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Abstract

The spatial and temporal control of chromosome duplication and segregation is crucial for proper cell division. While this process is well studied in eukaryotic and some prokaryotic organisms, relatively little is known about it in prokaryotic polyploids such as Synechococcus elongatus PCC 7942, which is known to possess one to eight copies of its single chromosome. Using a fluorescent repressor-operator system, S. elongatus chromosomes and chromosome replication forks were tagged and visualized. We found that chromosomal duplication is asynchronous and that the total number of chromosomes is correlated with cell length. Thus, replication is independent of cell cycle and coupled to cell growth. Replication events occur in a spatially random fashion. However, once assembled, replisomes move in a constrained manner. On the other hand, we found that segregation displays a striking spatial organization in some cells. Chromosomes transiently align along the major axis of the cell and timing of alignment was correlated to cell division. This mechanism likely contributes to the non-random segregation of chromosome copies to daughter cells.
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Introduction

Genomic DNA replication and segregation are fundamental processes crucial for survival for all organisms. This process has been well studied in many bacterial species, including Escherichia coli [1], Bacillus subtilis [2–4], and Caulobacter crescentus [5,6]. Most of these organisms possess a single copy of one, two or three different chromosomes (Fig. 1A, I-III). In contrast, the cyanobacterium Synechococcus elongatus PCC 7942 has multiple copies of its single chromosome – estimates suggest between three to six copies [7,8] (Fig. 1A, IV). To date, little is known about the dynamics of replication and segregation in prokaryotes with multiple copies of a single chromosome.

Most studies of replication and segregation so far have been conducted in monoploid bacterial species. In many of these organisms, replication timing and synchrony is strictly regulated [9]. In E. coli, for example, all origins fire synchronously at a fixed cell size per origin (initiation mass) that is independent of the growth rate [5,10]. Synchrony is tightly coupled to cell division cycles and ensures that daughter cells receive the correct number of chromosomes. However, regulating timing of replication may not be as important for proper cell division in polyploid organisms.

In addition to timing of replication, the spatial localization of replication is important for proper cell division. In E. coli, newly synthesized chromosomes appear in the cell center or at the quartile points along the long axis of the cell [11]. Replication forks appear at the origin of replication, separate into two sister replisomes that migrate to opposite cell halves as replication proceeds and returns to mid-cell as replication ends [11]. In C. crescentus, replisomes move towards the middle from the cell poles [12]. In this study, we probe the localization dynamics of chromosome replication in S. elongatus. We also investigate the stringency of the spatial organization of replication.

It has been suggested that organisms with multiple chromosomes do not require an active segregation mechanism, since given a large number of chromosomes, it is likely that each daughter cell will receive at least one copy [4]. This is analogous to high-copy plasmid systems, which typically lack an active segregation mechanism [13].

In order to better understand chromosome replication and segregation in the polyploid organism, S. elongatus, we tagged and visualized the chromosome and the replisome using a fluorescent repressor-operator system. Chromosome count and localization data was collected. We probed the spatial organization of S. elongatus chromosome segregation and found that, contrary to
previously suggested models, a surprising alignment occurs during the process. In addition, we calculated the timing of replisomes and the diffusive dynamics of replication. We found that chromosome number correlates with cell length but that chromosome duplication timing is asynchronous. Thus, while duplication is correlated to cell length, it is not coupled to cell division. Spatially, chromosome duplication occurs at random locations in the cell, but movement of each individual replisome remains confined after initiation. Together, these results elucidate chromosomal replication and segregation dynamics in a polyploid prokaryote.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial Strains and Growth Conditions

The wild-type Synechococcus elongatus PCC 7942 strain was acquired from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). S. elongatus cells were grown in solid BG11 medium following standard protocols with an illumination of 2000 lux at 30°C [14]. S. elongatus were transformed following standard protocols by incubating cells overnight in the dark with 100 ng of plasmid DNA and plating on selective media [15]. Antibiotics (kanamycin, spectinomycin, or chloramphenicol) were used at a concentration of 5 μg/ml. To prevent disruption of chromosome replication during growth, 200 μM isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) was added to the media. Cells were then replica plated onto media with 50 μM IPTG [19] for visualization and further experiments.

