupus and Sjögren’s syndrome (Crispin et al., 2008; Nguyen et al., 2008). We therefore hypothesized that genetic disruption of the IL-23–Th17 pathway rather than the IL-12–IFN-γ pathway would result in inhibition of the tissue inflammation and systemic autoimmunity in Ro52−/− mice. To address this hypothesis, we crossed Ro52−/− mice with IL-23p19−/− mice to disrupt the IL-23–Th17 pathway. The increased hypersensitivity with dermatitis and inflammatory infiltrates observed in Ro52−/− mice after Oxazolone application was completely reversed in the Ro52−/− mice lacking IL-23p19 chains (Fig. 5, A and B). The enhanced T cell activation observed in Ro52−/− mice was also reversed in the double knockout mice (Fig. 5 C). In addition, the increased expression of IL-23R, IL-12/IL-23p40, IL-17, and RoRγt in the draining lymph nodes of Ro52−/− mice was reversed to baseline levels in the Ro52−/−p19−/− mice (Fig. 5 D). Furthermore, the skin inflammation after ear tagging in the Ro52−/− mice did not develop in ear-tagged mice.
Ro52−/−p19−/− in up to 6 mo (30 wk) of observation (Fig. 5, E and F). The Ro52−/−p19−/− mice did not develop ANA or anti-DNA antibodies and did not develop kidney pathology as did the ear-tagged Ro52−/− mice (Fig. 5, G and H). Together, these data demonstrate that abrogating the IL-23–Th17 pathway abolishes tissue inflammation and systemic autoimmunity observed in the Ro52-deficient mice after ear tagging and Oxazolone treatment.

In summary, our studies demonstrate that Ro52 is a key negative regulator of inflammation. Although expressed at a steady-state level, Ro52 is further induced by IFNs (Rhodes et al., 2002; Strandberg et al., 2008), and our data show that Ro52 then acts to control and down-regulate the proinflammatory cytokines IL-6, TNF-α, IFN-α, IFN-β, and IL-12/IL-23p40, thus providing a negative-feedback loop by suppressing IFN-mediated immune activation. Recent studies indicate dual roles for Ro52 (Kim and Ozato, 2009; Yang et al., 2009) and indicate that this E3 ligase may act to sustain IRF3 and IRF8 stability under some conditions but may promote polyubiquitination and degradation of the same transcription factors after TLR- and IFN-mediated activation of cells (Higgs et al., 2008; Kim and Ozato, 2009). Different functions for Ro52 depending on the degree of cellular activation is consistent with our previous observations that Ro52 resides in the cytoplasm in unstimulated cells but translocates into the nucleus upon IFN stimulation (Strandberg et al., 2008) and that Ro52-mediated ubiquitination is supported both by UbcH5 and UbcH6 ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes/E2 ligases that are present in the cytoplasm and nucleus, respectively (Espinosa et al., 2008). In Ro52−/− mice, we observed no obvious phenotype in naive unmanipulated mice, but we did observe a severe unremitting tissue inflammation after tissue injury, progressing into systemic autoimmunity with several lupus-like features, which was dependent on the IL-23–IL-17 pathway, stressing the importance of Ro52 as a negative regulator of inflammatory responses. Our data begin to build an understanding of how loss or genetic deficiency of Ro52 would result in dysregulation of IFN pathways, a feature which is common to many systemic autoimmune diseases as they present clinically with an “IFN signature” (Baechler et al., 2004; Ronnblom et al., 2006). The Ro52 haploinsufficient mice developed disease at the same frequency and with similar
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Figure 4. Ro52 inhibits IRF activity and regulates proinflammatory cytokine expression. (A) Immunoblotting of immunoprecipitates from transfected 293T cells show Ro52-mediated polyubiquitination of IRF3, IRF8, and IRF5 but not SMAD4. FLAG-IRFs and Ro52-GFP were detected in whole cell lysates. Data are representative of three independent experiments. Black lines indicate that intervening lanes have been spliced out. (B) The effect of Ro52 on IRF3 and IRF5 activity was investigated in polyC-stimulated TLR3 293T transfectants (IRF3) or CpG-stimulated TLR9 293T transfectants (IRF5) using a GAL4 one-hybrid luciferase reporter assay. GAL4-IRF3- and GAL4-IRF5-mediated luciferase expression was diminished by the simultaneous coexpression of Ro52 as compared with an empty vector control (EV), indicating that Ro52 inhibits the activity of IRF3 and IRF5. A representative experiment out of three independent experiments is shown. RLU, relative luciferase units. (C) Increased proinflammatory cytokine production in splenocytes derived from naive Ro52−/− (n = 5) compared with Ro52+/+ (n = 5) mice. Splenocytes were cultured with 2 μg/ml CpG and 100 U/ml IFN-γ or UV-inactivated herpes simplex virus (HSV; 2 × 10⁶ PFU/ml), followed by cytokine measures in supernatants (triplicates) by ELISA or DELFIA (IFN-α and IFN-β). Data are representative of three independent experiments. Error bars represent SEM.
Figure 5. **Ro52**−/− related tissue inflammation is dependent on the IL-23–IL-17 pathway. (A) Ear thickness after Oxazolone challenge. Ro52−/−p19+/+, n = 5; Ro52−/−p19−/−, n = 5; p19−/−Ro52+/+, n = 3; Ro52−/−p19+/+, n = 3 [ANOVA mixed model]. (B) Microphotographs of Oxazolone-treated ears. Ears of all mice in A were analyzed. Original magnification, 10×. Bars, 100 µm. (C) Flow cytometry analysis of draining lymph node cells of Oxazolone-treated mice (Ro52−/−p19+/+, n = 5; Ro52−/−p19−/−, n = 5). (D) Cytokine expression in cells from draining lymph nodes ex vivo measured by RT-PCR with expression relative to HPRT (Ro52−/−p19+/+, n = 5; Ro52−/−p19−/−, n = 5; Ro52−/+/p19+/+, n = 3). Data in A–D depicts one out of two representative experiments. Error bars represent SEM. (E) Mice without dermatitis in Ro52−/−p19+/+ compared with Ro52−/−p19−/− mice. Number of mice observed at different ages (Ro52−/−p19+/+; Ro52−/−p19−/−): 5 wk (11; 21), 10 wk (11; 18), 15 wk (11; 14), 20 wk (11; 6), 25 wk (11; 5), and 30 wk (11; 4; Fischer’s exact test). (F) Ear
phenotypical expression as the mice that completely lacked Ro52, suggesting an important role of Ro52 in regulating immune homeostasis and that even a partial loss of function for this key regulatory protein would result in exaggerated tissue inflammation and systemic autoimmunity. From our data, one would predict that the human genetic linkage to polymorphisms in the Ro52 gene most likely relate to reduction in the level, binding, or function of Ro52 in systemic autoimmune patients.

