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INTRODUCTION

Reproductive function is an exquisitely regulated process that ensures perpetuation of the species. A plethora of central and peripheral signals interplay to modulate the coordinated action of the hypothalamus, pituitary, and gonads, which form the gonadotropic (aka HPG) axis. The hypothalamic decapeptide gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) is the ultimate central elicitor that conveys these regulatory signals down to the pituitary level to dictate the specific pattern of gonadotropin release (Fink, 2000). GnRH secretion varies significantly along the animal’s life, being subjected to circadian and ultradian regulation, i.e., seasonal and developmental changes. For instance, GnRH secretion is enhanced at the time of puberty onset (Harris and Levine, 2003), leading to high frequency pulses that induce the awakening of the reproductive function in both males and females and are maintained from that period throughout the animal’s lifespan. To note, GnRH displays a bimodal pattern of release: episodic versus surge-like (Maeda et al., 2010), where gonadal steroids play a crucial role. In this context, both sexes are subjected to the inhibitory action of these gonadal steroids through negative feedback mechanisms at the hypothalamic and pituitary level, which determine the tonic mode of GnRH secretion. In addition, adult females also exhibit a positive, estradiol-dependent, feedback of GnRH release as a unique surge-like peak that evokes ovulation by means of the consequent surge of luteinizing hormone (LH) release (Moenter et al., 1991). We are only starting to decipher the mechanisms underlying this dual regulatory process of GnRH release (Glidewell-Kenney et al., 2007), which is currently a subject of intense research in the field.

Focusing on the intermittent release of GnRH, the mechanism that dictates the amplitude and frequency of each pulse remains also poorly understood, being a matter of intense debate among neuroendocrinologists. Hence, in this review, we aim to offer a novel insight into a plausible mechanism of GnRH pulse generation through a comprehensive compilation of the latest advances in the field focusing on the interaction between kisspeptins and neurokinin B (NKB).

NEUROANATOMICAL DISTRIBUTION OF GnRH NEURONS

Gonadotropin-releasing hormone neurons present a scattered distribution in the brain, which has limited the thorough characterization of the physiological properties of this neuronal group. GnRH neurons originate in the olfactory placode. From embryonic day 9.5 onward (in rodents), they initiate a migratory process from this location to distinct brain areas that vary depending on the species (Schwanzel-Fukuda and Pfaff, 1989; Wray et al., 1989). In rodents, mature GnRH cell bodies are present in the medial septum, preoptic area, and the anterior hypothalamic areas (Herbison,
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ture present in many neuroendocrine systems, including GnRH
release, however, determining whether the final pattern of release
is dictated by the neuron itself or through a synchronized circuitry
that operates upon them, remains largely controversial.

**GnRH NEURONS AS PULSE GENERATORS**

Over the last two decades, a number of studies have suggested that
GnRH neurons themselves present a pattern of firing bursts that
could, indeed, account for the pulsatile release of this decapep-
tide. First, the GnRH cell line, GT1, exhibits spontaneous pulses
of neuronal activation and GnRH secretion in the absence of any
other cell line (Martinez De La Escalera et al., 1992; Bosma, 1993). Second, embryonic GnRH cells obtained from the nasal placode
of rats displayed a pulsatile fashion of GnRH release (Funabashi
et al., 2000).