Plasmid Construction

All cloning, unless otherwise stated, was done using a Biobrick-like strategy (SpeI as the upstream site and XbaI-HindIII-NotI as the downstream sites) [16]. 21 bp lacO operator sites were assembled with random ten bp spacers. lacO arrays were integrated either near the origin of replication (NS1) or the predicted terminus in the S. elongatus chromosome. 10 bp spacers with random sequences were inserted between the operator sites to avoid recombination (black). The protein fusion LacI-GFP (blue and green) bound to multiple repeats of its cognate lacO operator site (pink). (C) The fluorescent repressor-operator system from (B) was transformed into S. elongatus. The origins of replication of each chromosome appear as foci (green) in cells (red) when imaged using wide field fluorescence microscopy. Origins of replication are seen throughout the cell. (D) Cells with lacO arrays integrated near the putative terminus region at 1.59 Mb in the genome were visualized. Foci appear throughout the cell, similar to (C).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047837.g001

Figure 1. Chromosomes in the polyploid bacterium S. elongatus can be visualized using a fluorescent repressor-operator system. (A) Bacteria contain different genomic arrangements. Here, each color represents a different chromosome. They can possess a single copy of one chromosome (I), or have multipartite genomes (II-III) with one large chromosome (red) and one or more smaller chromosomes (green and blue). Some species of bacteria, such as cyanobacteria Synechococcus elongatus PCC 7942, are polyploid. That is, they have multiple complete copies of one chromosome (IV). (B) Chromosomes can be tagged and observed in vivo using a fluorescent repressor-operator system. lacO arrays were integrated either near the origin of replication (NS1) or the predicted terminus in the S. elongatus chromosome. 10 bp spacers with random sequences were inserted between the operator sites to avoid recombination (black). The protein fusion LacI-GFP (blue and green) bound to multiple repeats of its cognate lacO operator site (pink). (C) The fluorescent repressor-operator system from (B) was transformed into S. elongatus. The origins of replication of each chromosome appear as foci (green) in cells (red) when imaged using wide field fluorescence microscopy. Origins of replication are seen throughout the cell. (D) Cells with lacO arrays integrated near the putative terminus region at 1.59 Mb in the genome were visualized. Foci appear throughout the cell, similar to (C).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047837.g001

Image Acquisition and Analysis

Cells were plated onto BG11+50 μM IPTG [19] +2% agarose pads, which were transferred to a glass bottom dish (MatTek) for imaging. A Nikon TE-2000 microscope with a 100×1.4 numerical aperture objective equipped with an ORCA-ER CCD camera was used. Image acquisition utilized custom software, written using MATLAB (Mathworks), which interfaced with the microscope control package μManager [20]. Lighting necessary for cell growth during time lapse microscopy was controlled via a network AC power controller (IP Power 9258T), which also interfaced with MATLAB. Image analysis was performed using ImageJ [21], custom software written in MATLAB using the Image Processing Toolbox, and MicrobesTracker [22]. Cells were segmented using...
phase contrast images and cell size was calculated. Chromosomes were identified as foci in fluorescent images and their location and number calculated. Tracking and segmentation were verified manually and corrected as necessary.

**Single-Stranded-Binding (SSB) Protein Visualization**

SSB protein genes were cloned from *S. elongatus*, fused to mOrange, and cloned into the neutral site 3 vector using methods described above. The resulting plasmid was transformed into cyanobacteria either alone or with the LacI-lacO plasmid and visualized using methods described above.

