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We have demonstrated that treating diet-induced obese (DIO) mice with the vasopeptidase inhibitor ilepatril improved neural function. Vasopeptidase inhibitors block angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) and neutral endopeptidase (NEP) activity. We propose that increased activity of ACE and NEP contributes to pathophysiology of DIO. To address this issue C57Bl/6J mice or mice deficient in NEP were fed a high-fat diet and treated with ilepatril, enalapril, ACE inhibitor, or candoxatril, NEP inhibitor, using both prevention and intervention protocols. Endpoints included glucose utilization and neural function determinations. In the prevention study glucose tolerance was impaired in DIO C57Bl/6J mice and improved with ilepatril or enalapril. Sensory nerve conduction velocity, thermal nociception, and intraepidermal nerve fiber density were impaired in DIO C57Bl/6J mice and improved with ilepatril or candoxatril. In the intervention study only enalapril improved glucose tolerance. Sensory nerve conduction velocity and intraepidermal nerve fiber density were improved by all three treatments, whereas thermal nociception was improved by ilepatril or candoxatril. In NEP-deficient mice DIO impaired glucose utilization and this was improved with enalapril. Nerve function was not impaired by DIO in NEP-deficient mice. These studies suggest that ACE and NEP play a role in pathophysiology associated with DIO.

1. Introduction

Previously we reported that deletion of neutral endopeptidase (NEP) provides protection from obesity- and diabetes-induced neural complications [1]. We have also shown that treating obese and streptozotocin-diabetic mice with the vasopeptidase inhibitor ilepatril prevented neural complications including slowing of nerve conduction velocity, thermal hypoalgesia, and decreased intraepidermal nerve fiber density [2]. Vasopeptidase inhibitors are drugs that simultaneously inhibit NEP and angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) activity [3]. Recent studies have shown increased expression of angiotensin-II-forming enzymes in adipose tissue and increased activity of the renin-angiotensin system being implicated in the development of insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes [4]. NEP is found in many tissues including vascular and renal tissue and its activity is increased by fatty acids and glucose in human microvascular cells [5–9]. In the peripheral nervous system NEP is located in Schwann cell membranes surrounding dorsal root ganglion cells and nerve fibers [10, 11]. NEP degrades many vaso- and neuroactive peptides including natriuretic peptides, adrenomedullin, bradykinin, and calcitonin-gene-related peptide [12, 13]. Therefore, inhibition of ACE and NEP activity would be expected to improve vascular and neural function. In this regard we have demonstrated that treating type 1 and type 2 diabetic rats as well as a genetic rat model of obesity with ilepatril improves vascular and neural dysfunction [14–16].
However, little information is available about the effect of vasopeptidase inhibitors in animal models of diet-induced obesity.

In order to further elucidate the effects of vasopeptidase inhibitors in peripheral nerve dysfunction associated with obesity we examined the effect of diet-induced obesity on nerve conduction velocity and thermal response latency in the hindpaw of C57Bl/6J mice and mice deficient in NEP treated with ilepatril, enalapril, ACE inhibitor, or candoxatril, NEP inhibitor [1, 2].

2. Materials and Methods

Unless stated otherwise all chemicals used in these studies were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO).

2.1. Animals. C57Bl/6J wild-type mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratories. Breeding pairs of neutral endopeptidase-deficient (NEP−/−) mice were provided by Drs. Lu and Gerard and are on the C57Bl/6J background [17]. These mice have been bred and a colony created at the Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Iowa City, IA. The C57Bl/6J and NEP−/− mice were age-matched for these studies. Deficiency of NEP activity was confirmed in NEP−/− mice by measuring the specific activity of NEP in kidney homogenates using the method described by Ayoub and Melzig [18] with modification. Activity of NEP in kidney from C57Bl/6J and NEP−/− mice was 0.35 ± 0.02 and 0.02 ± 0.02 mM 7-amido-3-methylcoumarin (AMC)/min/mg protein, respectively (P < 0.001 versus C57Bl/6J by unpaired t-test). This test was performed on all mice used in these studies in order to confirm that NEP was functionally “knocked out” in the NEP−/− mice.

