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Air Travel and the Spread of Influenza: Important Caveats

Cécile Viboud, Mark A. Miller, Bryan T. Grenfell, Ottar N. Bjørnstad, Lone Simonsen

While air travel contributes to the spread of influenza epidemics, the magnitude of impact is not clear compared to other factors—a crucial issue when considering a flight ban in the context of pandemic planning. Recent modeling efforts simulating the spread of pandemic influenza have concluded that such an intervention would matter little relative to other interventions [1–3]. But this assessment has now been challenged by an observational study of influenza in the winter following the post-9/11/2001 depression in air traffic. Brownstein and colleagues’ study published in the September issue of PLoS Medicine [4] correlates variations in air traffic volume with patterns of timing and spread in influenza epidemics, based on United States mortality data from nine epidemic seasons between 1996 and 2005. While we find the study interesting, we have identified several important caveats and question the robustness of the conclusions.

The core of this study’s results lies in the observation that the 2001–2002 influenza epidemic immediately following 9/11 was late in the season and peaked in March (week of year 11), whereas the eight surrounding epidemics peaked between the end of December and the end of February (week of year 52 to 9). The authors attribute this delay to the 27% decline in air traffic that followed 9/11.

Given the complexities of influenza virus subtype cycling and antigenic drift [5,6], it is essential to consider longer-term disease data spanning much more than nine years to interpret the “lateness” of the 2001–2002 epidemic. Using US national vital statistics data covering 30 winters from 1972 to 2002 [5], we identified four epidemics peaking in the month of March (15%), including the 2001–2002 epidemic following 9/11, but also two epidemics in the 1970s and the more recent 1991–1992 epidemic (Figure 1A). Furthermore, the average timing of influenza epidemics has not changed between 1972 and 2002—despite a concurrent and steady increase in air traffic volume by over 300% (Figure 1A) [7]. Indeed, during the earlier part of the last century when air traffic was minimal, influenza epidemics rapidly circulated around the world. Moreover, real-time influenza virus surveillance data from the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [8] show that last winter’s (2005–2006) epidemic was even more delayed than the epidemic following 9/11, despite a 20% increase in air passenger traffic compared to the situation before 9/11 [7]. Clearly, late-season influenza epidemics have occurred and are still occurring even in the absence of restrictions on air travel. Hence a longer time perspective, with observations from both prior and more recent data, challenges this study’s conclusions.

In addition to comparing the timing of influenza epidemics across different seasons, Brownstein et al. analyzed the rate of disease spread among US administrative regions for their nine seasons of interest (1996–2005). In our previous work, we estimated the rate of influenza spread among all US states for 30 consecutive seasons (1972–2002) [5]. Our analysis shows that the epidemic following 9/11 spread at a rate comparable to other epidemics (Figure 1B), even after adjusting for the subtype of circulating viruses [5]. To increase our understanding of the spread of influenza, it is essential to quantify the relative importance of different modes of transportation. As an example, our recent study considered multiple modes of transportation (including air travel) and identified travel to and from work as a key determinant of the regional spread of epidemics [5].

In conclusion, Brownstein and colleagues’ analysis of the “natural experiment” of the post-9/11 season is innovative and ingenious—but in and of itself could not demonstrate a robust association or a causal link between the decrease in air traffic and delayed timing of influenza epidemics. Even if there in fact had been a delay as hypothesized, the study lacked power to address the hypothesis, because this single “natural experiment” was set in a background of considerable variability in influenza epidemic patterns. Extrapolations from the study’s findings predict that a flight ban could delay a pandemic by two months [9]—but we have shown here that this prediction is not supported by the analysis of more extensive disease data and transportation statistics. It is also unclear how a “natural experiment” conducted in the inter-pandemic period is applicable to a pandemic situation, where novel influenza viruses have higher transmissibility and circulate in fully susceptible populations, and may cause different age-patterns of transmission [10]. While Brownstein and colleagues’ study represents an intriguing starting point, this study alone does not provide the critical quantitative evidence needed to evaluate the impact of travel restrictions on future pandemics.
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Air Travel and the Spread of Influenza: Authors’ Reply
Viboud et al. [1] offer thoughtful commentary on our paper [2], opening a scientific exchange that we hope brings attention to a critical issue. We reported the first empirical and quantitative evidence for the effect of airline travel on the rate of epidemic influenza spread. Though other investigators have also found this relationship [3–6], there is no consensus on effect size. We welcome scrutiny of our methods and results and believe the findings stand for the following reasons.

