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IL-21 Is an Antitolerogenic Cytokine of the Late-Phase Alloimmune Response

Alessandra Petrelli,1,2 Michele Carvello,3,4 Andrea Vergani,1,2 Kang Mi Lee,3 Sara Tezza,1 Ming Du,5 Sonja Klee,1 Liu Chengwen,5 Bechara G. Mfarrej,1 Patrick Hwu,6 Antonio Secchi,2 Warren J. Leonard,7 Deborah Young,8 Mohamed H. Sayegh,1 James F. Markmann,3 Allan J. Zajac,5 and Paolo Fiorina1,2

OBJECTIVE—Interleukin-21 (IL-21) is a proinflammatory cytokine that has been shown to affect Treg/Th cell balance. However, the mechanism by which IL-21 orchestrates alloimmune response and interplays with Tregs is still unclear.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS—The interplay between IL-21/IL-21R signaling, FoxP3 expression, and Treg survival and function was evaluated in vitro in immunologically relevant assays and in vivo in allogenic and autoimmune models of islet transplantation.

RESULTS—IL-21R expression decreases on T cells and B cells in vitro and increases in the graft in vivo, while IL-21 levels increase in vitro and in vivo during anti-CD3/anti-CD28 stimulation/allostimulation in the late phase of the alloimmune response. In vitro, IL-21/IL-21R signaling (by using rmIL-21 or genetically modified CD4+ T cells [IL-21 pOrf plasmid–treated or hIL-21-Tg mice]) enhances the T-cell response during anti-CD3/anti-CD28 stimulation/allostimulation, prevents Treg generation, inhibits Treg function, induces Treg apoptosis, and reduces FoxP3 and FoxP3-dependent gene transcripts without affecting FoxP3 methylation status. In vivo targeting of IL-21/IL-21R expands intragraft and peripheral Tregs, promotes Treg neogenesis, and regulates the antiodonor immune response, whereas IL-21/IL-21R signaling in Doxa-inducible ROSA-rtTA-IL-21-Tg mice expands Teffs and FoxP3- cells. Treatment with a combination of mIL-21R:Fc and CTLA4-Ig (an inhibitor of the early alloimmune response) leads to robust graft tolerance in a purely alloimmune setting and prolonged islet graft survival in NOD mice.

CONCLUSIONS—IL-21 interferes with different checkpoints of the FoxP3 Treg chain in the late phase of alloimmune response and, thus, acts as an antitolerogenic cytokine. Blockade of the IL-21/IL-21R pathway could be a precondition for tolerogenic protocols in transplantation. Diabetes 60:3223–3234, 2011

From the 1Transplantation Research Center, Children’s Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts; the 2Department of Medicine, San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan, Italy; 3Transplant Surgery, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts; 4Gastrointestinal Surgery, San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan, Italy; the 5Department of Microbiology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama; the 6Department of Melanoma Medical Oncology, Center for Cancer Immunology Research, University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas; the 7Laboratory of Molecular Immunology, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland; and 8Immunology and Inflammation, Pfizer, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

Corresponding author: Paolo Fiorina, paolo.fiorina@childrens.harvard.edu.

Received 25 June 2011 and accepted 22 September 2011.

DOI: 10.2337/db11-0880

This article contains Supplementary Data online at http://diabetes.diabetesjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.2337/db11-0880/-/DC1. © 2011 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/ for details.

The alloimmune response is a complex phenomenon, based on the activation of the innate and adaptive immune responses, which invariably leads to allograft rejection (1). Autocrine soluble factors, such as cytokines, are able to enhance or alternatively suppress the alloimmune response (1). While interleukin (IL)-2 and γ-interferon (IFN-γ) are among the primary mediators of the early phase of the alloimmune response (2), little is known regarding the late phase of the alloimmune response, during which alloreactive T cells are recruited to the proliferating pool, thus continuing the expansion process, while regulatory T cells (Tregs) are inhibited in exerting their suppressive function (3).

