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Psychogenic nonepileptic seizures: a treatment review. What have we learned since the beginning of the millennium?

Abstract: Psychogenic nonepileptic seizures (PNES) can significantly affect an individual’s quality of life, the health care system, and even society. The first decade of the new millennium has seen renewed interest in this condition, but etiological understanding and evidence-based treatment availability remain limited. After the diagnosis of PNES is established, the first therapeutic step includes a presentation of the diagnosis that facilitates engagement in treatment. The purpose of this review is to present the current evidence of treatments for PNES published since the year 2000 and to discuss further needs for clinical treatment implementation and research. This article reviews clinical trials that have evaluated the efficacy of structured, standardized psychotherapeutic and psychopharmacological interventions. The primary outcome measure in clinical trials for PNES is event frequency, although it is questionable whether this is the most accurate indicator of functional recovery. Cognitive behavioral therapy has evidence of efficacy, including one pilot randomized, controlled trial where cognitive behavioral therapy was compared with standard medical care. The antidepressant sertraline did not show a significant difference in event frequency change when compared to placebo in a pilot randomized, double-blind, controlled trial, but it did show a significant pre- versus posttreatment decrease in the active arm. Other interventions that have shown efficacy in uncontrolled trials include augmented psychodynamic interpersonal psychotherapy, group psychodynamic psychotherapy, group psychoeducation, and the antidepressant venlafaxine. Larger clinical trials of these promising treatments are necessary, while other psychotherapeutic interventions such as hypnotherapy, mindfulness-based therapies, and eye movement desensitization and reprocessing may deserve exploration. Flexible delivery of treatment that considers the heterogeneous backgrounds of patients is emphasized as necessary for successful outcomes in clinical practice.
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Introduction

Psychogenic nonepileptic seizures (PNES) are sudden, involuntary seizure-like attacks that, unlike epileptic seizures, are not related to electrographic ictal discharges. PNES presenting symptoms involve a wide array of nervous system functions, including changes in behavior, motor activity, sensation, cognitive, and autonomic functions.

PNES can be initially mistaken as epileptic seizures, and an accurate diagnosis is usually delayed by an average of 7 years. Diagnosis is usually confirmed via video-electroencephalography (v-EEG) monitoring in about a quarter of patients referred to epilepsy referral centers. There are no population-based studies to determine the incidence of this disorder, but it has been estimated that 300,000–400,000 people may suffer from PNES in the United States alone. PNES can greatly affect a patient’s...
quality of life and are associated with high medical utilization rates and hence also high personal and societal costs. New evidence seems to indicate a higher premature mortality rate in PNES subjects than that found in a comparison with the Scottish general population, although deaths were not seizure related.

Despite the recognition of this condition and its effect on individuals, society, and the health care system, currently there are significant limitations in the etiological understanding of PNES. Traditionally, PNES have been linked to a dysfunction in the processing of psychosocial stress. A conceptual framework has been proposed that explains PNES as involuntary, stimulus-driven behavioral responses facilitated by an orienting tendency where cognitive, emotional, and sensorimotor systems are not seemingly integrated. This response tendency may take place because of a number of vulnerability traits that increase a predisposition toward PNES, including dissociative tendencies, alexithymia, cognitive inflexibility, and hypervigilance, to name a few. This conceptual framework remains hypothetical and highlights the lack of a single etiologic model that explains this phenomenon.

Once PNES are properly diagnosed, treatment disposition usually includes a referral to mental health specialists. Traditionally, mental health providers willing to work with this population have utilized a variety of personalized psychotherapeutic approaches that address specific psychiatric comorbidities and hypothesized mechanisms. This may be necessary in part because of the heterogeneous psychiatric and medical backgrounds usually seen in the PNES population.

Guidelines on how to treat this complex population do not exist, owing to a lack of large, controlled trials evaluating the efficacy of different treatment modalities. In 2007 a Cochrane review looking at existing evidence-based treatments for PNES showed an alarming lack of randomized, controlled clinical trials with solid evidence of efficacy. According to a search of the PubMed database conducted for the present article, there were no clinical trials of specific interventions designed for PNES prior to 2000. Some follow-up studies undertaken prior to 2000 indicate that appropriate referral to mental health treatment had positive therapeutic effects. For instance, a follow-up study of 28 patients was published in 1999 and showed higher rates of event freedom and improvement in patients who received psychotherapy; however, the treatment delivered was not standardized among participants. A retrospective analysis of 61 PNES patients demonstrated higher rates of event freedom or reduction in those patients who received either psychotherapy or feedback and routine neurological care than in those who did not receive either. The psychotherapy treatment was provided either by a psychotherapist from a comprehensive epilepsy center or by community psychotherapists; no standardized treatment protocol is described to be followed beyond the diagnosis presentation.

Remaining results consisted of isolated case reports for the adult population.