**Fluorescently Labeled Nucleotides Incorporation and Imaging**

Cells were grown in the presence of 0.3% pluronic F-68 to OD750 = 0.4. Pluronic F-68 concentration was then elevated to 3% and fluorescently labeled nucleotides tetramethylrhodamine-5′-2′-deoxy-uridine-5′-triphosphate (Roche) were added at a final concentration of 3 μM. After growth to late log phase, cells were washed in PBS and imaged as described above.

**Results**

**Fluorescent Tagging of the Genome Using a Repressor-operator System Reveals the Spatial Localization of Origins and Termini of Chromosomes in vivo**

Organization of chromosomes has been studied in cyanobacteria using DAPI and fixed-cell staining methods [23,24]. However, these methods only give a static and low-resolution image of chromosome localization. In order to visualize and quantify chromosome dynamics in vivo, we used a fluorescent repressor-operator system (Fig. 1B). This system uses fluorescently-tagged DNA-binding proteins that bind to their cognate recognition sequences. Multiple proteins bound to operator arrays then appear as foci when imaged using fluorescence microscopy [25,26]. In our case, we used the LacI repressor fused to either yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) or the superfolder variant of the green fluorescent protein (GFP) as our DNA binding protein [27]. Simultaneously, an array of 240 lacO operator sites [1] was inserted using homologous recombination at various positions in the chromosome (Fig. 1B).

The precise location of the origin of replication (*oriC*) and the terminus region (*ter*) was predicted using the program Ori-Finder on the *S. elongatus* chromosome [28] and was recently confirmed with experimental data [29]. This analysis revealed that the origin of replication is located at the region defined as the start in the current chromosome sequence from NCBI. It is the intergenic region between *dnaN* and *cbbZp* and contains 11 *dnaA* boxes (consensus sequence TTTTTCACA) [28]. Interestingly, the *dnaA* gene, which is usually found near *oriC* in other species, was found elsewhere in the genome (1.1 Mb). The terminus region was not clearly defined via either GC skew or base disparity [28]. Due to the highly recombinant nature of the *S. elongatus* genome, the GC skew plot does not display a clear V-shape typical of organisms such as *E. coli* [30]. We reasoned that the terminus would be close to the region with the highest peak of GC disparity (Fig. S1, green line) so we inserted the lacO array at 1.59 Mb in the chromosome.

Visualization of tagged *oriC* showed multiple distinct foci throughout the cell (Fig. 1C). This is unlike previous observations of *oriC* localization in *E. coli* and *B. subtilis*, where *oriC* were replicated and maintained at the poles of cells [31,32]. Tagged termini also displayed multiple foci throughout the cell (Fig. 1D), whereas previously, termini in *E. coli* were found to migrate from poles to mid-cell during cell division [33]. Also, the origin and terminus in *E. coli* are spatially separate, confined to distinct regions of the cell [1]. We did not find such spatial specificity in *Synechococcus* (Fig. 1D, bottom). Together, these data confirm earlier studies that *S. elongatus* does indeed have multiple copies of its chromosome throughout the cell cycle [34]. They also reveal that the localization of the *oriC* and terminus in *Synechococcus* is distinct from that in other bacteria. The strains with the array integrated near the *oriC* showed a better signal-to-noise-ratio, so this strain was used in subsequent experiments.

**Chromosome Duplication is Correlated to Cell Length and not Coupled to Cell Division**

Using a custom made algorithm developed in MATALAB, we quantified the number of fluorescent foci (tagged *oriC*), representing the number of chromosome copies in each cell. The algorithm also allowed us to quantify cell boundaries and cell length using phase contrast images.

We found that the chromosome copy number distribution was not significantly different from a log-normal distribution (*n* = 681, χ² goodness of fit test, *h* = 0, *p* = 0.2621) with a mean of 4.62 copies per cell and a median and mode of 4 copies per cell (Fig. 2A). Cells harbored 1–10 chromosome copies. Interestingly, some cells contained an odd number of chromosomes and chromosome copy numbers other than 2^n copies. This observation does not fit the model typically observed in single replicon prokaryotes, where replication occurs synchronously [9]. Instead, it is similar to observations of asynchrony in *E. coli* replication mutants [35]. The chromosome copy numbers hint at asynchronous DNA replication, supporting previous findings of constant DNA synthesis rate over time; that is, DNA replication is not coupled to cell division [34].