Although the role of IL-17 and the Th17 pathway in lupus and Sjögren’s syndrome is only beginning to be explored, several studies implicate a role for Th17 effector cells also in these autoimmune conditions (Crispin et al., 2008; Nguyen et al., 2008; Sakai et al., 2008; Wong et al., 2008). Furthermore, our data suggest that loss of IL-17 also suppresses development of exaggerated skin inflammation in Ro52−/− animals. Together, the present data link Ro52, an E3 ligase which targets many transcription factors in the IFN pathway, to deregulated pro-inflammatory cytokine production and to the development of tissue specific inflammation and systemic autoimmunity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Generation of Ro52−/− mice. Ro52−/− mice were generated using standard gene targeting techniques. In brief, a short (1.7 kb) and a long (5 kb) arm were PCR cloned on the C57BL/6J BAC clone RP23-311I6 using the following primers: short arm, forward, 5′-ATCGATTGCAGAGGCA-AAGCCATGGGAAAG-3′ and reverse, 5′-ATCGATTCTCTCTTCCCAGCTCTGGAGATCA-3′; and long arm, forward, 5′-GTCGACAGGC-TACACACCTGTCGTAGA-3′, and reverse, 5′-GGGGCCGCCCCC-CTGGGCTCGATTCTCTCTTCCCAGCTCTGGAGATCA-3′. They were then ligated into the gene targeting cassette vector pKS-TK-NeoloxP-ires-GFP. The C57BL/6-derived embryonic stem cell line V26.2 was used for homologous recombination, and targeted embryonic stem cell clones were identified by Southern blotting and injected into BALB/c embryos. Chimeras carrying the target allele were bred to C57BL/6J mice. Ro52−/− p19−/− mice were generated by intercrossing Ro52−/− and p19−/− mice obtained from the Mutant mouse Regional Resource Center (www.mmrrc.org). The study was approved by the Ethical Review Committee North, Stockholm County and Standing Committee on Animals at Harvard Medical School.

