



OA11.02. A model of integrative care for low back pain

The Harvard community has made this
article openly available. [Please share](#) how
this access benefits you. Your story matters

Citation	Eisenberg, D., J. Buring, A. Hrbek, R. Davis, M. Connelly, D. Cherkin, D. Levy, M. Cunningham, B. O'Connor, and D. Post. 2012. OA11.02. A model of integrative care for low back pain. BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine 12(Suppl 1): 042.
Published Version	doi:10.1186/1472-6882-12-S1-042
Citable link	http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:10436233
Terms of Use	This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA

ORAL PRESENTATION

Open Access

OA11.02. A model of integrative care for low back pain

D Eisenberg^{1*}, J Buring¹, A Hrbek², R Davis³, M Connelly¹, D Cherkin⁴, D Levy², M Cunningham², B O'Connor⁵, D Post²

From International Research Congress on Integrative Medicine and Health 2012
Portland, Oregon, USA. 15-18 May 2012

Purpose

While previous studies focused on the effectiveness of individual complementary and alternative medical (CAM) therapies, the value of providing patients access to an integrated program involving multiple CAM and conventional therapies remains unknown. Our objective is to explore the feasibility and effects of a model of multidisciplinary integrative care for subacute low back pain (LBP) in an academic teaching hospital.

Methods

Study design was a pilot randomized trial comparing an individualized program of integrative care (IC) plus usual care to usual care (UC) alone for adults with LBP. Twenty individuals with LBP of 3-12 weeks duration were recruited from an occupational health clinic and community health center. Participants were randomized to 12 weeks of individualized IC plus usual care vs UC alone. Integrative care was provided by a trained multidisciplinary team offering CAM therapies and conventional medical care. Outcome measures were symptoms (pain, bothersomeness), functional status (Roland-Morris score), SF-12, worry, and difficulty performing 3 self-selected activities.

Results

Over 12 weeks, participants in the IC group had a median of 12.0 visits (range 5-25). IC participants experienced significantly greater improvements at 12 weeks than those receiving UC alone in symptom bothersomeness ($p=0.02$) and pain ($p=0.005$), and showed greater improvement in functional status ($p=0.08$). Rates of

improvement were greater for patients in IC than UC in functional status ($p=0.02$), bothersomeness ($p=0.002$), and pain scores ($p=0.001$). Secondary outcomes of self-selected most challenging activity, worry and the SF-12 also showed improvement in the IC group at 12 weeks. These differences persisted at 26 weeks, but were no longer statistically significant.

Conclusion

It was feasible for a multidisciplinary, outpatient integrative care team to deliver coordinated, individualized intervention to patients with subacute LBP. Results showed a promising trend for benefit of treating patients with persistent LBP with this integrative care model, and warrant evaluation in a full-scale study.

Author details

¹Harvard Medical School, Chestnut Hill, USA. ²Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, USA. ³Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, USA. ⁴Group Health Research Institute, Seattle, USA. ⁵Brown University, Providence, USA.

Published: 12 June 2012

doi:10.1186/1472-6882-12-S1-O42

Cite this article as: Eisenberg et al.: OA11.02. A model of integrative care for low back pain. *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine* 2012 **12**(Suppl 1):O42.

¹Harvard Medical School, Chestnut Hill, USA
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article