Mice were housed in a certified animal care facility and food (Harlan Teklad, no. 7001, Madison, WI) and water were provided ad libitum. Adequate measures were taken to minimize pain or discomfort and all of the experiments were conducted in accordance with international standards on animal welfare and were compliant with all institutional and National Institutes of Health guidelines for use of animals (ACURF protocol 1101009).

The study design consisted of prevention and intervention protocols. For the prevention protocol C57Bl/6J and NEP−/− mice at 12 weeks of age were divided into five groups. One group was fed a standard chow diet. A second group was fed a high-fat diet containing 24 gm% fat, 24 gm% protein, and 41 gm% carbohydrate (D12451; Research Diets, New Brunswick, NJ). The primary source of the increased fat content in the diet was soybean oil and lard. The control and high-fat diet contained 0.4 and 0.3% sodium chloride, respectively. The average fat content of the control diet was 4.25 gm% (Harlan Teklad, no. 7001, Madison, WI). The third through fifth groups were fed the high-fat diet containing ilepatril (500 mg/kg in the diet), candoxatril (30 mg/kg in the diet), or enalapril (500 mg/kg in the diet). These diets were prepared by Research Diets and we provided the drugs. We have found that these doses provide maximal inhibition of NEP and/or ACE activity in vivo [2, 19]. The experimental period lasted twelve weeks. For the intervention study the same five groups of C57Bl/6J and NEP−/− mice at 12 weeks of age were fed the control diet (group 1) or high-fat diet (groups 2–5) for 12 weeks. Afterwards, the four groups of high-fat-fed mice were fed a high-fat diet with no additions (group 2) or high-fat diet containing ilepatril, candoxatril, or enalapril (groups 3–5) for 12 weeks. Mice on the control diet remained on the control diet.

2.2. Intraperitoneal Glucose Tolerance Test. After an overnight fast mice were injected with a saline solution containing 2 g/kg glucose, i.p. Immediately prior to the glucose injection and for 120 minutes afterwards blood samples were taken to measure circulating glucose levels with the use of glucose-dehydrogenase-based reagent strips (Aviva Accu-Chek, Roche, Mannheim, Germany) [2]. Blood samples (0.6 μL) were taken from a tail vein that was lanced once.

2.3. Thermal Nociceptive Response. Thermal nociceptive response in the hindpaw was measured using the Hargreaves method with instrumentation provided by IITC Life Science, Woodland Hills, CA (model 390G) as previously described [2]. The test was performed in a blind manner. Thermal nociceptive responses were measured by placing the mouse in the observation chamber on top of the thermal testing apparatus and allowing it to acclimate to the warmed glass surface (33 °C) and surroundings for a period of 15 min. The mobile heat source was maneuvered so that it was under the heel of the hindpaw and then activated, a process that starts a timer and locally warms the glass surface, when the mouse withdrew its paw, the timer, and the heat source was turned off [2]. Following an initial recording, which was discarded, two measurements were made for each hindpaw, with a rest period of 5 min between each set of measurements. The mean of the measurements, reported in seconds, was used as a measure of the thermal nociceptive response latency.

2.4. Sensory Nerve Conduction Velocity. Mice were anesthetized with Nembutal (75 mg/kg, i.p., Abbott Laboratories, North Chicago, IL) and sensory nerve conduction velocities were determined as previously described [1, 2]. Briefly, sensory nerve conduction velocity was recorded in the digital nerve to the second toe by stimulating with a square-wave pulse of 0.05 ms duration using the smallest intensity current that resulted in a maximal amplitude response (Grass S44 Stimulator; Grass Medical Instruments, Quincy, MA). The sensory nerve action potential was recorded behind the medial malleolus. The maximal sensory nerve conduction velocity was calculated by measuring the latency to the onset/peak of the initial negative deflection and the distance between stimulating and recording electrodes (measured in millimeters using a Vernier caliper). The sensory nerve conduction velocity was reported in meters per second.