IL-21 is a cytokine produced by activated CD4+ T cells and NK cells that has been demonstrated to directly contribute to the orchestration of the different pathways that regulate the immune response (4,5). IL-21 binds the IL-21 receptor (IL-21R) heterodimer and provides signals to CD8+ naïve T cells to differentiate into cytotoxic effector cells (6) and signals to CD4+ T cells to differentiate into Th17 cells (7–9). It was recently demonstrated that IL-21 has a role in graft-versus-host disease (10,11). The basis of considering IL-21 as an important player in the alloimmune response lies in its robust role in expanding effector T cells (Teffs) (6,12) and its potential inhibitory role on Tregs (8,9). First, IL-21 has been shown to have a role in driving the expansion of Teffs in different disease models (8,13); second, IL-21 has been previously shown to inhibit transforming growth factor (TGF)-β–driven differentiation of naïve T cells into Tregs (8,9), thereby enhancing cytotoxic T-cell generation (14). Tregs control the immune response, and an IL-21–mediated impairment of Treg numbers or function may ultimately result in the impossibility of tolerance induction (15–17). Few data are available thus far on the interplay of IL-21 and Tregs in the alloimmune response (10,11,18).

Our aim is to study how the IL-21/IL-21R pathway interacts with FoxP3 and Tregs during alloimmune response and to explore whether IL-21 may act as an antitolerogenic cytokine, thus representing a barrier to tolerance.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

Mice. The following mice were used: C57BL/6, DBA/1J, BALB/c, Rag2tm1Mom, B6-FoxP3-GFP knock-in, IL-21R–/–, and hIL-21-Tg (15), all in a C57BL/6 background.

Doxycycline-inducible ROSA-rtTA-IL-21-Tg mice. Transgenic C57BL/6 mice expressing mIL-21 cDNA under the control of a tetracycline-dependent promoter were crossed to ROSA-rtTA mice (see Supplementary Data). Mice were administered streptozotocin (STZ), transplanted with BALB/c islets, and treated the same day with doxycycline (2 mg/mL in drinking water until rejection).
IL-21 AS AN ANTITOLEROGENIC CYTOKINE

Immunological assays. Anti-CD3/anti-CD28 (anti-CD3/CD28) stimulation assay and mixed-lymphocyte reaction (MLR) assay were performed as described previously (19). In vitro assays to study generation, survival, and function of CD4+CD25+ Tregs were performed as previously described (17,20).

Protocol. Euthanized mice were treated with 400 µg/mL IL21.Fc (≥99% purity; Pfizer, Cambridge, MA) or with 400 µg i.p. of a negative-control IgG2a antibody (anti-112205; every day for 10 days and then three times per week for a total of 4 weeks (22). A group of mice was treated with mIL-21.Fc in combination with CTLA4-Ig (Abatacept, Orencia; Bristol-Myers Squibb, Princeton, NJ) (500 µg at day 0 and 250 µg at days 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10).

Statistical analyses. Data are expressed as mean ± standard error. Kaplan-Meier analysis (log-rank test) was performed for survival studies. A P value of <0.05 (by two-tailed testing) was considered an indicator of statistical significance. Analyses of data were performed using an SPSS statistical package for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

RESULTS

IL-21/IL-21R levels and expression after anti-CD3/CD28 stimulation and allostimulation in vitro. IL-21 is highly expressed on naive unstimulated CD4+CD8+, and B220+ cells at baseline, and in contrast with previously published data (23), the percentage of IL-21R+ cells is unchanged on stimulated cells at day 1 and at day 3 (Fig. 1A and B) (some data not shown). It is interesting that after 5 days of anti-CD3/CD28 stimulation, a reduction in the percentage of IL-21R+ T cells and B cells was evident compared with baseline (IL-21R+CD4+ T cells = 8.5 ± 1.7%; IL-21R+CD8+ T cells = 14.9 ± 5.6%; IL-21R+B220+ cells = 21.5 ± 14.5%; baseline vs. all, P < 0.05) (Fig. 1C and D). IL-21 was undetectable in the supernatant at day 1 (Fig. 1E) and at day 3 (data not shown). However, increased levels of IL-21 in the supernatant were found at day 5 compared with day 1 (P < 0.001) in the anti-CD3/CD28 stimulation assay (Fig. 1E and F). When 150 ng/mL of recombinant mouse (rm)IL-21 was added in vitro, IL-21 supernatant levels were higher at day 1 compared with day 5 (P < 0.001) (Fig. 1E and F), possibly for rmIL-21 degradation.

