Introduction

Preeclampsia is a common complication of pregnancy affecting 5–8% of all pregnant women. A clinical diagnosis of preeclampsia is traditionally defined as development of new onset hypertension and proteinuria after 20 weeks of gestation [1]. The current practice for management of patients with a suspicion for preeclampsia involves admission to the hospital for follow-up and management of elevated blood pressures, assessment of 24-hour urine for proteinuria and various blood tests for the evaluation of end-organ damage [2]. In women carrying preterm fetuses, prolongation of pregnancy is of clear benefit, but this is often dangerous to the pregnant woman herself for whom delivery is the only cure; balancing the needs of the fetus and the needs of the pregnant woman, therefore, becomes a significant clinical challenge. Accurate risk stratification is therefore critical in women with preterm preeclampsia for the appropriate management.
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Abstract

Background: The purpose of this study was to compare plasma soluble endoglin (sEng) levels with standard clinical evaluation or plasma levels of other angiogenic proteins [soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase 1 (sFlt1) and placental growth factor (PIGF)] in predicting short-term adverse maternal and perinatal outcomes in women with suspected preeclampsia presenting prior to 34 weeks.

Methods and Findings: Data from all women presenting at or <34 weeks for evaluation of preeclampsia with singleton pregnancies (July 2009–October 2010) were included in this analysis and sEng levels were measured at presentation. Data was analyzed for 170 triage encounters and presented as median (25–75th centile). Thirty-three percent of patients (56 of 170) experienced an adverse outcome. sEng levels (ng/ml) were significantly elevated in patients who subsequently experienced adverse outcomes compared to those who did not (32.3 (18.1, 55.8) vs 4.8 (3.2, 8.6), p < 0.0001). At a 10% false positive rate, sEng had higher detection rates of adverse outcomes than the combination of highest systolic blood pressure, proteinuria and abnormal laboratory tests (80.4 (70.0, 90.8) vs 63.8 (51.4, 76.2), respectively). Subjects in the highest quartile of sEng were more likely to deliver early compared to those in the lowest quartile (HR: 14.96 95% CI: 8.73–25.62, p < 0.0001). Natural log transformed sEng correlated positively with log sFlt1 levels (r = 0.87) and inversely with log PIGF levels (r = −0.79) (p < 0.0001 for both). Plasma sEng had comparable area under the curve for prediction of adverse outcomes as measurement of sFlt1/PIGF ratio (0.88 [0.81, 0.95] for sEng versus 0.89 [0.83, 0.95] for sFlt1/PIGF ratio, p = 0.74).

Conclusions: In women with suspected preeclampsia presenting prior to 34 weeks of gestation, sEng performs better than standard clinical evaluation in detecting adverse maternal and fetal outcomes occurring within two weeks of presentation. Soluble endoglin was strongly correlated with sFlt1 and PIGF levels, suggesting common pathogenic pathways leading to preeclampsia.
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Furthermore, in a significant percentage of patients who present with atypical signs and symptoms, risk stratification is important to allow for appropriate downstream testing and follow-up. Patients deemed at high risk for developing an adverse outcome need to be hospitalized often with transfer to a tertiary center for an anticipated preterm delivery, given betamethasone to promote fetal lung maturity, and monitored closely for maternal and fetal status to appropriately time delivery. Conversely, iatrogenic preterm delivery needs to be avoided in women at low risk of adverse outcomes.

Clinical studies have shown that it is challenging to predict adverse outcomes in women with a suspicion of preeclampsia [3,4,5]. In a recent study, von Dadelszen and colleagues used various clinical parameters in patients admitted with a diagnosis of preeclampsia to compute the risk of developing adverse outcome. Their final model included gestational age, serum creatinine, platelet count, aspartate aminotransferase, oxygen saturation and chest pain or dyspnea. The area under curve (AUC) for the prediction of adverse maternal outcomes within 48 hours of study eligibility was 0.88 (95% CI 0.84 to 0.92) and up to 7 days was >0.7 [6].

