The Regulatory Repertoire of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* AmpC β-Lactamase Regulator AmpR Includes Virulence Genes
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Abstract

In *Enterobacteriaceae*, the transcriptional regulator AmpR, a member of the LysR family, regulates the expression of a chromosomal β-lactamase AmpC. The regulatory repertoire of AmpR is broader in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, an opportunistic pathogen responsible for numerous acute and chronic infections including cystic fibrosis. In addition to regulating ampC, *P. aeruginosa* AmpR regulates the sigma factor AlgT/U and production of some quorum sensing (QS)-regulated virulence factors. In order to better understand the ampR regulon, we compared the transcriptional profile generated using DNA microarrays of the prototypic *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 strain with its isogenic ampR deletion mutant, PAOΔampR. Transcriptome analysis demonstrates that the AmpR regulon is much more extensive than previously thought, with the deletion of ampR influencing the differential expression of over 500 genes. In addition to regulating resistance to β-lactam antibiotics via AmpC, AmpR also regulates non-β-lactam antibiotic resistance by modulating the MexEF-OprN efflux pump. Other virulence mechanisms including biofilm formation and QS-regulated acute virulence factors are AmpR-regulated. Real-time PCR and phenotypic assays confirmed the microarray data. Further, using a *Caenorhabditis elegans* model, we demonstrate that a functional AmpR is required for *P. aeruginosa* pathogenicity. AmpR, a member of the core genome, also regulates genes in the regions of genome plasticity that are acquired by horizontal gene transfer. Further, we show differential regulation of other transcriptional regulators and sigma factors by AmpR, accounting for the extensive AmpR regulon. The data demonstrates that AmpR functions as a global regulator in *P. aeruginosa* and is a positive regulator of acute virulence while negatively regulating biofilm formation, a chronic infection phenotype. Unraveling this complex regulatory circuit will provide a better understanding of the bacterial response to antibiotics and how the organism coordinately regulates a myriad of virulence factors in response to antibiotic exposure.

Introduction

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is one of the leading opportunistic Gram-negative nosocomial pathogens. This is particularly true in critically ill patients, where multi-drug resistant *P. aeruginosa* is a severe problem. It is the leading pathogen in ventilator-associated pneumonia with a mortality rate of 40–60% [1]. *P. aeruginosa* is also a primary cause of urinary tract infections in the US and Europe [2], wound infections leading to bacteremia with one-third to two-thirds mortality rate [3,4], pulmonary infections including cystic fibrosis (CF) [5], lung cancer patients [6] and in pediatric and adult AIDS patients [7]. Inability to eradicate the infection is partly due to intrinsic and acquired antibiotic resistance of *P. aeruginosa*. Antibiotic resistant isolates of *P. aeruginosa* are selectively favored *in vivo* in CF patients [8,9]. Resistance of *P. aeruginosa* to the β-lactam class of antibiotics, currently used to treat *P. aeruginosa* infections, is partly mediated by a group of genes belonging to the amp system.

The amp genes were first discovered in *Enterobacter cloacae* to confer resistance to β-lactams [10] and later in other members of *Enterobacteriaceae* [11,12,13,14]. The products of amp genes in *E. cloacae* and other organisms include the AmpC β-lactamase, the AmpG permease, a putative AmpE permease, the AmpD cytoplasmic amidase, and the transcriptional regulator AmpR [10,11,12,13,14]. Recent studies have identified another permease, AmpP that is required for β-lactamase induction in *P.
**AmpR Regulates Pathogenesis**

**Results**

**A. Deletion of ampR reduces β-lactam resistance of PAO1**

In contrast to previous studies that looked at the role of *P. aeruginosa* AmpR using an insertion mutant, this study employed PAOΔampR, an in-frame deletion mutant in the prototypic *P. aeruginosa* PAO1. The presence of the *ampR* deletion was confirmed by PCR and restriction digestion of the amplicons (data not shown). AmpR is a known positive regulator of the chromosomal AmpC β-lactamase in different bacterial species [12,20,28]. Consequently, after constructing PAOΔampR, the strains were tested for altered production of β-lactamase. The resistance profile of β-lactam antibiotics for PAO1, PAOΔampR and PAOΔampR (pAmpR) shows that, as expected, loss of *ampR* enhances strain sensitivity to β-lactams and expressing *ampR* in trans on a low-copy plasmid can restore this defect with multiple β-lactam antibiotics (Fig. 1A). Loss of *ampR* seems to have a stronger effect on penicillins (ampicillin, amoxicillin and piperacillin, with and without β-lactamase inhibitors), imipenem and tazobactam than the cephalosporins tested. This finding is interesting because AmpC is a cephalosporinase. Overexpression of *ampC* under *P. aeruginosa* control, however, results in enhanced resistance to the cephalosporin ceftazidime (D. Zincke, personal communication). β-Lactamase quantification showed that PAOΔampR produced significantly lower amounts in response to β-lactam stress compared to PAO1 (PAO1: 11.27 mU vs. PAOΔampR: 6.5 mU, p value 0.0003; Fig. 1B), which is in agreement with the E-test data. The loss of induction was recovered by expressing *ampR* from a low-copy plasmid (pAmpR; 6.5 mU vs. PAOΔampR (pAmpR): 11.35 mU, p value 0.004; Fig. 1B). These data clearly reiterate the role of AmpR in β-lactam resistance in *P. aeruginosa* as previously described [21]. The PAOΔampR strain was used for all further assays.

**B. Loss of ampR affects ability of PAO1 to kill C. elegans**

The importance of AmpR in virulence was determined in a *C. elegans* model, as reported previously [29,30]. Using the fast killing (paralytic) assay, we monitored the ability of PAO1 and its isogenic *ampR* mutant, PAOΔampR, to kill *C. elegans* over eight hours. PAOΔampR showed reduced pathogenicity, killing only 15% of the nematodes compared to the 38% killed by the wild-type PAO1 at the end of the study period (p value <0.05 at all time points; Fig. 2). The results indicate that a functional AmpR is required for full pathogenicity of *P. aeruginosa* in the nematode model. To characterize the full extent of AmpR-mediated regulation of *P. aeruginosa* pathogenesis, we analyzed PAOΔampR further.

**C. AmpR regulates numerous genes in *P. aeruginosa***

Using DNA microarrays, we compared the expression profiles of PAO1 and PAOΔampR, without (uninduced) and with (induced) sub-MIC β-lactam stress to identify genes that are regulated under the different conditions. Pair-wise comparisons of the datasets of significantly differentially regulated genes (p value <0.01, ≥ twofold) either with or without sub-MIC β-lactam stress led to the identification of 32 genes (PAO1 uninduced vs. PAO1 induced; Condition A), 258 genes (PAOΔampR uninduced vs. PAOΔampR induced; Condition B), 345 genes (PAO1 uninduced vs. PAOΔampR uninduced; Condition C) and 338 genes (PAO1 induced vs. PAOΔampR induced; Condition D) (Fig. 3). As seen in Figure 3, the expression of 345 genes is altered in the absence of AmpR (Condition C), clearly indicating that AmpR influences the expression of numerous genes in *P. aeruginosa*.

Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) was used to verify the microarray results. Genes for the verification analysis were selected across the spectrum of regulation, based on the raw microarray reads after normalization, including both up and downregulated genes. Twelve genes were selected for initial qPCR analysis, six each from the up- and downregulated sets, using *clpX* (PA1802) as the reference control gene since *clpX* expression did not change in our microarray data between the strains and conditions tested. qPCR data showed the same trend of either up- or downregulation of the genes as in the microarray, validating our microarray observations, notwithstanding the variations expected due to differences in the sensitivity of the two assays (Table 1).
D. AmpR regulates genes both in the absence and presence of β-lactam stress

Subsets of genes that are differentially regulated either due to loss of ampR or due to β-lactam antibiotic exposure or both (Fig. 3) could potentially be regulated under more than one condition and this overlap would be misinterpreted in the total number of genes regulated in each condition. To address this issue, the 973 differentially regulated genes (p≤0.01, FC≥2-fold) in the four pairwise comparisons (Conditions A–D in Fig. 3) were plotted in 4-way Venn diagrams and separated into upregulated (Fig. 4A) and downregulated (Fig. 4B) genes.

From these two Venn diagrams, genes that were dependent exclusively on either AmpR or β-lactams or on both were identified. Comparison of Conditions A and B yield genes unique
Figure 2. Effect of *ampR* deletion on pathogenicity to *C. elegans*. The fast killing assay was used to test the effect of loss of *ampR* on the *C. elegans* killing ability of PAO1. *p*-value < 0.05 at all time points.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0034067.g002

Figure 3. Scatter plots of significantly regulated genes. Only genes that showed significant (*p*<0.01) differential regulation under the various conditions are depicted as colored squares. The colors represent the extent of gene expression from low (blue) to high (red) in either condition, as depicted in the color scale. The two outer green diagonal lines in each plot represent the two-fold cutoff. Each sub-plot depicts the differential gene expression between two strains/conditions (shown along the plot axes): Condition A- PAO1 uninduced vs. PAO1 induced; Condition B- PAOΔampR uninduced vs. PAOΔampR induced; Condition C- PAO1 uninduced vs. PAOΔampR uninduced; Condition D- PAO1 induced vs. PAOΔampR induced.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0034067.g003
we compared the AmpR-dependent and AmpR-β-lactam dependent
gene lists between themselves as well as to the 206 β-lactam-
specific genes (Table S2A). This led to the identification of 520
genes whose expression is influenced by AmpR, of which 313 are
AmpR-dependent (Table S3A, Table S3B) and a further 207 that are
AmpR-β-lactam dependent (Table S4A, Table S4B).