At day 5 (but not at days 1 or 3), an overall reduction in the percentage of IL-21R+CD4+/CD8+/B220+ cells was evident (Fig. 1A-D) (some data not shown) regardless of the presence of mIL-21 or mIL-21R.Fc. The addition of mIL-21.Fc reduced IL-21 levels in the supernatant at day 5, when IL-21 first became detectable (Fig. 1F). Data obtained from anti-CD3/CD28 stimulation assay data were confirmed in the MLR assay as well (data not shown). To confirm that mIL-21.Fc binds IL-21 cytokine (thus reducing IL-21 detectable levels in vitro), we added serial concentrations of mIL-21.Fc (5, 50, and 150 ng/mL) to 150 ng/mL of mIL-21 and evaluated IL-21 levels by luminex assay (Millipore, Billerica, MA) at days 1, 3, and 5 after seeding. Reduced IL-21 levels were detected when mIL-21 and mIL-21R,Fc were cocultured (i.e., IL-21 levels at day 1: no drug = 3290 ± 337 vs. mIL-21.Fc 150 ng/mL = 1135 ± 284; P < 0.05).

IL-21/IL-21R signaling enhances the T-cell response during anti-CD3/CD28 stimulation and allostimulation in vitro. We first challenged CD4+ and CD8+ T cells extracted from the spleens of 10-week-old C57BL/6 mice in an anti-CD3/CD28 stimulation assay with the addition of rmIL-21 or mIL-21.R.Fc. Addition of rmIL-21 led to a dose-dependent increase of IFN-γ-producing CD4+ T cells (no drug = 70.5 ± 6.5; 5 ng/mL rmIL-21 = 91.7 ± 5.9; 50 ng/mL rmIL-21 = 104.8 ± 7.7; 150 ng/mL rmIL-21 = 110.8 ± 8.3, counted as number of IFN-γ-producing cells per 0.2 × 10^5 total CD4+ T cells; no drug vs. 50 ng/mL rmIL-21 and 150 ng/mL rmIL-21, P < 0.01) (Fig. 1G) and CD8+ T cells (Fig. 1H). IL-4-producing CD4+ T cells increased in frequency as well when rmIL-21 was added to the anti-CD3/CD28 assay, while no IL-4-producing CD8+ T cells were detected in this assay (data not shown). These data were confirmed in an allostimulation assay (MLR) (data not shown). Addition of mIL-21R.Fc to the anti-CD3/CD28 assay induced a decrease in IFN-γ levels and left IL-4 unchanged (Fig. 1I), while rmIL-21 increased IFN-γ and IL-4 levels (Fig. 1J) 5 days after stimulation.

We then evaluated the effect of genetically over-expressing or knocking down the IL-21/IL-21R pathway. We used CD4+ T cells obtained from IL-21 plasmid-treated mice (injected with IL-21 pOrf plasmid) and from IL-21−/− mice (with genetic deletion of the IL-21R gene) for in vitro studies, confirming the data obtained with the rmIL-21 and mIL-21.R.Fc (Supplementary Fig. 1A-D).
P = 0.04) (Fig. 3C). In a similar manner, IL-21 over-expressing Tregs (from IL-21 plasmid–treated mice), but not IL-21R−/− Tregs, displayed an increased apoptotic rate in the maintenance assay (Fig. 3D). No differences in mRNA levels of Bcl2, Bcl6, Bax, Caspase 3, Caspase 8, cMyc, Fas, and Fasl were detected in Tregs cultured in the presence of rmIL-21 compared with control Tregs (data not shown).

IL-21/IL-21R signaling inhibits FoxP3 transcription without altering the FoxP3 methylation profile in vitro. To better understand the interplay of IL-21/IL-21R signaling with Tregs, we performed RT-PCR studies to evaluate mRNA transcript levels of FoxP3 and FoxP3-dependent genes in Tregs cultured with 150 ng/mL rmIL-21 or mIL-21R.Fc (17). RT-PCR expression studies confirmed the reduction of FoxP3 mRNA levels (rmIL-21 = 0.2 ± 0.0 fold decrease compared...
IL-21 inhibition of TGF-β FoxP3 and CD4+FoxP3+ cells in the presence of rmIL-21 or mIL-21R.Fc (both at 5, 50, and 150 ng/mL) or no drug (A and B). After 4 days of culture, the percentage of CD4+FoxP3+ cells was evaluated (Tregs). Although rmIL-21 supplementation suppressed Treg generation (no drug vs. 50 and 150 ng/mL rmIL-21, \( p < 0.0001 \)), the addition of mIL-21R.Fc promoted Treg expansion (no drug vs. 150 ng/mL mIL-21R.Fc, \( p = 0.03 \)) (Fig. 3B). Treg generation was performed with WT, hIL-21-Tg, IL-21 plasmid–treated, and extracted IL-21R–targeted genes (see Supplementary Data), of two regions of the FoxP3 gene (Intron 1 and Distal Promoter) known to be highly and poorly methylated, respectively, at basal conditions (16) in Tregs generated in the presence of rmIL-21 or mIL-21R.Fc or without any supplementation (Fig. 3J). No differences were evident in the CpG base pair percentage in the Intron 1 region (mainly hypermethylated) or the Distal Promoter region (mainly hypomethylated) regardless of rmIL-21 or mIL-21R.Fc presence (Fig. 3J).