2.5. Intraepidermal Nerve Fiber Density. Immunoreactive intraepidermal nerve fiber profiles were visualized using confocal microscopy as previously described [2]. Biopsies of skin of the right hindpaw were fixed, dehydrated, and embedded in paraffin. Sections (7 μm) were collected and immunostained with anti-PGP9.5 antibody (rabbit anti-human no.
Table 1: Prevention study in C57Bl/6 and NEP−/− mice. Effect of high fat diet +/- ilepatril, candoxatril, or enalapril on change in body weight, blood glucose, and epididymal fat mass.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Determination</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>High fat</th>
<th>High fat + ilepatril</th>
<th>High fat + candoxatril</th>
<th>High fat + enalapril</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C57Bl/6 mice</td>
<td>(12)</td>
<td>(11)</td>
<td>(12)</td>
<td>(12)</td>
<td>(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start body weight (g)</td>
<td>27.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>26.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>27.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>26.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>27.0 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End body weight (g)</td>
<td>32.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>42.9 ± 1.4</td>
<td>29.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>45.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>29.3 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood glucose (mg/dL)</td>
<td>188 ± 7</td>
<td>183 ± 8</td>
<td>152 ± 8</td>
<td>214 ± 19</td>
<td>177 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epididymal fat pad (g)</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat pad/final body weight (%)</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.14</td>
<td>2.21 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.15</td>
<td>2.52 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP−/− mice</td>
<td>(12)</td>
<td>(16)</td>
<td>(12)</td>
<td>(14)</td>
<td>(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start body weight (g)</td>
<td>24.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>25.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>24.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>25.1 ± 0.9</td>
<td>25.7 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End body weight (g)</td>
<td>29.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>42.1 ± 1.3</td>
<td>27.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>43.8 ± 2.1</td>
<td>27.3 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood glucose (mg/dL)</td>
<td>139 ± 8</td>
<td>153 ± 8</td>
<td>148 ± 10</td>
<td>173 ± 12</td>
<td>163 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epididymal fat pad (g)</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.09 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat pad/final body weight (%)</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.09</td>
<td>2.62 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.07</td>
<td>2.13 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as the mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05 compared to control; **P < 0.05 compared to high fat; ***P < 0.05 compared to candoxatril. Parentheses indicate the number of experimental animals in each group.

7863-0504 (this antibody cross-reacts with rat, mouse guinea pig, and other species), AbD Serotic, Morpho Sys US Inc., Raleigh, NC) overnight followed by treatment with secondary antibody Alexa Fluor 546 goat anti-rabbit (Invitrogen, Eugene, OR). Profiles were imaged using a Zeiss 710 confocal microscope with a 40x objective and were counted by two individual investigators that were blinded to the sample identity. All immunoreactive profiles within the epidermis were counted and normalized to epidermal length [20]. Length of the epidermis was determined by drawing a polyline along the contour of the epidermis and recording its length in mm. The number of intraepidermal nerve fiber profiles was reported per mm length.

2.6. Data Analysis. The results are presented as mean ± SE. Comparisons between the groups for body weight, blood glucose, sensory nerve conduction velocity, thermal nociception, and intraepidermal nerve fiber profiles were conducted using a one-way ANOVA and Bonferroni’s test for multiple comparisons (Prism software; GraphPad, San Diego, CA). A P value of less 0.05 was considered significant.

3. Results

3.1. Effect of High-Fat Diet and Treatment on Weight and Blood Glucose Levels. Presented in Table 1 are weight and blood glucose changes for C57Bl/6 and NEP−/− mice used in the prevention study. At 12 weeks of age the beginning body weights of C57Bl/6 and NEP−/− mice were similar. When fed a high-fat diet C57Bl/6 and NEP−/− mice both gained a similar amount of weight. Treating the high-fat diet with ilepatril or enalapril but not candoxatril completely prevented the gain in weight. The mass of the epididymal fat pad was significantly increased in high-fat-fed mice and mice fed the high-fat diet treated with candoxatril compared to control mice or mice fed the high-fat diet containing ilepatril or enalapril. When calculating the epididymal fat pad mass as a percent of total body weight epididymal fat pad mass was significantly increased in high-fat-fed C57Bl/6J mice and mice fed a high-fat diet containing candoxatril compared to control. Treating the high-fat diet with ilepatril and to a greater extent enalapril prevented the increase in epididymal fat pad mass when presented as percent of final body weight. Similar results were obtained with NEP−/− mice. Nonfasting blood glucose levels were not significantly different between C57Bl/6J and NEP−/− mice fed the control or high-fat diets and not affected by ilepatril, candoxatril, or enalapril treatment.