Data from our laboratory and others have shown that angiogenic factors are altered in women with preeclampsia [7]. It has been shown that levels of soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase (sFlt1) and soluble endoglin (sEng) are elevated while placental growth factor (PIGF) are reduced in women with diagnosis of preeclampsia and these levels correlate with disease severity and gestational age [8,9,10,11]. The availability of automated assays for sFlt1 and PIGF, has enabled researchers to determine the clinical utility of these markers in the diagnosis and management of preeclampsia [12,13,14,15,16].

While there are number of clinical studies evaluating the role of sFlt1 and PIGF in preeclampsia, there is a paucity of data

| Table 1. Characteristics of all Subjects and Stratified by Adverse Outcome Status. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Variable**    | **All patients**| **No Adverse Outcomes** | **Adverse Outcomes** | **p-value**     |
| N               | 170             | 114             | 56              |                 |
| **Baseline**    |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Gestational Age (weeks) | 31.1 (28.1, 32.9) | 30.9 (28.1, 32.9) | 31.9 (28.3, 32.9) | 0.60            |
| Age (years)     | 32 (27, 36)     | 32 (28, 36)     | 31 (27, 36)     | 0.37            |
| Body Mass Index (kg/m²) | 33.0 (29.2, 38.5) | 33.7 (30.3, 38.5) | 30.8 (28.0, 38.6) | 0.13            |
| Nulliparous (%) | 55.9 (95)       | 55.3 (63)       | 57.1 (32)       | 0.82            |
| Smoker (%)      | 8.2 (14)        | 7.9 (9)         | 8.9 (5)         | 0.82            |
| Race            |                 |                 |                 | 0.50            |
| White/Caucasian | 56.5 (96)       | 54.4 (62)       | 60.7 (34)       |                 |
| Black/African American | 20.6 (35) | 21.1 (24) | 19.6 (11) |                 |
| Asian           | 5.9 (10)        | 5.3 (6)         | 7.1 (4)         |                 |
| Other           | 17.0 (29)       | 19.3 (22)       | 12.5 (7)        |                 |
| History of Preeclampsia | 21.2 (36) | 21.1 (24) | 21.4 (12) | 0.96            |
| History of Chronic Hypertension | 31.8 (54) | 33.3 (38) | 28.6 (16) | 0.53            |
| History of Diabetes | 10.0 (17) | 11.4 (13) | 7.1 (4) | 0.38            |
| **Presentation**|                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Highest SBP in Triage (mmHg) | 140 (130, 150) | 135 (124, 144) | 149 (141, 160) | <0.0001*       |
| Highest DBP in Triage (mmHg) | 87 (77, 96) | 84 (74, 92) | 96 (86, 103) | <0.0001*       |
| Proteinuria, (%) N | 35.3 (60) | 19.3 (22) | 67.9 (38) | <0.0001*       |
| ALT in Triage (U/L) | 17 (12, 27) | 16 (12, 23) | 20 (13, 40) | 0.01*          |
| Creatinine in Triage (μmol/L) | 53.0 (44.2, 61.9) | 44.2 (44.2, 53.0) | 61.9 (44.2, 70.7) | 0.0001*       |
| Uric Acid in Triage (μmol/L) | 261.7 (220.1,339.0) | 243.9 (208.2,285.5) | 345.0 (273.6,398.5) | <0.0001*      |
| Platelet Count in Triage (10⁹/L) | 253 (205, 291) | 262 (227, 296) | 234 (166, 274) | 0.0002*       |
| **Outcomes**    |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Any Hypertensive Disorder | 24.1 (41) | 28.1 (32) | 16.1 (9) | 0.09            |
| Chronic HTN     | 17.1 (29)       | 23.7 (27)       | 3.6 (2)         | 0.001*         |
| Preeclampsia    | 31.8 (54)       | 8.8 (10)        | 78.6 (44)       | <0.0001*       |
| GA of delivery  | 35 (32, 37)     | 37 (35, 38)     | 32 (28, 33)     | <0.0001*       |
| Birth weight    | 2,550 (1,575, 3,270) | 2,958 (2,403, 3,365) | 1,460 (850, 1,805) | <0.0001*       |