Viboud et al., taking a longer historical perspective, suggest that the slower spread and late peaking of the 2001–2002 season is not unique and highlight three other late seasons dominated by influenza B (1992–1993, 1973–1974, and 1976–1977). Recent studies, including one by Viboud and colleagues, find that B seasons have different epidemiological characteristics than A/H3N2 seasons, which may explain the late peaking in these years [7,8]. As 2001–2002 was dominated by influenza A/H3N2, late peaking in 2001–2002 cannot be explained by dominant subtype (nor climatic conditions). Our study period from 1996–2005 represents the longest stretch of A/H3N2 seasons in over 30 years, essentially controlling for subtype. Viboud et al. also point to the 2005–2006 season as particularly delayed. We examined peaking during that season using mortality data from the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, in order to compare to our estimates from prior seasons [9]. We find that mortality as well as morbidity was bimodal, with larger peaks in January and December, respectively. We thus reaffirm that 2001–2002, being the latest peaking H3N2 season in over 30 years, is an aberrant season for which airline travel interruption remains the best explanation.

Viboud and colleagues’ letter does not take into account that our results are not simply based on an outlier year, nor are they based on a single data source. Rather, we have revealed an important correlation across nine influenza seasons. The impact of airline volume on flu spread does not depend on the 2001–2002 season and remains significant even after its exclusion. Since considering longer time series may provide insight, we repeated our methods on the 30-year mortality time series which Viboud et al. also analyzed [10]. We employed the same spatial aggregation (nine geographic regions) and time series methods as described in [2]. We
found substantial long-term log-linear trends toward earlier peaking and faster spreading influenza epidemics that are correlated with air travel volume \( (r^2 = 0.460; p < 0.001 \text{ and } r^2 = 0.265; p = 0.004, \text{ respectively}, \text{ Figure 1}). \) Thus, our new analyses of longer-term data support an effect of airline travel volume.

We strongly caution that other factors may influence these trends, including population density, air passenger demographics, ground transportation, and climate. Our design relies on a shorter, more recent time series to avoid confounding by longer term secular trends that may be evident in the 30-year time series. Given the three year backlog of the 30-year dataset, the data Viboud et al. use do not permit the interrupted time series analysis at the core of our investigation.

Reconciliation of our different time series methodologies and datasets should be considered in future research. Nonetheless, because our results were confirmed with viral surveillance data, we remain confident in the robustness of our analysis. We agree that other modes of transportation are important influences; our paper makes no claim that our analysis. We agree that other modes of transportation are important influences; our paper makes no claim that our findings to pandemics. We are pleased that Viboud et al. have engaged in a discourse that we hope will strengthen the scientific basis of global policy on flu preparedness. Though the effect we observe might be smaller under pandemic conditions, the benefit of a delay is worthy of consideration by scientists and policy makers where lives are at stake and even a short lead time may be of enormous public health value.

We are pleased that Viboud et al. have engaged in a discourse that we hope will strengthen the scientific basis of pandemic preparedness. We call on governments, industry, and health care to create a more accessible, freely available, and source are credited.

Fluoxetine and Suicide Rates: Suicide and the Economy

Carlos A. Camargo, Daniel A. Bloch

We wish to comment on the paper by Milane et al. [1] and also refer to the Perspective by Baune and Hay [2] in the June issue of PLoS Medicine on the effect of fluoxetine prescriptions on the suicide rate in the United States. Milane et al. examined two sets of variables: the number of prescriptions for fluoxetine in the United States, and the Census Bureau mortality tables with the age adjusted suicide rates for the years 1988 to 2002. The date 1988 is chosen because in that year fluoxetine was introduced in the US. The authors report that the Spearman correlation coefficient between the two sets of variables equals -0.92 with a \( p \)-value of less than 0.001. The less suicides, the more tablets of fluoxetine are prescribed, or vice versa. The least-squares regression line is displayed in Figure 1.

