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Thymic negative selection is functional in NOD mice

Michael Mingueneau, Wenyu Jiang, Markus Feuerer, Diane Mathis, and Christophe Benoist

Division of Immunology, Department of Microbiology and Immunobiology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115

Based on analyses of multiple TCR transgenic (tg) models, the emergence of pathogenic T cells in diabetes-prone NOD mice has been ascribed to a failure to censure autoreactive clones in the thymus. In contrast, using isolated and preselected thymocytes, we show that nonobese diabetic (NOD) genetic variation impairs neither clonal deletion nor downstream transcriptional programs. However, we find that NOD genetic variation influences αβ/γδ–lineage decisions promoted by early expression of αβ-TαRs at the double-negative (DN) stage. In B6 and other genetic backgrounds, αβ-TαRs behave like γδ-TαRs and commit a large fraction of DN as toward the γδ-lineage, thereby decreasing the size of the double-positive (DP) pool, which is efficiently positively and negatively selected. In NOD DN, αβ–TαR signalosomes instead behave like pre-TαRs, resulting in high numbers of DPs competing for limited selection niches, and poor positive and negative selection. Once niche effects are neutralized in mixed bone marrow chimeras, positive and negative selection are equally efficient on B6 and NOD backgrounds. Biochemical analysis revealed a selective defect in the activation of Erk1/2 downstream of NOD αβ–TαR signalosomes. Therefore, NOD genetic variation influences αβ/γδ–lineage decisions when the αβ–TαR heterodimer is prematurely expressed, but now the process of negative selection.

Two major mechanisms contribute to the establishment of a self-restricted but mostly nonautoreactive T cell repertoire (Starr et al., 2003). First, after the onset of αβ–TαR expression in CD4+CD8+ (double positive [DP]) thymocytes, positive selection rescues from programmed cell death those cells expressing TαRs that recognize with sufficient (but low) affinity self-peptides complexed to MHC molecules. As the second arm of central tolerance, negative selection—mainly through clonal deletion—eliminates from the repertoire T cells that are equipped with TαRs of higher affinities (Siggs et al., 2006), although a small subset of cells expressing self-reactive TαRs is rescued and directed toward regulatory lineages such as regulatory T cells (T reg cells; Baldwin et al., 2004).

Mechanistically, the opposite biological outcomes—survival and cell death—promoted by the same αβ–TαR in conditions of positive and negative selection are driven by temporally, spatially, quantitatively, and qualitatively distinct signals. One of the most differential pathways is the RasGRP1-driven activation of Erk1/2 MAP kinases (Teixeiro and Daniels, 2010), which plays an obligatory role during positive selection but is dispensable for negative selection (McGargill et al., 2009). Conversely, Grb2-SOS–driven activation of p38 and JNK MAP kinases is required for negative but not positive selection (Siggs et al., 2006). A few other molecules are known to participate in negative selection, including Erk5, Bim, Nur77, and Mink (Sohn et al., 2007).

Although there is ample evidence for the importance of dominant tolerance and T reg cells for preventing autoimmunity, data supporting an equivalent role for deletional tolerance are less abundant. Some evidence has come from the autoimmune disease caused by the disruption of the Aire gene (Mathis and Benoist, 2009), and a role for central tolerance defects in the pathogenesis of type-1 diabetes (T1D) has also been proposed, based on several observations. First, genetically controlled variation in the level of insulin gene expression in the human thymus has been linked to susceptibility to T1D, and proposed to reflect...
a variable degree of tolerance induction (Pugliese et al., 1997). Second, nonobese diabetic (NOD) thymocytes are resistant to anti-CD3–provoked clonal deletion, although this point has been debated (Kishimoto and Sprent, 2001; Villunger et al., 2003). Several TCR transgenic (tg) derivatives of the NOD mouse model of T1D have been used to address this issue. In particular, studies using the diabetogenic BDC2.5 and AI4 TCRs that recognize natural autoantigens in the pancreas (Choisy-Rossi et al., 2004; Zucchelli et al., 2005; Holler et al., 2007; Serreze et al., 2008) or the 3A9 TCR specific for a transgene-encoded pancreatic neo-antigen (Lesage et al., 2002; Liston et al., 2004, 2007) have shown that autoreactive clones appear not to be purged efficiently in NOD mice. Several of the faulty molecular candidates were found to be common to all three TCR tg models, including Bim and Nur77 genes. A body of literature, not easily integrated, indicates that these defects may result from proximal and multifocal signaling defects downstream from the TCR in NOD mice (Rapport et al., 1993, 1999; Salojin et al., 1997; Zhang et al., 1998).

A challenge remains to understand how signaling and transcriptional defects downstream of αβ-TCRs on the NOD background lead to selective functional outcomes, and how these are affected by NOD genetic variation. In the models used previously by ourselves and others, careful dissection was potentially confounded by effects of NOD genetic variation at multiple stages of thymocyte differentiation. Here, by using experimental systems in which this parameter was rigorously controlled, we arrived at a different conclusion: the phenomena interpreted as resistance to clonal deletion on the NOD background result instead from increased competition for selection niches, itself a consequence of a differential ability of NOD cells to proceed into αβ over γδ commitment in response to αβ-TCR expression at the immature double-negative (DN) stage. This early divergence in TCR tg models reveals an unanticipated impact of NOD genetic variation on a crucial node in αβ-TCR signaling networks, Erk1/2.

RESULTS
Clonal deletion and associated transcriptional programs are functional in NOD mice
Our earlier results (Zucchelli et al., 2005; Holler et al., 2007) showed that, as with other TCR tg systems (Lesage et al., 2002; Liston et al., 2004; Choisy-Rossi et al., 2004; Serreze et al., 2008), expression of the BDC2.5 TCR led to a dearth of DPs and small thymi on the B6αβH2b background, observations which were interpreted as a differential resistance to clonal deletion. Thymocyte differentiation is a dynamic process involving several TCR-dependent checkpoints on which genetic variations can impinge. We reasoned that monoclonal and synchronized DP thymocytes, stimulated in vitro with their cognate ligand, would allow us to identify how genetic variation has an impact on the TCR signaling axis of DP thymocytes while strictly controlling other variables (in particular, potential effects of NOD genetic variation at other stages of thymocyte differentiation). Thus, we bred the BDC2.5 TCR transgene onto the B6.Rag-/- and NOD.H2b.Rag-/- backgrounds, both expressing the nonselecting Aβ MHC molecule. As anticipated, the resulting mice did not harbor mature T cells and thymocyte differentiation was arrested at a presélection DP stage (Fig. S1, A and B).