SBP = Systolic Blood Pressure, DBP = Diastolic Blood Pressure, ALT = Alanine aminotransaminase, HTN = Hypertension.
Table shows median (quartile 1, quartile 3) or % (n) where appropriate.
Subjects without a populated endoglin value were eliminated from the current analysis.
*Significant at p<0.05 comparing patients with and without adverse outcomes.
To convert creatinine to mg/dL- divide by 88.4. To convert uric acid to mg/dL- divide by 59.48.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0048259.t001
demonstrating clinical utility for plasma sEng alterations in women with preeclampsia. sEng has also been previously shown to be elevated in women with established preeclampsia, especially in those presenting prematurely and/or in mothers carrying a growth restricted fetus [10,17,18]. In a cohort of patients presenting to the obstetrical triage unit prematurely (<34 weeks) that have been previously evaluated for sFlt1 and PlGF [15], we compared sEng with that of standard evaluation in the prediction of adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes occurring within 2 weeks of presentation. We also explored whether the addition of sEng to sFlt1/PlGF ratio improves the prediction of adverse outcomes.

Methods

Ethics Statement

The study was approved by the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Institutional Review Board (IRB), and all patients provided written informed consent.

Study Population

This is part of an ongoing prospective cohort study on all pregnant patients who present to obstetrical triage at Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (BIDMC), Boston, MA, as described elsewhere [15]. These patients were either referred by their obstetric provider because of signs of preeclampsia or self-presented with symptoms of preeclampsia. Patients were included if the triage care provider deemed an evaluation of preeclampsia necessary. Samples were collected within one hour of arrival to triage and stored at $-80\degree$C for analysis. For this current study, all singleton women presenting <34 weeks of gestation and enrolled between July 2009–October 2010 were included, as described elsewhere [15].

Measurement of soluble endoglin (sEng)

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for sEng was performed with commercially available kits, as previously described (R&D systems, Minneapolis, MN). Assays were performed in duplicate and values averaged. The correlation...
coefficient between duplicate results was 0.97. The intraassay and interassay coefficients of variation were 3.0 and 6.3%. Plasma levels of sFlt1 and PI GF in this same population have been previously published [15].

Clinical Data
All participants’ charts were reviewed to determine outcomes within two weeks of their initial preeclampsia evaluation. We chose a two week interval because previous studies have shown that the levels of angiogenic factors start to rise five weeks before clinical disease and peak two weeks prior to the manifestation of the clinical syndrome [0,19]. All available clinical data were collected during the two weeks after the initial preeclampsia evaluation including age, race, height, weight, smoking status, gestational age at the time of triage visit, clinical findings, blood pressure (BP), and the results of laboratory tests and fetal scans. All pregnancy outcomes including complications and delivery characteristics such as route of delivery, birth weight and diagnosis of hypertensive disorder were recorded.

Table 2. Detection of Adverse Outcomes in 2 Weeks using various clinical markers and sEng.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FPR</th>
<th>Highest BP</th>
<th>Highest BP + protein</th>
<th>Highest BP + Protein + Platelets + ALT + UA</th>
<th>Endoglin</th>
<th>Highest BP + Protein + Endoglin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>17.0 (7.4, 26.5)</td>
<td>28.8 (17.3, 40.4)</td>
<td>37.9 (25.4, 50.4)</td>
<td>57.1 (44.2, 70.1)</td>
<td>64.3 (51.7, 76.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>30.5 (18.8, 42.3)</td>
<td>50.9 (38.1, 63.6)</td>
<td>55.2 (42.4, 68.0)</td>
<td>69.6 (57.6, 81.7)</td>
<td>73.2 (61.6, 84.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>32.2 (20.3, 44.1)</td>
<td>61.0 (48.6, 73.5)</td>
<td>63.8 (51.4, 76.2)</td>
<td>80.4 (70.0, 90.8)</td>
<td>76.8 (65.7, 87.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FPR = False Positive Rate. The detection rate is the percentage of those with adverse outcomes in 2 weeks detected with the use of a given marker based on the FPR and its associated odds ratio cut-off. Confidence intervals were derived from the binomial distribution.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0048259.t002