This rhythmic pattern of GnRH release seems to be Ca^{2+}-
dependent (Krsmanovic et al., 1992). Indeed, cultured embryonic
GnRH cells from monkeys exhibit synchronized Ca^{2+} oscillations
every 50–70 min, which is similar to the interval of GnRH pulses
observed in vivo (Terasawa et al., 1999). Moreover, this process
is stimulated by cAMP (Krsmanovic et al., 2001) in a mecha-
nism mediated by specific A-kinase anchoring proteins (AKAPs;
Chen et al., 2010). However, a recent study by Frattarelli et al.
(2011) indicates that in GT1-7 cells, only 25% of GnRH and
cAMP pulses coincide, suggesting that while cAMP may positively
regulate GnRH secretion, it does not participate in the mecha-
nism of pulsatile GnRH release. Another remarkable feature of
GnRH neurons is their ability to synchronize the release of GnRH
with time in culture through gap junction coupling (Bose et al.,
2010). This, together with the fact that GnRH neurons do express
the GnRH receptor and present auto-feedback loops in approxi-
ately 50% of them (Han et al., 2010) may suggest the presence of
auto-regulatory pathways. Nonetheless, these mechanisms remain
to be validated in vivo since the close proximity of GnRH neu-
rons in culture is not present in the brain where, as mentioned
above, GnRH neurons occupy a disperse distribution that may
compromise direct interactions between them.

Despite these evidences signifying the presence of an internal
pacemaker, the inability of GnRH neurons to modify its pattern
of release under critical physiologic conditions for the regulation
of the reproductive axis such as puberty onset, variation in the sex steroid milieu, etc., makes the presence of additional regulatory mechanisms – able to respond to a wider array of regulators, mandatory.

**GnRH PULSE GENERATOR OUTSIDE GnRH NEURONS**

We could postulate that GnRH neurons, as pacemaker neurons, would need the coordinated interaction of an ensemble of regulatory factors that led to the fine-tuning of GnRH secretion. Indeed, mounting data support this contention. The presence of a master GnRH pulse generator has been localized within the medio-basal hypothalamus, pointing to the ARC as the most likely candidate. First, female rhesus monkeys bearing bilateral lesions in the medio-basal hypothalamus showed absence of endogenous GnRH/LH pulses (Pohl et al., 1983). Second, hypothalamic explants of monkeys and rats devoid of GnRH cell bodies exhibit GnRH pulses (Purnelle et al., 1997; Woller et al., 2010). Third, despite some discrepancies in the field, endogenous pulses of GT1-7 cells seem to present a cadence of 21–26 min (Bosma, 1993; Moenter et al., 2003) while in vivo, GnRH pulses present 40–60 min intervals depending on the species (Han et al., 2010). Fourth, electrophysiological recordings of multi-unic activity (MUA) volleys located in the ARC, invariably resemble LH pulses in different species (Goubillon et al., 1995; Ohkura et al., 2009). Fifth, as mentioned previously, GnRH neurons themselves do not express ER alpha (Hrabovszky et al., 2000). Therefore, they are not able to properly respond to changes in the sex steroid milieu, which constitutes a major regulatory drive to the gonadotropic axis. Indeed, in the absence of negative feedback of sex steroids after gonadectomy, or menopause in primates, GnRH pulses are significantly increased (Gore et al., 2004). Altogether, there are sufficient evidences to locate the primary generator of GnRH pulses in the ARC. However, we cannot rule out that other mechanisms may play a role in regulating GnRH discharges and that they could be placed in different brain regions, e.g., inputs coming from the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) through vasoactive intestinal polypeptide (VIP; Krajnak et al., 1998; Gerhold et al., 2005) or GABAergic and glutamatergic interactions (Chu and Moenter, 2005) among those possible pathways.