### E. Functional categorization of AmpR-regulated genes

Functional categorization of the 520 AmpR-regulated genes was
then performed and compared to the distribution in the *P.
aeruginosa* PAO1 genome from the *Pseudomonas* Genome database
[31] to look for enrichment of specific classes of genes in the
different conditions (Fig. 5). Category N genes (secreted factors,
toxins, enzymes, alginate) were found to be downregulated in an
AmpR-dependent manner and this correlated with decreased
production of some secreted virulence factors (elaborated in the
following sections). Similarly, genes in category G (cell wall, LPS,
capsule) were downregulated, indicating that AmpR positively
regulates these genes. Moreover, metabolic genes (amino acid
biosynthesis - category E; central intermediary metabolism -
category Q) show marginal downregulation in the *ampR* mutant.
Genes involved in carbon compound catabolism (category S),
adaptation and protection (category K), and those related to
phage/transposon/plasmid (category V) were upregulated in the
PAO*bampR*, indicating negative AmpR regulation in an AmpR-
dependent manner (Fig. 5). Interestingly, genes encoding transcrip-
tional regulators (category L) showed differential regulation
indicating that AmpR could potentially regulate other genes
indirectly via intermediate transcriptional regulators.

In the presence of β-lactam stress, loss of *ampR* leads to
downregulation of membrane proteins (category D), putative
enzymes (category O) and those involved in translation (category
F), while motility and attachment genes (category T) were
upregulated (Fig. 5). In addition to membrane proteins (category
D), the most differential regulation across all conditions was of the
hypothetical proteins (category C), but until the functions of these
proteins are determined, no significant conclusions can be drawn.

### F. AmpR binding site analysis

In an attempt to identify the genes that are directly regulated by
AmpR, a bioinformatics approach was adopted. IEM and RSA
analyses were performed to identify, refine and scan the *P.
aeruginosa* genomes as well as the AmpR-regulated genes from the
microarray data for potential AmpR-binding sites. The consensus
sequences derived from the AmpR- and AmpR-β-lactam dependent
genes are similar, yet distinct (Fig. 6A and 6C). Using these
consensus sequences to search the upstream regulatory regions of
the PAO1 genome led to the identification of the AmpR-
dependent element in the upstream region of 207 genes. The motifs
derived from the IEM and RSA analysis for
AmpR-dependent (Table S2A, Table S2B) and a further 207 that are
AmpR-β-lactam dependent (Table S4A, Table S4B).

### Table 1. Microarray vs. qPCR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locus ID/Gene</th>
<th>Fold change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PA0610/prtN</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA0762/algU</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA3602</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA2493/mesE</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA4121</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA1709/popB</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA2193/hcaA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA1078/fgC</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA2069</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA2331</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Confirmation of microarray data was performed by qPCR, using RNA isolated
from treated and untreated PAO1 cells, normalized to expression in PAO1.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0034067.t001

to each condition (U1, D1 and U2, D2 respectively in Fig. 4A–4B).
There are 18 upregulated genes (U1 in Fig. 4A) that are unique to
Condition A (response of PAO1 to β-lactam stress). This includes
AmpR-dependent genes (there is a functional AmpR in these
strains that helps in the response to β-lactams) and AmpR-
independent genes (some of these genes may be regulated in an
AmpR-independent manner). In contrast, in Condition B
(response of PA0*bampR* to β-lactam stress), 61 genes are
upregulated in response to antibiotics (U2 in Fig. 4A).
AmpR
may negatively regulate these genes, since their expression is
enhanced in the absence of AmpR, or their expression is AmpR-
dependent. The observation that loss of *ampR* leads altered gene
expression in PAO1 in response to sub-MIC β-lactam stress
(similar to that seen in the absence of β-lactam stress) further hints
at a global regulatory role for AmpR in *P. aeruginosa*.

Of the 18 upregulated genes in U1 (PAO1 induced), there could
also be a subset of genes that are AmpR- and β-lactam dependent
due to a functional AmpR in PAO1. In order to identify and
separate exclusively β-lactam regulated genes, we compared these
18 upregulated genes to see if they were downregulated in
Condition B (in D2) and identified *PA0465* (creD), *PA0466*
(hypothetical) and *PA15889* (hypothetical). So, of the 18 genes in
U1, only these three genes are specifically AmpR-regulated. Using
a similar logic (for key, see Table S1), we identified β-lactam
dependent genes (Table S2A, Table S2B) to fall in regions U6, D6,
U7, D7, U8 and D8 in addition to U1 and D1 of the Venn
diagram (Fig. 4A and 4B). The genes that were regulated
exclusively in response to β-lactam antibiotics include *mecB*,
a penicillin-binding protein 1 (PBP1) that is involved in peptidogly-
can synthesis and is upregulated 3.5-fold (corrected *p*-value 3.71E-
05). Genes of putative RND efflux and ABC transporters are also
upregulated (Table S2A), as are genes involved in flagellar
biosynthesis and the *sox* operon (*PA3416–PA3419*, involved in
amino acid metabolism). Genes that are downregulated in response to
β-lactam exposure include 10 membrane proteins
suggesting adaptation to stress, in addition to genes of the *phz2*
phenazine biosynthetic operon.

The genes that are AmpR-dependent (U2, D2, U4 and D4: 387
genes) and AmpR-β-lactam dependent (U3, D3, U5 and D5: 282
genes) (Fig. 4A and 4B) are of interest to us, since AmpR influences
both these gene sets. To further eliminate β-lactam specific genes,
G. Regulation of the amp genes by AmpR

β-Lactam resistance in *P. aeruginosa* is mediated, in part, by the *amp* genes that are also tied in with the cell wall recycling process [32]. The genes involved in this process include the regulator AmpR [21,33], the chromosomal β-lactamase AmpC [11,12,28,34], the permeases AmpG and AmpP [15,35] and the amidases AmpD, AmpDh2 and AmpDh3 [36,37]. In addition, the hydrolase NagZ also plays a role in β-lactam resistance [38,39]. Since AmpR is known to positively regulate AmpC expression, we hypothesized that AmpR also regulates the other *amp* genes. qPCR analysis revealed downregulation of the *amp* genes in PAOΔampR, normalized to expression in PAO1 (Fig. 7), indicating a positive regulatory role for AmpR in the expression of *ampG* (RQ - uninduced: 0.59±0.01, p-value 0.006; induced: 0.63±0.01, p-
value 0.04), ampP (RQ - uninduced: 0.1±0.01, p-value 0.004; induced: 0.86±0.01, p-value NS), ampD (RQ - uninduced: 0.02±0.01, p-value NS; induced 0.67±0.01, p-value 0.04), ampDh2 (RQ - uninduced: 1.01±0.05, p-value NS; induced: 0.56±0.01, p-value 0.002), ampDh3 (RQ - uninduced: 0.98±0.007, p-value NS; induced: 0.66±0.04, p-value 0.02) and nagZ (RQ - uninduced: 0.27±0.06, p-value 0.002; induced: 0.51±0.01, p-value 0.0002).

Specifically, when the cells are exposed to β-lactams, they need amidase activity to help in the peptidoglycan recycling process. This is reflected in upregulation of the amidases, (AmpD, AmpDh2, and AmpDh3) and AmpC only when the cells are exposed to β-lactams. Simultaneously, AmpG, which functions to transport the degraded peptidoglycan material into the cytoplasm [15] is upregulated by AmpR (downregulated in PAO1ampR) in an inducer-independent manner. This shows that AmpR upregulates the amidases and AmpC β-lactamase in response to β-lactams while upregulating AmpG (Fig. 7), and agrees with the proposed model for peptidoglycan recycling in P. aeruginosa [15]. The data, thus, demonstrates the central role of AmpR in influencing expression of the cell wall recycling/AmpC-mediated β-lactam resistance machinery in P. aeruginosa.

H. AmpR regulates the expression of antibiotic resistance and virulence systems

It has previously been shown that AmpR regulates the expression of genes related to QS and protease production [40]. Microarray analyses from this study show that AmpR affects the expression of multiple virulence systems in P. aeruginosa, as explained further in this section.

Resistance-Nodulation-Division (RND) efflux systems. RND transporters are tripartite pumps present in Gram-negative bacteria that are involved in the efflux of antibiotics and several other compounds decreasing cytoplasmic retention and thus conferring resistance [41]. All of the P. aeruginosa strains sequenced so far carry 12 known and putative RND efflux pumps, suggesting that the efflux pumps are an integral part of the P. aeruginosa genome [42,43]. The MexEF-OprN efflux system that is primarily concerned with resistance to fluoroquinolones, chloramphenicol and trimethoprim [44] was significantly upregulated in PAO1ampR. The genes mexE (seven-fold), mexF (89-fold) and oprN (103-fold) are overexpressed in the ampR mutant in the absence of antibiotic stress in microarray studies (Table S3A) and overexpression of the first gene of the operon, mexE, was confirmed by qPCR (Table 1). MexT, an activator of

---

**Figure 5. Enrichment of functional categories.** Functional categorization of the AmpR-dependent and AmpR-β-lactam dependent genes was performed to identify enrichment of specific classes of genes relative to their distribution in PAO1. ‘+’ and ‘−’ refers to upregulation and downregulation of genes, respectively in either an AmpR-dependent or AmpR-β-lactam-dependent manner. The most differentially regulated categories are labeled in the figure using the corresponding code, mentioned in the figure table. Functional categories, and their codes and percentages in PAO1 are from the Pseudomonas Genome database [31].