The purpose of this review is to present the current evidence of treatments for PNES published since the year 2000 and to discuss further needs for clinical treatment implementation and research.

**What do we know about other PNES-related disorders?**

PNES subjects share many underlying psychopathological characteristics with other conversion and somatoform disorder patients. It remains uncertain what determines the final symptomatic expression of PNES. One study comparing motor conversion and PNES subjects found a higher incidence of adverse childhood experiences and life events in the PNES group, but it is far from clear if this constitutes a causative difference between patients who may have loss of consciousness as one of their manifestations, such as in PNES, and those with pure motor manifestations. Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), other anxiety disorders, dissociative disorders, depression, and borderline personality disorder are comorbidities frequently encountered in PNES patients. Given the overlap in psychopathological structure with many of the disorders mentioned here, it is relevant to briefly review the current evidence of effective treatments for these disorders.

The strongest evidence for other conversion disorders comes from two randomized, controlled studies. In the first study, 20 patients with motor conversion symptoms received weekly sessions of hypnotherapy and the patients’ change in conversion symptoms and level of disability were compared with a group of 24 subjects in a waiting list. Because the semiology of the motor conversion symptoms was mixed, two participants had PNES. Tremors, paresis, and gait complaints were common. Motor conversion symptoms, rated by the Video Rating Scale for Motor Conversion Symptoms, and level of disability both showed larger post- versus pretreatment improvement in the active group than in the control group. Subjects did not receive other psychotherapy interventions and there were no medication changes during the study, although nearly half of the subjects were on psychotropic medications at the onset of the trial.
In the second randomized, controlled trial, conducted by the same research group, 24 inpatients with motor conversion symptoms received eight weekly hypnotherapy sessions and were compared with 21 inpatients also with motor conversion symptoms but who received a supportive individual intervention instead during the admission. All subjects received multidisciplinary group-centered psychotherapy interventions and physical therapy. Eight subjects had PNES. Both groups showed post- versus pretreatment reductions in motor conversion symptoms and level of disability, with hypnotherapy providing no additional effect.23

Evidence-based treatment for other conversion disorders has also been demonstrated by uncontrolled trials; particularly, physical exercise24 and psychodynamic psychotherapy25 improve symptom severity in psychogenic movement disorders. Antidepressant medication showed reduction and even remission of psychogenic movements if the movements were not accompanied by other somatoform disorders such as hypochondriasis or somatization disorder.26 Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation over the motor cortex showed improvement in 62 of 70 patients (89%) with psychogenic paralysis, with total recovery observed in 53 (76%) of those subjects, although this was a retrospective review instead of a prospective trial.27

Two of the studies mentioned earlier for conversion disorder22,23 included PNES subjects, but as these patients were mixed with other conversion patients and represented a minority of subjects within the studies, they were included in this section instead of in the PNES-specific review section.

Randomized, controlled trials of cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) in somatization and specific-symptom syndromes (such as chronic fatigue syndrome, irritable bowel syndrome, chronic pain) have supported the effectiveness of this intervention.28 Psychodynamic interpersonal psychotherapy has also shown efficacy in irritable bowel syndrome.29,30 Antidepressants of various classes have also demonstrated reduction in medically unexplained symptoms including headache, fibromyalgia, functional gastrointestinal syndromes such as irritable bowel syndrome, idiopathic pain, tinnitus, and chronic fatigue.30,31

A review of the literature examining treatments for dissociative disorders such as dissociative identity disorder, depersonalization disorder, and dissociative disorder not otherwise specified shows a lack of standardized and well-designed studies.32 One controlled single case study did show improvement in dissociative pathology in a dissociative identity disorder patient with cognitive analytic therapy.33 No other controlled studies have been published for dissociative disorders. It is possible that the need for long-term outcome studies may limit the utility of short-term interventions that can be adapted into a randomized, controlled trial in this population.32

A review of evidence-based treatments for other PNES-related primary psychiatric disorders such as PTSD or borderline personality disorder is beyond the scope of this review. Nonetheless, it is noteworthy that there is mounting evidence of effective structured psychotherapies, with the most extensively studied psychotherapies being CBT for PTSD and other anxiety disorders34 and dialectical behavioral therapy for borderline personality disorder,35 although other forms of psychotherapy (such as eye movement desensitization and reprocessing [EMDR] in PTSD, or mentalization-based therapy in borderline personality disorder) and psychopharmacological interventions have also been investigated.35–39

Awareness of effective therapies in these conditions may help customize treatment in PNES subjects with these frequent comorbidities. From a research perspective, therapies proven effective in these related pathologies may become candidate interventions worth exploring in PNES.