Purified DP thymocytes from these mice were stimulated in vitro with mimotope-pulsed splenic APCs, and early consequences on the transcriptome were analyzed by gene expression profiling. A robust stimulation was observed after 7 h. Contrary to anticipated results, however, the responses were very similar on the NOD.H2b and B6 backgrounds, with most of the responsive genes aligning on the diagonal of the fold change/fold change plot (Fig. 1 A), including at shorter time points and with suboptimal peptide concentrations (Fig. S1 C). This finding applied not only to T cell activation genes (Fig. 1 B) but also to negative selection–associated (Nur77, Bim, Gad1, and Pdcd1) and prosurvival (Rorc, Hivep2, Bcl2l1, and Bcl2) genes (Fig. 1 C), many of which were previously found to be improperly regulated during negative selection in NOD mice (Choisy-Rossi et al., 2004; Liston et al., 2004, 2007; Zucchelli et al., 2005).

We also asked whether varying the unit affinity of the ligand might reveal a difference, using mimotope variants with different agonist potencies (Fig. 1 D). CD69 up-regulation, TCR down-modulation, and ligand-induced cell death were as efficient in NOD.H2b as in B6 thymocytes, through a range of mimotope doses and potencies. In conclusion, preselected DP thymocytes on the NOD background showed largely unaltered TCR–dependent transcriptional and apoptotic responses.

Positive selection of class I– and class II–restricted tg TCRs is impaired in NOD mice
These results disagree with earlier conclusions made by ourselves and others, reporting central tolerance defects in NOD thymi in vivo or in fetal thymic organ cultures (FTOCs; Lesage et al., 2002; Choisy-Rossi et al., 2004; Liston et al., 2004; Zucchelli et al., 2005). In these systems, the NOD background was shown to impede the deletion of autoreactive DP and/or CD4 single-positive (SP) thymocytes, resulting in accumulation of the corresponding populations. Because whole thymi, rather than isolated cell populations, were exposed to negatively selecting ligands in these studies, compound effects of NOD alleles at several stages of thymocyte differentiation might have confounded the results. We thus decided to revisit the impact of NOD genetic variation on thymocyte selection, using adult BDC2.5 TCR tg mice on selecting NOD or B6αβ backgrounds.

Similar to what was observed at the fetal stage (Zucchelli et al., 2005), thymi from adult Rag-sufficient and -deficient BDC2.5/B6αβ mice showed a markedly reduced proportion of DPs, a much higher proportion of DN, more CD4SPs, and lower total cellularity (Fig. 2, A and B) relative to BDC2.5/NOD thymi, whose overall appearance and cellularity were comparable with those of a non-tg thymus. This dearth of DPs relative to SPs and DN could be interpreted as reflecting various degrees of negative selection, yet they were also compatible with a difference in efficacy of positive selection and of the DP to SP transition. Indeed, the following careful analysis of these thymi supported a defect in positive selection in BDC2.5/NOD mice:
Figure 1. Negative selection is functional in NOD mice. DP thymocytes purified from mice expressing the BDC2.5 tg TCR on Rag1-deficient, nonselecting B6 or NOD.H2b backgrounds, were co-cultured with mimotope-pulsed splenic APCs. DP thymocytes were analyzed by transcriptome profiling (A–C) or flow cytometry (D). (A) Fold change/fold change plot showing transcriptome changes induced by a 7-h stimulation with 500 nM BDC mimotope peptide, as the stimulated (stim.)/unstimulated (unstim.) ratio of expression in B6 (x axis) and NOD (y axis) DP thymocytes. For the unstimulated condition, DP thymocytes were incubated with unpulsed splenic cells. (B) Heat map of the 50 transcripts most induced in DPs by mimotope stimulation, in the same conditions as in A. Relative expression is color coded for two peptide concentrations and three time points (left margin). For the unstimulated (unstim.) condition, DP thymocytes were incubated with unpulsed splenic cells for 3 h. (C) Microarray expression values for selected proapoptotic and prosurvival genes in unstimulated or stimulated cells as in A, plotted versus time. (D) Stimulation by three mimotope variants (BDCmi#1-3) of different potency. Frequency of CD69+ cells among DP thymocytes (top), geometric mean of CD3 expression (middle), and ligand-induced apoptosis, measured as the frequency of viable DPs (bottom), after a 20-h stimulation. Error bars indicate standard deviation of the mean. Data are means of two (A–C; n = 2 per genotype for t = 1 and 3 h and n = 4 per genotype for t = 7 h) and representative of three (D; n ≥ 2 per genotype per experiment) independent experiments.

(A) The frequency of CD4SP thymocytes and peripheral T cells with a lower expression of the BDC2.5 clonotypic TCR (BDC low population) was three to four times higher on the NOD background (Fig. 2, C and D). This resulted from increased rearrangement of endogenous genes encoding Vα chains and surface expression of two TCR-α chains on the cell surface because BDC low CD4+ cells were absent in Rag-deficient BDC2.5 mice (Fig S2). Endogenous rearrangements of the Tα locus were reported to be promoted in TCR tg models by defects in positive selection, rather than negative selection (Buch et al., 2002).
The B6 background (Fig. 2 G). On this plot, BDC2.5/NOD DP thymocytes were roughly analogous to those inefficiently selected on the hybrid BDC2.5/B6g7 background.

(C) We directly evaluated the efficacy of positive selection by performing BrdU pulse-labeling experiments. This tracer is incorporated by cycling DN and early DP thymocytes and only appears in CD4SPs a few days later, with their rate of appearance reflecting the efficacy of positive selection. Equivalent proportions of thymocytes were labeled during the pulse period (Fig. S3), but the emergence of BrdU+ CD4SPs was clearly faster and more effective in BDC2.5/B6g7 than in BDC2.5/NOD mice (Fig. 2 H and Fig. S3).

The analysis of two additional TCR tg lines (OTII and OTI; Fig. 3), as well as previous publications describing other TCR tg lines on the NOD background (3A9 and AI4; Lesage et al., 2002; Choisy-Rossi et al., 2004; Liston et al., 2007; Serreze et al., 2008), revealed that these observations were neither peculiar to the BDC2.5 model nor limited to MHCII-restricted TCR.