From this simple association they build an elaborate edifice, predicting what the suicide trends would have been had fluoxetine not been prescribed, and they calculate figures for “the thousands of lives saved” for both men and women...even though it is not known how many of these prescriptions were for men or for women, whether the patients took the tablets or not, or for how long they took the medication. In addition, the baseline period used to calculate the suicide trend, and thus to predict the future, was arbitrary: from 1960 to 1987, when the suicide rates had a slight gradual increase in the 1970s. Had they used the period 1950 to 1987, a different “trend” would have been obtained, since the suicide rates decreased during the economic expansion of the 1950s [3].

It is widely known that one cannot infer causality simply based on statistical association. Baune and Hay pointed this out and wrote: “In a study like this, it is also important to consider other potential explanations for the fall of suicide.
rates, such as improvements in the economy...” In this letter we report on the association of other variables with the suicide rates, for we find that the most glaring defect of the Milane et al. article is the total absence of analysis to address likely confounding by many other factors.

Suicide is the final outcome of many conditions, and there have been, for many decades, scholarly articles indicating the many risk factors which increase the likelihood of suicide: poverty, loss of employment, and several other economic indicators have been shown to have a strong effect upon suicide rates. For example, during the Great Depression of the 1930s the rate of suicide rose significantly, and fell when the economy improved and unemployment decreased in the 1940s. On this matter, the literature is quite clear and the references abundant [4–8]. In the 1990s there was a very substantial and prolonged improvement of the US economy [9], which could partially explain a lowering of the suicide rate. We have chosen three economic indicators for the period from 1988 to 2002 and correlated them with the suicide rate, using the Spearman correlation coefficient to quantify the strength of the association. The yearly data for the suicide rates and numbers of fluoxetine prescriptions, for the three economic indicators (Dow Jones average, food stamp rate, and unemployment rate) and for the property crime and burglary rates are all contained in Table 1. The findings are not surprising: The unemployment rate during those years has a strong positive correlation with the suicide rate: $r = 0.62, p = 0.014$.

The percentage of the US population eligible for the Food Stamp Program, a reasonable indicator of poverty rates, has a stronger positive correlation with the suicide rates: $r = 0.84, p = 0.0002$.

The Dow Jones industrial average for each of those years, when compared with the suicide rate of the US population, gives an even stronger (negative) correlation: $r = -0.98, p < 0.0001$ (see Figure 2).

We also calculated the correlation between fluoxetine prescriptions and the Dow Jones average. Not surprisingly, there is a very strong positive correlation: $r = 0.925, p < .0001$ (see Figure 3).

We doubt that many will advance the thesis that the increasing sales of fluoxetine were, somehow, one of the
causes of the rise of the Dow Jones index. In fact, if the number of fluoxetine prescriptions is correlated with any variable that also steadily increased, or decreased, during all those years (1988–2002), a statistically significant association is most likely to be demonstrated. For example, the rate of crimes against property, obtained from the US Department of Justice, for the period 1988–2002 also exhibits a very high negative correlation with the fluoxetine prescriptions: $r = -0.99$, $p < 0.0001$. The rate of burglaries does also: $r = -0.99$, $p < 0.0001$. These relationships are not causal. Most scholars would relate the decrease in crime rates to the improvement of the economy during those years, rather than to increased sales of fluoxetine. The Spearman correlation coefficients for all possible pair-wise comparisons are contained in Table 2.

Given these findings, we decided to explore the relationship of suicide rates with both fluoxetine prescriptions and Dow Jones averages as potentially associative factors in a single multivariate model. Results are displayed in Table 3. This allowed us to assess the association between fluoxetine and suicide adjusting for the Dow Jones, an economic indicator. Statistically, the association is quantified with a “partial” Spearman correlation coefficient. With this analysis the fluoxetine association was not significantly correlated with the suicide rate: fluoxetine had an adjusted Spearman correlation of -0.18 ($p = 0.54$) whereas the adjusted Dow Jones correlation remained high at -0.88 ($p < 0.0001$).