Limitations in PNES clinical trials
A number of obstacles have been identified as contributing to the difficulty in conducting large clinical trials in PNES. Some of these obstacles are intrinsic to PNES psychopathology, such as the tendency to present in crises but reject support when offered, emotional lability, and approach-avoidance behavioral patterns. Other impairments are logistical in nature and include driving restrictions, other cognitive and physical limitations related to medical and neurological illnesses, and a wide range of comorbid neurologic and psychiatric comorbidities, including epilepsy, which may preclude enrollment based on the study.40

The fields of neurology and psychiatry have played a role in the lack of priority given to PNES treatment development. Neurologists and emergency physicians tend to dismiss PNES patients and may interpret the patients’ events as voluntary fabrications. Psychiatrists and psychologists may not treat PNES patients for fear of missing epilepsy when patients have ongoing events.41 As a result, PNES may be considered an “orphan” disorder that neither field wants to own as a priority to develop therapeutic approaches for.

The lack of a single etiological model for PNES2–14 limits the selection of mechanism-specific interventions and hence also limits outcome measures. However, a variety of proposed etiological mechanisms (including avoidance, dissociation,
personality structure, interpersonal factors, illness representation, biological vulnerabilities) may inform treatment choice and selection of outcome measures.9,42

Regardless of the etiological model that dictates the intervention, useful outcome measures in PNES clinical trials include event frequency or percentage of subjects who achieved event freedom, psychopathological measures, social and interpersonal functioning measures, medical utilization rates, and quality of life.9 Although event freedom is usually the primary outcome in PNES clinical trials, it has been established that the percentage of functional recovery did not differ based on event remission 4.2 years after the diagnosis.43 Additionally, the relationship between PNES frequency and health-related quality of life is influenced by psychopathological severity and other physical symptoms,44 bringing to light the importance of these other measures that are usually considered “secondary.”

Table 1 summarizes the factors enumerated here that have implications in the development and conduction of PNES clinical trials.

**Presentation of the diagnosis: the first therapeutic intervention**

After the diagnosis is confirmed, presenting the diagnosis to the patient and, when appropriate, to his or her family, becomes the first therapeutic step toward recovery. Marked reduction in health care demand after diagnosis presentation has been established by prospective service evaluations.45,46 For a subset of PNES individuals, awareness that they do not suffer from epilepsy and that their events have emotional underpinnings is sufficient for the episodes to be under control, at least for the subsequent 6 months.47 Kanner et al48 described this subgroup of patients with sustained control of their attacks as having a lower degree of psychopathology and the ability to recognize stressful events as precipitating factors for their attacks.

How the PNES diagnosis is presented has always been considered a delicate matter, and protocols on how to present the diagnosis have been suggested.41,49 Although immediate relief after diagnosis presentation has been documented,50,51 maintenance of such immediate improvement has been variable.48,52 Because engagement in treatment will be crucial for recovery, and because PNES subjects are known to have low rates of treatment retention,53,54 this “first therapeutic step” is a decisive moment that may define the patient’s clinical and functional outcome and hence it should be handled carefully.

A standardized protocol for diagnosis presentation was used in 50 newly diagnosed PNES subjects in a multicenter study. The protocol covered 14 points addressing all domains of patients’ illness representations and it was found to be acceptable to patients. Fourteen percent at 2 weeks after the diagnosis and 20% at 11 weeks after the diagnosis reported attack freedom or only occasional events.55

Not uncommonly, health professionals may misinterpret the psychogenic origin of these events as a sign of voluntary fabrication. Understanding PNES as “faked seizures” may generate a negative attitude toward patients, and it can behaviorally reinforce reluctance in the patient to accept the diagnosis. Although there is no neurobiological evidence for PNES at this juncture, it is known from functional neuroimaging studies in psychogenic tremor and psychogenic paralysis56–58 that brain activation differs between feigned and psychogenic symptoms, lending support to the “brain basis” of the disorder.

Table 2 summarizes the key points for a successful presentation of the diagnosis based on previous recommendations49,55 and the author’s own clinical experience at a nonepileptic seizure intervention clinic previously held at the University of Illinois Medical Center at Chicago.

**PNES: renewed interest?**

The first decade of the new millennium has seen an increase in interest in PNES. A limited search of the PubMed database for articles utilizing terms related to PNES in the title or abstract showed there were 96 articles published on the topic during the 1980s, 243 articles published during the 1990s, and 426 articles published during the 2000s. This growing interest in the topic has propelled a renewed enthusiasm in
were combined with the Medical Subject Headings term “therapeutics.” Titles and abstracts were reviewed to identify potentially relevant articles, which were then retrieved to review the full article. All results were limited to English-language articles and a study was included if it recruited all adult PNES patients only. The intervention being investigated had to be described as being delivered as uniformly as possible to all subjects. Case reports and case series were excluded. The main aspects of these studies are summarized in Table 3.

Results: psychotherapeutic interventions

CBT

CBT is based on the concept that dysfunctional conditioned responses and thought processes lead to a misperception of reality that presents as psychopathological symptoms. In the case of CBT, PNES are conceptualized as dissociative responses to arousal when a patient is confronted with stimuli or circumstances that the patient tends to avoid, either consciously or not.