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0034067.g005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Category (% of genes in PAO1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>zB</td>
<td>Transcription, RNA processing and degradation (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zA</td>
<td>Antibiotic resistance and susceptibility (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>Cell division (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>Protein secretion/export apparatus (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w</td>
<td>Non-coding RNA genes (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v</td>
<td>Related to phage, transposon, or plasmid (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u</td>
<td>Chemotaxis (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>Motility &amp; Attachment (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>Carbon compound catabolism (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>Nucleotide biosynthesis and metabolism (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l</td>
<td>Central intermediary metabolism (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q</td>
<td>Putative enzymes (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>Secreetd factors-toxins, enzymes, alginate (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>Two-component regulatory systems (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>Transcriptional regulators (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>Adaptation, Protection (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j</td>
<td>Biosynthesis of cofactors, prosthetic groups and carriers (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>Energy metabolism (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>Transport of small molecules (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>Cell wall / LPS / capsule (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>Translation, post-translational modification, degradation (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>Amino acid biosynthesis and metabolism (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>Membrane proteins (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>Hypothetical, unclassified, unknown (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>Fatty acid and phospholipid metabolism (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>DNA replication, recombination, modification and repair (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
this efflux system [44,45], is not significantly differentially regulated (1-fold) in the microarray studies, but is upregulated in an inducer-independent manner when tested by qPCR (RQ: uninduced 7.5±0.11, p-value 0.02; induced 7.0±0.25, p-value 0.02). However, the negative regulator MexS shows no differential regulation either in microarray or qPCR analysis (data not shown). Carbapenems use the outer membrane porin OprD to gain entry into the cell [46] and MexT negatively regulates this porin both at the transcriptional and post-transcriptional level [26,47,48]. Indeed, qPCR analysis shows that with upregulation of mexT, there is a downregulation of oprD expression in the ampR mutant compared to PAO1 (RQ: uninduced 0.31±0.004, p-value 0.001; induced 0.08±0.000002, p-value<0.0001). Surprisingly, OprD downregulation did not lead to increased resistance to imipenem and meropenem (Fig. 1A). In addition, we did not see differential regulation of the other known MexT regulator, MvaT [49] in our microarray analysis.

To further investigate whether upregulation of this pump translates into a resistance phenotype, we determined the MICs for MexEF-OprN substrate antibiotics by the standard broth microdilution method [50]. PAOΔampR showed enhanced resistance to four of the antibiotics tested when compared to the resistance profile of wild-type PAO1, correlating microarray and qPCR data with the phenotype (Table 2). This suggests that AmpR is involved in resistance to β-lactam antibiotics by regulating AmpC as shown earlier, and non-β-lactam antibiotics via the MexEF-OprN efflux pump. Analysis of the promoter regions of the genes of this pump and their regulators (MexS and MexT) using the putative AmpR-binding site [51] as a query sequence did not reveal signs of AmpR binding, suggesting indirect regulation by AmpR.

MexAB-OprM was the first RND-type efflux pump to be reported in P. aeruginosa and has very broad substrate specificity including β-lactam antibiotics and non-antibiotic substrates [52]. In fact, it has been implicated to play a more significant role in resistance to β-lactam antibiotics than β-lactamases [53,54]. Using the putative P. aeruginosa AmpR binding site [51], we identified a potential AmpR binding site upstream of the MexR repressor of this pump in the MexR-MexA intergenic region (5’ AAGCCTG-CAAAATGT 3’) indicating possible regulation of this pump by AmpR. qPCR analysis of mexR expression revealed downregula-
tion of this gene in PAOΔampR compared to PAO1 (RQ: uninduced 0.46±0.006, p-value 0.002; induced 0.4±0.007, p-value 0.01). It is thus interesting to note that AmpR not only positively regulates AmpC β-lactamase but potentially also MexAB-OprM, two different mechanisms of resistance to β-lactams. The MexAB-OprM efflux can also pump out fluoroquinolones [26] and the enhanced quinolone resistance of POAΔampR seen in the MIC studies is potentially due to a combined effect of upregulation of the MexEF-OprN and the MexAB-OprM efflux pumps. In addition, AmpR negatively regulates a two-gene putative RND efflux operon PA4205–PA4206 (nine-fold and four-fold respectively in microarray) that codes for a membrane fusion protein and efflux transporter, respectively. Potential AmpR regulation of the MexGHI-OpmD efflux pump is discussed in the QS section.

**QS-regulated virulence factors.** Many of *P. aeruginosa* virulence factors are QS-regulated and form a critical component of pathogenesis [55,56]. In our previous meta-analysis of published *P. aeruginosa* transcriptomes, we identified differentially regulated sets of system-specific and condition-specific genes, including QS-regulated genes [57]. Using this as our knowledge base, the ampR microarray profile was compared to differentially regulated QS-specific genes. The microarray data shows that AmpR influences expression of many QS-regulated genes (Table S5).

To further verify AmpR-mediated regulation of QS virulence factors, we quantified the production of pyocyanin, LasA protease, and LasB elastase. Pyocyanin is a redox active exotoxin pigment that contributes to lung pathophysiology of chronic *P. aeruginosa* infections [58] and interferes with multiple host cellular functions [59]. Genes in the locus of the *phz* operon that is involved in QS-regulated phenazine synthesis, including *phzA1* (PA4210, 4-fold down), *phzB1* (PA4211, 21-fold down), *phzS* (PA4217, 28-fold down), *phzM* (PA4208, 4-fold down), and the MexGHI-OpmD efflux pump (PA4205–PA4208, 11–30-fold down) that plays a role in pumping out the pigments [60], show decreased expression in PAOΔampR. In agreement with this data, there was a statistically significant (p-value<0.0001) reduction in pyocyanin production by the ampR mutant, compared to PAO1 and this effect was independent of β-lactam stress on the cells (Table 3). The data indicates that AmpR influences pyocyanin production which is in agreement with the *C. elegans* killing data (Fig. 2), since phenazines are major players in *C. elegans* mortality in the fast-killing assay [30].

Elastases (pseudolysins) are highly toxic zinc metalloproteases that play a critical role in immunomodulation [61,62], host tissue damage aiding invasion [63] and biofilm formation [64]. The LasB elastase production was also severely affected due to the loss of *ampR* (p-value≤0.02) in an inducer-independent manner (Table 3). Along with LasB, a zinc metalloendopeptidase, LasA plays a major role in *P. aeruginosa*-induced keratitis [65]. Reduction in LasA protease production, however, was significantly lower (p-value<0.05) in PAOΔampR only when the strains were exposed to sub-MIC β-lactam stress (Table 3), and this is in agreement with data from microarray (β-lactam downregulated) and qPCR (RQ: uninduced- NS; induced 0.2±0.007, p-value<0.0001) analysis.

Microarray data shows that loss of *ampR* also affects other QS-regulated virulence genes, such as the *hen* operon PA2193–PA2195 (5 to 7-fold downregulated) that is involved in the production of hydrogen cyanide. Cyanide toxicity is the primary mode of fast killing of *C. elegans* by *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 [66]. The downregulation of the *hen* operon concurs with reduced killing by PAOΔampR. The expression of the galactophilic lectin *lecA* (PA2570) is also

**Table 2.** *ampR* deletion affects susceptibility to MexEF-OprN substrates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>MIC (µg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAO1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ofloxacin</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloramphenicol</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimethoprim</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The minimum inhibitory concentrations (in µg/ml) of MexEF-OprN substrate antibiotics to PAO1 and PAOΔampR were determined by broth microdilution method (see text for details). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0034067.t002
downregulated in PAOΔampR (RQ: uninduced: -0.22±0.0005, p-value 0.0001; induced: 0.16±0.03, p-value 0.0005) indicating positive AmpR regulation. LecA facilitates bacterial entry into host cells by aiding in adhesion to endothelia and epithelia [67] and is involved in biofilm formation [68]. It has previously been shown that lecA expression is regulated by the sigma factor RpoS [69] and by the QS regulator RhlR [69]. Thus, the effect of AmpR on lecA expression could be mediated indirectly via RpoS and/or RhlR. As predicted, RpoS was downregulated (RQ: uninduced: 0.55±0.08, p-value 0.01; induced: 0.51±0.02, p-value 0.0003), indicating AmpR positive regulation in a β-lactam-independent manner. Also, since we see downregulation of multiple QS phenotypes, RhlR is also potentially involved in AmpR-mediated lecA regulation.

QS activates the operon PA2237–PA2331 [70] coding for a probable ABC transporter. Wolfgang et al. found this operon to be repressed when P. aeruginosa was grown in CF respiratory liquid containing media [71]. Genes of the operon are significantly upregulated (6- to 18-fold) in PAOΔampR indicating that AmpR negatively regulates this operon and further connects AmpR to QS regulation, adding another regulatory player in this complex regulatory network.

**Biofilms.** Successful biofilm formation is dependent on nutrient availability, motility and QS [72]. Comparison of gene expression profiles from this study with the biofilm-specific gene list generated from our previous analysis of P. aeruginosa transcriptomes [57] revealed the differential regulation of many biofilm genes in PAOΔampR (Table S6). This suggests a role for AmpR in biofilm regulation, either directly or indirectly. Testing the tube biofilm-forming ability of the strains revealed that PAOΔampR formed better biofilms, compared to PAO1 (Fig. 8). The difference was significant at all time points tested (p-value=0.03) over a period of 72 hours indicating that AmpR is a negative regulator of biofilm formation.

Microarray data also revealed upregulation of PA4651 (encoding a probable pil assembly protein; 11.6-fold), and PA4306 (coding for Flp, Type IVa pilin, 36-fold) in PAOΔampR, in the absence of antibiotics. Since type IV pil-mediated twitching and flagella-mediated swimming motilities are known proponents for biofilm formation at different stages [73], we tested for these phenotypes with PAO1 and PAOΔampR. In the absence of β-lactam antibiotic stress, the PAOΔampR strain demonstrated enhanced twitching ability (PAOΔampR: 15.1 mm±1.1 mm; PAO1: 2 mm±0.1 mm; p value<0.0001) potentially explaining its ability to form better biofilms. Moreover, unlike in PAO1, under sub-MIC β-lactam stress, PAOΔampR showed a marginal but statistically significant increase in twitching zones (uninduced: 15.1 mm±1.1 mm; induced: 16.5 mm±0.8 mm; p-value 0.04). This observation is in agreement with the enrichment of the gene set for the ‘motility and attachment’ functional category under β-lactam stress in PAOΔampR (see section E above). There was, however, no difference in the swimming motility of the strains (data not shown), although fdr of the FleSR two-component system that is involved in the flagella biosynthesis regulatory pathway [74] was differentially regulated in the ampR mutant (RQ: uninduced: -0.45±0.1, p-value 0.0007; induced: -0.32±0.01, p-value 0.0006).