**Kiss1 NEURONS AS HOLDERS OF THE GnRH PULSE GENERATOR**

The ARC presents a complex array of neuronal networks that participate in the control of reproduction and/or metabolism. Among those, the subpopulation of Kiss1 neurons located in this nucleus could serve the function of GnRH pulse generator based on the following findings: (a) GnRH neurons express the Kiss1 receptor and are activated by kisspeptins (Han et al., 2005); (b) Kiss1 neurons from the ARC contact GnRH terminals in the median eminence of rats and sheep (Matsuyama et al., 2011; Uenoyma et al., 2011); (c) kisspeptin release to the portal system in monkeys is pulsatile (Kee et al., 2008); (d) MUA volleys in goats, that closely reproduce LH pulses, are recorded from the surroundings of Kiss1 neurons and are not affected after exogenous kisspeptin treatment unlike LH pulses (Ohkura et al., 2009); (e) the number of Kiss1-expressing neurons in the ARC of lambs is greater in animals exhibiting higher frequency of LH pulses (Redmond et al., 2011); (f) the increase in GnRH neuronal firing observed in menopausal primates due to the absence of sex steroids (Gore et al., 2004) correlates with the higher level of Kiss1 expression in the infundibular nucleus of post-menopausal women and primates (Romeo et al., 2007; Eghlidi et al., 2010; Hrabovszky et al., 2011). To note, earlier reports documented that the pulsatile release of GnRH is blunted after prolonged periods of estradiol withdrawal, e.g., older post-menopausal women (Hall et al., 2000), which may reflect the potential decrease in Kiss1 expression in the ARC produced by aging, as was recently documented in old acyclic rats (Downs and Wise, 2011) although, intriguingly, it does not seem to be the case in post-menopausal women where kisspeptin immunoreactivity remains elevated well beyond menopause (Hrabovszky et al., 2011), suggesting possible species differences in the regulation of Kiss1 expression in aged individuals; (g) the administration of a kisspeptin antagonist into the ARC – but not the POA, strongly suppresses LH pulses in rats (Li et al., 2009); (h) the administration of intravenous kisspeptin pulses to gonadonal juvenile monkeys elicits a sustained train of GnRH discharges (Plant et al., 2006). In addition, (i) an elegant study by Gottsch et al. (2011) has recently demonstrated by whole-cell patch recordings that Kiss1 neurons, isolated from Kiss1-CreGFP mice, present spontaneous activity as well as h- and T-type Ca2+ currents (Figure 2), which are typical features of pacemaker neurons. However, a note of caution should be added regarding possible species differences in the generation of GnRH pulses. Thus, a recent study by Chan et al. (2011) demonstrates that iv administration of kisspeptin to adult men not only stimulates LH release but delays the occurrence of the next LH pulse by an interval similar to that of normal interpulse intervals, which would suggest a reset of the GnRH pulse generator.