CBT is the only psychotherapeutic intervention studied in PNES in a pilot randomized, controlled trial and it is therefore the psychotherapeutic treatment with the highest level of efficacy evidence (Class III) in this population. The content of Goldstein et al’s randomized, controlled trial, both the active and control groups received “standard medical care” (SMC) treatment, comprising up to seven neuropsychiatric appointments that focused on psychoeducation, support measures, and antiepileptic drug withdrawal. Event frequency was not different between analyzed groups (CBT + SMC, n = 33; SMC [control], n = 31) at the start of treatment (CBT + SMC group median, twelve events per month; SMC group median, eight events per month), but significantly lower frequency for the CBT group at the end of the 12-session treatment (SMC group median, 6.75 events per month; CBT + SMC group median, two events per month) (P = 0.002), with a large between-group effect size (0.75). At the 6-month follow-up, the between-group effect size (SMC group median, five events per month; CBT + SMC group median, 1.5 events per month) was medium (0.42) and not statistically significant (P = 0.082). Therapist contact was greater in the active group, and this level of contact was not controlled for in the control group, which may explain the

Table 2 Psychogenic nonepileptic seizures (PNES): how should the diagnosis be presented?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presentation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multidisciplinary presentation</td>
<td>The neurologist making the diagnosis and the mental health professional who will follow the patient are physically present when the diagnosis is revealed and discussed, and they both agree on the treatment plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective discussion of findings</td>
<td>Description of the event as observed during video recording and the lack of ictal discharges, ruling out an epileptic etiology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providers believe the diagnosis</td>
<td>Emphasis that the attacks are still considered real and out of the patient’s control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explanation of the “psychogenic” nature of the attacks</td>
<td>An individualized hypothetical explanation is given on how these episodes may be taking place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychotherapy referral</td>
<td>Psychotherapeutic interventions are introduced as an opportunity to learn new ways of relating to physical and emotional experiences, reducing vulnerability toward PNES, not as a promise to eradicate PNES for life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric comorbidities</td>
<td>Emphasis is placed on the treatment of psychiatric comorbidities with both psychopharmacological and psychotherapeutic interventions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involvement of neurologist post diagnosis</td>
<td>Neurologists should remain involved and available and work collaboratively with mental health professionals to facilitate antiepileptic drug withdrawal, treatment of comorbid neurological conditions (including epilepsy), ongoing evaluation should new events or symptoms arise, and overall monitoring of the patient’s outcome</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

identifying treatment interventions for this population. The following section will review treatment studies that have been published since the year 2000.

Treatment review

Methods

A PubMed literature search was conducted, focusing on treatment studies on PNES from January 2000 through December 2011. The search included articles with the terms “nonepileptic seizures” (as well as “non-epileptic seizures”); “psychogenic seizures,” “dissociative seizures,” “conversion seizures,” “pseudoseizures,” “psychogenic spells,” and “psychogenic attacks” in the title or abstract. The results of these searches
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Final analysis (n)</th>
<th>Dependent variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goldstein et al&lt;sup&gt;10&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Randomized, controlled</td>
<td>CBT arm: 12 weekly or fortnightly hour-long sessions</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Monthly event frequency, rate of event freedom, Work and Social Adjustment Scale, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, modified Client Service Receipt Inventory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SMC (both arms): supportive sessions with psychoeducation and AED withdrawal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaFrance et al&lt;sup&gt;41&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Open-label, prospective, uncontrolled</td>
<td>12 weekly hour-long CBT sessions</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Weekly event frequency, BDI, Modified HDRS, Davidson Trauma Scale, DES, BIS, Family Assessment Device, SCL-90, Oxford Handicapped Scale, Ways of Coping, QOLIE-31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuyk et al&lt;sup&gt;42&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Open-label, prospective, uncontrolled</td>
<td>Inpatient individualized CBT-based treatment for 2–6 months (average, 4.8 months)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Average weekly event frequency, SCL-90, BDI, State-Trait Inventory, Utrecht Coping List, SF-36, Dissociation Questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayor et al&lt;sup&gt;44&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Retrospective, naturalistic study, uncontrolled</td>
<td>Up to 20 sessions of brief augmented psychodynamic interpersonal therapy</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Monthly event frequency, SF-36, PHQ-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barry et al&lt;sup&gt;46&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Open-label, prospective, uncontrolled</td>
<td>32 weekly 90-minute group psychodynamic psychotherapy sessions</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Weekly event frequency, BDI, SCL-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prigatano et al&lt;sup&gt;47&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Open-label, prospective, uncontrolled</td>
<td>24 weekly 90-minute group psychoeducational interventions</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Weekly event frequency, MMPI-2, and neurocognitive measures (WAIS-III, CVLT, or RAVLT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zaroff et al&lt;sup&gt;48&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Open-label, prospective, uncontrolled</td>
<td>10 weekly hour-long group psychoeducational interventions</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Pre- and posttreatment event frequency, Coping Inventory for Stressful Situations, Davidson Trauma Scale, Curious Experiences Survey, STAXI-2, QOLIE-31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Data collection method