Histochemistry and electron microscopy. Organs were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 24 h, embedded in paraffin, and 4–5-µm sections stained with hematoxylin/eosin or Toluidine blue or were used in immunohistochemistry with rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP (Invitrogen), rat monoclonal anti-CD3 (clone SP7; Thermo Fisher Scientific), or rat monoclonal anti-CD22 (clone RA3-6B2; BD). Antigen retrieval was performed using Re-DA-Luc. After 16 h, cells were harvested and prepared for the reporter assay. IFN-α reporter plasmids were eluted with RNeasy columns (QIAGEN) from cells ex vivo and analyzed by quantitative RT-PCR according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Applied Biosystems) and expression related to HPRT.

Cell isolation and flow cytometry. Single cell suspensions were prepared from lymphoid organs and cells blocked in Fc block (BD) for 30 min before staining by fluorophore-conjugated antibodies (BD). For intracellular cytokine staining, cells stimulated in vitro with soluble anti-CD3 were restimulated for 4 h with 50 ng/ml PMA (Sigma-Aldrich), 1 µg/ml ionomycin (Sigma-Aldrich), and 1 µl/ml Golgistop (BD), followed by surface marker and intracellular cytokine staining according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were analyzed on a flow cytometer (BD).

Serologic and proteinuria analyses. Immunoglobulin isotype serum levels were analyzed using the SBA Clonotyping System/AP kit (Southern-Biotech), ANA by Hep-2 slides (Immuno Concepts), and anti-DNA antibodies, as previously described (Wermeling et al., 2007), in ELISA using plates coated with methylated bovine serum albumin and activated calf thymus DNA (Sigma-Aldrich). Lupus autoantigen microarrays were generated and used, as previously described, with 41 autoantigens spotted in replicates of six (Wu et al., 2006). Sera were diluted 1:50–1:100 in the assays. Proteinuria was detected using Multistix 5 (Bayer).

Cytokine analysis by ELISA and quantitative RT-PCR. Culture supernatants were collected after stimulation, as defined in each experiment, to assess cytokine production by ELISA or cytometric bead array (R&D Systems; BD). IFN-α was quantified by dissociation-enhanced lanthanide fluoroimmunoassay as previously described (Strandberg et al., 2008). RNA was extracted with RNeasy columns (QIAGEN) from cells ex vivo and analyzed by quantitative RT-PCR according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Applied Biosystems) and expression related to HPRT.

Oxazolone provocation. Oxazolone (4-ethoxymethylene-2-phenyl-2-oxazolin-5-one) provocation was performed using the ear swelling test as described in Yokozeki et al. (2000). Mice were sensitized on shaved back skin with 150 µl of 0.3% Oxazolone (Sigma-Aldrich) dissolved in acetone/oil (4:1) applied on two consecutive days. 5 d later, the ears were challenged with 50 µl of a 0.1% Oxazolone solution on one ear and with the vehicle on the other. This was repeated on three consecutive days, and ear swelling was measured by a micrometer (Kroeplin). The whole procedure was repeated up to four times. Change in thickness was calculated by subtracting swelling caused by the vehicle.

Ubiquitination assays. 293T cells were transfected with 500 ng FLAG-IRF-FLAG-SMAD4, 500 ng Ro52-GFP, and 500 ng Myc-ubiquitin. The SMAD4 plasmid was a gift from C.-H. Heldin (Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden). 10 µM MG132 was added 28 h after transfection and cells were harvested 6 h later. Cells were lysed in immunoprecipitation buffer and, after clearing of the lysate, FLAG-M2-agarose beads (Sigma-Aldrich) were added, followed by incubation for 2 h. After washing, FLAG-IRFs were eluted with FLAG peptide (Sigma-Aldrich) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLAG-IRFs were detected by immunoblotting against Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and separated by SDS-PAGE, and ubiquitinated FLA...
Fig. S1 shows expression of GFP as a reporter for Ro52. Fig. S2 shows lymphoid organization in Ro52+/− mice. Fig. S3 shows leukocyte cell populations in naive mice. Fig. S4 shows autoantibody reactivity and splenomegaly in Ro52−/− mice. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20090585/DC1.
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