



P02.145. Relationship of treatment beliefs to subject blinding: the case of a placebo-controlled RCT in Irritable Bowel Syndrome

Citation

Quilty, M, and L Conboy. 2012. P02.145. Relationship of treatment beliefs to subject blinding: the case of a placebo-controlled RCT in irritable bowel syndrome. BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine 12(Suppl 1): P201.

Published Version

doi:10.1186/1472-6882-12-S1-P201

Permanent link

<http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:10497281>

Terms of Use

This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at <http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA>

Share Your Story

The Harvard community has made this article openly available.
Please share how this access benefits you. [Submit a story](#).

[Accessibility](#)

POSTER PRESENTATION

Open Access

P02.145. Relationship of treatment beliefs to subject blinding: the case of a placebo-controlled RCT in Irritable Bowel Syndrome

M Quilty^{1*}, L Conboy²

From International Research Congress on Integrative Medicine and Health 2012
Portland, Oregon, USA. 15-18 May 2012

Purpose

Subject blinding is critical to minimizing bias in randomized controlled trials (RCTs). Irritable Bowel Syndrome (IBS) is a complex and often chronic condition with physical and psychological components. For many patients, psychological issues such as anxiety and stress can exacerbate the existing condition. Given the mind-body relationship in this illness, we explored if an individual's belief in treatment and a belief in being randomized to an active treatment group could impact their self-reported health outcomes.

Methods

In the parent study, data were gathered in a three-week randomized controlled trial (n=262) testing the therapeutic effect of an Augmented (supportive) patient-practitioner relationship versus a Limited patient-practitioner relationship versus wait list in reducing the symptoms of IBS. During the first three weeks of the trial, all treatments were given in the context of biweekly sham acupuncture treatments to allow the effects of patient-practitioner relationship to be isolated. The second three weeks of the trial began with a blind rerandomization of subjects in the two treatment arms to either continue sham acupuncture or begin real (insertive) acupuncture. Symptom data, expectations, and beliefs concerning blinding were collected at baseline, 3, and 6 weeks. Expectations of treatment group assignment (insertive or sham) and symptom improvement were collected after the first treatment and before weeks 3 and 6 data collection.

Results

For all six of our expectancy and belief variables, the Augmented and insertive treatment groups had more positive reports compared to the Limited and sham acupuncture group, respectively; this trend continued in reported symptom relief as well.

Conclusion

The risk of subject unblinding may be related to qualities of the patient-practitioner relationship and treatment experience; a better understanding of blinding as a data safeguard is necessary.

Author details

¹Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, USA. ²New England School of Acupuncture, Newton, USA.

Published: 12 June 2012

doi:10.1186/1472-6882-12-S1-P201

Cite this article as: Quilty and Conboy: P02.145. Relationship of treatment beliefs to subject blinding: the case of a placebo-controlled RCT in Irritable Bowel Syndrome. *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine* 2012 12(Suppl 1):P201.

¹Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, USA
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article