**THE ROLE OF NEUROKININ B IN THE GENERATION OF KISSPEPTIN PULSES**

Neurokinin B has recently emerged as a critical player in the central control of reproductive function. In 2009, human genetic studies showed that patients bearing inactivating mutations in the genes encoding NKB or its receptor (neurokinin 3 receptor, NK3R), TAC3 and TACR3 respectively in humans, exhibit hypogonadotropic hypogonadism and infertility (Topaloglu et al., 2009). These findings have been also (partially) recapitulated in Tacr3 null mice (Yang et al., 2012) indicating that the NKB/NK3R system plays a role in the control of gonadotropic secretion in different species. Initial reports denoted an inhibitory action of an NKB agonist, senktide, on LH release in rodents (Sandoval-Guzman and Rance, 2004; Navarro et al., 2009), however, we have recently documented high sensitivity of the NKB/NK3R system to circulating levels of sex steroids, which allows LH release under the appropriate steroid milieu in prepubertal and adult female rats (Navarro et al., 2011a, 2012). In addition, to date, a stimulatory role of NKB on LH release has also been reported in mice, monkeys, goats, and sheep (Billings et al., 2010; Ramaswamy et al., 2010; Wakabayashi et al., 2010; Navarro et al., 2011b). Recently, though, a report by Kinsey-Jones and colleagues showed otherwise. In their study, senktide exerted a decrease in LH release in ovariectomized (OVX) and estradiol-replaced female rats (Kinsey-Jones et al., 2012). Notwithstanding, their estradiol replacement seemingly failed to decrease LH release after ovariectomy, which is a required
proof of the proper action of the negative feedback of estradiol and might explain this a priori controversy. Therefore, assuming that these animals reproduced an OVX+ sham model and considering the stimulation of LH release that they also observed in intact diestrus rats, these results would actually be in keeping with our previous study in female rats (Navarro et al., 2011a). Regarding its anatomical distribution, the genes encoding NKB in rodents (Tac2) and NK3R (Tacr3) are enormously spread out throughout the entire brain (Warden and Young, 1988; Shughrue et al., 1996; Navarro et al., 2011a), where they have been proposed to mediate a number of physiological processes (Pantaleo et al., 2010); however, those subjected to KISS1R desensitization showed virtual absence of LH response to senktide, although admittedly, a small residual response to senktide was observed in this model suggesting a likely (minor) kisspeptin-independent action of senktide on GnRH release in the monkey (Ramassamy et al., 2010, 2011). Altogether, these data make the case for a very likely action of NKB upon Kiss1 neurons, however, we cannot rule out the action of this peptide on additional brain areas. In this vein, preliminary data showed expression of NK3R in GnRH terminals of rats (Krajewski et al., 2005; Burke et al., 2006) and Tacr3 mRNA in GnRH neurons in the mouse (Todman et al., 2005) as well as in the immortalized GT1-7 cells—a model of differentiated GnRH neurons (Glidewell-Kenney et al., 2010). However, these results are controversial. On the one hand, a call of caution needs to be exercised when using immortalized cell lines, which may not faithfully resemble in vivo models and, on the other hand, the latter data in mice and rats seem to be rebutted by recent studies documenting the absence of NK3R immunolocalization and Tacr3 mRNA in GnRH neurons of sheep (Amstalden et al., 2009) and mice (Navarro et al., 2011b), respectively. Furthermore, the lack – or residual expression – of NK3R in GnRH neurons is supported by (1) the very illustrative studies of desensitization of NK3R versus Kiss1r in the agonadal juvenile monkey mentioned above, where kisspeptin-desensitized monkeys displayed a robust suppression (albeit not complete) of the LH response to senktide (Ramassamy et al., 2011) and (2) the lack of action potentials in GnRH–GFP neurons subjected to whole-cell recordings after senktide treatment in the mouse (Navarro et al., 2011b). It is worth mentioning that this study shows lack of activity at the GnRH cell body level but does not rule out the presence of NK3R at the level of GnRH terminals. In this sense, (3) Corander et al. (2010) showed that, unlike kisspeptin, addition of NKB to hypothalamic explants from male rats devoid
of GnRH cell bodies did not evoke any effect on GnRH release, which, initially, would preclude a direct action at the level of these terminals.

In any case, the number of NKB neurons in the ARC of male mice seems to be twice that of the Kiss1 neurons (Navarro et al., 2011b). This, taken together with the fact that NKB fibers, at least in mice and rats, project to neighboring hypothalamic areas of the ARC – including bilateral projections within the ARC and to the median eminence, periventricular nucleus, BNST, dorsomedial nucleus, lateral hypothalamus, and rostral preoptic area (Burke et al., 2006; Krajewski et al., 2010; Yeo and Herbison, 2011) – suggests the existence of possible, yet unknown, additional regulatory pathways.