The Pel polysaccharide is a glucose-rich exopolymer, encoded by the pel operon (PA3058–PA3064) that along with the mannosereich Psl polysaccharide plays a major role in pellicle formation [75,76]. PAOΔampR forms darker red colonies on Tryptone-Congo red agar plates compared to PAO1 (data not shown) signifying higher Pel production [75]. This is consistent with the observation that the ampR mutant produces better biofilms. Collectively, these data suggest that AmpR negatively influences biofilm formation in P. aeruginosa either directly or indirectly.

Recently, a novel efflux pump that confers antibiotic resistance in P. aeruginosa biofilms has been identified [77]. Deletion of the operon (PA1874–1877) encoding this pump in PAO1 enhances sensitivity to gentamycin, tobramycin and ciprofloxacin, especially in biofilm cells. Genes in this operon are downregulated four-fold in the ampR mutant strain. This observation, though not verified separately, suggests that AmpR positively regulates this operon, thus possibly contributing to non-β-lactam antibiotic resistance in biofilms.

**Secretory systems.** The type III secretion system (T3SS) is essential for P. aeruginosa not only for contact-dependent toxin delivery to host cells but also for phagocyte evasion [78,79]. Using a burn mouse model, it was shown that loss of T3SS results in reduced virulence [80]. The genes encoding the regulatory and structural components of the T3SS in P. aeruginosa are concentrated in one locus (PA1690–PA1725) whereas the effectors and their chaperones are scattered in the genome [31]. Deletion of ampR from PAO1 led to the downregulation of a few T3SS genes in the regulatory-structural gene cluster (Fig. 9) indicating positive AmpR-regulation. These include the genes encoding the regulators PcrH (involved in regulating ExoS synthesis) and ExsE (a secreted regulator of the ExsCED regulatory cascade), and the translocator proteins PopB and PopD. qPCR confirmation of the microarray findings for the T3SS genes was done using RNA isolated from Ca2+ depleted inducing media (MinS-NTA), since Ca2+ is a known inhibitor of

---

**Table 3. Effect of deletion of ampR on QS-regulated virulence phenotypes.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Pyocyanin production</th>
<th>LasA activity</th>
<th>LasB activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uninduced</td>
<td>Induced</td>
<td>Uninduced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAO1</td>
<td>22.31±0.18</td>
<td>24.30±1.10</td>
<td>0.37±0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAOΔampR</td>
<td>2.29±0.11†</td>
<td>5.74±0.56†</td>
<td>0.26±0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Pyocyanin concentrations were expressed as micrograms of pyocyanin produced per microgram of protein.

†LasA activity was expressed as change in OD600 per hour per microgram of protein.

p-value<0.0001 when comparing PAO1 and PAOΔampR.

p-value<0.0001 when comparing PAO1 and PAOΔampR.

p-value of 0.02 when comparing PAO1 and PAOΔampR.

p-value of 0.01 when comparing PAO1 and PAOΔampR.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0034067.t003
Since \textit{pcrH}, \textit{popB} and \textit{popD} are the last three genes of a 12-gene operon, we tested expression of only \textit{pcrH} by qPCR, which showed a downregulation in PAO\textDelta{}ampR compared to PAO1 (RQ: uninduced- 0.71±0.06, \textit{p}-value 0.02; induced- 0.88±0.03, \textit{p}-value 0.003). Similarly, \textit{exsE}, the second gene of the \textit{exsCEB} operon was also downregulated in PAO\textDelta{}ampR (RQ: uninduced- 0.57±0.01, \textit{p}-value 0.001; induced- 0.69±0.18, \textit{p}-value NS) indicating positive regulation of these genes by AmpR.

Transcriptional regulation of T3SS in \textit{P. aeruginosa} is a complex process and involves multiple tiers of regulation [81]. One of the mechanisms of control involves a small RNA-binding protein RsmA and non-coding small RNAs (sRNA), \textit{rsmY} and \textit{rsmZ}. Sequestration of RsmA by the sRNAs inhibits its activity. RsmA has an extensive virulence regulon that is tied in with the GacSA two-component system regulatory cascade [82,83]. In PAO\textDelta{}ampR, \textit{rsmZ} is downregulated (RQ: uninduced- 0.21±0.03, \textit{p}-value 0.01; induced- 0.78±0.09, \textit{p}-value NS) and corresponds with

---

**Figure 8. AmpR affects biofilm formation.** AmpR regulates many genes involved in biofilm formation (Table S6) that is reflected in the tube biofilm assay monitored for 72 hours. The strains tested were wild type PAO1, PAO\textDelta{}ampR, PAO\textDelta{}retS (positive control), and PAO\textDelta{}pel\Delta{}psl\Delta{}algD (negative control). The inset, taken at 72 hours, demonstrates the superior biofilm formation capacity of PAO\textDelta{}ampR compared to PAO1. \textit{p}-values comparing PAO\textDelta{}ampR with PAO1: 24 hrs- 0.002; 48 hrs- 0.03; 72 hrs- 0.007. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0034067.g008

**Figure 9. Regulation of secretion genes by AmpR.** Fold changes (FC) as determined by microarray experiments in the absence of \beta-lactam stress of the Type VI secretion (▲, HSI-I) and Type III secretion (■) genes in the \textit{ampR} mutant strain, normalized to expression in the wild-type strain PAO1. Gene annotations are from the \textit{Pseudomonas} Genome database [31]. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0034067.g009
an upregulation of RsmA (in microarray, uninduced - 2.3 fold; corrected p-value 0.009; induced- NS). Some of the T3SS genes are, however, downregulated in the ampR mutant (Fig. 9). RsmA is a positive regulator of T3SS. This suggests that the effect of AmpR on T3SS is probably not via RsmA, or involves an additional step of post-transcriptional regulation. PrtR represses T3SS gene expression [84] and since AmpR regulates PrtR expression (see Section H below), AmpR regulation of T3SS is potentially via PrtR.

**P. aeruginosa** T6SS is involved in chronic CF infections [85]. RsmA also negatively regulates genes of the Type 6 secretion system (T6SS), particularly of the HSI-I system [83]. Thus, downregulation of genes of the T6SS in PAOΔampR (Fig. 9) is possibly through an indirect effect of AmpR on RsmA.

### I. AmpR regulates genes found in regions of genome plasticity (RGP)

Comparative analysis of five *P. aeruginosa* chromosomes identified RGP sets that are strain-specific [43]. These are genome segments that can be acquired by horizontal gene transfer, bacteriophages or transposons [43]. We wanted to determine whether an endogenous transcriptional regulator, such as AmpR, could regulate expression of genes acquired by the strain, such as RGP genes. Microarray analysis revealed that in the PAOΔampR mutant, 31 RGP genes are regulated in an AmpR-dependent manner and an additional eight RGP genes under sub-MIC β-lactam stress (Table S7). Most of the RGP03 (PA0612–PA0629) and RGP04 (PA0641–PA0648) ORFs belong to functional category V (related to phage, transposon and plasmid) and code for the two classes of high molecular weight pyocins, types R and F. Pyocins R and F are related to bacteriophage tails and kill susceptible cells thus conferring a survival advantage on the producing strain [86]. Thirty-two percent of the RGP genes regulated in an AmpR-dependent manner are clustered and localized to RGP03. The expression of 10 RGP03 genes and two RGP04 genes (59 and 20% of genes in RGP03 and RGP04, respectively) are significantly upregulated (6-8-fold) in PAOΔampR as seen in microarray data (Table S7). This suggests that AmpR is involved in negatively regulating these genes, either directly or indirectly.

PrtN (PA0610), and the product of the first gene in RGP03, ptrB (PA0612), is a positive regulator of pyocin production, both of which are repressed by PrtR (PA0611) [87]. Upon DNA damage, RecA (PA3617) degrades PrtR, thus inducing pyocin production [87]. In PAOΔampR subjected to sub-MIC β-lactam stress compared to PAO1, ptrN (12-fold), recA (3-fold) and ptrB (20-fold) are upregulated, while the negative regulator PrtR is downregulated (qPCR relative quantity: uninduced- 0.88±0.4, p-value 0.004; induced- 0.76±0.109 p-value 0.0002) implying that AmpR is a negative regulator of pyocin production.

### J. AmpR regulates other transcriptional regulators

The AmpR-regulation of hundreds of genes (Fig. 4A and 4B) could be by direct binding to the promoters or in a subset of genes, indirectly through intermediate transcriptional regulators, sigma factors or regulatory RNAs. In a preliminary attempt to further elucidate the AmpR regulon, we looked at the transcriptional regulators and sigma factors that are AmpR-regulated. Of the 430 transcriptional regulators in *P. aeruginosa* PA01 [31], 19 met the selection criteria in our microarray analysis (see materials and methods) and are over 2-fold significantly differentially regulated in the ampR mutant (Table 4). This suggests that AmpR regulates a proportion of the genes through intermediate transcriptional regulators.