In conclusion, we believe that there is little likelihood that the increasing sales of fluoxetine from 1988 to 2002 were the cause of the modest decrease in the suicide rate during those years. It appears more likely that factors such as those connected with the sustained economic recovery of the 1990s were responsible.

Carlos A. Camargo (ccamargo@stanford.edu)
Daniel A. Bloch
Stanford University School of Medicine
Stanford, California, United States of America

Table 1. Raw Data by Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Suicide Rate</th>
<th>Dow Jones*</th>
<th>Food Stamp*</th>
<th>Unemployed*</th>
<th>Fluoxetine Prescriptions*</th>
<th>Property Crime*</th>
<th>Burglary*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>2,168</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2,469</td>
<td>378.4</td>
<td>74.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>2,753</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>10,655</td>
<td>6,133</td>
<td>373.4</td>
<td>67.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>2,633</td>
<td>15.07</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>10,120</td>
<td>353.7</td>
<td>64.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>3,168</td>
<td>16.25</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>11,443</td>
<td>325.3</td>
<td>58.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>3,301</td>
<td>17.05</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>12,163</td>
<td>318.9</td>
<td>58.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>3,754</td>
<td>17.55</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>16,427</td>
<td>310.2</td>
<td>56.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>3,834</td>
<td>17.03</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>18,838</td>
<td>290.5</td>
<td>49.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5,117</td>
<td>13.33</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>20,705</td>
<td>266.4</td>
<td>47.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>6,448</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>22,776</td>
<td>248.3</td>
<td>46.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>7,908</td>
<td>11.88</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>24,757</td>
<td>217.4</td>
<td>38.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>9,181</td>
<td>11.22</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>24,742</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>34.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>11,497</td>
<td>11.56</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>24,344</td>
<td>178.1</td>
<td>31.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10,787</td>
<td>10.62</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>29,097</td>
<td>166.9</td>
<td>28.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>8,589</td>
<td>12.04</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>33,320</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>27.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Food Stamp Program rates are obtained by dividing the number of eligible individuals by the US population for each year. Figures from Food Stamp Program Operations Data and the US Census Bureau.
‡Unemployment rates were obtained from the US Census Bureau, Statistical Abstract of the United States: 2006 (125th Edition), Washington D.C., Table 576.
doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0030501.t001

Table 2. Spearman Correlation Coefficients (p-Value, Sample Size)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dow Jones</th>
<th>Food Stamp</th>
<th>Unemployed</th>
<th>Property Crime</th>
<th>Burglary</th>
<th>Fluoxetine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Suicide Rate</td>
<td>-0.98 (&lt;0.001, 15)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.0002, 14)</td>
<td>0.62 (0.0145, 15)</td>
<td>0.95 (&lt;0.0001, 15)</td>
<td>0.95 (&lt;0.0001, 15)</td>
<td>-0.92 (&lt;0.0001, 15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dow Jones</td>
<td>-0.83 (0.0002, 14)</td>
<td>-0.63 (0.0108, 15)</td>
<td>-0.94 (&lt;0.0001, 15)</td>
<td>-0.94 (&lt;0.0001, 15)</td>
<td>0.93 (&lt;0.0001, 15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Stamp</td>
<td>0.92 (&lt;0.0001, 14)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.0006, 14)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.0006, 14)</td>
<td>-0.78 (0.0010, 14)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>0.50 (0.0574, 15)</td>
<td>0.50 (0.0574, 15)</td>
<td>-0.49 (0.0061, 15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Crime</td>
<td>1.00 (&lt;0.0001, 15)</td>
<td>-0.99 (&lt;0.0001, 15)</td>
<td>-0.99 (&lt;0.0001, 15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burglary</td>
<td>-0.99 (&lt;0.0001, 15)</td>
<td>-0.99 (&lt;0.0001, 15)</td>
<td>-0.99 (&lt;0.0001, 15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0030501.t002

doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0030501.t003

Table 3. Type III Analysis of Variance Table for Regression of Suicide Rate on Dow Jones Averages and Number of Fluoxetine Prescriptions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F-Value</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dow Jones</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>44.77</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoxetine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.5481</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
df: degrees of freedom; MS: mean square; SS: sum of squares
doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0030501.t003
Fluoxetine and Suicide Rates: Author’s Reply