Figure 2. Impaired positive selection of BDC+ thymocytes in NOD mice. Thymocytes were isolated from polyclonal or BDC2.5 TCR tg mice on B6g7 or NOD backgrounds. (A) Distribution of thymocyte subsets. (B) Number of total thymocytes, versus the age of each mouse. (C) Expression of the BDC2.5 TCR, detected with the anti-clonotypic BDC antibody (Kanagawa et al., 2002), on CD4SP thymocytes (top) and CD4+ splenocytes (bottom). (D) Quantification of data shown in C. Horizontal lines indicate the mean. (E and F) Expression of CD3e on DP and CD4SP thymocytes from BDC2.5 TCR tg (E) and polyclonal mice (F). Thin lines indicate negative control staining. (G) Percentage of BDClow CD4SP thymocytes plotted versus the geometric mean of CD3e surface expression on DP thymocytes from mice of indicated genotypes. (H) BrdU pulse-labeling experiment. Number of BrdU+ CD4SP per 1000 BrdU+ DP thymocytes over time, after a single BrdU injection. Error bars indicate standard deviation of the mean. Data are from 15 (A–G; n ≥ 40 per genotype) and means of 8 (H; n ≥ 25 per genotype) independent experiments.

Figure 3. Impaired positive selection of MHC-II and MHC-I restricted TCRs on the NOD background. Thymocytes were isolated from mice expressing the OTII or the OTI tg TCRs on B6 or (B6xNOD.H2b)F1 background (F1.H2b). Shown are the distribution of thymocyte subsets (A), expression of CD4 and Vβ5 tg TCR chain on CD4SP thymocytes from OTII mice (B), and number of total thymocytes (C). Horizontal lines indicate the mean. Data are representative of two independent experiments (n ≥ 3 per genotype per experiment).
To determine whether T cell–intrinsic and/or niche effects were responsible, we set up single and mixed BM chimera experiments. When chimeras were generated by parallel transfer of BDC2.5/B6tg7 and BDC2.5/NOD BM into F1 hosts, chimeras reproduced the differences in thymic CD4/CD8 profiles, frequencies of BDClowCD4SP cells, and CD3 levels on DPs, which were previously seen in parental tg thymi (Fig. 4, A–C). In contrast, when BDC2.5/B6tg7 and BDC2.5/NOD T cells matured together in the same thymic environment of mixed BM chimeras, and thus faced the same competition for positive selection niches, these differences were neutralized (Fig. 4, D–F). The proportion of DPs was restored to normal in BDC2.5/B6tg7-derived cells, and BDC2.5/NOD-derived cells matured effectively into CD4SPs, if anything with slightly higher efficiency. Accordingly, the frequency of BDClow cells and TCR levels on DP thymocytes were also normalized in mixed chimeras (Fig. 4, E and F), therefore establishing that the positive selection defect in BDC2.5/NOD mice was driven by a decreased availability of positive selection niches.

A second observation, which largely explains the difference in intraclonal competition between the parental tg strains, was made in these mixed BM chimera: we noticed that the tg models. To avoid backcrossing steps, we took advantage of the fact that the phenotypes observed in BDC2.5/NOD mice were dominant in BDC2.5/F1(B6g7xNOD) mice (unpublished data) and compared OTII and OTI TCR tg mice on B6 versus (B6xNOD.H2b)F1 backgrounds. F1 mice expressing the tg OTII and OTI TCRs, as well as NOD mice expressing the 3A9 (in absence of the transgene-encoded HEL TCR ligand) and AI4 tg TCRs, displayed marks of impaired positive selection similar to those seen in BDC2.5/NOD mice, including decreased SP/DP ratios (Fig. 3 A; Lesage et al., 2002, Fig. 6 a; Serreze et al., 2008, Fig. 3 A), higher frequencies of T cells expressing endogenous TCR genes (Fig. 3 B; Lesage et al., 2002, Fig. 3 c; Choisy-Rossi et al., 2004, Fig. 6 A), decreased TCR levels on DP thymocytes (Liston et al., 2007, Fig. 5 a; Serreze et al., 2008, Fig. 4), and higher total thymic cellularity (Fig. 3 C; Lesage et al., 2002, Fig. 6 c; Choisy-Rossi et al., 2004, Fig. 1 B).

Greater DN to DP transition and saturation of positive selection niches in BDC2.5/NOD mice

These several observations indicated that variation in the efficacy of positive selection was responsible for some of the phenotypic differences shown in Fig. 2 A. Positive selection is a saturable phenomenon whose efficiency is dependent on the availability of TCR-specific selection niches (Huesmann et al., 1991). To determine whether T cell–intrinsic and/or niche effects were responsible, we set up single and mixed BM chimera experiments. When chimeras were generated by parallel transfer of BDC2.5/B6tg7 and BDC2.5/NOD BM into F1 hosts, chimeras reproduced the differences in thymic CD4/CD8 profiles, frequencies of BDClow CD4SP cells, and CD3 levels on DPs, which were previously seen in parental tg thymi (Fig. 4, A–C).

In contrast, when BDC2.5/B6tg7 and BDC2.5/NOD T cells matured together in the same thymic environment of mixed BM chimeras, and thus faced the same competition for positive selection niches, these differences were neutralized (Fig. 4, D–F). The proportion of DPs was restored to normal in BDC2.5/B6tg7–derived cells, and BDC2.5/NOD-derived cells matured effectively into CD4SPs, if anything with slightly higher efficiency. Accordingly, the frequency of BDClow cells and TCR levels on DP thymocytes were also normalized in mixed chimeras (Fig. 4, E and F), therefore establishing that the positive selection defect in BDC2.5/NOD mice was driven by a decreased availability of positive selection niches.

A second observation, which largely explains the difference in intraclonal competition between the parental tg strains, was made in these mixed BM chimera; we noticed that the...
Choisy-Rossi et al., 2004, Fig. 1 B) and fetal (Zucchelli et al., 2005) TCR tg thymi on the NOD background. This increased DN to DP transition in BDC2.5/NOD mice was not dependent on cognate MHC recognition, and thus not a consequence of differential negative selection, as BDC2.5/NOD.H2b-derived thymocytes also over-competed BDC2.5/B6 counterparts at the DN to DP transition in mixed chimera settings in (B6xNOD.H2b).F1 hosts expressing the nonselecting H2b MHC haplotype (Fig. 5, A and C), as well as in MHC-deficient hosts (Fig. 5, B and C).

