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Melanoma genome sequencing reveals frequent PREX2 mutations
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Supplementary Information is linked to the online version of the paper at www.nature.com/nature.
Melanoma is notable for its metastatic propensity, lethality in the advanced setting, and association with ultraviolet (UV) exposure early in life. To obtain a comprehensive genomic view of melanoma, we sequenced the genomes of 25 metastatic melanomas and matched germline DNA. A wide range of point mutation rates was observed: lowest in melanomas whose primaries arose on non-UV exposed hairless skin of the extremities (3 and 14 per Mb genome), intermediate in those originating from hair-bearing skin of the trunk (range = 5 to 55 per Mb), and highest in a patient with a documented history of chronic sun exposure (111 per Mb). Analysis of whole-genome sequence data identified PREX2 - a PTEN-interacting protein and negative regulator of PTEN in breast cancer - as a significantly mutated gene with a mutation frequency of approximately 14% in an independent extension cohort of 107 human melanomas. PREX2 mutations are biologically relevant, as ectopic expression of mutant PREX2 accelerated tumor formation of immortalized human melanocytes in vivo. Thus, whole-genome sequencing of human melanoma tumors revealed genomic evidence of UV pathogenesis and discovered a new recurrently mutated gene in melanoma.
Interestingly, the acral tumor ME032 also showed a discernible enrichment of UV-associated mutations (Fig. 1). Thus, genome sequencing readily confirmed the contribution of sun exposure in melanoma etiology.

In agreement with prior studies\(^7,9\), we detected an overall enrichment for dipyrimidines at C>T transitions. Analysis of intragenic C>T mutations yielded a significant bias against C>T mutations on the transcribed strand for most melanomas, consistent with transcription-coupled repair (TCR) (Suppl. Fig. 1)\(^3,7,10\). Most commonly, C>T mutations occurred at the 3’ base of a pyrimidine dinucleotide (CpC or TpC) (Suppl. Fig. 2). In contrast, the C>T mutations in sample ME009 (with hypermutation and chronic sun exposure history) more often occurred at the 5’ base of a pyrimidine dinucleotide. As expected, the acral tumor ME015 exhibited mutation patterns observed in non-UV associated tumor types\(^11\), such as an increased mutation rate at CpG dinucleotides relative to their overall genome-wide frequency (Suppl. Fig. 2). These different mutational signatures suggest a complex mechanism of UV mutagenesis across the clinical spectrum of melanoma, likely reflecting distinct histories of environmental exposures and cutaneous biology.

We detected 9,653 missense, nonsense, or splice site mutations in 5,712 genes (out of a total of 14,680 coding mutations; Supplementary Tables S4, S5), with an estimated specificity of 95% (Supplementary Methods). The \(\text{BRAF}^{\text{V600E}}\) mutation was present in 16 of 25 tumors (64%), including the acral melanoma ME015. \(\text{NRAS}\) was mutated in 9 of 25 tumors (36%) in a mutually exclusive fashion with \(\text{BRAF}\), with the exception of one non-canonical substitution (\(\text{NRAS}^{T50I}\)) in the hypermutated sample ME009. We also identified 6 insertions and 34 deletions in protein coding exons (Supplementary Table S6), including a 21-bp in-frame deletion involving exon 11 of the \(\text{KIT}\) oncogene in the acral tumor ME032 (Supplementary Fig. S3). \(\text{KIT}\) mutations occur in 15% of acral and mucosal melanomas\(^12\), and melanoma patients with activating \(\text{KIT}\) mutations in exon 11 have demonstrated marked responses to imatinib treatment\(^13\).

We identified an average of 97 structural rearrangements per melanoma genome (range: 6-420) (Supplementary Table S7). In addition to displaying a wide range of rearrangement frequencies, the proportion of intrachromosomal and interchromosomal rearrangements varied widely across genomes. ME029, which harbored the largest number of rearrangements (420), contained only 8 interchromosomal events (Fig. 2a). In contrast, ME020 and ME035 contained 95 and 90 interchromosomal rearrangements, respectively (Fig. 2a). In both cases, the vast majority of interchromosomal rearrangements were restricted to two chromosomes. This pattern is reminiscent of chromothripsis\(^14\), a process involving catastrophic chromosome breakage that has been observed in several tumor types\(^15,16\).