Further confirmation of a non-FoxP3 methylation–mediated mechanism of IL-21 action was sought by examining the ability of decitabine or 5-Aza-2′-deoxycytidine (a DNA hypomethylating agent), to promote Treg generation in the presence of rmIL-21 (24). As previously shown (24), treatment with decitabine alone was able to induce Treg generation (Δpercentage compared with no drug: no drug = 100.0 ± 17.7% vs. decitabine = 180.3 ± 17.3%; \( p = 0.01 \)) (Fig. 3J). Decitabine was, however, unable to increase CD4+FoxP3+ cells in the presence of rmIL-21 (Δpercentage compared with no drug: rmIL-21 = 29 ± 3.6% vs. rmIL-21 + decitabine = 26 ± 2.9%; NS) (Fig. 3J).

**FIG. 2.** IL-21/IL-21R signaling and overexpression inhibits Treg generation in vitro. A Treg generation assay was performed in vitro in the presence of rmIL-21 or mIL-21R.Fc or medium alone (no drug) (n = 3 experiments/conditions). CD4+CD25+ cells extracted from C57BL/6 mice by magnetic microbeads were cultured with anti-CD3/CD28 antibodies, TGF-β, and increasing concentrations of rmIL-21 or mIL-21R.Fc (both at 5, 50, and 150 ng/mL) or no drug (A and B). After 4 days of culture, the percentage of CD4+FoxP3+ cells was evaluated (Tregs). Although rmIL-21 supplementation suppressed Treg generation (no drug vs. 50 and 150 ng/mL rmIL-21, \( p < 0.0001 \)), the addition of mIL-21R.Fc promoted Treg expansion (no drug vs. 150 ng/mL mIL-21R.Fc, \( p = 0.03 \)) (n = 3 experiments/conditions) (A and B). Treg generation was performed with WT, hIL-21-Tg, IL-21 plasmid–treated, and extracted IL-21R–targeted genes (WT mice) in Treg generation was evident when CD4+ T cells were obtained from IL-21–transgenic mice (WT vs. IL-21 plasmid treated and hIL-21-Tg, \( p < 0.0001 \)) (C–F), whereas no differences were evident when using IL-21R–targeted CD4+CD25+ (WT vs. IL-21R–treated, NS) (G and H).

**IL-21/IL-21R levels and expression during the alloimmune response in vivo.** We then evaluated the percentage of IL-21+ T cells and B cells as well as levels of IL-21 during the alloimmune response in a murine model of islet transplantation in vivo. Islets harvested from BALB/c mice were transplanted under the kidney capsule of hyperglycemic C57BL/6 (STZ) mice and treated with mIL-21R-Fc, treated with control antibody (anti-E. tenella), or left untreated. No changes in CD4+ IL-21+, CD8+IL-21+, or B220+IL-21+ cell percentage were evident in the spleen at days 7 and 14 after transplantation (Fig. 4A–C). IL-21 serum levels analyzed at the same time points as above were not different at day 7 (Fig. 4D) but increased at day 14 after islet transplantation in the three groups of mice (Fig. 4D). The expression of the IL-21/IL-21R pathway was further evaluated in the graft infiltrate of untreated and mIL-21R–treated islet–transplanted mice at 7 and 14 days after islet transplantation. We found that IL-21 mRNA significantly increased at day 14 compared with baseline (in freshly isolated islets) and at day 7 (day 14 = 11.7 ± 2.5 fold increase compared with baseline; baseline vs. day 14, \( P = 0.04 \)) (Fig. 4E) in untreated mice, while a lower but not significant intraislet expression of IL-21 mRNA was evident 14 days after islet transplantation in mIL-21R–treated compared with untreated mice (Fig. 4E).