In conclusion, these results pointed to the following scenario: a thymocyte-autonomous variation primarily affected the relative proportion of thymocytes derived from BDC2.5/NOD and BDC2.5/B6g7 in the reconstituted thymic subsets was very different. At a 1:1 ratio of BM cells, chimera showed the expected 1:1 ratio in the thymic DN population (Fig. 4 G, top). However, the representation of BDC2.5/B6g7 progeny was greatly reduced in the DP compartment, accounting for <5% of the whole population. As a result, CD4SP and peripheral T cell compartments were largely dominated by BDC2.5/NOD-derived cells and 10:1 ratios were required in the BM inoculum to get a balanced representation of BDC2.5/B6g7, BDC2.5/NOD, and polyclonal F1 mice (ratio 4:1:0.3, respectively). Expression of CD45.1 (NOD) and the clonotypic BDC2.5 TCR on thymic subsets. Numbers indicate the percentage of remaining BDC2.5/B6 (green) and BDC2.5/NOD cells (red) relative to the number of cells observed in BDCmi- hosts. (B) Quantification of data shown in A. Percentage of remaining thymocytes relative to the number of cells observed in BDCmi- hosts. Each dot represents one individual chimeric mouse. Horizontal lines indicate the mean. Data are representative of three independent experiments (n ≥ 8 per type of chimera).

Choisy-Rossi et al., 2004, Fig. 1 B) and fetal (Zucchelli et al., 2005) TCR tg thymi on the NOD background. This increased DN to DP transition in BDC2.5/NOD mice was not dependent on cognate MHC recognition, and thus not a consequence of differential negative selection, as BDC2.5/NOD.H2b-derived thymocytes also over-competed BDC2.5/B6 counterparts at the DN to DP transition in mixed chimera settings in (B6xNOD.H2b).F1 hosts expressing the nonselecting H2b MHC haplotype (Fig. 5, A and C), as well as in MHC-deficient hosts (Fig. 5, B and C). Symmetrically, at the fetal stage, the differential representation of DP thymocytes that was seen in BDC2.5/B6g7 and BDC2.5/NOD FTOCs (Zucchelli et al., 2005) was also observed on the nonselecting H2b MHC (Fig. 5, D and E), therefore excluding any contribution of negative selection in this setting as well.

In conclusion, these results pointed to the following scenario: a thymocyte-autonomous variation primarily affected the...
In vivo negative selection is functional in NOD mice

Because the previous analyses identified the differential efficiency of the DN to DP transition as the primary cause of the phenotypes observed in TCR tg mice on the NOD background, we reasoned that this same phenomena had likely confused the interpretation of the same models in conditions of in vitro and in vivo negative selection (Lesage et al., 2002; Choisy-Rossi et al., 2004; Zucchelli et al., 2005; Liston et al., 2007; Serreze et al., 2008), putting in jeopardy the arguments that had been used to support a negative selection defect in NOD mice. Therefore, we revisited the efficiency of negative selection in vivo on the NOD background, using mixed BM chimera in which niche effects were neutralized. We generated mixed BM chimeras into hosts that expressed a BDC mimotope at low (BDCmi<sub>LOW</sub>) or high (BDCmi<sub>HIGH</sub>) levels in thymic epithelial cells, resulting in a greater number of DP thymocytes in TCR tg thymi on the NOD background, and thus more intraclonal competition for positive selection niches, ultimately leading to sluggish positive selection.

![Figure 7. B6 and NOD αβ-TCRs promote opposite γδ- and αβ-lineage fates.](image)

DN thymocytes (A–E) or splenocytes (F) were isolated from non-tg or BDC2.5 TCR tg mice. (A) Expression of CD3ε, CD71, and CD5 on DN subsets (defined as shown in Fig. S4 A). (B) BrdU pulse-labeling experiment. Density plots show intracellular levels of incorporated BrdU in DN thymocytes at different times after a single BrdU injection. (C) Fold change/fold change plot depicting the BDC2.5 tg/non-tg ratio of expression in B6<sup>y</sup> (x axis) and NOD (y axis) DN thymocytes. Blue lines, fold change of 2 (with the number of genes in the corresponding areas). (D) Heat map of 42 cell cycle–related transcripts down-modulated in BDC2.5/B6<sup>y</sup> DN4 thymocytes (relative expression is color-coded). Cycling (blast) and noncycling (small) DP and γδ thymocytes from ImmGen datasets are included for comparison. (E) Fold change versus mean expression plot depicting B6<sup>y</sup> versus NOD DN4 thymocyte subsets from BDC2.5 TCR tg (top) and polyclonal mice (bottom). Blue lines, fold change of 1.5. The number of γδ and αβ signature genes above and below this threshold is indicated in green and red, respectively. (F) Proportion of γδ-like CD4<sup>−</sup>CD8<sup>−</sup> DNs on gated TCR-β<sup>+</sup> splenocytes from mice of indicated genotypes. Mean frequencies: 15.4 ± 4.9 (BDC2.5/B6<sup>y</sup>) versus 0.6 ± 0.2 (BDC2.5/NOD); 32.1 ± 11.3 (BDC2.5/B6<sup>y</sup>) versus 3.8 ± 1.5 (BDC2.5/NOD.H2<sup>b</sup>). Data are representative of three (A; n ≥ 9 per genotype), eight (B; n ≥ 25 per genotype), two (C–E; n = 2 per genotype per experiment), and three (F; n ≥ 10 per genotype) independent experiments.

In vivo negative selection is functional in NOD mice

Because the previous analyses identified the differential efficiency of the DN to DP transition as the primary cause of the phenotypes observed in TCR tg mice on the NOD background, we reasoned that this same phenomena had likely confused the interpretation of the same models in conditions of in vitro and in vivo negative selection (Lesage et al., 2002; Choisy-Rossi et al., 2004; Zucchelli et al., 2005; Liston et al., 2007; Serreze et al., 2008), putting in jeopardy the arguments that had been used to support a negative selection defect in NOD mice. Therefore, we revisited the efficiency of negative selection in vivo on the
Figure 8. Selective attenuation of Erk1/2 phosphorylation downstream of NOD αβ-TCRs, rather than global dampening of αβ-TCR signaling responses. Thymocytes (A–F) or splenocytes (G) were isolated from non-tg B6<sup>g7</sup> and NOD mice or from mice expressing the BDC2.5 tg TCR on a Rag<sup>1</sup>-deficient, nonselecting B6 and NOD.H2<sup>b</sup> backgrounds, mixed in a 1:1 ratio and assessed for TCR-induced signal transduction in the same tube (CD45 congenic markers were used to distinguish B6 and NOD cells after stimulation), except in B where stimulation was performed in separate tubes. (A) Calcium flux induced in polyclonal DP thymocytes by biotinylated primary antibodies (first arrow) and streptavidin cross-linking (second arrow). Third arrow, ionomycin control. Lower panels...
tetracycline-regulated expression system (see Materials and methods). BDC2.5/B6 and BDC2.5/NOD BM cells were mixed (polyclonal F1 BM cells were also added to the mix of cells to avoid a massive clonal deletion in the reconstituted thymi) and used to reconstitute F1 control hosts or F1 hosts expressing the BDC2.5 mimotope. As expected, the neo-expression of the BDC2.5 mimotope induced the deletion of a significant number of BDC<sup>+</sup> thymocytes. On average, the number of BDC<sup>+</sup> CD4<sup>SP</sup> was decreased by 55 ± 16% (n = 12) in hosts expressing the mimotope at low dose, whereas the level of deletion further increased to 95 ± 6% (n = 10) for high doses of mimotope expression. Deletion took place mostly at the DP stage (Fig. 6) because both CD4<sup>SP</sup> and DP subsets were similarly affected by the deletion, whereas DN thymocytes remained mostly unaffected. Importantly, the relative decreases in cell numbers observed in BDC2.5/B6<sup>+</sup> and BDC2.5/NOD-derived thymocytes were similar at either dose of mimotope expression (Fig. 6). Thus, negative selection, in vivo, is functional on the NOD background.