We found that larger cells contained higher number of chromosome copies compared to smaller cells, strongly supporting a model where chromosomes replicate at a constant rate during growth. By observing chromosome numbers in growing cells, we found a linear correlation between cell length and number of chromosomes (*n* = 660, *r*² = 0.57, *p* <0.001) (Fig. 2B, red line). These results suggest that chromosome duplication is correlated to cell growth.

**Replication Timing is Asynchronous: Only One Replisome is found in Most Cells at Any Given Time**

In order to better understand the timing and spatial localization of single replication events in *vivo*, we fluorescently tagged single-stranded-binding (SSB) proteins. SSB proteins play a fundamental role during chromosome replication, coating single-stranded DNA that is temporarily exposed; thereby, preventing it from degradation [36]. Approximately 30 SSB proteins localize to the replisome in *E. coli*, and this method has been used extensively to track replisomes in other organisms [11,37].

Cyanobacterial SSB protein was fused to mOrange and expressed in cells with the origin of replication tagged with GFP (as described above). We found that SSB foci (SSB-mOrange) were co-localized with Origin-GFP foci present in the cell (Fig. 3A), indicating that tagging did not interfere with SSB function. SSB foci, therefore, correspond to active chromosome replication occurring in the cell. We found that at any given time, 85% of cells contain just one replisome, while 13.6% have two and only 1.3% have three (Fig. 3B). Since most cells contain only one actively replicating chromosome (SSB-mOrange foci) but more than one chromosome (Origin-GFP foci), our data show that, in most cells, only one copy of the chromosome is being replicated at any given
Chromosome Dynamics in a Polyploid Bacterium

Chromosome Duplication is Spatially Randomly Distributed

Since we found that cells containing multiple chromosomes typically replicate a single chromosome at a time (Fig. 3), we hypothesized that new chromosomes may be synthesized at a particular location in the cell, either at the poles or mid-cell. We investigated the spatial localization of the replication event and of newly synthesized chromosomes to determine if there is a spatial preference for replication.

To accomplish this, we segmented cells using a custom MATLAB algorithm and sub-segmented each cell along the major axis into 20 smaller regions. SSB loci location was distributed into these bins based on their distance from the pole of the cell along the major axis (Fig. 4A). This distance was normalized to the total length of the cell. We found that the distribution of SSB localization was not significantly different from a uniform distribution (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, h = 0, p = 0.2621). Most cells contain 4 chromosomes with values ranging from 1 to 10. (B) Chromosome copy number is correlated to cell length (n = 660, r = 0.7519, p < 0.001), suggesting chromosome duplication is coupled to cell growth.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047837.g002

time. This, along with chromosome number data (Fig. 2A) suggests that replication occurs asynchronously in S. elongatus.

Replication Fork Movement is Constrained

We concluded that replisomes appear at random locations throughout the cell, but how does their localization change over the course of a single duplication process? To investigate dynamic behavior of replisomes, time lapse imaging of SSB foci was used to track movement of replication forks. We followed replisomes over time, tracking individual foci every 5 seconds. We calculated a diffusion coefficient of $6.24 \times 10^{-6} \pm 4 \times 10^{-6}$ $\mu m^2/s$ (n = 20) for replication forks, similar to the reported values for E. coli ($\sim 10^{-4} \mu m^2/s$) [11,41].