**Targeting IL-21/IL-21R expands intragraft Tregs and promotes tolerance in vivo.** We then tested the impact of targeting the IL-21/IL-21R axis (using the mIL-21R–Fc
FIG. 3. IL-21/IL-21R signaling and overexpression reduces Treg function, Treg survival, and FoxP3 gene transcripts without affecting methylation status. A suppression assay was performed in which CFSE-labeled CD4\(^+\)CD25\(^+\) cells were stimulated with anti-CD3/CD28 antibodies. Their proliferation was then assessed in the presence of Tregs generated with high concentrations of rmIL-21 or mIL-21R.Fc (both at 150 ng/mL) or without any drug supplementation (\(n = 3\) experiments/conditions) (A and B). The proliferation of stimulated CD4\(^+\)CD25\(^+\) in the absence of Tregs was also evaluated (baseline vs. all, \(# P < 0.01\) ) (A and B). Tregs generated in the presence of rmIL-21 displayed reduced suppression of CD4\(^+\)CD25\(^+\) T-cell proliferation compared with control (no drug vs. rmIL-21, \(* P = 0.01\) ). On the contrary, mIL-21R.Fc–induced Tregs showed an increased ability to suppress CD4\(^+\)CD25\(^+\) T-cell proliferation compared with control (no drug vs. mIL-21R.Fc, \(* P = 0.04\) ) (A and B). The apoptotic rate of FoxP3-GFP\(^+\) cells extracted from FoxP3-GFP-B6 mice plated with anti-CD3/CD28 antibodies, IL-2, and high concentrations of rmIL-21 or mIL-21R.Fc (both at 150 ng/mL) or with no drug was evaluated in a Treg maintenance assay (\(n = 3\) experiments/conditions) (C). FoxP3-GFP\(^+\) cells cultured with rmIL-21 displayed a higher percentage of AnnexinV\(^+\)/7-AAD\(^+\) apoptotic cells compared with those cultured with no drug (no drug vs. rmIL-21, \(* P = 0.04\) ) (C). A greater percentage of apoptotic CD4\(^+\)CD25\(^+\) cells was evident when cells were obtained from IL-21 plasmid–treated mice (WT vs. IL-21 plasmid treated, \(* P = 0.007\) ) (D), whereas no differences in apoptotic rate were evident when cells were derived from IL-21R\(^{−/−}\) mice (WT vs. IL-21R\(^{−/−}\), NS) (\(n = 3\) experiments) (D). There were fewer FoxP3 mRNA transcripts in Tregs generated in the presence of rmIL-21 (\(n = 3\) experiments) (no drug vs. rmIL-21, \(* P = 0.01\) ) (E). FoxP3-related gene expression (CTLA4 [no drug vs. rmIL-21, \(* P = 0.03\) ]; Nrp-1 [no drug vs. rmIL-21,
compound) on intragraft FoxP3+ cell expansion and ultimately on allograft survival and tolerance induction in a model of the alloimmune response in vivo (i.e., islet transplantation). Untreated C57BL/6 mice rapidly rejected islet allografts (mean survival time [MST] of 14 days, n = 5), and treatment with the negative control antibody anti-E. tenella led to similar graft survival (MST of 13 days, n = 5) (Fig. 4F). mIL-21R.Fc treatment prolonged graft survival, leading to long-term tolerance, defined as MST >100 days, in 20% of treated mice (MST: untreated = 14 days; anti-E. tenella treated = 13 days; mIL-21R.Fc treated = 19 days; mIL-21R.Fc treated vs. all, P < 0.05) (Fig. 4F). We therefore combined mIL-21R.Fc with CTLA4-Ig treatment (CTLA4-Ig: 500 μg at day 0; 250 μg at days 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10, with the aim of suppressing early T-cell activation (which is likely IL-21 independent) through CTLA4-Ig (25). CTLA4-Ig synergized with mIL-21R.Fc to further increase allograft survival compared with either mIL-21R.Fc or CTLA4-Ig alone, resulting in tolerance induction in 100% of mice (percentage of tolerant mice: CTLA4-Ig + mIL-21R.Fc treated = 100%; CTLA4-Ig alone treated = 55%; mIL-21R.Fc treated = 20%; CTLA4-Ig + mIL-21R.Fc treated vs. all, P ≤ 0.01) (Fig. 4F). Histological sections of the grafts were analyzed at day 14 and at day 100 (Fig. 4G1–J5). Mice treated with anti-E. tenella at day 14 after transplantation displayed a similar severe infiltration of the graft, primarily consisting of CD3+ and B220+ cells (Fig. 4G1–3 and H1–3), with absent insulin staining (Fig. 4G5 and H5) and very few islet-nested FoxP3+ cells (Fig. 4G4 and H4). Conversely, in the mIL-21R.Fc–treated group at day 14, islet morphology was still well preserved with several detectable insulin-producing cells and a mild CD3+ cell infiltrate, primarily made up of islet-nested FoxP3+ cells and very few B cells (Fig. 4I1–5). Islet morphology in tolerant CTLA4-Ig + mIL-21R.Fc–treated mice at 100 days after transplantation showed no T cells or B cells infiltrating the graft and a clear insulin staining (Fig. 4J1–5). An increase in the FoxP3+ to CD3+ cell ratio, quantified with Aperio Technology (Vista, CA) (19), was observed at day 14 in mIL-21R.Fc–treated mice compared with both untreated mice and mice treated with anti-E. tenella (Fig. 4K). We confirmed our mIL-21R.Fc data and recent data (13) by transplanting BALB/c islets into chemically induced (STZ) hyperglycemic C57BL/6 mice that had been islet transplanted day 50 after islet transplantation and adoptively transferred them into immunodeficient C57BL/6-RAG mice that had been islet transplanted the day before with BALB/c islets. Splenocytes from rejecting islet-transplanted C57BL/6 mice were used as controls. Of interest, 100% of C57BL/6-RAG mice that were adoptively transferred with splenocytes obtained from long-term tolerant mice did not reject BALB/c islets compared with the control group, which promptly rejected BALB/c islets (MST: long-term tolerant mice = 100 days; rejecting mice = 14 days; P < 0.0001) (Fig. 6C). To evaluate whether mice were immunocompetent, we transplanted skin grafts from third-party DBA/1 J (H2b) donors into mIL-21R.Fc + CTLA4-Ig–treated long-term tolerant mice and into the control group of rejecting mice. Both groups of mice invariably rejected third-party skin grafts at ~14 days after transplantation, thus confirming that mice treated with mIL-21R.Fc + CTLA4-Ig are immunocompetent (Fig. 6D). Finally, we tested mIL-21R.Fc + CTLA4-Ig treatment in a stringent model of tolerance resistance and in which BALB/c islets were transplanted into NOD mice, confirming a prolongation of graft survival in mice treated with mIL-21R.Fc + CTLA4-Ig compared with