### Tg αβ-TCRs promote opposite γδ- and αβ-lineage fates in B6 and NOD thymocytes

Because the DN to DP transition is driven by the αβ-TCR in TCR tg thymi (Trop et al., 2000), understanding the cellular and molecular underpinnings of its differential efficacy on B6 and NOD backgrounds would potentially reveal how NOD genetic variation impinges on αβ-TCR signaling. Therefore, we analyzed the precursor DN pool (Fig. S4 A). TCRs were expressed on the cell surface at the transition between the DN2a and DN2b stages, and at the same levels on the two backgrounds (Fig. 7 A). Expression of the TCR was associated with the up-regulation of CD5 and the proliferation-associated marker CD71 (transferrin receptor). Whereas CD5 up-regulation was stronger on BDC2.5/B6<sup>+</sup> DN thymocytes, likely indicative of stronger TCR signals, the expression of CD71 was transient on these cells and largely down-modulated by the time cells reached the DN4 stage. In contrast, a higher degree of cell cycling was observed in the DN4 pool of BDC2.5/NOD mice, as assessed by the persistence of CD71 at this stage (Fig. 7 A) and the higher fraction of DN cells incorporating BrdU in pulse-labeling experiments (Fig. 7 B). Interestingly, although BDC2.5/NOD cells showed a rapid decrease in BrdU levels during the chase period, presumably as a result of their differentiation into DP cells, levels remained high in BDC2.5/B6<sup>+</sup> DN cells, indicating that a significant fraction of them accumulated at the DN stage (Fig. 7 B).

To characterize the transcriptional impact of the early expression of the tg αβ-TCR, at the DN stage on the two backgrounds, we then generated gene expression profiles from BDC2.5 tg and polyclonal DN2–3 and DN4 subsets. According to a fold change/fold change plot displaying the ratio of expression in BDC2.5 tg versus non-tg DN4 thymocytes from B6<sup>+</sup> versus NOD mice (Fig. 7 C), the BDC2.5 TCR induced extensive gene expression changes in DN4 thymocytes. Among the genes repressed by the BDC2.5 TCR in DN4 thymocytes from B6<sup>+</sup> mice, a Gene Ontology enrichment analysis identified a cluster of 42 cell cycle–related transcripts (Fig. 7 D). These genes were not repressed or were repressed to a much lower extent in BDC2.5/NOD DN4 thymocytes, consistent with the differential degree of proliferation seen in the previous paragraph.

To determine whether these broad transcriptional changes denoted a particular lineage, we used the most induced and repressed genes (603 gene probes, fold change > 3) to define the footprint of the BDC2.5 TCR on DN progenitors, and rank the cell populations analyzed by the Immunological Genome (ImmGen) Consortium (www.immgen.org; 267 populations covering lymphoid and myeloid lineages) according to the level of expression of these genes (Fig. S4 B). Strikingly, 16 of the 20 top ranked populations belonged to the γδ-lineage. This assignment was confirmed by highlighting αβ- and γδ-lineage commitment signatures (defined independently as described in Fig. S4 C) in Fig. 7 C. Most of the up-regulated genes belonged to the γδ-lineage signature, whereas many of the down-modulated ones belonged to the αβ commitment signature. These changes were consistent with earlier studies proposing that premature expression of tg αβ-TCRs in DN thymocytes commits a significant fraction of these progenitors to the γδ-lineage (Bruno et al., 1996; Terrence et al., 2000). However, the off-diagonal placement, as well as the total number of gene probes up-regulated or down-regulated by the αβ-TCR, on the two backgrounds (at an arbitrary threshold of twofold change, 1,933 versus 333 on B6<sup>+</sup> and NOD backgrounds, respectively; Fig. 7 C), indicated that these transcriptional changes were preferentially induced on the B6<sup>+</sup> background, and were much more muted on the NOD background.
background. This differential magnitude reflected intrinsic properties of B6 and NOD αβ-TCR signaling networks and not pre-TCR or generic strain-specific differences because they were not observed in polyclonal mice (Fig. 7 E). Accordingly, B6 but not (or to a much lower extent) NOD αβ-TCR signalosomes induced most of the transcripts found in thymic γδT cells, which include the γδ-lineage transcription factor Sox13 (Ciofani and Zúñiga-Pflücker, 2010), S1pr1, which promotes cellular egress from the thymus, and genes that are strictly expressed in the γδ branch of thymocytes, like Etv5, Blk, Cpa3, Il1r1, Rhpms, and Tox2 (Fig. 7 C and Fig. S4 D).

To determine whether these commitment programs were functional and effectively diverted DN progenitors toward the γδ-lineage, we directly compared the transcription factors induced by the BDC2.5 TCR in DN4 thymocytes to the ones equipping polyclonal γδT cells (Fig. S4 E, top). The BDC2.5 TCR induced the expression of >70% of the transcription factors expressed in γδT cells. To identify the molecular events initiating γδT cell fate decision downstream of B6 αβ-TCR signalosomes, we analyzed the DN2-3 thymocyte subsets that corresponded to the earliest stages of expression of the αβ-TCR (Fig. S4 E, bottom). Of 188 γδ transcription factors, only 21 were induced by the BDC2.5 TCR, including previously unknown γδ fate regulators like Etv5, Tox2, Rhpms, and Sox5, as well as the already identified Sox13 and Id3 factors. In accordance with the extensive γδ transcription factors induced downstream of B6 αβ-TCR signalosomes, CD4+CD8–TCRβ+ peripheral cells, described earlier as γδ-like T cells in TCR tg models (Bruno et al., 1996; Terrence et al., 2000), constituted a substantial fraction of the T cell pool in BDC2.5/B6γδ mice, and were 10-20× more abundant than in NOD mice, both on selecting and nonselecting backgrounds (Fig. 7 F).