In order to determine whether movement is constrained over the time scale of a complete replication cycle, we tracked replisomes for one hour, acquiring images every two minutes (Fig. 4C). We found that replisomes were confined to a region of the cytoplasm, remaining close to their initial position. This behavior was observed in cells containing one or two replisomes, suggesting that this is not merely the result of observing two strands of the same chromosome. The small amount of movement over the time scale of replication suggests that replisome motion is constrained to the local cytoplasmic region in which it assembled. This is distinct from E. coli or C. crescentus in which replication follows directed motion between mid-cell and the edges of the cell. The data suggest that chromosomes areaved through the replisomes rather than replisomes tracking along the chromosome. Together, these data give us an understanding of the spatial organization of chromosome duplication in S. elongatus: duplication starts in random locations throughout the cell and as duplication proceeds, the replisomes remain stationary.

Chromosomes Transiently Align During the Cell Cycle

The lack of spatial preference of duplication events supports the current model that organisms with multiple chromosome copies do not have an active chromosome segregation system [4]. It is currently believed that, just as in a high copy number plasmid
system [13], a large number of chromosomal copies abolishes the need for an active segregation system since it is highly likely that each daughter cell obtains at least one copy by random. We surmised that our GFP-lacI-lacO method of visualizing chromosome dynamics in vivo would provide further insights into the dynamics of multiple chromosomes during segregation and allow us to follow up on previous works [24]. We found striking spatial organization, contrary to earlier models of random chromosome segregation in polyploid organisms.

Cells in a freely growing population displayed one of two phenotypes. Most of the cells displayed randomly localized chromosomes (85%, n = 289) (Fig. 5A right). However, some cells displayed chromosomes aligned along the major axis of the cell (Fig. 5A left). This surprising behavior prompted us to analyze the spatial arrangement of the chromosome copies over time. We tracked growing cells every hour for eight hours after which we could no longer distinguish signal from background (Fig. 5B). We found that chromosomes transiently aligned during the cell cycle: collapsing towards the middle and aligning evenly spaced along
the major axis of the cell (Fig. 5B, 4 hrs, yellow arrows). Shortly after (1 hr), spatial arrangement was lost. This transient spatial arrange-
ment took place either one or two times during the acquisition
window of 8 hours. In some of the cases, partial alignment was
observed; that is, not all of the chromosomes aligned. This process
was correlated to cell division, hinting that this process is mainly
driven by the cell’s commitment to division and may help maintain
high fidelity chromosome segregation.

Chromosomes are Non-randomly Segregated

The transient alignment of chromosomes prompted us to
hypothesize that chromosome segregation is not random, and that
cells harboring the transient alignment have a high fidelity of
chromosome segregation. This would be analogous to the spatial
organization previously found regulating segregation of carboxy-
somes, microcompartments involved in the “carbon concentrating
mechanism” in \textit{S. elongatus} [42]. If true, chromosome segregation
organization would be another example of order in bacteria,
which were previously thought to be homogenous “bags of
protein” with little to no internal organization [43]. To test our
hypothesis, we assayed chromosome segregation by quantifying
the number of chromosomes each daughter cell inherited after
cytokinesis of mother cells (n = 48) that had 8 chromosome copies
(Fig. 5C). We found a striking difference between the experimental
results and a predicted binomial distribution based on random
segregation to daughter cells (Fig. 5C Lilliefors test, P
\textless 0.001).

Cells with 7, 9, and 10 chromosome copies were likewise found to
undergo nonrandom segregation (Lilliefors test, P
\textless 0.001).

Discussion

The goal of this research was to understand the dynamics of
chromosome replication and segregation in \textit{S. elongatus}. To do so,
we used the GFP-lacI-lacO fluorescent repressor-operator system
to visualize chromosomes \textit{in vivo}. Similar analyses have been
performed in other bacterial species, including \textit{E. coli}, and \textit{B.
subtilis} [1,44]. Here, however, we investigate live chromosomal
dynamics in a bacterial species with multiple chromosomes.
Having multiple copies of a single chromosome changes the
parameters of the biological problem of replication and segrega-
tion that the organism must solve in order to successfully pass on
its genetic information. The replication and segregation organi-
zation we found in \textit{S. elongatus} differs from that in \textit{E. coli} or other
bacteria, and the difference can be attributed to the different
challenges these bacteria face in passing on chromosomes to the
next generation.