106 genes harbored chromosomal rearrangements in two or more samples (Supplementary Table S8). Many recurrently rearranged loci contain large genes or reside at known or suspected fragile sites\(^17\); examples include \(\text{FHIT}\) (6 tumors), \(\text{MACROD2}\) (5 tumors), and \(\text{CSMD1}\) (4 tumors). On the other hand, several known cancer genes were also recurrently rearranged, including the \(\text{PTEN}\) tumor suppressor (4 tumors) and \(\text{MAGI2}\) (3 tumors), which encodes a protein known to bind and stabilize PTEN. \(\text{MAGI2}\) was also found disrupted in recent whole genome studies of prostate cancer\(^18\) and a melanoma cell line\(^7\). Rearrangements involving the 5’ untranslated region of the ataxin 2-binding protein 1 gene (\(\text{A2BP1}\)) were observed in 4 tumors. \(\text{A2BP1}\) encodes an RNA binding protein whose genetic disruption has been linked to spinocerebellar ataxia and other neurodegenerative diseases. \(\text{A2BP1}\) undergoes complex splicing regulation in the central nervous system and other tissues\(^19\); in melanoma, these rearrangements may disrupt a known \(\text{A2BP1}\) splice isoform or enable a \textit{de novo} splicing product. Together, these results suggest that
chromosomal rearrangements may contribute importantly to melanoma genesis or progression.

Acral melanoma (ME032) harbored the second-largest number of total rearrangements (314; Fig. 2a). We employed high throughput PCR followed by massively parallel sequencing to successfully validate 177 of 182 events tested in this sample, confirming its high rate of rearrangement. The elevated frequency of genomic rearrangements in acral melanomas has been reported previously. In comparison, ME032 exhibited one of the lowest base pair mutation rates of the melanomas examined (21st out of 25 samples), suggesting that different tumors might preferentially enact alternative mechanisms of genomic alteration to drive tumorigenesis.

As noted above, many rearrangements in ME032 involved multiple breakpoints within a narrow genomic interval. One such event disrupted the ETV1 locus. We previously demonstrated an oncogenic role for ETV1 in melanoma, whose dysregulated expression was associated with upregulation of microphthalmia-associated transcription factor (MITF), the master melanocyte transcriptional regulator and a melanoma lineage survival oncogene. We validated 6 distinct rearrangements (4 interchromosomal translocations) in ME032 involving breakpoints within ETV1 introns (Fig. 2b). These events join regions of ETV1 to distal loci on chromosomes 8, 9, 11, and 15. In support of their possible functional relevance, these rearrangements were associated with high-level ETV1 amplification in this tumor.

A second complex rearrangement involved the PREX2 locus. PREX2 encodes a phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate RAC exchange factor recently shown to interact with the PTEN tumor suppressor and modulate its function. We validated 9 somatic rearrangements in the vicinity of PREX2 (6 interchromosomal translocations), including 5 with intronic breakpoints (Fig. 2c, Supplementary Fig. S4). One event joined specific intronic regions of PREX2 and ETV1. Like ETV1, PREX2 is highly amplified in this tumor, as verified by FISH analysis (Fig. 2d, Supplementary Fig. S5). The presence of these complex structural rearrangements in addition to amplification may indicate multiple mechanisms of PREX2 dysregulation in melanoma. More generally, these findings raised the possibility that sites of complex rearrangement might denote genes of functional importance in melanoma.

Next, we calculated the mutational significance of each gene based on the number of mutations detected, gene length, and background mutation rates (Table 1, Supplementary Table S9) (See Methods). Eleven genes were found to be significantly mutated across the 25 samples (q < 0.01). As expected, the two most significant genes were BRAF and NRAS, mutated in 16 and 9 samples, respectively. Interestingly, PREX2 scored as one of the top significant genes (Table 1). Furthermore, 4 samples harbored nonsense truncation mutations in PREX2, more than any of the other genes identified as statistically significant in this analysis. PREX2 mutations have occasionally been reported in colon, lung, and pancreatic cancer, albeit at low frequencies. Here, we detected 13 non-synonymous point mutations in PREX2 — including 4 nonsense mutations — and 1 synonymous mutation, with 11 of 25 melanomas harboring at least 1 non-synonymous mutation. The mutations were distributed throughout the entire length of PREX2 (Fig. 3a, green circles), and 13 of 14 mutations were non-synonymous, suggestive of strong positive selection. An analysis of the mutant allele frequencies and estimated tumor purities indicates that at least 2 mutations are homozygous. One melanoma, ME018, harbors 3 missense mutations, two of which (I534M and G1581R) appear to co-occur on a single allele based on their observed mutation frequencies. Notably, a PREX2 nonsense mutation was detected in ME032, in addition to the rearrangements and amplification of this locus present in this tumor (Fig. 2c). This PREX2 mutation was
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truncating (E824*), removing the C-terminal region with homology to an inositol phosphatase domain. Based on the allele frequency of this mutation, we infer that it occurs on the non-amplified allele. Taken together, whole-genome sequencing of this 25-sample discovery cohort identified PREX2 as a candidate melanoma gene whose amplifications, rearrangements or mutations appeared to undergo positive selection in human melanoma genesis.