Three of the 24-known/putative sigma factors [88] are also over 2-fold differentially regulated, including RpoS and AlgT/U (Table 4). The stationary phase/stress sigma factor, RpoS controls virulence in different bacteria including *P. aeruginosa* [98,99,100]. Since rpoS expression is upregulated in the stationary phase [90,90] and the RNA used for microarray analysis was harvested two hours post-β-lactam induction (at OD ~3.0), some of the phenotypic changes seen in PAOΔampR is likely to be RpoS-mediated. To investigate this possibility, RNA was harvested 40 minutes post-induction (OD600 of ~1.0) and the expression of known RpoS-dependent and RpoS-independent genes was compared between PAO1 and PAOΔampR. As expected, RpoS expression was significantly higher at 2 hours than at 40 minutes in PAOΔampR compared to PAO1 (p-value: uninduced 0.0049, induced 0.0023; Fig. 10), and this increase in RpoS expression corresponded with a growth phase-dependent increase in the expression of two of the RpoS-dependent genes, lecA (p-value: uninduced 0.0061; induced 0.0043) and lecB (p-value: uninduced NS; induced 0.0002) (Fig. 10). However, expression of the MexEF-OprN activator, MexI, which is regulated in an RpoS-independent manner, did not change at the different time points tested (Fig. 10). This suggests that AmpR, via RpoS, regulates genes of the RpoS regulon in *P. aeruginosa* in a growth phase- and stress-dependent manner, which is in agreement with previous studies [90,91]. Moreover, the MexT data suggests that harvesting the cells either after 40 minutes or 2 hours of β-lactam treatment does not affect AmpR-mediated gene expression for non-RpoS-dependent genes (Fig. 10).

The sigma factor AlgT/U is a master regulator of alginate biosynthesis [92,93] and we have shown previously with an ampR insertion mutant that there is crosstalk between AmpR and AlgT/U [40]. Our microarray data shows upregulation of AlgT/U in PAOΔampR (5.4-fold, corrected p-value 3.35E-03), indicating negative AmpR regulation (Table 4) in agreement with previous findings [40].

### K. Subtractive transcriptomics of the AmpR regulon

Meta analysis of 18 condition-specific *P. aeruginosa* transcriptomes led to the identification of an expression core gene set of 303 genes that are significantly differentially regulated under all the different conditions analyzed and were proposed to be involved in maintaining cell homeostasis [57]. Comparing the 520 genes whose regulation is AmpR-dependent to the core led to the identification of 57 genes (of the 520) that were part of the expression core genes (Fig. 11). Further, we wanted to identify genes that are specifically under AmpR-regulation and those that are not involved in other pathways. To derive this list, we compared the 463 AmpR-dependent genes (520 minus 57 core genes) with the 1726 genes that are regulated in other conditions/ by other regulators (1598 condition-specific genes [57], and 128 genes that are either RpoS [94] or AlgT/U-regulated [95]). This comparison reduced the number of AmpR-dependent genes to 133 (from 313) and the AmpR-RpoS-lactam-dependent genes to 86 (from 207) (Fig. 11; Table S8).

The exclusively AmpR-dependent genes include the O antigen chain regulator wzz, the pce gene involved in carbon compound catabolism and creB, which codes for the response regulator of the CreBCD TCS (Table S3). The CreBC TCS has been demonstrated to be involved in β-lactam resistance in *Aeromonas* spp. [96]. CreBCD system positively regulates expression of an inner membrane protein CreD in *E. coli* [97] and in *P. aeruginosa* the CreBCD system contributes to β-lactam resistance only in a ΔPBP4 background [98]. Microarray analysis of PAOΔampR in the presence β-lactam stress shows reduced creD expression (~2.1, corrected p-value 6.4E-
Expression of *creD*, however, is significantly increased in PAOΔampR compared to PAO1 under β-lactam stress as determined by qPCR (RQ: induced 11.04 ± 0.0001, *p*-value < 0.0001). This is in agreement with a previous report that also showed *creD* overexpression in cefoxitin-treated PAOΔampR cells [98]. AmpR thus positively regulates *creB* while negatively regulating *creD* expression suggesting potential AmpR regulation of *creD* in a CreB-independent manner.

**L. Phenotypic microarray analysis of PAOΔampR**

Since loss of *ampR* led to dysregulation of over 500 genes as seen in DNA microarrays, we decided to characterize its metabolic effect using phenotypic microarrays. Biolog analysis was performed with PAO1 and PAOΔampR in the absence of antibiotic stress. In all, seven phenotypes were gained and 47 phenotypes were lost (Table S9). PAOΔampR grew marginally better in media containing nutritional supplements including citrulline, histidine, shikimic acid, leucine, serine, spermidine and pyridoxal. This indicates that AmpR is a negative regulator of utilization of these agents. Of the 47 observed phenotypes that were lost in PAOΔampR, 45 belong to the sensitivity panel. Fourteen of the 45 observed phenotypes were associated with antibiotics, further supporting the role of AmpR as a major regulator of antibiotic resistance in *P. aeruginosa*.

**Discussion**

*P. aeruginosa* AmpR, a LysR-type transcriptional regulator and a positive regulator of the chromosomal *ampC* β-lactamase expression, has been shown previously to play a role in regulating a few QS-dependent phenotypes and the alginate master regulator, AlgT/U [21,40]. In this study, we determined the whole genome expression profiles of a clean in-frame deletion mutant of *ampR* in *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 under normal conditions and under sub-MIC β-lactam stress, using DNA microarrays. The results demonstrate that AmpR influences the expression of 313 genes in the absence of β-lactam stress and an additional 207 genes when exposed to sub-MIC β-lactam stress. The AmpR regulon is thus much more

---

**Table 4. Transcriptional regulators and sigma factors regulated by AmpR.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locus Tag</th>
<th>Gene Name</th>
<th>Product Name</th>
<th>Corrected <em>p</em>-value</th>
<th>Fold change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PA0463</td>
<td>creB</td>
<td>two-component response regulator CreB</td>
<td>8.39E-03</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA0479</td>
<td>prob. trans. regulator</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.90E-03</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA0610</td>
<td>prtN</td>
<td>transcriptional regulator PrtN</td>
<td>3.81E-03</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA0611</td>
<td>prtR</td>
<td>transcriptional regulator PrtR</td>
<td>6.10E-03</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA0612</td>
<td>prbB</td>
<td>repressor, PrbB</td>
<td>3.44E-03</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA1196</td>
<td>prob. trans. regulator</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.89E-03</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA1663</td>
<td>prob. trans. regulator</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.13E-03</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA1707</td>
<td>pcrH</td>
<td>regulatory protein PcrH</td>
<td>3.98E-03</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA2281</td>
<td>prob. trans. regulator</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.89E-03</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA2588</td>
<td>prob. trans. regulator</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.77E-03</td>
<td>27.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA3508</td>
<td>prob. trans. regulator</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.60E-03</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA3604</td>
<td>prob. two-component response regulator</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.96E-03</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA4296</td>
<td>pprB</td>
<td>two-component response regulator PprB</td>
<td>6.01E-03</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA4781</td>
<td>prob. two-component response regulator</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.10E-03</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA4853</td>
<td>fis</td>
<td>DNA-binding protein Fis</td>
<td>6.65E-03</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA5059</td>
<td>prob. trans. regulator</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.33E-03</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA5105</td>
<td>hutC</td>
<td>histidine utilization repressor HutC</td>
<td>8.27E-03</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA5380</td>
<td>gdbR</td>
<td>GdbR</td>
<td>5.75E-03</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA5483</td>
<td>algB</td>
<td>two-component response regulator AlgB</td>
<td>7.83E-03</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locus Tag</th>
<th>Gene Name</th>
<th>Product Name</th>
<th>Corrected <em>p</em>-value</th>
<th>Fold change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PA0762</td>
<td>algT/U</td>
<td>sigma factor AlgU</td>
<td>3.35E-03</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA1300</td>
<td>prob. sigma-70 factor, ECF subfamily</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.59E-03</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA3622</td>
<td>rpoS</td>
<td>sigma factor RpoS</td>
<td>6.65E-03</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*AmpR influences the expression of other transcriptional regulators and sigma factors in *P. aeruginosa* PAO1, as seen in the microarray analyses. A negative sign in the fold change column indicates downregulation. ORF annotations are from the *Pseudomonas* Genome database [31].

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0034067.t004
extensive than previously thought including virulence, antibiotic-resistant and metabolic genes.

Multi-drug resistant *P. aeruginosa* isolates are a frequent occurrence in many acute and chronic infections [99]. β-lactamases and efflux pumps are major mediators of antibiotic resistance in *P. aeruginosa* [26]. We show that in addition to positively regulating the *ampC* and potentially the MexAB-OprM efflux pump by modulating expression of the MexR repressor, AmpR also mediates non-β-lactam resistance by negatively regulating the MexEF-OprN efflux pump. The PAO1 strain used for constructing PAO1ΔampR was the strain used in the genome-sequencing project [42], which has an 8 bp insertion in the MexEF-OprN activator *mexT* leading to premature termination [100] and consequent non-inducibility of the MexEF efflux pump. Strains with a *nfxC* mutation, however, have different ways to overcome this, including secondary mutations and deletion of the 8 bp insertion [45,100], leading to activation of the MexEF-OprN efflux. This was also observed in *nfxC* mutants isolated in a mouse model [101]. However, there was no differential expression of the MexT activator in both these studies [100,101]. With PAO1ΔampR, even though *mexT* expression is upregulated in a β-lactam-independent manner, this will still not be able to overcome

Figure 10. Gene expression in PAO1ΔampR at 40 minutes and 2 hrs post-β-lactam exposure. RNA was isolated from PAO1 and PAO1ΔampR cells exposed to β-lactams for either 40 minutes or 2 hours and reverse transcribed to cDNA. The expression of the sigma factor *rpoS*, *lecA* and *lecB* (galactophilic lectin genes known to be *rpoS*-regulated), and *mexT* (MexEF-OprN efflux pump regulator that is not *rpoS*-regulated) were tested by qPCR with gene-specific primers, as described in the text. Values have been normalized to expression in PAO1 under the respective conditions. ** p-value<0.006; *** p-value 0.0002 as determined by unpaired t test.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0034067.g010

Figure 11. Comparison of the AmpR transcriptome with other transcriptomes. AmpR-dependent and AmpR-β-lactam-dependent genes were compared with the 303 genes of the expression core and 1726 condition-specific genes identified previously as part of a meta-analysis of 18 *P. aeruginosa* transcriptomes [57].