Suicide is a complex outcome which cannot be attributed to a single factor. While we explored an association between suicide and antidepressant prescriptions, we fully acknowledge, as Camargo and Bloch suggest [1], that our work does not fully explain the observed trends. However, in other settings, the same association between increased antidepressant prescriptions and decreases in suicide have been observed. Please refer to the following article, published after ours came out in *PLoS Medicine*: “Increased antidepressant use and fewer suicides in Jamtland county, Sweden, after a primary care educational programme on the treatment of depression” [2].

I look forward to reading Camargo and Bloch’s new article on the association of socioeconomic factors and suicide rates.

Julio Licinio (licinio@miami.edu)
University of Miami
Miami, Florida, United States of America
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so they will not really be led astray. Only a few will realize that identifying patents with “intellectual property law” is just as mistaken, so real confusion will result. I ask the editors of PLoS Medicine, and the readers and writers of articles, to be on guard against confusing use of the term “intellectual property”—which means, nearly all use of the term. See http://www.gnu.org/philosophy/not-ipr.xhtml for more explanation of the problems of this term.

Richard Stallman (rms@gnu.org)
Free Software Foundation
Boston, Massachusetts, United States of America
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Intellectual Property and Access to ART: Authors’ Reply
We would like to thank Richard Stallman [1] for emphasizing the distinction between intellectual property law and patent law, which was not fully elucidated in our article (“How Do Intellectual Property Law and International Trade Agreements Affect Access to Antiretroviral Therapy?”) [2]. However, we don’t feel that this distinction detracts from our overall argument that restrictions placed on medicines in the name of protecting “intellectual property” hurt efforts to expand access to essential medicines throughout the world. It is not so much the specific distinctions between patenting and intellectual property that interest us, but general acceptance of the principle that life-saving medicines can be “owned” and kept from those most afflicted by disease—often living in poverty—based on this concept of ownership.

Arachu Castro (Arachu_Castro@hms.harvard.edu)
Harvard Medical School
Boston, Massachusetts, United States of America
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Boston, Massachusetts, United States of America
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City Initiative: Baby Steps to a Better Future
Ramesh Vidavalu
The article on the new initiative for newborn health in India by A. Fernandez and D. Osrin [1] outlines an innovative approach to tackling the alarming global burden of infant mortality. There are currently an estimated 8 million annual deaths within the first month of life [2], the majority of which are in developing countries. Dr. Fernandez rightly focuses on challenges and the possible innovative, yet effective, interventions to improve maternal and infant health.

Historically, it almost took 50 years to reduce the infant mortality rate in India by 50% (151 per 1,000 live births in 1951 to 69 per 1,000 live births in 2001), and the policy makers are optimistic about further decreasing it to 30 per 1,000 live births by 2010. They also hope to reduce the present maternal mortality rate of 4/1,000 to 1/1,000 by the same time [3]. As the majority of reproductive and childhood morbidities and mortalities can be reduced by primary prevention and early intervention strategies, large-scale adaptation of community intervention strategies will be particularly useful in helping the next generation to have a head start in good health, as the expected population in 15–59 age group will massively increase from 519 million to 800 million by 2016 in India [4].

Health spending in India at 6% of gross domestic product is among the highest levels estimated for developing countries. In per capita terms, it is higher than in China, Indonesia, and most African countries, but lower than Thailand. Public spending on health in India has itself declined from 1.3% of gross domestic product in 1990 to 0.9% in 1999. Individual states have cut down health budgets from 7.0% to 5.5%, in spite of the Bhore Committee (a committee on health surveys and development) recommendation of 15% [5]. The lack of resources, infrastructure, and awareness can further hinder the balance of health and disease and puts a considerable burden on the poor, rural Indian population.