| Event frequency obtained for the week prior to enrollment, after enrollment, weekly during treatment, and at completion | Mean event frequency decreased significantly from week 1 through end of treatment | SMC group not controlled for therapist contact (which was greater in CBT) |
| Event frequency obtained for the previous 3 weeks at onset and end of treatment, average weekly event frequency for the previous 4 weeks at 6-month follow-up | Significant decrease in event frequency from onset to discharge, from discharge to follow-up, and from onset to follow-up | Nature of treatment precludes blinding from SMC provider |
| Secondary measures also obtained at onset of treatment, discharge, and 6-month follow-up | Significant decrease in BDI, State-Trait Inventory, dissociation questionnaire, and Utrecht Coping List from onset to discharge and in all measures from onset to follow-up | Selection bias in favor of chronic, more difficult-to-treat patients |
| Questionnaire data collected 50 months (median) after baseline measures and 42 months (median) after end of treatment | At follow-up (median, 42 months after end of treatment), 25.5% of patients had become event free; a further 40.4% achieved an event reduction of >50% | Willingness to enroll in study might have influenced favorable outcome in SMC group 6-month follow-up period too short for change in employment status |
| Psychosocial functioning measures obtained at baseline | Health care utilization declined significantly from baseline to follow-up, based on questionnaire | Only individuals with v-EEG diagnosed PNES were included |
| Data collected at start of treatment, weekly for event frequency, and at 16 and 32 weeks for secondary measures | Six of seven patients with decrease in event frequency over course of treatment | Article states follow-up questionnaires were administered at months 4, 8, and 12 from the date of enrollment (although data not available) |
| Weekly event log; weekly quiz about previous session | Four of seven with event cessation | Diagnosis confirmed via EEG; comorbid epilepsy subjects excluded from analysis |
| MMPI-2 and neurocognitive evaluation obtained during assessment period | Six patients reported a decrease in event frequency, two reported no change, and one reported an increase | Inpatient setting not controlled for Treatment was individualized, limiting generalizability of results |
| Pre- and posttreatment administration of all measures | Significant correlation between MMPI-2 paranoid scale and frequency of events (positive) and correct answers in quiz (negative) | Sample selection bias toward patients with less severe psychiatric comorbidities, as this was an exclusion criterion for participation |
| Pretreatment questionnaire scores were compared with normative data (except event frequency) and with posttreatment score | No change in event frequency in four subjects (three had achieved remission at treatment initiation), a decrease in two subjects, and an increase in one subject | Some patients did not obtain PNES diagnosis with v-EEG |
| | Significant reduction in Curious Experience Survey, Davidson Trauma Scale, and Coping Inventory for Stressful Situations (Emotion Subscale), and STAXI-2 Anger Expression Index Score Increase in QOLiE-31 | Contact with other providers and antidepressant treatment may have influenced outcomes |

(Continued)
Table 3 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Final analysis (n)</th>
<th>Dependent variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaFrance et al</td>
<td>Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled</td>
<td>Sertraline 25–200 mg daily versus placebo</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Fortnightly event frequency, BDI, Modified HDRS, Davidson Trauma Scale, DES, BIS, Family Assessment Device, SCL-90, GAF, Oxford Handicapped Scale, Ways of Coping, QOLIE-31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pintor et al</td>
<td>Open-label, prospective, uncontrolled All subjects with depressive and/or anxiety disorder</td>
<td>Venlafaxine 75–300 mg daily by clinician criteria</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Monthly event frequency, HDRS, HARS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AED, antiepileptic drug; BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; BIS, Barrett Impulsivity Scale; CBT, cognitive behavioral therapy; CVLT, California Verbal Learning Test; DES, Dissociative Experiences Scale; EEG, electroencephalography; GAF, Global Assessment of Functioning; HARS, Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale; HDRS, Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; MMPI-2, Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory 2; PHQ-15, Patient Health Questionnaire 15-item Somatic Symptom Severity Scale; QOLIE-31, Quality of Life in Epilepsy Inventory 31; RAVLT, Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test; SCiD-I, Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders; SCL-90, Symptoms Checklist-90; SF-36, 36-item Short Form Health Survey; SMC, standard medical care; STAXI-2, State-Trait Anger Expression Inventory-2; v-EEG, video-electroencephalography; WAIS-iii, Wechsler Adult intelligence Scale-iii.

decrease in between-group difference over time. At the same time, willingness to enroll in this study may have influenced the control group’s reduction in events.