In the intervention study all mice fed the high-fat diet for the initial 12 weeks of the experimental design gained a significant amount of weight (Table 2). When transferred to a high-fat diet containing ilepatril or enalapril for an additional 12 weeks C57Bl/6J and NEP−/− mice lost weight. Mice remaining on the high diet or high-fat diet containing candoxatril continued to gain weight. The epididymal fat mass decreased after C57Bl/6J or NEP−/− mice, fed a diet containing high fat for 12 weeks, were given a high-fat diet containing enalapril. The epididymal fat mass also was decreased after NEP−/− mice but not C57Bl/6J mice were fed a high-fat diet treated with ilepatril. Treating high-fat-fed C57Bl/6J or NEP−/− mice with candoxatril did not reduce epididymal fat mass. A similar trend was observed when the epididymal fat mass data was presented as percentage of final body weight. Nonfasting blood glucose levels were not changed in C57Bl/6J or NEP−/− mice fed control or high-fat diets with or without ilepatril, candoxatril, or enalapril.

3.2. Effect of High-Fat Diet and Treatment on Glucose Tolerance. In the prevention study C57Bl/6J (Figure 1) and NEP−/− (Figure 2) mice fed the high-fat diet or high-fat diet containing candoxatril had an impaired glucose clearance curve compared to control mice. In contrast, the glucose
clearance curve was near normal in C57Bl/6J and NEP−/− mice fed a high-fat diet containing ilepapatril or enalapril. In the intervention study feeding C57Bl/6J (Figure 3) or NEP−/− (Figure 4) mice for 24 weeks a high-fat diet caused an impaired glucose clearance curve. Treating high-fat-fed C57Bl/6J or NEP−/− mice with enalapril for the last 12 weeks of the 24-week period partially corrected glucose utilization. Treating NEP−/− mice with ilepapatril also partially corrected glucose utilization (Figure 4). Treating C57Bl/6J mice with ilepapatril did not improve glucose utilization nor did treating C57Bl/6J or NEP−/− with candoxatril.

### 3.3. Effect of High-Fat Diet on Sensory Nerve Conduction Velocity, Thermal Nociception, and Intraepidermal Nerve Fiber Profile Density

Data in Figure 5 (left) demonstrate that sensory nerve conduction velocity was significantly decreased in high-fat-fed C57Bl/6J mice compared to control mice and that this was prevented by treating high-fat-fed mice with ilepapatril or candoxatril using a prevention protocol. Data in Figure 5 (center) demonstrate that thermal nociception was significantly decreased in C57Bl/6J mice after 12 weeks of a high-fat diet compared to control mice as indicated by an increase in paw withdrawal latency. Treatment with ilepapatril or candoxatril and to a lesser extent enalapril for the 12-week period prevented thermal hypoalgesia in high-fat-fed C57Bl/6J mice. Data in Figure 5 (right) demonstrate that the number of intraepidermal nerve fiber profiles was significantly decreased in high-fat-fed C57Bl/6J mice and that treatment of these mice with ilepapatril or candoxatril but not enalapril for 12 weeks prevented the significant decrease. Sensory nerve conduction velocity, thermal nociception, and intraepidermal nerve fiber density were not impaired in NEP−/− mice fed a high-fat diet (Figure 6, left, center, and right, resp.).

We also determined the effect of treating high-fat-fed C57Bl/6J and NEP−/− mice using an intervention protocol (Figures 7 and 8, resp.). In this study design high-fat-fed mice were untreated for 12 weeks followed by 12 weeks of a high-fat diet with or without treatment. Feeding C57Bl/6J mice a high-fat diet for 24 weeks caused a significant decrease in sensory nerve conduction velocity (Figure 7, left). Treating these mice for the last 12 weeks of the 24-week period with ilepapatril, candoxatril, or enalapril reversed the slowing of sensory nerve conduction velocity. The decrease in thermal nociception in high-fat-fed C57Bl/6J mice was reversed by treating mice with ilepapatril or candoxatril but not enalapril (Figure 7, center). Treating high-fat-fed C57Bl/6J mice with ilepapatril, candoxatril, or enalapril reversed the decrease in intraepidermal nerve fiber profiles (Figure 7, right). Feeding NEP−/− mice a high-fat diet for 24 weeks with or without treatment had no effect on sensory nerve conduction velocity, thermal nociception, or intraepidermal nerve fiber density (Figure 8, left, center, and right, resp.).