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0034067.g011
AmpR positively regulates resistance to β-lactam antibiotics by upregulating expression of the amp genes, nagZ and downregulating creD. In addition, AmpR affects fluoroquinolone resistance by negatively regulating expression of mexT, the positive regulator of the MexEF-OprN efflux pump. Expression of the virulence and stress response sigma factor, RpoS and QS-regulated acute virulence factors is downregulated in PAO1 overexpressing strains showed no altered imipenem susceptibility [104], the associated mechanism remains to be elucidated. Previous studies have also demonstrated an inverse correlation between β-lactam resistance and biofilm formation, both in vitro and in CF isolates [105,106,107]. Our data supports these findings as far as β-lactam antibiotics are concerned, since AmpR positively regulates production of AmpC β-lactamase while negatively regulating biofilm formation. However, negative regulation of the MexEF-OprN efflux (providing resistance to fluoroquinolones, chloramphenicol and trimethoprim) by AmpR (Table 2, Fig. 12) suggests that the antagonistic regulation of antibiotic resistance and biofilm formation is dependent on the class of antibiotics. The physiological advantage to the bacteria in this context is unclear. Co-regulation of β-lactam and fluoroquinolone resistance by AmpR is significant in itself, since this puts AmpR among one of the few proteins that regulates resistance to multiple classes of antibiotic [108]. In addition, since fluoroquinolones are part of the current systemic antibiotic treatment regimen for P. aeruginosa infections [99], this finding could potentially have important therapeutic implications.

QS is at the heart of the virulence regulatory network in P. aeruginosa with multiple regulators feeding into the regulation process [109]. We have shown previously that AmpR is also part of the QS regulatory process and regulates production of proteases and pyocyanin [21,40], but the determined extent of the regulation was limited due to the experimental approaches adopted. Using whole genome transcriptome, we show here that the AmpR-influenced QS regulon is much more extensive than previously thought (Table S5). QS regulated phenotypes, such as pyocyanin and protease production are positively regulated by AmpR in the current study (Fig. 12), which is in contrast to that seen in our previous analyses [21]. We believe that this difference stems from the fact that in our previous studies, we used an insertion mutant (aacC1 cassette inserted into the PsA site of ampR) whereas in this study, we have used a clean in-frame deletion mutant of ampR. One potential reason for the discrepancy may be that the gentamycin cassette insertion at the PsA site (554 bases into the ampR coding region) [21] did not disrupt the N-terminus HTH motif of AmpR. Since LysR members are known to bind DNA even in the absence of inducer signals [110], this intact HTH motif might have somehow interfered with the regulatory process. The P. aeruginosa PAO1 insertion ampR mutant in the previous study produced more pyocyanin compared to the wild-type PAO1 [21]. In contrast, in this study, we find that PAO1ΔampR produces significantly lower amounts of phenazines as compared to PAO1 (Table 3). The differences in phenazine production were also translated into differential susceptibilities in the C. elegans paralytic assays (Fig. 2; [40]) since phenazines are one of the major contributors to C. elegans toxicity in this assay [111] explaining the reduced killing of C. elegans with this strain (Fig. 2). Furthermore, with PAO1ΔampR, the microarray, qPCR and phenotypic data concur, and support our current findings.

**Figure 12. AmpR is a master regulator of gene expression in P. aeruginosa PAO1.** AmpR positively regulates resistance to β-lactam antibiotics by upregulating expression of the amp genes, nagZ and downregulating creD. In addition, AmpR affects fluoroquinolone resistance by negatively regulating expression of mexT, the positive regulator of the MexEF-OprN efflux pump. Expression of the virulence and stress response sigma factor, RpoS and QS-regulated acute virulence factors is downregulated in PAO1ΔampR, indicating positive AmpR regulation. AmpR also negatively regulates biofilm formation via an unknown mechanism. AmpR modulates levels of the small RNA rsmZ, whose levels are lower in PAO1ΔampR with a corresponding enhanced expression of RsmA. Downregulation of some of the T3SS genes in the ampR mutant is possibly by regulating ptrB expression, via PrtR. Further, two major regulators of the alginate biosynthetic pathway, AlgT/U and AlgB are negatively regulated by AmpR, thereby potentially also regulating alginate production. Whether these AmpR interactions are direct or indirect needs to be investigated. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0034067.g012
The MexGHI-OpmD (PA4205–PA4208) efflux pump is involved in the efflux of acriflavin, ethidium bromide, novobiocin, rhodamine, and vanadium, and in maintaining QS homeostasis [60]. Mutants of mexI and oprmD have been demonstrated to be impaired in QS-related phenotypes including swarming motility, production of elastase, rhamnolipids, pyocyanin and pyoverdine [60]. This pump is downregulated in PAOΔampR indicating positive AmpR regulation and correlates with some of the observed phenotypes, such as decreased production of elastase and pyocyanin. Furthermore, SoxR is known to regulate this pump [112], but is not differentially regulated in the microarray data.

AlgR regulates the hcnABC operon genes (PA2193–PA2195) involved in hydrogen cyanide synthesis in P. aeruginosa [113]. In the ampR mutant, these genes are downregulated five- to seven-fold without a corresponding significant differential regulation of algR (<two-fold). AmpR, however, negatively regulates AlgT/U (5.4-fold upregulated in PAOΔampR in the absence of antibiotics) in agreement with previous findings [40], and AlgT/U regulates algR [114,115]. Thus the regulation of the hcnABC operon in PAOΔampR is potentially through AlgT/U-mediated regulation of AlgR (Fig. 12). Moreover, RpoS, in conjunction with the Gac-Sm regulatory system, has been shown to regulate oxidative-stress-mediated resistance in P. fluorescens [116]. In our study, both RpoS and the sRNA, rsmZ, are regulated in an AmpR-β-lactam-dependent and AmpR-dependent manner, respectively. This could also be a potential mode of regulation of the hcn operon in PAOΔampR, provided the regulatory mechanism is conserved in P. aeruginosa. AmpR also negatively influences expression of the galactolipid lectin LecA (PA2570) (Fig. 10) that is RpoS and QS-regulated. LecA has been shown to be critical to the gut pathogenicity of P. aeruginosa and to enhance cytotoxic effects of exotoxins by inducing a permeability defect [117]. These results further support the hypothesis that AmpR regulates virulence in P. aeruginosa.

P. aeruginosa produces bacteriocins called pyocins that kill susceptible cells through either pore-formation and subsequent leakage of cytoplasmic contents, or by endonuclease activity [86]. The two high molecular weight pyocins, types R and F, are proposed to be remnants of lysogenic phages and resemble phage tails. Like lysogenic phages, they are induced in response to DNA damage, linked to the RecA-mediated SOS response [118] and to DNA damage, linked to the RecA-mediated SOS response [118] and to endonuclease activity [120]. AmpR influences the expression of many of these genes including the regulators PmrR, PmrN, PmrB, and the SOS response mediator RecA (Table 4; section I in results). Specific and significant differential regulation of these genes under β-lactam stress in PAOΔampR implies a role for AmpR in influencing expression of these genes under stress conditions. Moreover, pyocin production confers a survival advantage by killing neighboring susceptible cells and 97% of the P. aeruginosa CF isolates tested showed bacteriocin-like killing activity [121]. The pyocin genes are located in RGP03 and RGP04 and are acquired by P. aeruginosa [43]. Though some RGP loci contain regulators that control expression of RGP genes [122], it is interesting to note that an endogenous regulator such as AmpR is able to regulate acquired genes and highlights the transcriptional versatility of P. aeruginosa.

Negative regulation of the genes involved in biofilm formation and pyocin biosynthesis by AmpR (Fig. 12) fits with the profile of AmpR as a negative regulator of chronic infection phenotypes and positive regulator of acute infection, as seen with the QS-regulated phenotypes. RsmA, a small RNA-binding protein, is a global regulator of virulence in P. aeruginosa and is intricately tied in with the GacSA TCS [92,93]. Two small RNAs, rsmC and rsmJ, which bind and sequester RsmA, keep RsmA activity in check in Pseudomonas and other bacteria [93,123,124,125,126]. In P. aeruginosa, RsmA positively regulates T3SS and negatively regulates biofilm formation [127,128]. AmpR positively regulates rsmC (downregulated in PAOΔampR, resulting in downregulation of RsmA uponregulation in PAOΔampR). PAOΔampR forms enhanced biofilms (Fig. 8) and shows decreased production of QS regulated phenotypes compared to PAO1 (Table 3). These data suggest that regulation of some of the phenotypes seen in an ampR mutant is not via modulating the activity of rsmC and consequently RsmA. However, analysis of the rsmC promoter for potential AmpR binding sites using a previously identified putative AmpR binding site [51] revealed a weak AmpR consensus (5′-CGCCGGCCTTTTGT 3′). The possibility of direct AmpR regulation of rsmC remains to be elucidated.