Primary Outcome
The diagnoses of preeclampsia, gestational hypertension, and chronic hypertension were based on American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology (ACOG) criteria with some minor modifications [1]. Preeclampsia was defined as hypertension (a blood pressure ≥140/90 on two occasions 2 hours to 2 weeks apart) after 20 weeks of gestation and proteinuria of ≥300 mg/24 hour or urine protein to creatinine (P/C) ratio of ≥0.3 after 20 weeks of gestation. Gestational hypertension was defined as the presence of hypertension as defined above without proteinuria and chronic hypertension was defined as the presence of hypertension prior to 20 weeks of gestation. We diagnosed superimposed preeclampsia in women with chronic hypertension if there was a significant increase in blood pressure compared with baseline (≥30 mm Hg systolic, ≥15 mm Hg diastolic) in association with new-onset proteinuria (either 300 mg per 24 hours or urine P/C ratio of ≥0.3). If proteinuria was present at baseline, superimposed preeclampsia was diagnosed if there was doubling of urinary protein excretion after 20 weeks’ gestation in association with a significant increase in BP. Superimposed preeclampsia was also diagnosed if blood pressure was elevated and there were elevated liver enzymes (two times baseline) or a low platelet count (≤100×10^9/L).

Adverse maternal outcomes were defined as the presence of hypertension as defined above plus one of the following: elevated liver function test (LFT) including aspartate aminotransferase (AST) or alanine aminotransferase (ALT) (≥80 U/L), thrombocytopenia (platelet count ≤100×10^9/L), disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC), abruption (clinical and/or pathological), pulmonary edema, cerebral hemorrhage, seizure (in a woman without an underlying seizure disorder), acute renal failure (creatinine >132.6 µmol/L), or maternal death [20]. The adverse fetal/neonatal outcomes included iatrogenic delivery indicated for hypertensive complications of pregnancy as reported by the primary obstetrician, small for gestational age birth weight (≤10th percentile for gestational age), abnormal umbilical artery Doppler (absent or reverse flow), fetal death, and neonatal death [20]. The presence of adverse outcomes was determined by two study staff members without knowledge of the assay results. Diagnosis and adverse outcomes were ascertained within 2 weeks of presentation.

Figure 3. Kaplan-Meier estimates of time to delivery according to sEng quartiles. Kaplan-Meier survival function for time to delivery in all participants by quartile of sEng is depicted. Subjects remained in the analysis until delivery. Univariate Cox Proportional Hazard Models showed quartiles 3 and 4 of sEng had a significantly higher risk of early delivery (HR: 3.14, 95% CI: 1.99 – 4.98 and HR: 14.96, 95% CI: 8.73 – 25.62; both p<0.0001) compared to the lowest quartile. The second quartile showed no significant differences in time to delivery compared to quartile 1 (p = 0.07).
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0048259.g003

Statistical analysis
Baseline characteristics of patients with and without adverse outcomes were compared using the Wilcoxon rank sum and chi-square tests where appropriate. As the distributions of many of the clinical characteristics were highly skewed, baseline measures are presented as medians, 25th–75th percentile. The Wilcoxon rank sum test was also used to compare levels of sEng by diagnosis and type of adverse outcome. Pearson correlation coefficients were used to examine the associations between natural log transformed
sEng levels and predictors of preeclampsia while Spearman correlations were used to examine the relationship between sEng levels and other baseline factors. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis were used to evaluate significant predictors of adverse outcomes as well as to examine the predicted risk of adverse outcomes at different values of sEng. We computed the detection rate (the percentage of subjects with adverse outcomes within 2 weeks of triage by odds ratio cut-offs) at various false positive rates for sEng as well as various biomarkers. Confidence intervals for the detection rate were estimated using the binomial distribution. To determine the clinical utility of sEng we used receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis. To compare areas under the curve we used sFlt1, PIGF, and the sFlt1/PlGF ratio as the reference groups as these markers for adverse outcomes has been established previously. To find the best predictive value of adverse outcomes for various cut-points of sEng, we calculated sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV) defined as the proportion of sEng results above the cut-point that are true positives, and negative predictive value (NPV), the proportion of sEng results below the cut-point that are true negatives. Time to delivery by quartiles of sEng levels was visualized using Kaplan Meier curves and calculated using Cox Proportional Hazard Models. Delivery within 2 weeks by quartiles of sEng levels was analyzed using a chi-square test. SAS software, version 9.2 (SAS Institute), was used for all analyses. Two-tailed p-values of <0.05 were considered significant.

