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The clinical success of immunomodulatory thalidomide derivatives has renewed the general interest in immunomodulatory anticancer compounds and prompted us to develop a high-throughput system to quantify immune effector-cell activity. We documented that the interaction between cancer cells, their stroma, anticancer agents and cells from the innate system are critical for determining the response of tumors to immunomodulatory strategies.

The enhancement of immune effector-cell activity is an appealing strategy for the treatment of various cancers. The development of clinically applicable therapies, however, requires preclinical assays that allow for the study of immunological interactions as well as novel agents that exert immunomodulatory effects. To date, the evaluation of chemical libraries of small molecules affecting antitumor immunity has been hampered by the lack of robust, sensitive and high-throughput scalable assays. The development of high-throughput screening strategies is needed for the analysis of tumors, stromal cells, anticancer compounds, immune effector-cell subsets and their mutual relationship. Expanding on our previous publication,1 we adapted our high-throughput scalable system is critical for determining the response of tumors to immunomodulatory strategies.

The role of the tumor microenvironment in antitumor immunity is also well recognized,9,10 however, the ability to evaluate the interactions between tumor cells and their stroma at a preclinical level has been limited. Screening autologous stromal cells with immune effector cells, in the context of matched MHC antigens from cells isolated from the same patient, we observed that stromal cells inhibit antitumor immunity as provided by interleukin-2 (IL-2)-stimulated peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs).2 Some drugs could counteract this effect, but not completely abrogate it. For example, stromal cells dramatically limited immune responses against MM.1S cells, yet lenalidomide or pomalidomide blocked such a stromal protection, indicating part of the efficacy of clinically active therapies may result from the inhibition of stromal mechanisms.

Arguably, the most important finding in our studies was that anticancer compounds do not necessarily exert immunostimulatory or immunosuppressive effects consistently across all tumor targets. We screened an 800 compound library and compared the consistency of immunomodulatory effects against MM.1S myeloma vs. HT lymphoma cells. Only 2.1% of the library enhanced antitumor immunity lines, each with its unique genetic background, oncogenic drivers and response to immunomodulation.

The enhancement of immune effector-cell activity is an appealing strategy for the treatment of various cancers. The development of clinically applicable therapies, however, requires preclinical assays that allow for the study of immunological interactions as well as novel agents that exert immunomodulatory effects. To date, the evaluation of chemical libraries of small molecules affecting antitumor immunity has been hampered by the lack of robust, sensitive and high-throughput scalable assays. The development of high-throughput screening strategies is needed for the analysis of tumors, stromal cells, anticancer compounds, immune effector-cell subsets and their mutual relationship. Expanding on our previous publication,1 we adapted our high-throughput scalable system is critical for determining the response of tumors to immunomodulatory strategies.

The role of the tumor microenvironment in antitumor immunity is also well recognized,9,10 however, the ability to evaluate the interactions between tumor cells and their stroma at a preclinical level has been limited. Screening autologous stromal cells with immune effector cells, in the context of matched MHC antigens from cells isolated from the same patient, we observed that stromal cells inhibit antitumor immunity as provided by interleukin-2 (IL-2)-stimulated peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs).2 Some drugs could counteract this effect, but not completely abrogate it. For example, stromal cells dramatically limited immune responses against MM.1S cells, yet lenalidomide or pomalidomide blocked such a stromal protection, indicating part of the efficacy of clinically active therapies may result from the inhibition of stromal mechanisms.

Arguably, the most important finding in our studies was that anticancer compounds do not necessarily exert immunostimulatory or immunosuppressive effects consistently across all tumor targets. We screened an 800 compound library and compared the consistency of immunomodulatory effects against MM.1S myeloma vs. HT lymphoma cells. Only 2.1% of the library enhanced antitumor immunity
against both MM.1S and HT cells, while 7.5% had contrasting effects. This suggests that selection of the specific tumor model is a critical element for the development of immunomodulatory antitumor strategies. Indeed, immunomodulatory drugs act not only on the host immune system but also on tumor and stromal cells, resulting in distinct outcomes depending on the precise interacting factors. The complexity of these interactions is daunting, but its understanding opens the door for the discovery of agents that can modulate host-tumor interactions at multiple levels.

The development of first-in-class agents often requires a paradigm shift in thinking, along with enabling models and technologies. Historically, immune-based models have relied on a single cell line, often being associated with difficulties in the translation of in vitro results to patients. This translation might also have been complicated by the largely neglected role of the tumor microenvironment and its influence on tumors targeted by immunomodulatory agents. The discovery of first-in-class anticancer agents with immunomodulatory properties, irrespective on whether they exert direct anticancer activity on not, will be facilitated by our methodology and other novel approaches. A comprehensive evaluation of these parameters is relevant not only for innate immune responses, but also for adaptive responses by T and dendritic cells. More broadly, immunologic therapies enhancing graft-vs.-tumor (GVT) but not graft-vs.-host (GVH) mechanisms will be important to oncology patients eligible to transplantation and will enable a more effective translation of preclinical discoveries to the bedside.

Figure 1. Description of the compartment-specific bioluminescence (CS-BLII) platform for antitumor immunity screening. Luciferase-positive tumor cells are mixed in culture with interleukin-2 (IL-2)-stimulated peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs). High-throughput screening for specific antitumor activity in the presence and absence of immune-effector cells is shown for ~100 small molecule inhibitors. Direct antitumor activity (black) of a given compound is compared with its modulation of antitumor effector activity (green). Direct activity is normalized to the untreated controls, whereas the effector cell-mediated activity is normalized to control conditions including the drug but no effector cells. Effector cell-mediated data points (green) falling below the direct killing values (black) represent compounds that enhance antitumor immunity.
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