In conclusion, unlike AmpR found in other Enterobacteriaceae members, the targets of P. aeruginosa AmpR are widely distributed in the genome and consist of over 500 genes. Since the genes regulated include transcriptional regulators, sigma factors and non-coding RNAs, a subset of these genes are possibly regulated indirectly by AmpR via intermediate regulators. It is also interesting to note that AmpR regulates genes that have been acquired by horizontal gene transfer, which reflects on the transcriptional versatility of P. aeruginosa. Although the exact AmpR regulatory mechanisms are as yet unclear, this study highlights the complexity and multi-tiered regulatory processes by which P. aeruginosa controls expression of genes of diverse functions. Teasing apart the AmpR regulatory network will involve differentiating direct and indirect AmpR-regulated genes that will advance our understanding of how this bacterium regulates multiple different pathogenesis and metabolic mechanisms. This understanding will potentially lead to identifying mechanistic targets that can help in dealing with intractable P. aeruginosa infections, and other bacterial pathogens that harbor similar systems.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains, nematodes, media and primers

All experiments were performed with either the wild type or derivatives of P. aeruginosa PA01 [42] and Escherichia coli DH5α cultured in Luria Bertani (LB) broth (Difco, USA) incubated at 37°C unless specified otherwise. The strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 5. Bacterial strains were grown in heart infusion agar (Difco, USA). Biofilm assays were performed with Caenorhabditis elegans strain N2 (Bristol) and E. coli OP50 obtained from the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center, which is funded by the NIH National Center for Research Resources (NCRR). E. coli OP50 was grown in nematode growth medium (1.7% agar, 0.35% peptone, 0.34% K2HPO4, 0.3% NaCl, 0.012% MgSO4, 0.011% CaCl2, 0.0005% cholesterol) whereas the P. aeruginosa strains used in the nematode assays were grown on brain-heart infusion agar (Difco, USA). Biofilm assays were performed in T-broth (tryptone 10.0 g/L, NaCl 3.0 g/L). T-agar plates were prepared by addition of 1% agar to T-broth. MinS-NTA minimal media [129] was used for RNA isolation to determine expression of T3SS genes. Cation-adjusted Mueller Hinton broth and agar (Difco, USA) was used in MIC assays. For pyocyanin production, strains were cultivated in King A medium (Difco, USA). Antibiotics were supplemented, when needed, at the following concentrations for E. coli: ampicillin (Ap) 100 μg/mL, gentamycin (Gm) 15 μg/mL, tetracycline (Tc) 15 μg/mL; for P. aeruginosa: Gm 75 μg/mL, Tc 60 μg/mL. Primers used in this study are listed in Table S10.
Table 5. Strains and plasmids used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain/plasmid</th>
<th>Relevant characteristics</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH5α</td>
<td>General purpose cloning strain; ΔlacZΔM15</td>
<td>New England Biolabs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP50</td>
<td>Food source for culturing C. elegans</td>
<td>Caenorhabditis Genetics Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBS7</td>
<td>DH5α harboring pEXG2 carrying the 1520 bp ΔampR fragment (pDBS7)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBS220</td>
<td>DH5α harboring the 944 bp promoterless ampR ORF cloned into pCR2.1 TOPO (pDBS220)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBS271</td>
<td>DH5α containing the 944 bp ampR ORF subcloned as an EcoRI-SacI fragment from pDBS220 into pMMB67EHGm</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAO1</td>
<td>Wild-type</td>
<td>[42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKM314</td>
<td>PAO1 with pMMB67EHGm (empty vector)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKM315</td>
<td>PAOΔampR; In-frame deletion of ampR (PA4109)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKM316</td>
<td>PAOΔampR with pMMB67EHGm (empty vector)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKM317</td>
<td>PAOΔampR (pAmpr); ampR ORF on pMMB67EHGm (pDBS271) moved into PAOΔampR, IPTG-inducible; GmR; ampR complementing clone</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PADOretS:uncC7</td>
<td>Gm insertion mutant of retS; hyper biofilm-former</td>
<td>S. Lory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAOΔpelA/pslD</td>
<td>In-frame triple deletion of the pelD ORF and pel and psl operons; biofilm non-former</td>
<td>S. Lory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBS116</td>
<td>Wild type S. aureus used for LasA assays</td>
<td>Lab collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plasmids</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCR2.1 TOPO</td>
<td>TA cloning vector for PCR products; ApR, KmR, ColE1 f1 ori lacZ’s</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRK600</td>
<td>Helper plasmid for conjugation</td>
<td>[133]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pEXG2</td>
<td>Allelic exchange vector; GmR, coliE1 ori, oriT, sacB</td>
<td>[131]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMMB67EH-Gm</td>
<td>GmR, IncQ, RSF1010, lacIq P</td>
<td>[134]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pDBS7</td>
<td>pEXG2 with the ΔampR fragment, flanked by EcoRI and BamHI sites</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pDBS220</td>
<td>pCR2.1 TOPO containing the 944 bp ampR ORF, PCR amplified from the PAO1 genome using DBS_ampRFF2 and DBS_ampRR2</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pDBS271</td>
<td>pMMB67EH-Gm containing the ampR ORF, subcloned as an EcoRI-SacI fragment from pDBS220</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Construction of deletion mutants, complementation clones

An unmarked ampR null mutant of P. aeruginosa was generated by gene splicing [130] using primers KMampRUF1 and KMampRUR1 (to generate the upstream product P1, flanked by EcoRI and NheI sites), and primers KMampRDF1 and KMampRDR2 (to generate the downstream product P2, flanked by NheI and BamHI sites). After sequencing to ensure absence of mutations, P1 and P2 were spliced together to obtain a 1520 bp deletion fragment of ampR containing stop codons in all three reading frames at their junction (inserted as part of the NheI sites in the primer). This was then sequenced and subcloned into a P. aeruginosa non-replicative plasmid pEXG2 [131] as an EcoRI-BamHI fragment and moved into PAO1 by allelic exchange [132] using pRK600 [133] as the helper plasmid. Double crossover mutants were selected for the loss of plasmid (gentamicin-sensitive, sucrose counter-selection). The presence of deletion in PAOΔampR was confirmed using standard molecular methods (PCR and restriction analysis of amplicons) and biological assays (antibiotic sensitivity pattern and β-lactamase assays). Complementation of the ampR deletion was achieved by amplifying the ampR ORF along with the ampR-ampC intergenic region using primers DBS_ampRR2 and DBS_ampRFF2 and cloning into pCR2.1 TOPO using TA cloning technique.

After confirming absence of mutations by sequencing, the 944 bp ampR ORF was moved into pMMB67EHGm [134] as an EcoRI-SacI fragment. The plasmid was then moved into PAOΔampR by electroporation [135], selecting for gentamycin-resistant colonies.

C. elegans virulence assay

The P. aeruginosa - C. elegans standard paralysis assay was modified from previous protocols [66]. Overnight bacterial cultures were diluted 1:1000 and plated onto brain heart infusion agar plates. The plates were incubated for 18–24 hours at 37°C for the formation of bacterial lawns. Meanwhile, a synchronized culture of L4 stage larvae hermaphrodite Bristol N2 C. elegans was washed off E. coli OP50-seeded nematode growth medium plates using M9 media. The nematodes were centrifuged at 1300 g for two minutes and washed twice with M9 medium to remove residual E. coli bacteria. Thirty to 35 nematodes were then added to each of the P. aeruginosa bacterial lawns. Both live and paralyzed nematodes were scored at two-hour intervals for eight hours via microscopic observation. Nematodes were considered dead when they did not respond to physical stimuli. Each strain was tested in triplicate.
RNA isolation, generation of cDNA probes, microarray experiments and data analysis

_P. aeruginosa_ strains PAO1 and PAOΔampR, with and without β-lactam antibiotic treatment were used for RNA extraction. The cells were subcultured at 37°C, 300 rpm from overnight cultures grown in LB broth to an OD600 of 0.01. When the cells reached an OD600 of 0.5–0.6, they were split into two flasks. One of the flasks was treated with sub-MIC concentration of penicillin (100 μg/ml penicillin G Potassium salt, Fisher Bioreagents) for two hours. The RNA was stabilized by addition of phenol-ethanol mixture [82] prior to extraction (RNeasy Mini Kit, Qiagen) following manufacturer protocols. Residual DNA was removed using RQ1 RNase-free DNase (Promega) and the RNA repurified using the kit. After quantification by measuring absorbance at 260 nm (Beckman DU640, Beckman Coulter), and preliminary quality check on a denaturing agarose gel (NorthernMax Gly, Ambion), the RNA integrity was analyzed using RNA Nano chips in an Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100. cDNA was then synthesized by annealing NS3 random primers to total purified RNA, spiked with _Bacillus subtilis_ internal control RNAs and subsequent extension carried out using SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). Fragmentation of cDNA was performed using RQ1 DNase (Promega), and the fragments were biotin labeled. Hybridization with GeneChip _P. aeruginosa_ genome array (Affymetrix) and scanning were performed according to manufacturer specifications.

Data analyses from three biological replicates for each of the conditions tested were performed after normalizing and summarizing probe level measurements using Guanine Cytosine - Robust Multiarray Average (GC-RMA). Only genes that fit stringent criteria (expression cutoff: 50–100% stringency; p-value<0.01 of one-way ANOVA data corrected by Benjamini Hochberg FDR; fold-change ≥2.0) were selected for further analysis. All microarray data analysis was performed using GeneSpring GX 10.0 Expression Analysis software (Silicon Genetics). The microarray data is MIAME compliant and has been deposited in NCBI GEO (accession number GSE33188).

Bioinformatics analysis of the AmpR-binding site

The promoters of AmpR-dependent and AmpR-β-lactam-dependent genes (listed in Tables S3 and S4) were used to refine the AmpR binding motif using the Iterative Enhancement of Motifs (IEM) algorithm [51]. The AmpR binding site in the promoter of _ampC_ (5'-TCTGCTCCAATTT-3') was used to search the AmpR-dependent or AmpR-β-lactam-dependent promoters from _P. aeruginosa_ PAO1 and their orthologs from _P. aeruginosa_ strains PA14, PA2192, C3719 and PACS2. The output of IEM was a motif matrix. WebLogo [136] was used to graphically represent the multiple sequence alignment of the output. The Regulatory Sequence Analysis Matrix Scan Tool (RSA) [137] was then used to identify PAO1 promoter sequences containing the identified AmpR-dependent or AmpR-β-lactam-dependent motifs in the respective gene sets. The RSA output was then used to generate a WebLogo for the gene sets.