Results

Demographic and Clinical Characteristics

Demographics of all patients which are part of the original cohort are described elsewhere [15]. During the study period, 815 preeclampsia evaluations took place. One hundred seventy-six evaluations occurred at 34 weeks’ gestation. Of these evaluations, 6 were eliminated due to missing blood samples. Of the remaining 170 evaluations, 18 (10.6%) were repeat evaluations of women previously enrolled. Baseline characteristics at presentation to obstetric triage of all women and women who did and did not experience subsequent adverse outcomes are shown in Table 1.

Over a course of two weeks, adverse outcomes occurred in 32.9% of subjects (N = 56). The most common adverse outcome was indicated delivery (96.4%, N = 54). Of those patients with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>sEng (0.81, 0.95)</th>
<th>PIGF (0.80, 0.93)</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
<th>sFlt1 (0.80, 0.93)</th>
<th>p-value**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adverse Outcome</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elev LFT/Low PLT</td>
<td>0.82 (0.73, 0.91)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.69, 0.92)</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.85 (0.74, 0.96)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGA</td>
<td>0.93 (0.88, 0.98)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.88, 0.97)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.87 (0.79, 0.95)</td>
<td>0.003*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abruption</td>
<td>0.70 (0.40, 0.99)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.58, 0.94)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.80 (0.67, 0.92)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIC</td>
<td>0.85 (0.78, 0.93)</td>
<td>0.75 (0.32, 1.00)</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.88 (0.63, 1.00)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Model performs significantly different at p<0.05 comparing sEng to PIGF.
**Model performs significantly different at p<0.05 comparing sEng to sFlt1.
Elev LFT/Low PLT = elevated LFTs (aspartate aminotransferase and alanine aminotransferase)/ Low platelets.
SGA = small for gestational age, DIC = disseminated intravascular coagulation.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0048259.t003
adverse outcomes, 20% had fetal growth restriction and 27% had HELLP syndrome. Patients who had adverse outcome had higher systolic and diastolic blood pressures (p<0.0001), higher proteinuria (p<0.0001), higher alanine aminotransferase (p=0.01), higher uric acid (p<0.0001) and lower platelets (p=0.002) than women who did not experience an adverse outcome. (Table 1).

**sEng and subsequent clinical outcomes**

The median (25th–75th percentile) plasma sEng levels (ng/ml) were significantly higher in women who developed preeclampsia (30.2 [12.7, 55.7]) and gestational HTN (6.2 [4.5, 14.0]) compared with women with no hypertensive disorder (4.8 [3.5, 7.1]; p<0.0001, and p=0.04 respectively). The levels were similar in patients with chronic hypertension and normal pregnancy outcomes (Figure 1A).

Importantly, levels of plasma sEng (ng/ml) were higher in women who experienced any adverse outcome compared to women who did not (32.3 [18.1–55.8] vs 4.8 [3.2–8.6] p<0.0001). In the subgroup analysis, levels of sEng (ng/ml) were higher in women with elevated LFT/low platelet (32.9 [21.8, 40.0]), SGA (69.9 [32.8, 99.0]), and abruption (22.2 [21.8, 38.2]) compared to women with no adverse outcomes (4.8 [3.2, 8.6]; p<0.0001 for elevated LFT/low platelet and SGA and p=0.02 for abruption). (Figure 1B).

**Predictive accuracy of sEng**

Univariate logistic regression models greater sEng, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, proteinuria, uric acid, creatinine, and lower platelet count were associated with higher risk of adverse outcomes (p<0.0001 for sEng, SBP, DBP, proteinuria, and uric acid; p=0.008 for creatinine; p=0.006 for platelet count).