### 4. Discussion

The main findings from these studies were that diet-induced obesity caused significant weight gain and impaired glucose utilization in C57Bl/6J and NEP−/− mice that was improved when the mice were treated with ilepapatril or enalapril using a prevention protocol. Using the intervention protocol enalapril but not ilepapatril treatment was effective improving glucose utilization by C57Bl/6J mice. Treating C57Bl/6J or NEP−/− mice with candoxatril did not improve...
weight gain or glucose utilization. These data suggest that inhibition of ACE and not NEP activity was responsible for improving weight gain and glucose utilization in high-fat-fed mice. Diet-induced obesity also caused slowing of sensory nerve conduction velocity, thermal hypoalgesia, and decrease in epidermal nerve fiber density in the paw of C57Bl/6J mice but not NEP−/− mice. The impairment in sensory nerve function endpoints in C57Bl/6J mice fed a high-fat diet was prevented/improved when the mice were treated with ilepatril or candoxatril and to a much lesser extent enalapril using either the prevention or intervention protocol. These data suggest that increased NEP activity/expression but not ACE activity contributes to diet-induced obesity-related deficits in sensory nerve function.

Previous studies have shown that diet-induced obesity in rodent models can be prevented by ACE inhibitors and angiotensin II receptor blockers [21–24]. In addition, diet-induced weight gain and fat mass are reduced, energy expenditure increased, and glucose tolerance improved in mice lacking ACE or the angiotensin II type 1a receptor [25, 26]. The mechanisms proposed for the improvement in obesity and glucose tolerance with treatment of rodent models with ACE inhibitors are increased energy expenditure, liver and adipose tissue metabolic modulation, lower concentration of leptin, improved insulin signaling, and increased glucose and fatty acid utilization by muscle [21–30]. In a study comparing the effects of Ramipril, an ACE inhibitor, to ilepatril in JCR:LA-cp rats, an obese, insulin-resistant, hyperinsulinemic, normoglycemic model, it was found that both compounds reduced the surge of plasma insulin in a meal tolerance test by about 50% but ilepatril was more beneficial in improving vascular reactivity [31]. In our study we found that enalapril trended to be more effective than ilepatril preventing/reducing fat pad mass. This could be because enalapril at the dosage used was a more effective ACE inhibitor than ilepatril preventing/reducing fat pad mass. This effect of Omapatrilat, a vasopeptidase inhibitor, induced insulin sensitization and increased myocardial glucose uptake in obese Zucker rats and that the effect of Omapatrilat was greater than Ramipril in part due to stimulation of the B2 receptor [33]. Later this group reported that treatment
of obese Zucker rats with a vasopeptidase inhibitor increased muscle glucose uptake independent of insulin signaling [34]. In two of these studies protection of bradykinin from degradation by NEP was found to improve insulin action [32, 33]. Interestingly, it has been shown that natriuretic peptides promote muscle mitochondrial biogenesis and fat oxidation as to prevent obesity and glucose intolerance [35]. The natriuretic peptides are also degraded by NEP [36]. Because NEP is expressed in skeletal muscle in relatively large amounts and being located on the cell surface, NEP is able to hydrolyze peptides in the vicinity of their receptors thereby neutralizing their bioactive function by preventing degradation through inhibition of ACE and/or NEP may be a therapeutic approach for treatment of obesity and insulin resistance [34, 36, 38].