Bacterial chromosomal DNA is compacted into a nucleoid. In
\textit{E. coli}, the terminus and the origin can be overlapping or far apart
from each other depending on the timing of replication and the
cell cycle [43]. In our study, we labeled either the terminus or the
origin of replication and analyzed origin movement in replication
and segregation; however, different parts of the chromosome may
be localized differently. We could not maintain the strain with the
volume of the nucleoid decreasing the likelihood of SSB foci found at
the edges of rod shaped cells. (B) To confirm the random localization of
chromosome duplication, newly synthesized chromosomes were
visualized using fluorescent nucleotide incorporation. Fluorescent foci
also show a uniform distribution (KS test, h = 0, p = 0.1027, k = 0.0579),
confirming the results shown in (A). (C) Two replisomes (red and green)
were tracked over the time scale of a complete replication cycle with
images taken every two minutes. Replisomes show restricted occupa-
tion of domains in the cytoplasm, remaining close to their initial
position, suggesting that chromosomes are spooled through the
replisomes.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047837.g004
lacO array integrated at the terminus regions for long periods of time and we speculate that this may be due to a higher level of recombination occurring in that region of the chromosome. Integrations at other regions of the chromosome will clarify whether this is a global trend or specific to that region of the chromosome.

Our studies suggest that S. elongatus chromosome origins are randomly distributed throughout the cell. This is in contrast to specific localization of origins and termini in other bacterial species. In E. coli for example, the oriC is localized mid-cell and the terminus region is located at the poles of the cells [32]. In C. crescentus, both the oriC and ter are located at the poles [46]. In V. cholerae, one of its two origins is localized to the mid-cell, and the other is at the pole [47]. B. subtilis origins are located at the poles [31]. In these other species, replicated chromosomes initially occupy the same regions and require separation for proper segregation to occur. The relative positions of S. elongatus origin and terminus are still unclear. Two color experiments with tagging at both the terminus and origin (or other regions of the chromosomes) would help elucidate details of replication and segregation: how the DNA strand is situated within the cell during different phases of replication and segregation. It will also answer

Figure 5. Chromosomes align transiently before non-random segregation occurs. (A) Chromosomes in cells visualized through LacI-GFP in an NS1-lacO background showed two different spatial arrangements. The chromosomes are either aligned along the major axis of the cell (I) or randomly localized (II). (B) Time-lapse imaging of single cells revealed a transient alignment of the chromosomes (4 hours, yellow arrows) approximately three hours before the cell entered cytokinesis. (C) Almost all sibling cells descended from mother cells containing eight chromosomes inherit four chromosomes, thus chromosome segregation is highly non-random.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047837.g005
how compact and region-excluded each chromosome is from its neighbors. The chromosomes may occupy distinct territories as has been previously observed in DAPI stained chromosomes [24]. The existence of local regions occupied by a single nucleoid can be advantageous for an organism with multiple chromosomes as it can undergo cytokinesis without the need to untangle chromosomes using FtsK or similar proteins.

Chromosome replication and cell division are fundamentally linked in most organisms [48], that is, replication must occur exactly once before (or during) cell division. Both events are believed to be regulated by mass doubling time, i.e. how long it takes for a cell to grow to double its mass [5]. Contrary to these studies in other organisms, asynchrony of chromosome replication has been observed in *S. elongatus* [8,29]. Indeed, recently, Watanabe et al. showed that cyanobacterial chromosomes replicate asynchronously based on mapping analysis and fixed cell staining methods [29]. They also suggested that while replication is still coupled to cell division (peaking at a few hours before cell division occurs), it is less stringently coupled than in *E. coli* or *B. subtilis*. In addition, cell division has been found to be gated by circadian rhythm [34], that is, cyanobacteria do not divide at night. Thus, timing of chromosome dynamics may be regulated by the circadian cycle. We found that replication in *S. elongatus* is independent of cell division and instead correlated to cell length. We also found that chromosomes were replicated multiple times every cell division. Both replication and cell division may still be dependent on cell mass, but if so, the threshold of activation is much lower for chromosome replication than it is for cell division in *S. elongatus*. Observing chromosome copy number in cells where cytokinesis is inhibited may uncouple these dependencies. In addition, growing cells in multiple conditions leading to different cell division rates would also clarify the dependence between cell growth and chromosome copy number.