In this intricate machinery of co-transmitters of kisspeptin, the role of dynorphin remains far less characterized. Dynorphin has been long recognized as an inhibitor of gonadotropin release in a number of species (Schulz et al., 1981; Kinoshita et al., 1982). Importantly, based on recent studies in the goat, the role of dynorphin seems to lay upstream of the Kiss1 neuron. Thus, central administration of the dynorphin receptor (Kappa opioid receptor, KOR) agonist, U50488, strongly suppresses MUA volleys in the ARC and LH pulses (Wakabayashi et al., 2010; Figure 3). In this same direction, the administration of a KOR antagonist, nor-BNI, increases the frequency of MUA volleys that is mirrored by the same increase in LH pulses (Wakabayashi et al., 2010; Figure 3). Therefore, these data suggest that dynorphin participates to shut down Kiss1 neurons, hence blocking kisspeptin–GnRH–LH release. Nonetheless, in this scenario, how (and where) dynorphin plays its role remains controversial. It is clear that the action of dynorphin eventually turns back to Kiss1 neurons through direct (autosynaptic contact) or indirect (intermediate neurons) mechanisms. Latest evidences seem to support the latter alternative. We have recently documented that Kiss1 neurons in the ARC of male mice are virtually devoid of KOR (less than 6%; Navarro et al., 2011b), while females displayed a slightly greater percentage of colocalization (∼20%; Navarro et al., 2009), indicating the possible existence of a marginal subset of Kiss1 neurons in the ARC expressing KOR. In any case, even if KOR is present in a reduced number of Kiss1 neurons, the primary place of action of dynorphin seems to reside outside and upstream of Kiss1 neurons (Figure 4) since GnRH neurons are also devoid of KOR (Mitchell et al., 1997; Sannella and Petersen, 1997); however, the identity of this neuronal population – that must project back to Kiss1 neurons, remains a mystery.

Altogether, there is compelling evidence to infer that kisspeptin release is pulsatile and that those pulses correlate with GnRH/LH pulses, which, presumably, are dependent on the former. Consequently, we have proposed a model whereby KNDy neurons, in the absence of the inhibitory drive of sex steroids, would activate the NKB pathway and release this neuropeptide on the same KNDy neuron through recurrent collaterals as well as to nearby NKd neurons (Figure 4). This feature might be of crucial importance for the proper functioning of this model, playing a critical role in the synchronization of KNDy neurons. In this sense, in order to present unique GnRH pulses, the population of KNDy neurons must evoke a unique kisspeptin pulse, which is initiated by a spreading NKB activating wave across the ARC. This possibility is in keeping with the dense network of NKB fibers surrounding KNDy neurons in the ARC, as commented above (Burke et al.,

Billings, H. J., Connors, J. M., Altman, S. N., Hileman, S. M., Holaskova, I., San- nella and Petersen, 1997) and KNDy neurons might do it only in a low percentage (Navarro et al., 2009, 2011b), then indicating that dynorphin must act upon intermediate neurons, increasing the turn around time of the inhibitory signal onto KNDy neurons (Figure 4). This would lead to a short delay period after the stimulation of NKB, therefore allowing for the appearance of a kisspeptin pulse.

**CONCLUSION AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES**

During the last few years, the data supporting the pivotal role of kisspeptin in the regulation of GnRH release in a wide range of species is so consistent that we can ensure this phenomenon without a doubt. In this manuscript, we have offered a comprehensive review of the latest advances in the physiology and mechanism of action of Kiss1 neurons in the ARC, adding an intriguing new role to this neuronal group: serve as the GnRH pulse generator, whose characterization has remained elusive to neuroendocrinologists for decades. As a note of caution, we do not position this mechanism as the only center governing GnRH release. There are, indeed, evidences to believe that in the absence of Kiss1 signaling there is a residual, but significant, stimulatory drive onto GnRH neurons from an unknown source (Chan et al., 2009). Thus, it is feasible that a more complex network of neuronal circuits interplays to exert their regulation on GnRH release under specific physiologic conditions, ensuring the appropriate response of the gonadotropic axis to those circumstances. For this reason, we do believe that the tandem Kisspeptin/NKB is essential for the tonic episodic release of GnRH while yet there are significant open questions in this model that await to be addressed in the upcoming years. For example, it would be of great interest to decipher what the genuine role of dynorphin in this model is and what interneurons it is acting on. In addition, it is known that GnRH neurons exhibit a prolonged period of activation after a single kisspeptin pulse (Han et al., 2005), therefore, there must be a factor that actively block kisspeptin’s action on GnRH neurons after every pulse, whose nature is to date unidentified.

In sum, kisspeptin and NKB play a fundamental role in the tonic release of GnRH; however, further research is needed to offer a more detailed insight into the exact process of generation of GnRH pulses.
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