LaFrance et al’s uncontrolled CBT study had 20 subjects enrolled and 17 completed a 12-week outpatient CBT protocol. The protocol was driven by similar principles as those of or Goldstein et al’s study,66 but it specifically followed a manual that focused on several points including mood-cognition-environment connections; identification of moods, situations, and thoughts; relaxation techniques; healthy communication; and examination of internal and external triggers. Mean event frequency decreased significantly from week 1 (mean event frequency, 17.2 per week) through the end of treatment (mean event frequency, 7.1 per week) (P = 0.001). Eleven of the 17 subjects completing the study (65%) reported no events per week during the final CBT session. Mean scores on measures of depression, anxiety, somatic symptoms, quality of life, and psychosocial functioning showed significant improvement from baseline to the end of treatment. The study does not contain follow-up data beyond week 12, motivating future trials to examine if these strong results can be maintained over time.

An uncontrolled, prospective inpatient treatment program based on CBT principles has also provided evidence of reduction of seizure-like events.62 The treatment was provided over a prolonged inpatient admission, that allowed home visits during weekends, with a 4-week psychological diagnostic process, followed by a multidisciplinary treatment aimed at cognitive restructuring, trauma treatment, stimulus differentiation, coping skills training, stress management, behavioral analysis, and rational emotive therapy, all provided in individual and/or group settings. Family interventions were also included. The duration of the program ranged from 2 to 6 months, with an average duration of 4.8 months. The 22 completers showed a significant reduction between treatment initiation (mean event frequency, 6.6 per week) and end of treatment (mean event frequency, 3.0 per week) (P = 0.02) and 16 of them showed a significant reduction between treatment initiation and the 6-month follow-up after discharge (mean event frequency, 0.9 per week) (P = 0.002). Reductions between initiation of treatment and the 6-month follow-up period were also observed in measures of depression, anxiety, dissociation, health-related quality of life, and overall psychopathology. Six months after discharge, 80% of 16 patients had an event reduction of over 50% and half of these patients were event free. The prolonged inpatient setting where the treatment took place and the incorporation of several treatment strategies may have contributed to these positive results. At the same time, the multimodal nature of the treatment and the setting where it took place may represent limitations when it comes to adoption of this therapeutic approach for most PNES patients. Willingness to be admitted to an inpatient unit for over 2 months may represent a selection bias toward patients already open to the diagnosis.

Psychodynamic psychotherapies: augmented psychodynamic interpersonal therapy and group psychodynamic psychotherapy

According to psychodynamic theory, symptoms and behaviors are presumed to be influenced by internal processes,
many times unconscious to the patient, with childhood experiences being highly influential to the development of persistent maladaptive behavioral patterns. Defense mechanisms such as dissociation and somatization are used to split traumatic memories from consciousness. The goal of psychodynamic therapy is to increase the patient’s awareness of these patterns to facilitate change.

Mayor et al studied brief augmented psychodynamic psychotherapy in 47 PNES subjects. Key features from this kind of psychotherapy include the assumption that the patient’s problems are linked to difficulties in his or her personal relationships, which are explained by interpersonal patterns developed earlier in life. The therapeutic mechanism of this approach is based on the identification and change of unhelpful interpersonal patterns and the more effective processing of emotions related to both present and past issues. Techniques from somatic trauma therapy, such as control of autonomic arousal, tracking of somatic symptoms, linkage to emotional triggers, and processing of traumatic memories, are used to “augment” the psychodynamic interpersonal approach. The 47 subjects completed up to 20 weekly or fortnightly sessions (range, one to eighteen sessions; median, five sessions); twelve of these subjects (26%) achieved event freedom 42 months (median) after the conclusion of therapy and a further 19 subjects (40%) reported more than 50% reduction in event frequency compared with baseline. The median frequency of events per month decreased from six at baseline to one at follow-up (P < 0.007). There was also a significant decline in health care utilization at follow-up.

Some of these patients had not obtained the diagnosis of PNES through v-EEG confirmation, relying on clinical impression by a neurologist with expertise in epilepsy, direct observation of the events in clinic, video recordings, or a history or witness account suggestive of PNES. Contact with other providers, such as a neurologist, or prescription of antidepressant or anxiolytic medication may have influenced improvement as well.

A few studies have evaluated group psychotherapy in the treatment of PNES. Barry et al reported on the efficacy of a 32-week-long psychodynamically oriented group psychotherapy, which had as a goal the conscious and verbal expression of emotional distress, obviating the need for somatic displays of stress. Development of assertive coping strategies instead of passive avoidant behavior was emphasized. Six of the seven (86%) completers experienced a decrease in frequency of events over the treatment period. Four of the seven (57%) achieved complete remission, with very occasional recurrence in the face of emotional distress. Depression severity and overall severity of psychopathology also showed improvement.