On multivariate analysis (controlling for maternal age, parity, BMI, and smoking status), only platelet count (p=0.047) and sEng (p=0.02) remained significantly associated with adverse outcomes. To evaluate dose response, we calculated the predictive risk of adverse outcomes by sEng level and noted that higher levels of sEng were associated with greater risk of adverse outcomes (Figure 2).

The rates of detection of adverse outcomes using various clinical markers each at different false positive rates are shown in Table 2. At each false positive rate, sEng had the highest detection rate compared to blood pressure, proteinuria, platelet count, alanine aminotransaminases and uric acid in different combinations. In addition, hypertension and proteinuria added to sEng did not substantially improve its detection of adverse events at higher false positive rates.

We performed receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis and found that AUC for sEng alone was 0.88 (0.81, 0.95) and 0.93 (0.89, 0.97) for sEng combined with blood pressure and proteinuria (p=0.03). Using a cut-off of 12 ng/ml for sEng a total of 107 (62.9%) subjects fell at or below the cut-off while 63 (37.1%) were above. This cut-off showed high diagnostic accuracy for adverse outcomes with a sensitivity of 80.4%, a specificity of 88.6%, a PPV of 77.6%, a NPV of 90.2%, a positive LR of 7.1 and negative LR of 0.2. Close examination of the false negatives suggested that 8 of 9 subjects that had adverse outcomes with low sEng values had no signs of end-organ damage, but had indicated delivery (Table S1). Among the false positives, 12/16 had preeclampsia related delivery ≤37 weeks beyond 2 weeks (average time between triage evaluation and outcome was 5.2 weeks) (Table S2). Subjects at or below the cut-off had significantly higher BMI (p=0.001) and platelet count (p<0.0001) and lower systolic blood pressure (p<0.0001), ALT (p=0.003), creatinine (p<0.0001), and uric acid (p<0.0001).

**sEng and time to delivery**

Kaplan-Meier curves depicting cumulative probability of remaining undelivered for women in the four quartiles for sEng levels are shown in Figure 3. The highest sEng levels (4th quartile) were associated with shorter time to delivery. The proportion of patients that delivered within 2 weeks was 90.4% among those patients with sEng levels in the 4th quartile (highest levels), as compared to 14.3% among those with sEng levels in the 1st quartile (lowest levels) (p<0.0001). Those in the highest quartile of sEng were 15 times more likely to deliver early compared to those in the lowest quartile (HR: 14.96 95% CI: 8.75–25.62, p<0.0001) This relationship was slightly attenuated but remained significant after adjustment for gestational age at presentation, highest systolic blood pressure measured in triage, and proteinuria at presentation (HR: 8.10 95% CI: 4.49–14.64, p<0.0001).

**sEng and other angiogenic factors**

Natural log transformed levels of sEng were positively correlated with log sFlt1 (r = 0.87, p<0.0001) and inversely to log PlGF (rs = −0.79, p<0.0001) Figure 4A and 4B. Similarly, levels of sEng were found to be strongly correlated with timing of delivery, BP in triage, serum creatinine, and UA (rs = −0.70, 0.44, 0.34, 0.49 all p<0.0001). sEng showed comparable performance to sFlt1, PlGF and sFlt1/PlGF for the prediction of adverse outcomes. Addition of sEng to sFlt1/PlGF ratio did not improve the sensitivity of the ratio. (Table 3 and 4).
Discussion

In this clinical study, we demonstrate that plasma sEng levels were associated with adverse maternal and perinatal outcomes among women presenting to obstetric triage with suspicion of preterm preeclampsia. In particular, sEng was 10-fold elevated in women carrying a growth restricted fetus. Furthermore, levels of sEng were strongly associated with other predictors of preeclampsia-related adverse outcomes similar to sFlt1 and PlGF levels suggesting common pathogenetic pathways leading to preeclampsia [15]. sEng performed better than current clinical standards showing high sensitivity and specificity, however it did not improve the sensitivity of other angiogenic biomarkers (sFlt1/PIGF ratio) for prediction of adverse maternal and or fetal outcomes.