Several mechanisms ensure chromosomal replication takes place once every cell cycle and simultaneously from all origins in *E. coli* as well as in other prokaryotes [35,45]. These regulatory cellular processes give rise to a chromosome copy distribution where all possible values can be written in the form 2^n, where n is an integer. A Gaussian-like distribution of chromosome copy number similar to the one we observed has been found in *E. coli DnaA* mutants, which have disrupted initiation of replication [35,49]. Such a distribution could arise from the inability of some chromosomes to complete a single round of replication after the cell initiates synchronous replication. Alternatively, asynchronous initiation could also result in distributions containing copy numbers other than 2^n. We found that most cells only have one replisome and therefore only one actively replicating chromosome at a time. This supports asynchronous initiation as the mechanism that resulted in the observed Gaussian distribution of chromosome copy number.

There are two competing models for how replisomes proceed in DNA replication [37]. The first is the independent replisome...
model, in which replisomes and replication forks track along the stationary chromosome. The second is the spoolling replisome model, in which DNA is “spooled” through relatively stationary replisomes. A variation of the spoolling model is the factory model, in which DNA is “spooled” through relatively stationary replisomes and replication forks track along the stationary chromosome. The second is the spoolling replisome model, in which replisomes and replication forks track along the stationary chromosome.

The spatial organization of chromosome origins along the major axis of the cell was observed for short periods (< 1 hr) of the cell cycle. This spatial organization of chromosomes is surprisingly similar to eukaryotic mitosis, in which chromosomes align during metaphase before migration to the poles. However, in our current study, an active mechanism maintaining the organization was missing. Most other mechanisms of prokaryotic spatial organization studied such as carboxysome localization in *S. elongatus* [42] as well as low-copy plasmid segregation in *E. coli* [30,51], are maintained constantly throughout the cell cycle. We speculate that *S. elongatus* may not require an active segregation mechanism throughout the entire cell cycle in part because entropic forces may not be sufficiently disruptive to the arrangement of chromosomes post alignment and before cytokinesis. That is, due to having multiple chromosomes, *S. elongatus* do not have a stringent segregation problem and may not need constant organization. The transient alignment may enrich for rather than actively impose even segregation.

Conclusions
We have shown that the multiple copies of the chromosomes in *S. elongatus* can be tagged and tracked in living cells. Our model and findings are summarized in Fig. 6. Chromosomes are replicated in a linear-like fashion correlated with cell length in growing cells. By tracking replisomes in vivo we show that chromosome replication takes place in a confined region of the cytoplasm in accordance with the spoolling replisome model and that chromosome replication does not happen preferentially in specific locations of the cell. Finally, we show *S. elongatus* segregates chromosomes to daughter cells in a non-random fashion, which we speculate may be the result of a cellular process that transiently organizes the chromosomes just before completion of cell division.

While this manuscript was in preparation, Jain et. al independently came to similar conclusions [52].

Supporting Information

Figure S1 GC disparity mapped the terminus region of the *S. elongatus* chromosome. Due to its highly recombinant nature, the genome of *S. elongatus* gives rise to a plot that does not display a clear V-shaped curve typical of organisms such as *E. coli*. We reasoned that the terminus region would be present within the vicinity of highest peak of GC disparity (green line) so we looked for a region amenable for integration and inserted a 240 repeat lacO-array in a region located at 1.59 Mb in the chromosome. (TIF)
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