### Targeting IL-21/IL-21R promotes Treg neogenesis in vivo

We next asked whether targeting the IL-21/IL-21R axis would be capable of promoting Treg neogenesis in vivo. Thus, we tested whether mIL-21R.Fc treatment could promote the generation of FoxP3+ Tregs after depletion of CD25 using an anti–CD25-Ig injection (500 μg at day −6 and at day −1 before islet transplantation). Treatment with anti–CD25-Ig completely depleted CD25+ cells and FoxP3+ cells (data not shown). Targeting of the IL-21/IL-21R axis (with mIL-21R.Fc) during anti–CD25-Ig treatment slightly prolonged islet graft survival compared with anti–CD25-Ig treatment alone (MST: anti–CD25-Ig + mIL-21R.Fc treated = 25; anti–CD25-Ig treated = 20 days; P = 0.04) (Fig. 6A). Moreover, mIL-21R.Fc showed the ability to newly generate splenic CD4+FoxP3+ cells (Fig. 6B). To verify the robustness of the tolerance induced by mIL-21R.Fc + CTLA4-Ig treatment, we recovered 1 × 10^7 splenocytes from long-term tolerant mice at day 50 after islet transplantation and adoptively transferred them into immunodeficient C57BL/6-RAG mice that had been islet transplanted day 50 after islet transplantation and adoptively transferred them into immunodeficient C57BL/6-RAG mice that had been islet transplanted day 50 after islet transplantation.
both untreated mice and CTLA4-Ig alone–treated mice (Fig. 6E).