To determine the prevalence of PREX2 mutations in melanoma, we performed bidirectional capillary sequencing in an extension cohort of 107 tumor/normal pairs, comprising 45 tumors and 62 short-term cultures collected from multiple institutions and geographic regions (Supplementary Table S10). We identified 23 somatic base pair mutations and one frame-shift insertion in PREX2 in this cohort (Fig. 3a; Supplementary Table S11), 15 of which represented non-synonymous changes. We therefore inferred a 14% frequency of non-synonymous PREX2 mutations in this melanoma cohort.

Discrepant non-synonymous: synonymous ratios were observed between the tumor samples and short-term cultures in the extension cohort. In line with results from the discovery cohort, 100% of PREX2 mutations detected across 45 tumor samples were non-synonymous in nature (n = 4), consistent with positive selection. In contrast, only 55% of the sequence mutations found in the 64 short-term cultures were non-synonymous (a ratio of 11:9). Conceivably, these findings may indicate that subsets of melanoma cells capable of robust growth in vitro may have experienced reduced selective pressure for PREX2 mutations. Alternatively (or in addition), the PREX2 locus may exhibit an enhanced “local” mutation rate, a by-product of which is the production of variants that undergo positive selection in vivo.

To demonstrate the functional relevance of PREX2 mutations in melanoma tumorigenesis, we ectopically expressed six representative mutations (three truncation variants and three non-synonymous point mutations predicted to carry functional impact) in TERT-immortalized human melanocytes engineered to express NRAS(G12D) (PMEL-NRAS*)21. These melanocytic lines were transplanted into immunodeficient mice alongside control melanocytes expressing either wild-type PREX2 or GFP. Overexpression of all 3 truncated variants as well as a point mutant (G844D) of PREX2 significantly accelerated in vivo tumorigenesis when compared to GFP control or WT PREX2 expressing melanocytes (Fig. 3b, Supplementary Fig. 6). These results therefore affirmed the aforementioned genomic data suggesting that PREX2 mutations may undergo positive selection in vivo. Although the spectrum of PREX2 mutations in human melanoma (Fig. 3a) is reminiscent of inactivating mutations, our findings suggest that PREX2 somatic mutations generate truncated or variant proteins that gain oncogenic activity in melanoma cells.

In summary, following recent efforts to characterize whole genomes from several hematologic and solid tumors, we provide the first high-resolution view of the genomic landscape across a spectrum of metastatic melanoma tumors. The analysis reveals global genomic evidence for the role of UV mutagenesis in melanoma, and identifies several recurrently mutated and rearranged genes not previously implicated in this malignancy. In particular, we discovered that PREX2 mutations are both recurrent and functionally consequential in melanoma biology. Although its precise mechanism(s) of action remain to be elucidated in melanoma, PREX2 appears to acquire oncogenic activity through mutations that perturb or inactivate one or more of its cellular functions. This pattern of mutations may exemplify a category of cancer genes that is distinct from “classic” oncogenes (often characterized by highly recurrent gain-of-function mutations) and tumor suppressors (inactivated by simple loss-of-function alterations). Instead, (over)expression of certain
cancer genes with distributed mutation patterns may promote tumorigenicity either through
dominant negative effects or more subtle dysregulation of normal protein functions.

Cancer genomics has enabled the discovery and rational application of the first truly
effective targeted therapy for metastatic melanoma: BRAF mutations predict sensitivity to
selective RAF inhibitors. However, the emergence of acquired resistance is rapid and
often driven by other genomic events. Our genomic exploration of the melanoma genomes
revealed a large number of complex alterations that likely impact on many other genes in
addition to PREX2. Understanding how this spectrum of genomic aberrations contributes to
melanoma genesis and progression should provide new insights into tumor biology,
therapeutic resistance, and developing treatment regimens aimed at durable control of this
malignancy.