Other group interventions

Prigatano et al reported a decrease in six of nine subjects (67%) with PNES during completion of a 6-month group psychotherapy program. The treatment consisted of 24 90-minute sessions that reviewed facts about epilepsy and PNES and where discussion of feelings that may relate to past or present events relevant to PNES was encouraged.
Results were based on a comparison between number of events during the first twelve sessions and number of events during the second twelve sessions.

Zaroff et al⁶⁸ reported on the efficacy of a 1-hour weekly group psychotherapy intervention over the course of 10 weeks. The approach was psychoeducational in nature and it covered topics including PNES, anger, trauma and abuse, somatization tendencies, quality of life, and stress management techniques, among others. Four of the seven completers (57%) who were enrolled in this program experienced no change in event frequency, but in three of these four subjects (75%), event cessation had been achieved at treatment initiation. Two subjects experienced a decrease and one an increase in event frequency. Decreases were seen in post-traumatic and dissociative symptoms and emotionally based coping mechanisms. The report does not mention if patients also received additional individual psychotherapy or psychopharmacotherapy during the group intervention.

Results: psychopharmacological interventions

The lack of a consistent neurobiological model in PNES makes identification of a customized neurochemical intervention unrealistic at this stage. However, under the premise that difficulties with impulsivity, compulsive tendencies, depression, and anxiety are associated with serotonergic deficits and these conditions are usually seen comorbidly in PNES,¹⁴ serotonin reuptake inhibitors, both selective and dual action, have been investigated as potentially useful compounds.

LaFrance et al⁶⁹ conducted a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial evaluating the efficacy of flexible-dose sertraline over 12 weeks at reducing PNES frequency and improving other psychiatric severity and psychosocial measures. The final analysis included 33 subjects with one or more events in the 2 weeks prior to enrollment. Patients were allowed to participate if taking other antidepressants, except for monoamine oxidase inhibitors and sertraline at a 100 mg/day or higher dose for more than 30 days. Dose of the concurrent antidepressants, if prescribed, was held constant. There was no difference between groups in fortnightly event frequency change (risk ratio, 0.51; P = 0.29). However, when groups were analyzed separately, the sertraline arm showed a 45% decrease in biweekly event frequency (P = 0.03), while the control group showed an 8% increase (P = 0.78). There were no between-arm differences in secondary outcome measure changes. The limited sample size means this well-conducted study is not powered enough to establish treatment efficacy. The sertraline group had a higher rate of baseline event frequency in those subjects with Axis II disorders than in those without Axis II disorders; this baseline difference was not observed in the control group. The study was not stratified to differentiate results based on the presence of personality disorders.

An open-label, prospective, uncontrolled study of flexible-dose venlafaxine evaluated event reduction as well as anxiety and depression severity.⁷⁰ All enrolled subjects had v-EEG-confirmed PNES but also had to meet criteria for a unipolar depressive disorder and/or anxiety disorder. Subjects underwent monthly assessments for 5 months. The 19 subjects who completed the study showed a statistically significant reduction in all symptom scales and monthly event frequency at the fifth-month assessment compared with the initial assessment. There was no difference in patients with more than ten events in the 15-day baseline pre-inclusion assessment period. That the inclusion of PNES subjects after diagnosis was confirmed no earlier than 2 months from enrollment may have assisted in the attention provided to the diagnosis; however, this may be irrelevant, as the mean duration of PNES was 6 years in this study.

Discussion and future directions

One pilot psychopharmacotherapeutic study meeting criteria for Class II evidence⁶⁹ and one pilot psychotherapeutic study meeting criteria for Class III evidence⁶⁹ are the scientifically strongest evidence currently available for the treatment of PNES.⁷¹ Both studies had limited power, and while strong support cannot be claimed for these interventions at this point, it is appropriate to say that these are very promising approaches that require further study. This limited evidence shows how early the current stage is in the development of therapeutic interventions for this clinical entity. The lack of a clear-cut etiological model that supports the psychogenic origin of PNES, and the heterogeneous background that can lead to a similar phenotypic presentation, can make finding an explanation for the disorder and a one-size-fits-all intervention a difficult task to achieve. On the other hand, the field of psychiatry is known to accommodate etiological uncertainties and a wide variety of risk factors that can lead to a specific symptom display while still investing in the development of treatment interventions. Why are PNES lagging behind then in treatment development? Is the fact that many of these patients are initially thought to have epilepsy a reason for this cold embrace and, to some degree, skepticism?
Because most PNES patients enter diagnosis and treatment through the “epilepsy” door, the collaboration between neurology and psychiatry is of utmost relevance. Dual training or neuropsychiatric specialization may help bridge this dichotomy, although there may be a limited number of professionals with such background and interest. “Treatment as usual” for PNES mostly depends on a referral to a mental health professional. For individuals who accept the diagnosis without hesitation, who do not possess chronic risk factors that perpetuate their symptoms, and who are able to find a competent professional comfortable treating PNES, “treatment as usual” may prove therapeutic. However, for many patients, limited acceptance of the diagnosis, a number of chronic psychiatric difficulties, and limited therapeutic resources still represent barriers to adequate treatment that can lead to a successful outcome.