Therefore, in addition to promoting the expression of γδ fate–associated genes, B6 but not NOD αβ-TCR signalosomes induced a full γδ maturation program, associated with thymic export and colonization of peripheral lymphoid organs. In contrast, NOD DNs expressed more of the αβ-lineage genes and the proliferative program characteristic of αβ-committed DN thymocytes. This differential branching likely explains the much higher efficacy of the DN to DP transition in BDC2.5/NOD mice.

Selective Erk1/2 defect downstream of αβ-TCRs in NOD T cells
What is the biochemical nature of the signaling differences driving these contrasting cell fate downstream of B6 and NOD αβ-TCR signalosomes at the DN stage? As αβ-TCR rather than pre-TCR signaling was affected (Fig. 7 E), we anticipated that the same signaling defects would be present at other stages where the αβ-TCR is physiologically expressed in normal mice, in particular at the DP stage. In addition, because current models of αβ/γδ commitment propose that the differential strength of signals downstream of pre-TCRs and γδ-TCRs drive these opposite commitments, we quantified signal transduction downstream of B6 and NOD αβ-TCRs along several known pathways in DP thymocytes.

We first analyzed calcium mobilization, which is dependent on a functional proximal signaling module (Lck–Zap70–Lat–PLCγ-1). Intracellular calcium concentrations reached very similar levels in polyclonal B6γδ and NOD DP thymocytes after TCR stimulation (Fig. 8 A). We then quantified global tyrosine phosphorylation events by immunoblotting extracts from TCR-stimulated DP thymocytes. No obvious defects in the patterns of phosphorylated proteins were detected in NOD cells (Fig. 8 B). These two results excluded the hypothesis of a general dampening of NOD TCR signaling pathways as the cause of the opposite αβ/γδ commitments observed in TCR tg mice on NOD and B6 backgrounds. We also analyzed the activation of the Erk1/2 MAPK, one of the key pathways known to be differentially required during TCR-dependent checkpoints in thymocyte differentiation (Ciofani and Zúñiga-Pflücker, 2010; Teixeiro and Daniels, 2010). The fraction of cells showing Erk phosphorylation (Fig. 8, C and E), as well as the levels of phosphorylation (Fig. 8 D), were much lower on the NOD than on B6γδ background for all time-points tested after either anti-TCR antibody stimulation or more physiological antigen-specific stimulation by peptide–MHC tetramers.

Of note, this defect was not only present in DP thymocytes but also at the other stages where the αβ-TCR was expressed, including in anti-TCR–stimulated BDC+ DN2/3 thymocytes (Fig. 8 F), which corresponded to the earliest stages of expression of the αβ-TCR in BDC2.5 mice (Fig. 7 A), as well as in anti-TCR–stimulated peripheral CD4+ and CD8+ T cells from non-tg mice (Fig. 8 G). Together, these results pointed to a selective defect in the Erk1/2 signaling module downstream of αβ-TCRs on the NOD background.

DISCUSSION
Using several TCR tg mouse models to study thymocyte selection on the NOD background, we show that a marked blockade of positive selection of clonotypic T cells occurs in thymi from TCR tg mice on the NOD background, a blockade caused by higher numbers of DP thymocytes competing for positive selection niches. These higher numbers themselves reflect an enhanced DN to DP transition, which in turn results from the quasi-absence of deviation to the γδ-lineage, usually imparted by early expression of γδ TCRs (Fig. 9). These observations hold true on nonselecting backgrounds, a result which, by excluding any contribution of MHC-dependent mechanisms, formally invalidates our earlier model according to which increased DP thymocyte numbers in BDC2.5/NOD result from impaired clonal deletion mechanisms (Zucchelli et al., 2005; Holler et al., 2007). Accordingly, using an in vitro clonal deletion model based on isolated, preselected, and monoclonal DP thymocytes on one hand and in vivo negative selection conditions in mixed BM chimera on the other hand, we found that αβ-TCR complexes equipped with either B6 or NOD signaling networks show very similar signaling potency and commit DP thymocytes to apoptosis with the same efficiency upon encounter of negatively selecting ligands. Thymic negative selection is therefore functional in NOD mice.
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As purposely emphasized in the Results section, the hallmarks of the divergence at the αβ/γδ commitment checkpoint seen in BDC2.5/NOD mice are similarly present in the other TCR tg models for which negative selection defects were reported on the NOD background. It thus seems very likely that, as observed with the BDC2.5 model (and also extended here to the OTII and OTI models), an increased DN to DP transition also leads to the saturation of selection niches in the 3A9 and A4 systems (indeed, differential DN to DP transition was seen in the mixed BM chimeras of Lesage et al., 2002). Together with a differential efficiency of γδ deviation (and indeed, much lower proportions of γδ-like TCR-β’ DN T cells were recently reported in 3A9 mice on the NOD compared with B10 and BALB/c backgrounds; Dugas et al., 2010), this phenomenon would have obscured an efficient thymic negative selection. Although these predictions await verification, interpretation of the published data in light of our current results strongly suggests that the same mechanisms are at play in these models. Because of the early TCR expression in TCR tg models and the higher affinity of TCR-α for TCR-β compared with pTα (Trop et al., 2000), αβ-TCR rather than pre-TCR signalosomes drive the DN to DP transition in TCR tg models. In accordance with earlier hypotheses that tg αβ-TCRs divert a significant fraction of DN thymocytes toward the γδ-lineage (Bruno et al., 1996; Terrence et al., 2000; Egawa et al., 2008), transcriptome analyses formally demonstrated that B6 αβ-TCR signalosomes indeed promote cell-autonomous γδ commitment programs of thymic progenitors, early maturation, and thymic egress before the DP stage. Therefore, these cells do not contribute to the DP compartment, explaining the lower DP cellularities and the efficient selection of the BDC2.5 clone seen on the BDC2.5/B6γδ background. Of note, this γδ diversion in TCR tg models was reported on several genetic backgrounds (including B6, B10, and BALB/c; Terrence et al., 2000; Dugas et al., 2010). Therefore, it does not reflect a peculiarity of the B6 background. In contrast, the signaling properties of NOD αβ-TCR signalosomes stand out as they resemble those of pre-TCR complexes by promoting αβ rather than γδ commitment of DN thymocytes (Fig. 9). Contrary to most other TCR tg models on various genetic backgrounds, TCR tg mice on the NOD background therefore show an unusually normal thymic differentiation, with cell numbers and subset frequencies that are much closer to a non-tg thymus. How to explain then that NOD and B6 αβ-TCR signalosomes promote equivalent outcomes at the DP stage (cell death by negative selection) but opposite cell fates (αβ versus γδ commitment) at the DN stage? Based on the quantitative models of αβ/γδ commitment, γδ commitment requires stronger TCR signals than αβ commitment and defects in TCR signaling or ligand availability were shown to convert γδ into αβ commitment signals (Giofani and Zúñiga-Pflücker, 2010). However, because quantitative measurements of TCR signaling did not reveal a global dampening or proximal defects in NOD TCR signaling pathways, a generic decrease in TCR signaling strength is not likely to account for the differential αβ/γδ commitment