Since the conventional clinical parameters lack sufficient sensitivity and specificity [4,5], it is desirable to identify a biomarker whose levels bear a close relationship to the probability of developing adverse outcomes in women with suspicion of preeclampsia. This is akin to the use of troponin for rapid and reliable diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with chest pain [21,22]. Our findings in this prospective cohort indicate that, in patients first presenting to obstetric triage elevated sEng levels predict an increased risk of developing preeclampsia related adverse outcomes including indicated preterm delivery. sEng levels provide prognostic information beyond that supplied by demographic characteristics and clinical presentation. Incorporation of sEng in evaluation of these patients may allow early identification of patients at risk for adverse outcomes necessitating timely transfer to a tertiary care center, administration of betamethasone and also potentially reducing unnecessary admissions and intervention.

Our findings extend the observation of benefits of measuring angiogenic factors in patients with suspicion of preeclampsia [15,17,23]. In comparison to Chaivorapongsa et al or Verlhoren et al [17,23] our study was performed prospectively with analysis of adverse maternal and perinatal outcomes rather than the diagnosis of preeclampsia. These findings also have important implications for the prediction of preeclampsia. Measurement of angiogenic factors early in pregnancy has limited use for prediction of preeclampsia with the exception of early-onset preeclampsia [24,25]. It has therefore been argued that preeclampsia has “multiple” etiologies. Our previous work [15] and now findings from this article strongly contrasts that view. Thirty years ago Fisher et al performing clinical pathological correlation (renal biopsies) studies suggested the clinical diagnosis of preeclampsia was erroneous in 15% of nulliparas and nearly 40% of multiparas [26]. Prediction studies, where the diagnosis of preeclampsia was made by hypertension and proteinuria were therefore bound to have poor results whatever marker tested. Our findings when combined with results from animal studies using sFlt1 and sEng to produce preeclampsia phenotypes (including endotheliosis) [27,28,29] provide strong evidence for a single entity that correlates with adverse maternal and fetal outcomes. It may therefore be worthwhile to re-define preeclampsia based on a biochemical definition that incorporates angiogenic factors and then use this as a gold standard for the prediction studies.

Several limitations of our study must be acknowledged. The study population was a selected, single center, high-risk group of women with suspicion of preeclampsia. Although approximately 33% percent of the patients developed an adverse outcome within 2 weeks, the most common adverse outcome was indicated delivery. Only a small number of patients developed serious adverse outcomes related to end organ damage such as HELLP syndrome or acute renal failure. Additionally, we could not evaluate rare adverse outcomes such as eclampsia or fetal death because of limited sample size. In our study, we also did not evaluate subjective components such as experience of the physicians, individual attitudes and opinions that might have influenced the decision to delivery. While sFlt1 and PlGF can now be measured on an automated platform [12], the time required for measurement of sEng by manual ELISA limits its value as a diagnostic or prognostic tool for short-term use. Rapid automated assays that quantify the levels of sEng may need to be developed but cutoff values must be established that will yield equally compelling prognostic information.

At preterm gestational ages, safely prolonging pregnancy is associated with significant fetal benefits, therefore it is of utmost importance to find a biomarker that is sensitive and reliable so as not to end pregnancies sooner than necessary. In addition, healthcare costs associated with unnecessary admissions, multiple evaluations and the morbidity related to iatrogenic premature delivery could be reduced by using a test with a high negative predictive value. This study shows that the sEng levels measured in triage is a powerful, independent marker of risk in patients with preeclampsia and related adverse outcomes. Using the current clinical criteria for diagnosing hypertension and proteinuria along with sEng levels may facilitate accurate risk assessment of these patients. Larger studies needs to be done to determine whether use of angiogenic biomarkers in triage will effectively identify women at true risk of adverse outcomes and will allow physicians to safely delay deliveries in patients with favorable angiogenic profiles.

Supporting Information

Table S1 Clinical features of participants with sEng <12 (ng/ml) at presentation who experienced adverse outcomes within 2 weeks. (DOC)

Table S2 Clinical features of participants with sEng ≥12 (ng/ml) at presentation, but no adverse outcomes occurring within 2 weeks. (DOC)
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