In recent years, a growing constituency of nongovernmental organizations have drawn attention to maternal and child health, supplementing government’s relatively recent initiative Child Survival and Safe Motherhood. As Fernandez et al. rightly point out regarding the demand and supply sides, India is not likely to be in a position to afford institutional care for all births even if this was considered a desirable goal. So the supply side should be strengthened through birth attendants, community education, microlevel organizations, and the effective integration of traditional and modern health-care systems.

One of the best ways to do this is through identifying and strengthening nongovernmental organizations that have high motivation, social commitment, sensitivity to poor, flexibility, and innovativeness. They can mobilize, empower, and increase awareness through their grassroot workers from the communities.

It has been proven in numerous trials that community-based interventions such as home-based neonatal care and usage of birth attendants can significantly reduce the infant mortality rate and improve maternal health [6].

At present, India is in the midst of an epidemiological and health transition wherein multiple factors like urbanization
Conjunctival FOXP3 in Trachoma: T Cells Not Specified

Michael Probst-Kepper

The article by Faal et al. [1] describes differences in the level of FOXP3 mRNA expression in the conjunctiva of patients with Chlamydia trachomatis infection. The authors suggest that their results may indicate a role for regulatory T cells in the resolution of conjunctival immune response, since FOXP3 mRNA remained elevated even if clinical disease signs were present in the absence of infection.

But suggestions about the function of regulatory T cells in diseases based only on the quantification of FOXP3 mRNA should be considered with great caution. According to the current state-of-the-art it is well established that FOXP3 does not specify human regulatory T cells since it is expressed at the mRNA and the protein levels to different extents [2–5]. Thus, without quantification of FOXP3 protein at the single cell level in conjunction with reliable markers of human regulatory T cells, e.g., the recently identified lack of CD127 expression on regulatory T cells [6,7], the potential contribution or association of regulatory T cells with a disease cannot be assessed.

Michael Probst-Kepper (probst-kepper.michael@mh-hannover.de)
Hannover Medical School
Hannover, Germany
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Health Development versus Medical Relief: The Illusion of Aid

Maria Rubio, Jose Luis Portero

Gorik Ooms sheds light on the controversial use of the concept of sustainability by development agencies [1]. International organizations involved in foreign aid and local governments support health policies that decrease the size, the potential, and the budget of the public health sector and hamper the access to health through fee-for-service systems. Under the so-called Health Sector Reform Agenda, out-of-pocket charges make unsustainable the cost of health care for the poorest. Health insurance systems have a minor effect on the health of the poor, either because the system generates permanent barriers to access health care or the services are not really functioning. It is debatable whether a complex instrument, such as health insurance, can be valuable in societies with depleted governmental funds, rundown health services, and a high index of poverty and inequity. So, free health care is the only affordable and sustainable option for the poorest.

On the other hand, Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF) fosters the illusion of independent aid in a vast world full of necessities, makes irrelevant political views through the flag of neutrality, and digests the lecture of conflicts for the taste of the white man’s tears. MSF knows that their projects, as most of the projects, are not sustainable and therefore rejects sustainability as an argument to act. But MSF is concerned about sustainability as the organization calls for stronger national commitment and more international aid for health through emergency development. Behind the oratory, MSF assumes that the governments have the power for an appropriate answer.

Sustainability cannot be analyzed alone. Community development, grassroots democracy, social justice, human rights, humanitarianism, or empowerment are the conceptual...
ideas that back the illusion of aid in the Western civil society. They are rhetorical terms that could even sound radical but, paradoxically, due to their apolitical approaches, make international aid and cooperation harmless for governments and policies that generate injustice. Moreover, postmodernism appeals for individual attention and marginalizes collective political actions that could have greater impact.

Solomon R. Benatar wrote in this journal that poor health reflects systemic dysfunction in a complex world and calls the attention to address the complex system forces that sustain poverty and poor health [2]. We are far from addressing these complex system forces that themselves remain, unfortunately, in good health. At the end, we might need politics rather than the illusion of independent aid. ■
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Health Development versus Medical Relief: Not a Turf Battle
Guy-André Pelouze
Medecins Sans Frontieres is a respectable organisation which helps people in jeopardy and provides medical relief in difficult situations all over the world. Despite this recognition I must confess I found the last paper of G. Ooms in PLoS Medicine [1] to develop highly debatable concepts about health, development, and even sustainability. Ooms postulates that sustainable intervention creates a bias for development agencies to maintain the status quo.