![Figure 9. Proposed model, outlining the differential signaling abilities of NOD and B6 αβ-TCRs to promote αβ- and γδ-lineage commitment, when expressed at the DN stage. As a result of the high levels of tg αβ-TCR expressed on DN thymocytes in TCR tg mice, αβ-TCRs mimic γδ-TCRs and divert a significant number of DN progenitors toward the γδ-lineage on the B6 background (left). Because of a selective defect in the Erk1/2 signaling module, the same tg TCRs wired to NOD signalosomes are perceived like pre-TCRs, rather than γδ-TCRs, leading to ampliﬁcation and abundant differentiation into DPs. As a consequence, thymy from NOD TCR tg mice show higher numbers of DP thymocytes than their B6 counterparts, and relatively inefficient positive selection, promoting compensatory rearrangements of endogenous TCR-α loci which rescue some measure of positive selection. This differential decision at the αβ/γδ commitment checkpoint explains most of the phenotypes reported in TCR tg NOD mice, among which the impaired selection of TCR tg thymocytes as a result of the saturation of positive selection niches, the higher frequency of receptor editing and clonotype^{big} T cells, and the decreased TCR levels on DP thymocytes. Of note, most T reg cells emerge from the clonotype^{big} fraction in TCR tg models and the higher frequency of clonotype^{big} T cells in BDC2.5/NOD mice results in higher T reg/T conv cell ratios, likely explaining decreased diabetes incidence on this backgrounds.](image-url)
decisions observed in TCR tg models on NOD and B6 backgrounds. In contrast, the selective defect in Erk1/2 activation on the NOD background makes a particularly attractive candidate, as Erk1/2 and the Id3 levels they control were shown to be crucial regulators of αβ/γδ commitment, with the adoption of the γδ fate being associated with greater Erk1/2 phosphorylation (Hayes and Love, 2002; Haks et al., 2005; Hayes et al., 2005). Because tg αβ-TCRs are expressed at high levels on DN thymocytes compared with pre-TCR complexes in polyclonal mice, we propose that such high receptor density conveys strong, γδ-like Erk1/2 signals that instruct γδ-lineage differentiation on the B6 background. In contrast, on the NOD background, high levels of tg αβ-TCRs do not elicit such high Erk1/2 signals and behave like pre-TCRs. Of note, a recent study showed that pre-TCR–mediated αβ commitment is less efficient in NOD than in B6 polyclonal mice (Feng et al., 2011). Because Erk1/2 defects are likely present downstream of NOD pre-TCRs and because pre-TCRs are expressed in limiting amounts on the cell surface of DN thymocytes, contrary to the highly expressed αβ-TCRs in TCR tg settings, we anticipate that the same defect might hamper (rather than promote) the pre-TCR–driven DN to DP transition in polyclonal settings, a prediction which agrees with the aforementioned study (Feng et al., 2011). Therefore, the results observed here in TCR tg settings are not directly transposable to polyclonal settings, as a result of the very different density of receptors driving the DN to DP transition in TCR tg versus polyclonal settings.

Erk1/2 kinases were shown to be dispensable during thymocyte negative selection (McGargill et al., 2009). Therefore, this selective defect fits well with the observation that clonal deletion and associated transcriptional responses are intact on the NOD background. Similarly illustrating that not all functional outcomes are equally dependent on the Erk1/2 module, the conditional deletion of Erk2 in peripheral CD8+ T cells recently revealed its selective requirement for T cell survival but not for T cell activation or effector functions (D’Souza et al., 2008). The depressed phosphorylation of Erk1/2 is also present in mature NOD T cells. The functional relevancy of this defect in peripheral T cells for T1D will require further investigation, but it is noteworthy that T cell defects in the phosphorylation of Erk1/2 were recently reported in two other autoimmune conditions, in lupus (Gorelik and Richardson, 2010) and rheumatoid arthritis (Singh et al., 2009).

Erk1/2 kinases are required for positive selection of conventional T cells (T conv cells; Fischer et al., 2005) and agonist-selected T reg cells (Willoughby et al., 2007). Surprisingly, however, the reduced Erk1/2 signaling on the NOD background has no discernable effect on the positive selection of thymocytes expressing the fixed BDC2.5 TCR in mixed chimera settings. If anything, selection is actually more efficient on the NOD background. One might speculate that, depending on the affinity of each particular TCR, for its positively selecting ligands, such a selective dampening of Erk1/2 responses in NOD thymocytes might favor, impair, or not affect its selection by shifting the Erk1/2 signal inside or outside the optimal window of selection. Thus, when considering a polyclonal repertoire, one might predict that the affinity threshold for positive selection, and thereby overall affinities of T conv TCRs for self-MHCp, may be higher in NOD mice. Because Erk1/2 kinases are dispensable for effector functions of peripheral T cells (D’Souza et al., 2008), this selective defect would not attenuate the higher reactivity of peripheral T cells, in agreement with the enhanced proliferative T cell responses recently reported in NOD mice (D’Alise et al., 2008). Conceivably, by narrowing the set of self-peptides that would reach the affinity and pERK thresholds required for T reg cell selection, this defect might also explain recent results showing that, despite their apparent normal thymic development, TCR diversity within the NOD T reg cell compartment is markedly restricted (Ferreira et al., 2009). Supporting these hypotheses, the positive selection of invariant NKT (iNKT) cells, which is also Ras-Mapk-Egr dependent (Hu et al., 2011), is impaired in NOD mice that have few thymic iNKT cells (Gombert et al., 1996). Because iNKT cells express an invariant TCR, no repertoire compensation could counterbalance the Erk1/2 defect, potentially explaining their decreased numbers in NOD mice.