We have previously shown that treating diabetic rats with enalapril improved vascular and nerve endpoints but enalapril was less effective in rats fed a high-fat diet [19, 36, 39, 40]. In contrast, we found that treating obese or diabetic rats with ilepatril was more effective than enalapril in improving peripheral nerve dysfunction [19, 36, 40]. This was attributed to be due to improvement in vascular function. We have shown that treating diabetic or diet-induced obese rodents with ilepatril improves vasodilation of blood vessels that provide circulation to the sciatic nerve by reducing oxidative stress and preventing degradation of vasoactive peptides by NEP including calcitonin gene-related peptide and C-type natriuretic peptide thereby maintaining endoneurial blood flow and preventing ischemia [36]. Since NEP degrades both calcitonin gene-related peptide and substance P, peptides important in pain signaling pathways,
Figure 5: Sensory nerve conduction velocity, thermal nociception, and intraepidermal nerve fiber profiles for C57Bl/6J mice fed a normal or high-fat-containing diet with or without ilepatril, candoxatril, or enalapril for 12 weeks (prevention protocol). Control (open bar), high fat (right hatch bar), high fat + ilepatril (left hatch bar), high fat + candoxatril (cross hatch bar), and high fat + enalapril (closed bar). Data are the mean ± SEM. The number of mice in each group was the same as shown in Table 1. a, \( P < 0.05 \) versus control; b, \( P < 0.05 \) versus high-fat-fed mice.

Figure 6: Sensory nerve conduction velocity, thermal nociception, and intraepidermal nerve fiber profiles for NEP\(^{−/−}\) mice fed a normal or high-fat-containing diet with or without ilepatril, candoxatril, or enalapril for 12 weeks (prevention protocol). Control (open bar), high fat (right hatch bar), high fat + ilepatril (left hatch bar), high fat + candoxatril (cross hatch bar), and high fat + enalapril (closed bar). Data are the mean ± SEM. The number of mice in each group was the same as shown in Table 1. a, \( P < 0.05 \) versus control; b, \( P < 0.05 \) versus high-fat-fed mice.
**Figure 7:** Sensory nerve conduction velocity, thermal nociception, and intraepidermal nerve fiber profiles for C57BL/6J mice fed a normal or high-fat-containing diet for 24 weeks with or without ilepatril, candoxatril, or enalapril for the last 12 weeks (intervention protocol). Control (open bar), high fat (right hatch bar), high fat + ilepatril (left hatch bar), high fat + candoxatril (cross hatch bar), and high fat + enalapril (closed bar). Data are the mean ± SEM. The number of mice in each group was the same as shown in Table 2. a, P < 0.05 versus control; b, P < 0.05 versus high-fat-fed mice.

**Figure 8:** Sensory nerve conduction velocity, thermal nociception, and intraepidermal nerve fiber profiles for NEP−/− mice fed a normal or high-fat-containing diet for 24 weeks with or without ilepatril, candoxatril, or enalapril for the last 12 weeks (intervention protocol). Control (open bar), high fat (right hatch bar), high fat + ilepatril (left hatch bar), high fat + candoxatril (cross hatch bar), and high fat + enalapril (closed bar). Data are the mean ± SEM. The number of mice in each group was the same as shown in Table 2. a, P < 0.05 versus control; b, P < 0.05 versus high-fat-fed mice.
it is likely that blocking NEP activity or mice deficient in NEP would maintain higher levels of both of these neuroactive peptides and perhaps be more sensitive to painful stimuli.

5. Conclusion

Data from clinical trials clearly suggest that activation of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system plays an important role in the pathophysiology of the metabolic syndrome through interaction of a wide range of physiological and molecular mechanisms [41]. More recently in humans activity of NEP has been shown to correlate with body mass index and measures of insulin resistance with increasing activity found in subjects with multiple cardiovascular risk factors [42]. In these studies we found that feeding mice a high-fat diet causes weight gain, impaired glucose tolerance, and sensory nerve dysfunction. Inhibition of ACE was effective in reducing weight gain and improving glucose utilization but generally noneffective in improving sensory nerve dysfunction. In contrast, inhibition of NEP improved sensory nerve dysfunction and had no effect in improving weight gain or glucose utilization. Treating high-fat-fed mice with a vasopeptidase inhibitor improved weight gain, glucose utilization, and sensory nerve function. Thus, we conclude that increased activity of NEP is associated with sensory nerve dysfunction in an animal model of diet-induced obesity and that dual inhibition of ACE and NEP may be more effective than monotherapy in reducing insulin resistance and the sensory nerve complications associated with metabolic syndrome.
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