On the other hand, it could very easily be argued that conventional emergency “humanitarian aid” has failed to improve health on even a medium-term basis in the last four decades. Common sense indicates that populations benefit more from improvements in wealth, water supply, and agriculture than from consuming free goods from international aid.

Several studies provide a basis for this conclusion [2]. On the contrary, a persistent status quo could result from an exclusive humanitarian approach, precluding the necessary changes to develop health care.

International agencies say that, based on numerous studies, improving health on a medium- or long-term basis is a matter of “sustainable” programmes. But what is meant by “sustainability”? It seems that Dr. Ooms interprets sustainability as durability, a confusion which is obvious when one reads the French version of his paper. Durability is a plain matter of time; pollution, totalitarian regimes, or poverty could as well, unfortunately, meet a single durability criterion. This is the main point, if one wants to assess the criticism which Ooms aims at development agencies. Their main objective is to assess the sustainability of the health programmes which means (in French the supportabilité and not the durabilité) whether these programmes exceed the economic, organisational, and ecological possibilities of the country and its population. And I recognize that I am in keeping with that! To give rice to people who usually consume rice is helpful, but next time it will be even more helpful to give them the means to grow their own!

Yet the reality is far more complex, something which requires that agencies carefully assess the different programmes [3]. I must add that such an approach does not preclude an increase in annual governments outlays. But before increasing expenditure, it is wise to assess whether the programmes is working, and for whom. I don’t miss the point that sustainable aid is for certain governments synonymous with conditional aid, and such difficulties must not be hidden, but every one of us is able to make the distinction between the principle and some penny-pinching, restrictive policies which can be amended and reversed.

Indeed, the two approaches are complementary. When an emergency situation arises, it is obvious that some of the critical health issues of the local populations could be addressed by emergency international aid. But after a few weeks, only structural and political changes (that is, peace, convenient water supply, agriculture revival, affordable energy, information, free trade, free enterprise through microrenting...) are crucial for maintaining health and eventually improving it. As a matter of fact it could be a more dangerous illusion for these endangered populations to give credit to the ideas that Dr. Ooms develops in order to justify the spending of more public funds to extend emergency humanitarian aid indefinitely. ■
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Spinal Delivery of p38: TNF-alpha Inhibitors

Edward Tobinick

The new study by Boyle and colleagues provides important data on basic science mechanisms involved in pain and inflammation [1]. Their data, along with that from previous studies, provides further basic scientific evidence documenting p38–TNF-alpha interactions, and suggests that spinal p38 or spinal TNF-alpha blockade may have clinical relevance [1,2]. The present study documents that p38 activation may be occurring predominantly in microglia. The present study, therefore, joins other recent work which suggests the importance of p38-glial-TNF-alpha interactions in neuroinflammation and synaptic signaling [3–6]. This increasing evidence may have clinical relevance not only to arthritis pain, but also to the pathogenesis of various forms of neuropathic pain and Alzheimer disease [1–8].

Because the present study suggests that spinal delivery may be more effective than systemic delivery when attempting to intervene in spinally-mediated inflammatory mechanisms, the authors note the potential importance of developing compounds that may bypass the blood-brain barrier. The present author speculates that the rapid and significant clinical effects noted following perispinal administration of etanercept in small pilot studies suggest that perispinal administration of p38 inhibitors may also allow these compounds to reach the spinal cord and dorsal root ganglia in therapeutically effective amounts [7,8]. It is hypothesized that this may be possible via passage through the vertebral portion of the cerebrospinal venous system, and this may explain the efficacy of perispinal etanercept in the above cited studies [7–9]. Previous studies have documented that epidural administration of large molecules may result in delivery to the endoneurial space [10]. This evidence, along with the basic scientific evidence provided by the present study of the potential clinical importance of spinal delivery, supports consideration of investigation of this novel route of administration.
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