IL-21/IL-21R signaling in doxycycline-inducible ROSA-rtTA-IL-21-Tg mice expands Teffs and FoxP3+ cells. To confirm the protective effect of IL-21 on FoxP3 and Treg function/survival in vivo, we generated the doxycycline-inducible ROSA-rtTA-IL-21-Tg mouse (Doxa-inducible IL-21-Tg). This transgenic C57BL/6 mouse expresses mIL-21 cDNA in a tetracycline-responsive element composed of the tet operator sequence under the control of a tetracycline-controlled transcriptional activator M2 promoter (Fig. 6F–H). We then transplanted BALB/c islets into the Doxa-inducible IL-21-Tg mice, followed by daily doxycycline administration beginning from day 0 until rejection. Doxycycline-treated Doxa-inducible IL-21-Tg mice rejected the grafts with the same timing of Doxa-inducible IL-21-Tg untreated mice (MST: WT doxycycline treated = 14 days vs. Doxa-inducible IL-21–treated mice). To further increase allograft survival compared with either mIL-21R.Fc or with CTLA4-Ig alone treatment, resulting in tolerance in 100% of mice (percentage of tolerance: CTLA4-Ig + mIL-21R.Fc treated = 100%; CTLA4-Ig treated = 55%; mIL-21R.Fc treated = 20%; CTLA4-Ig + mIL-21R.Fc vs. CTLA4-Ig treated [*P = 0.01] and vs. mIL-21R.Fc treated [*P = 0.001]) (F). Histological sections of the graft at day 14 displayed a complete loss of islet architecture and severe CD3+/B220+ cell infiltration of the graft, with few nested FoxP3+ cells and absent insulin staining (n = 3) (G–J). H&E, hematoxylin-eosin. FoxP3+ and CD3+ cells infiltrating the graft at day 14 were evaluated using Aperio Technology. Greater FoxP3+ cell ratio was evident in mIL-21R.Fc–treated mice compared with both control groups (mIL-21R.Fc treated vs. untreated and anti–E. tenella treated, *P = 0.04, n = 3 mice per group) (K). D, day. (A high-quality digital representation of this figure is available in the online issue.)
IL-21-Tg untreated = 17 days, NS) (data not shown). Doxycycline-induced IL-21-Tg mice treated with doxycycline showed similar percentage of CD4+CD25+FoxP3+ cells (data not shown), with a clear expansion of CD4+CD44hiCD62Llow cells (Fig. 6l), CD8+CD44hiCD62Llow cells (Fig. 6l), and CD4+CD25+FoxP3+ cells (Doxa-inducible IL-21-Tg untreated = 9.7 ± 1.2%; Dox-a-inducible IL-21-Tg doxycycline treated = 30.8 ± 5.0%; P = 0.002) (Fig. 6K).
Finally, CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>-</sup>FoxP3<sup>-</sup> cell ratio is reduced in Doxa-treated mice compared with controls (Fig. 6L). The absence of CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>-</sup> cell reduction in Doxa-inducible IL-21-Tg mice observed in the acute model may be related to the fast tempo of acute rejection, which may not fit with the IL-21 role. To further clarify this...
issue, we have obtained long-term islet function in C57BL/6 islet-transplanted mice with CTLA4-Ig + mIL-21R.Fc, and we have then induced IL-21 by doxycycline administration 40 days after transplantation. Very few Tregs (3.7 ± 0.5%) were detected in mice 20 days after doxycycline administration, when mice started to be sick.