Quantitative real-time PCR

Specific genes that were significantly, over two-fold up or down regulated between _P. aeruginosa_ PAO1 and PAOΔampR as seen in microarray experiments were verified by qPCR. Total RNA isolation and reverse transcription into cDNA was as described for the microarrays without addition of spike transcripts, fragmentation or labeling. For qPCR, the ABI Step One (Applied Biosystems) cycler was used with PowerSYBR Green PCR MasterMix with ROX (Applied Biosystems). Expression was normalized to _olfX_ (PA1802), whose expression was determined to remain constant between the samples and conditions tested. Assays were performed at least in biological triplicate, each with technical triplicates, for every gene analyzed. Melt curves were determined to ensure primer specificity. The cycling conditions used were 95°C/2 minutes (holding); 40 cycles of 95°C/15 sec, 60°C/1 min (cycling); 95°C/15 sec, 60°C/1 min, 95°C/15 sec (0.6°C ramp) (melt curve). Differential regulation of the T3SS genes, including _rsmZ_ was determined using RNA isolated from cells grown in MinS-NTA inducing media [129] from PAO1 and PAOΔampR, essentially as described above.

Gene expression in PAOΔampR was normalized to the corresponding PAO1 values, for both the β-lactam uninduced and induced conditions and is presented as relative expression in PAOΔampR ± standard error.

Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)

MICs were determined one of two ways. For testing the MIC of β-lactams, E-test was used following manufacturer protocols (BioMerieux). The MICs of the MexEF-OprN efflux pump substrates (ofloxacin, chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, and trimethoprim) were determined by standard broth microdilution method [50]. Briefly, serial two-fold dilutions of the antibiotics were incubated at 37°C for 16–18 hrs with 5 × 10⁵ CFU/ml of bacteria in a total volume of 200 μl in 96-well flat bottom polystyrene plates. The highest dilution of antibiotic that prevented bacterial growth was considered as the MIC. The assays were performed at least in triplicate, each with technical triplicate, for each antibiotic in cation-adjusted Mueller Hinton broth.

Quantifying β-lactamase activity

β-lactamase activity was quantified as described previously [21]. Briefly, cells in LB broth at an OD600 of 0.5–0.6 were treated with 100 μg/ml Penicillin G for two hours at 37°C. The cells were then harvested, OD normalized, and lysed with BugBuster Protein Extraction Reagent (Novagen) and r-Lysozyme (Novagen), and treated with Benzonase nuclease (Novagen). The amount of β-lactamase was quantified in the soluble fraction by determining hydrolyzing activity on nitrocefin (Oxoid, England). Protein concentrations in the samples were determined by Bradford assay. Enzyme activity was expressed as milliunits of β-lactamase (nanomoles of nitrocefin hydrolyzed per minute per microgram of protein).

Biofilm assays

Time course biofilm assays were performed in 12 × 75 mm round-bottom glass culture tubes (VWR) as described previously [75]. Briefly, fresh overnight T-agar plate cultures of the test strains were scraped into 1 ml T-broth and diluted to a final OD600 of 0.0025. Aliquots of 1 ml per tube were made at time zero and incubated static at room temperature for 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours. To assay for pellicle formation, the tubes were washed with running tap water five times, after discarding the cultures and stained with 1% crystal violet for 20 minutes. After pouring off the dye, the tubes were washed thoroughly with running tap water 10 to 15 times. Quantification of the attached and stained cells was done at 590 nm after solubilization of the dye with absolute ethanol.
Motility assays
Twitching and swimming assays were performed on 1% and 0.3% agar plates, respectively, as described previously [138].

Protease assays
LasA protease activity was measured by the ability of the strain supernatant to lyse boiled Staphylococcus aureus cells as described [139]. Overnight culture supernatants of the test strains (100 μl) were mixed with 900 μl of a Tris-HCl (pH 8.5) suspension of boiled S. aureus culture diluted to a final OD600 of 0.3. The lysis was monitored over an hour and LasA activity was expressed as the change in OD600 per hour per μg protein.

LasB elastolytic assay was performed with an elastin-congo red (ECR, Sigma) conjugate [140]. The overnight culture supernatants (100 μl) were mixed with 900 μl of ECR buffer (100 mM Tris, 1 mM CaCl₂, pH 7.5) containing 20 μg of ECR. Tubes were incubated shaking for one hour at 37°C, and the supernatant was read at 495 nm. LasB activity was expressed as change in A495 per μg protein compared to an LB control.

Pyocyanin production
The amount of pyocyanin produced was determined by extracting the pigment from overnight King A culture supernatants. A 5 ml 18-hour supernatant was mixed with 3 ml of chloroform to extract pyocyanin into the chloroform phase. Pyocyanin was then extracted with 0.2 N HCl, the absorbance measured at 520 nm, and the pyocyanin concentration expressed as μg pyocyanin produced per μg of protein [141].

Phenotypic Microarray (PM)
PM profiles of PAOΔampR were compared to that of wild-type PAO1 in the absence of antibiotic stress to test the effect of ampR deletion. PM arrays (Biolog Inc., Hayward, CA, USA) comprise of about 2000 tests spanning 20 96-well plates and include ~800 tests for carbon, nitrogen, phosphorous and sulfur utilization, ~100 tests each for pH growth range and osmotic sensitivity, and ~1000 tests for chemical sensitivity. Suspensions of control and test strains, in duplicate, were prepared in inoculating fluid containing 0.01% tetrazolium violet and transferred to the PM plates. After incubation for 24 hours, growth differences between the strains were determined from the kinetic response curves obtained by measuring changes in the color of the redox dye in each well in the OmniLog® incubator reader. Better growth of PAOΔampR in the presence of a specific test compound compared to PAO1 indicates gain of the phenotype (AmpR negatively regulates the phenotype) whereas poorer growth of the mutant compared to the wild type in a specific well indicates loss of phenotype due to ampR deletion (AmpR positively regulates the phenotype). OmniLog® PM software was used for data analysis.

Statistical analysis
All data were analyzed for statistical significance using t-test on GraphPad statistical analysis software, except for the microarray data, which was performed on GeneSpring GX 10.0 as mentioned earlier.

Supporting Information
Table S1  Key to identifying the AmpR-dependent, and AmpR- and β-lactam dependent genes from the venn diagram (Fig. 4). Condition A: PAO1 uninduced vs PAO1 induced; Condition B: PAOΔampR uninduced vs PAOΔampR induced; Condition C: PAO1 uninduced vs PAOΔampR uninduced; Condition D: PAO1 induced vs PAOΔampR induced. NA—not applicable.

Table S2  β-lactam-dependent genes. The 206 β-lactam stress-dependent genes are separated into upregulated and downregulated genes with the corresponding corrected p-values for the fold change (FC) observed. The genes are arranged based on either (A) functional categorization, or (B) fold change. Locus tag annotations are from the Pseudomonas Genome database [31]. (XLSX)

Table S3  AmpR-dependent genes. The 313 AmpR-dependent genes are separated into upregulated and downregulated genes with the corresponding corrected p-values for the fold change (FC) observed. The genes are arranged based on either (A) functional categorization, or (B) fold change. Locus tag annotations are from the Pseudomonas Genome database [31]. (XLSX)

Table S4  AmpR, β-lactam-dependent genes. The 207 genes that are specifically dependent on AmpR, and β-lactam stress are separated into upregulated and downregulated genes with the corresponding corrected p-values for the fold change (FC) observed. The genes are arranged based on either (A) functional categorization, or (B) fold change. Locus tag annotations are from the Pseudomonas Genome database [31]. (XLSX)

Table S5  Regulation of QS-related genes by AmpR. List of genes that are significantly differentially regulated in PAO1 and PAOΔampR in the presence and absence of β-lactam stress. For sake of clarity, only significant fold changes (FC) and their corresponding corrected p-values in the various conditions are shown. Annotations for the loci tags are from the Pseudomonas Genome database [31]. (XLSX)

Table S6  Regulation of biofilm-specific genes by AmpR. Significantly differentially regulated genes in PAO1 and PAOΔampR in the presence and absence of β-lactam antibiotics. For sake of clarity, only significant fold changes (FC) and their corresponding corrected p-values are shown. Locus tag annotations are from the Pseudomonas Genome database [31]. (XLSX)

Table S7  Genes in RGPs that are AmpR-regulated. AmpR regulates genes that are part of the different RGPs both in the presence and absence of a β-lactam antibiotic, listed here with the corresponding fold changes (FC) and p-value. Locus tag annotations are from the Pseudomonas Genome database [31]. (XLSX)

Table S8  Exclusively AmpR-, and AmpR-β-lactam-dependent genes. The list of 219 genes, derived from the lists in Tables S3A and 4A, that are specifically dependent on AmpR, both without and with β-lactam stress, and are not differentially regulated in any of the 20 other published transcriptome studies (see text for details). Genes are listed as either up- or down-regulated with the corresponding corrected p-values for the fold change (FC) observed. Locus tag annotations are from the Pseudomonas Genome database [31]. (XLSX)

Table S9  Phenotypic microarray analysis of PAO1 and PAOΔampR. Phenotypic microarray analysis was performed using Biolog plates, as explained in the text. Phenotypes gained indicate negative regulation by AmpR of these phenotypes,
whereas phenotypes lost are those that are positively regulated by AmpR.

(XLSX)

**Table S10 Primers used in this work.** All primers were designed as part of this study. qRT in the primer name indicates initial qPCR analysis, and Hassan Sakhtah and Lars Dietrich (Columbia University) for helpful discussions about phenazine assays and Hansi Kumari (Mathee Lab) for critical reading of the manuscript.
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