METHODS SUMMARY

The complete genomes of 25 metastatic melanomas and patient-matched germline samples
were sequenced to approximately 30x and 30x haploid coverage, respectively, on an
Illumina GAIIx sequencer (5 cases), and approximately 65x and 32x haploid coverage,
respectively, on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 sequencer (20 cases) as paired-end 101-nucleotide
reads. Read pairs were aligned to the reference human genome (hg19) using BWA.
Somatic alterations (single base substitutions, small insertions and deletions, and structural
rearrangements) were identified according to their presence in the tumor genome and
absence from the corresponding normal genome. A subset of rearrangements were validated
by PCR and an independent sequencing technology in order to assess the specificity of the
detection algorithm. Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) was performed to confirm the
high level amplification and rearrangement of PREX2. Significantly mutated genes were
identified by comparing the observed mutations to the background mutation rates calculated
for different sequence context categories per tumor sample. 40 exons of PREX2 were
sequenced by PCR and bidirectional capillary sequencing in a validation panel of 107
additional melanoma tumors and short term cultures; mutations were confirmed as somatic
by sequencing matched normal DNA. For gain of function studies, PREX2 mutation
constructs were engineered and introduced to PMELcell lines by lentiviral transduction. To
assess the oncogenic roles of PREX2 mutants, PMEL-NRAS* cells were injected
subcutaneously into NUDE mice, and tumor growth was measured over time. A complete
description of the materials and methods is provided in the Supplementary Information. All
Illumina sequence data are publicly available in dbGaP (accession number
phs000452.v1.p1).

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Illumina sequencing was performed at the Broad Institute and array-based genomic characterization and functional
studies were performed at the Belfer Institute of DFCI. We are grateful to the Broad Institute Genome Sequencing
Platform, Genome Analysis Platform, and Biological Samples Platform. This work was supported by the National
Human Genome Research Institute (S.B.G., E.S.L.), National Cancer Institute (M.M., L.C.), FWF-Austrian Science
Fund (S.N.W.), NIH Director’s New Innovator Award (L.A.G.), Melanoma Research Alliance (L.A.G., L.C.), Starr
Cancer Consortium (L.A.G.), and the Burroughs-Wellcome Fund (L.A.G.).

REFERENCES

3:559–70. [PubMed: 12894244]

Nature. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 November 24.
Figure 1.
Elevated mutation rates and spectra indicative of UV radiation damage. Top bar plot shows somatic mutation rate of 25 sequenced melanoma genomes, in decreasing order. Middle matrix indicates BRAF/NRAS somatic mutation status, with left-adjacent bar plot indicating total number of mutations in each oncogene as well as percent frequency. Bottom plot displays each tumor’s somatic mutation spectrum. Tumor sample names are indicated at the bottom of the figure, with acral melanomas in red.
Figure 2.
Hubs of rearrangement breakpoints affect known and putative oncogenes. (a) Circos plots representing 4 melanoma genomes with notable structural alterations. Interchromosomal and intrachromosomal rearrangements are shown in purple and green, respectively. (b) Location of breakpoints associated with *ETV1* in melanoma ME032. (c) Location of breakpoints associated with *PREX2* in melanoma ME032. The red arrow indicates a premature stop codon (E824*). All rearrangements in *ETV1* and *PREX2* were validated by multiplexed PCR and 454 sequencing. (d) Confirmation of high-level amplification and rearrangement in *PREX2* by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH).
Figure 3.
Mutant PREX2 expression promotes melanoma genesis. (a) Non-synonymous sequence mutations detected from Illumina sequencing of 25 melanomas (green) or from capillary sequencing of a validation cohort of 107 additional melanomas (purple). Mutations are dispersed throughout all annotated structural domains of PREX2. (DH = DBL Homology domain; PH = Plekstrin Homology domain). The C-terminal half of PREX2 exhibits sequence homology to an inositol phosphatase domain. Engineered PREX2 mutants are labeled with red arrowheads. (b) Kaplan-Meier curve showing tumor free survival of NUDE mice (n=10) injected with pMEL-NRAS* cells expressing GFP, WT, truncated, and mutated PREX2 subcutaneously.
**TABLE 1**

Significantly mutated genes in 25 melanoma tumors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Total Number of Covered Bases</th>
<th>Samples with Non-Synonymous Mutations</th>
<th>Non-Synonymous Mutations</th>
<th>Nonsense Mutations</th>
<th>Synonymous Mutations</th>
<th>P-val</th>
<th>Q-val</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BRAF*</td>
<td>56520</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;1e-15</td>
<td>&lt;1e-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>NRAS</td>
<td>14160</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.1e-15</td>
<td>3.8e-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>MUC4</td>
<td>77038</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.4e-11</td>
<td>1.5e-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>PREX2</td>
<td>127041</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.7e-08</td>
<td>0.00008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>GOLGA6L6</td>
<td>18902</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.8e-07</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>VCX3B</td>
<td>7132</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.1e-07</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>POTEH</td>
<td>21545</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.7e-07</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>OR2T33</td>
<td>21978</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.6e-07</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>C1orf127</td>
<td>53004</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.5e-06</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>PRG4</td>
<td>100212</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.4e-06</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>MST1</td>
<td>46400</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.3e-06</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*BRAF*V600E mutations were detected in four additional samples by exon capture upon manual review of Illumina sequencing data (shown in Fig. 1).