In conclusion, the cellular and molecular dissection of thymic selection in NOD mice using multiple TCR tg models yields two key conclusions. First, thymic negative selection does not appear to be at the root of autoreactivity in this model. Second, instead of a global dimming, NOD TCR signaling networks show a selective defect in the Erk1/2 signaling module. We therefore propose the working hypothesis that the emergence of autoreactivity might be favored in NOD mice by a shifted positive selection window and an overall higher reactivity of peripheral T cells for self-MHCp. Although new genetic tools will be required to directly test this model, it constitutes a new lead to the broader question of the mechanisms by which central tolerance failures lead to the emergence of autoreactive T cells in autoimmune diseases.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice. All mice were maintained in specific pathogen-free facilities at Harvard Medical School (Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee, 09254). BDC2.5 TCR tg mice on C57BL/6.129H2b and NOD background were previously described (Katz et al., 1993). BDC2.5/NOD.Rag2−/− and BDC2.5/B6.129Rag2−/− mice were derived by crossing the previous lines with NOD. Rag2−/− and B6.129Rag2−/− mice, respectively, and diabetes occurrence was prevented by neonatal i.p. injection of total spleen cells from (B6129sNOD) F1 mice, as described previously (Gonzalez et al., 2001). Similar breeding strategies were used to obtain BDC2.5 TCR tg lines on Rag-sufficient or -deficient B6 and NOD.H2b background (NOD.H2b line was previously described; Wicker et al., 1992); TCR−/−/NOD, MHC-deficient (B2m−/−), OTII, and OTI.Rag2−/− mice were previously described (Philpott et al., 1992; Grusby et al., 1993; Barnorden et al., 1998; Clarke et al., 2000). OTII/F1.H2b and OTI.Rag2−/−F1.H2b mice were derived by crossing the latter with NOD.H2b and NOD.H2b.Rag2−/− mice, respectively. For BM chimera experiments, polyclonal and BDC2.5 TCR tg mice on (B6129sNOD) F1.H2b background were generated. NOD mice expressing the BDC mimotope were generated by crossing the tetO-BDcmi mouse line (described in the next section; on the NOD background) with CII-1TA mice (Witherden et al., 2000; expressing the transcriptional activator under the control of the MHC Eα promoter, driving the expression in thymic epithelium; backcrossed on...
the NOD background for >12 generations). Unless otherwise specified, all mice were analyzed between 4 and 6 wk of age.

**Generation of tetO-BDCmii mice.** To generate this mouse line encoding a tetracycline (tet)-inducible BDC2.5 mimotope (BDCmi#1, sequence = RTRPLWVRME), the pTiB construct was generated from the pTIM vector, as previously described (van Santen et al., 2004), and subdivided by cell sorting using an ARIA-II (BD): A dump channel was used to exclude CD19, CD11e, CD11b, CD49b, TCR-α/β, and Gr1-positive cells. For polyclonal DN populations, CD3ε was included in the dump channel. For clonal deletion assays and biochemistry, DP thyocytes were purified by magnetic CD8 positive selection (Invitrogen; purity >95%). For microarrays, cells were double sorted (purity > 99.9%) directly into Trizol.

**Flow cytometry.** All fluorochrome-conjugated and biotinylated antibodies were purchased from BD, eBioscience, or BioLegend, except for the biotinylated antibody directed against the BDC2.5 TCR (Kanazawa et al., 2002), which was produced in the laboratory, and for biotinylated L-α-tetO/BDC2.5 mimotope monomers (AHHPIWARMDA), which were obtained from the National Institutes of Health Tetramer Facility. Cell events were collected using an LSR-II cytometer (BD) and analyzed with FlowJo software (Tree Star).

**Microarrays.** RNA was prepared from double-sorted cell populations using Trizol, as previously described (Yamagata et al., 2004). RNA was amplified for two rounds (MessageAmp aRNA; Invitrogen), biotin labeled (BioArray High Yield RNA Transcription Labeling; Enzo Life Sciences), and purified with the RNeasy Mini kit (QIAGEN). The resulting cRNAs were hybridized to GeneChip Mouse Genome M1.0 ST chips arrays (Affymetrix). A dump channel was used to process through Affymetrix software to obtain raw .cel files, background settings providing milder expression of the mimotope were therefore established, one that gave very low expression of the mimotope (BDCmi#1#2); tetO-BDC2.5 construct in absence of the CIITA-TA tg, corresponding to a low, TA-independent, leaky expression of the tetO promoter; Lewandoski, 2001) and a second that gave higher expression of the mimotope (BDCmi#1#3); tetO-BDC2.5 construct combined with CIITA-TA tg, in the presence of 20 µg/ml doxycycline [Sigma-Aldrich], provided in drinking water for the whole time of the reconstitution. Doxycycline-supplemented water was changed three times per week. Chimeras were analyzed 8 wk after reconstitution.

**Calcium flux measurement.** B6 and NOD total thyocytes were resuspended in a 1:1 ratio before loading with the calcium indicator Indo-1 (Invitrogen) as previously described (Wang et al., 2005). Baseline Indo-1 fluorescence was recorded at 37°C before the addition of 5 µg/ml of biotinylated stimulatory antibodies, 10 µg/ml streptavidin, and 1.4 µM ionomycin. Calcium responses were analyzed with FlowJo kinetics platform.

**Biochemistry.** 5 × 10^6 DP thyocytes were resuspended in a volume of 100 µl and stimulated for 2 min at 37°C with 5 µg of biotinylated anti-CD3, 5 µg anti-CD4, and 7 µg avidin. After lysis in TEN buffer (50 mM Tris, 1% Nonidet P-40, and 20mM EDTA) supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors, lysates were subjected to immunoblot analysis. Antibodies against phosphotyrosine and Erk1-2 were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology.

**Phospho-flow analysis.** B6 and NOD total thyocytes were resuspended in a 1:1 ratio in medium containing 5 µg/ml of the biotinylated stimulatory antibodies and incubated for 2 min at 37°C before addition of 20 µg/ml streptavidin. At various times after cross-linking (or addition of 10 µg/ml L-α-tetO/BDC2.5 tetramer), cells were fixed, permeabilized, and stained as previously described (Adachi and Davis, 2011). PMA controls were fixed 3 min after addition of 10 ng/ml PMA.

**Online supplemental material.** Fig. S1 shows the flow cytometry isolation strategy of preselected B6 and NOD DP thyocytes and kinetic microarray analysis of thymic negative selection programs on the two backgrounds. Fig. S2 shows the flow cytometry gating strategy used to identify clonotype™ T cells in BDC2.5 TCR tg mice. Fig. S3 shows the flow cytometry gating strategy used to generate the BrDu plot shown in Fig. 2 H. Fig. S4 shows the flow cytometry gating strategy and microarray datasets used to isolate and characterize DN thyocytes subsets in BDC2.5 TCR tg mice. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.201112593/DC1.
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