A multidisciplinary and flexible model for the treatment of PNES may provide the background infrastructure necessary upon which the specific psychiatric interventions may be deployed successfully. Beyond the development of this infrastructure, understanding the vulnerabilities that lead to PNES may help customize many of the interventions with the goal of providing long-term beneficial outcomes. While the understanding of these vulnerability traits is still at an early stage, these traits may be key ingredients that need to be recognized and addressed for a durable, positive outcome in therapeutic trials and actual practice. Additionally, the role of the therapeutic relationship cannot be underestimated, as it may provide the basis for further adaptive development of emotional expression and identity.

Although a case series and therefore not included in the author’s earlier analysis, Rusch et al reported on 26 PNES adults who received diverse psychotherapeutic interventions of varying duration based on the symptom pattern underlying their PNES presentation. All subjects except one experienced either cessation or improvement of their events. Treatment was not delivered in a standardized fashion. This article is mentioned because, although not a standardized intervention, it does capture the essence of the heterogeneous patient population that PNES patients are, and it demonstrates how, even with individualized treatment, follow-up data can be prospectively tracked.

Evaluation of structured therapies such as CBT, psychodynamic therapies and group interventions, and serotonergic antidepressants in larger, randomized, controlled trials are necessary to establish solid guidelines for treatment. Event induction through hypnosis has been evaluated to help discriminate between epileptic seizures and PNES, creating interest in hypnotherapy as a potentially effective intervention. Hypnotherapy was studied in conversion, but not in PNES-only samples in a rigorous manner, limiting comparison with the interventions mentioned earlier. Additionally, other psychotherapeutic approaches such as mindfulness-based interventions, EMDR, and sensorimotor therapy have not been systematically studied and may be well suited for PNES.

Mindfulness-based interventions are rooted in the concept of mindfulness, “paying attention in a particular way: on purpose, in the present moment and non-judgmentally.” Mindfulness has been articulated in specific psychotherapeutic approaches, such as acceptance and commitment therapy, dialectical behavioral therapy, mindfulness-based stress reduction, and mindfulness-based cognitive therapy. The application of mindfulness practice can lead to a shift in perspective, termed “reperceiving,” which becomes an overarching mechanism of action under which more direct mechanisms, can lead to change and positive outcomes. The emphasis of mindfulness-based interventions on intentional and nonjudgmental attention in the present moment, followed by an acceptance stance and behavioral choice based on high-value roles, could help conversion and dissociation patients develop new strategies to eventually replace their maladaptive ones dictated by their vulnerability traits.

Sensorimotor therapy has been specifically developed for the treatment of trauma and dissociation. The goal of sensorimotor therapy is to help the individual process his or her traumatic experience within a state of “optimal arousal,” instead of the usually displayed hypearoused (ie, reexperiencing, hypervigilance) or hypoaroused (ie, dissociation, freezing response) states. Given the high prevalence of traumatic experiences and also the identified hypervigilance and avoidance tendencies in PNES subjects, this kind of treatment may hold some promise for at least a subset of patients.

EMDR has shown results comparable with those of CBT in the treatment of PTSD, and its proposed mechanism of action involves processing of trauma memories with new, positive information, separating the arousal associated with these memories. This is achieved by creating a vivid image of the traumatic memory while the patient is led through eye movements and other tactile stimulations. The creation of a new mechanism to process these memories without the associated arousal may also be fitting to PNES patients, especially considering the high prevalence of PTSD in this
population. Although no rigorous studies were conducted in PNES, a case series of three subjects with PNES and PTSD rendered event remission, with this event remission being sustained for 12–18 months.84

Similarly, as more is learned about the underlying neurocircuitry dysfunction in PNES,85 effective treatments may be strengthened by neuromodulatory interventions.

Conclusion
This review presented the current state in the treatment of PNES, including limitations and difficulties in conducting clinical trials in this population and a proposed model for diagnosis presentation. A review of the most recent medical literature was provided with a detailed description of those studies with the scientifically strongest evidence of efficacy. Finally, concerns regarding the delay in PNES treatment development and some practical ideas on how to create an infrastructure for successful treatment outcomes were discussed.

CBT and the antidepressant sertraline were evaluated in pilot double-blind, randomized, controlled trials. Other interventions evaluated in uncontrolled trials include augmented psychodynamic interpersonal psychotherapy, group psychodynamic psychotherapy, group psychoeducation and the antidepressant venlafaxine. These interventions all seem promising, but require further investigation in larger samples and/or with a more rigorous methodology. Successful outcomes in clinical practice will be dependent on adaptation and flexible delivery of these interventions.
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