DISCUSSION
FoxP3+ Tregs play an essential role in maintaining immunological unresponsiveness to alloantigens and in suppressing the immune response (26). Herein, we propose IL-21 as an anti-FoxP3/anti-Treg cytokine of the late-phase alloimmune response that disrupts FoxP3 and alters Treg function, thereby acting as an antitolerogenic factor, thus eliciting an enhanced alloimmune response, and creating a barrier to transplant tolerance (1). In our study, IL-21 was increased in vitro after 5 days in anti-CD3/CD28 and MLR assays and in vivo 14 days after islet transplantation. IL-21R expression decreased in vitro after 5 days of stimulation and was found elevated in the graft infiltrate of rejecting untreated mice at later time points as well. Furthermore, mIL-21R.Fc (a fusion protein that prevents the binding of murine IL-21 to IL-21R) (22) is effective in vitro only in the late phase of allostimulation. These data, combined with the head-to-head comparison of IL-2 and IL-21 levels in vitro and in vivo (Fig. 7), revealed that in a “physiological” alloimmune setting, IL-21 is not responsible for the early response to alloantigen (unlike IL-2), but it does exert its function in the late-phase immune response (Fig. 7) (27). It is possible that IL-21 ensures the redundancy of the system, thus maintaining activation of the alloimmune
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FIG. 7. IL-21 acts as an antitolerogenic cytokine of the late phase of alloimmune response. In the early phase of the alloimmune response, antigen-presenting cells activate T cells through two signals. Proinflammatory cytokines (i.e., IL-2) are locally released, leading to alloreactive T-cell proliferation and destruction of the graft (D0 vs. all, *P < 0.01). In the late phase of the alloimmune response, Teffs release IL-21, thus ablating FoxP3+ Tregs, reducing FoxP3 gene transcripts, decreasing Treg generation and Treg suppressive properties, increasing Treg apoptosis, and inducing Teff expansion (D5 and D14 vs. all, *P < 0.01). D, day. DC, dendritic cells. (A high-quality color representation of this figure is available in the online issue.)
response and avoiding Treg counterregulation (Fig. 7). IL-21 is capable of enhancing the alloimmune response, as shown by our in vitro experiments with mIL-21 and with IL-21 plasmid-treated or hIL-21-Tg mice. However, it is likely that IL-21 may have a different role in the physiological alloimmune setting as a mediator of FoxP3 and of Treg dysfunction. The IL-21 interplay with Tregs was tested in vitro, using assays for Treg generation, survival, and function, during the challenge with mIL-21 or mIL-21R.Fc, or by using hIL-21-Tg, IL-21 plasmid-treated (which overexpress IL-21), or IL-21R–/– mice. We show that TGF-β-driven conversion of CD4+CD25– T cells (FoxP3–), Treg survival, and Treg suppressive function are negatively affected by mIL-21 but positively affected by mIL-21R.Fc. Indeed, we demonstrate an inhibition of FoxP3 and FoxP3-dependent gene transcripts by IL-21 (28), without evidence of IL-21-mediated epigenetic reprogramming of the FoxP3 gene, at least at the methylation level. These data were further confirmed by the inability of the demethylating agent decitabine to rescue Tregs in the presence of mIL-21, thus indicating that despite the fact that decitabine is a robust inducer of Tregs in vitro (29), IL-21 is more potent in inhibiting Treg generation. We therefore demonstrate that blockade of the IL-21/IL-21R axis (both chemically and genetically) during the alloimmune response in vivo promotes the expansion of intragraft and peripheral Tregs and induces tolerance when combined with CTLA4-Ig, which targets early T-cell activation and is likely IL-21 independent. We show that mIL-21R.Fc promotes FoxP3 Treg neogenesis in vivo and that the mechanism of tolerance induction is robust and transferable to nude mice. The strong effect of the combination of mIL-21R.Fc and CTLA4-Ig on allograft rejection does not apply to NOD mice, which show the coexistence of strong allo- and autoimmune anti-islet responses (30).

Autoimmune response is mediated by several different cell types (e.g., B cells, NK cells, macrophages, and Th17 cells), which may not be targeted by mIL-21R.Fc, but this aspect will be further investigated. Finally, we provide evidence that IL-21 promotes in vivo FoxP3+ cell expansion. In fact, in our islet-transplanted Doxa-inducible ROSA-rTTA-IL-21-Tg mice (31,32), in which IL-21 is induced by doxycycline administration, both CD4+ and CD8+ activated effector cells were found increased compared with controls, while CD25–FoxP3– to CD25+ FoxP3+ cell ratio was reduced. Furthermore, long-term tolerant mice overexpressing IL-21 (by doxycycline administration) showed very few Tregs. Taken together, our data suggest that IL-21 is a potent inhibitor of the FoxP3 Treg chain and an inducer of Teffs and that the blockade of the IL-21/IL-21R pathway may induce a more tolerogenic environment.

We posit that IL-21, together with other recently identified cytokines (33–35), is part of a network of antiglotogenic cytokines that through induction of Treg apoptosis or dysfunction, could represent a barrier to allograft tolerance. We thus posit that blockade of the IL-21/IL-21R pathway should be a precondition for many tolerogenic protocols in transplantation. Our strategy may be relevant in controlling acute as well as chronic rejection, which causes delayed islet graft loss (36–38) and represents a barrier for long-term allograft survival (39,40), since it abrogates T-cell activation in the early phase of posttransplant alloimmune response (with CTLA4-Ig) and expands/induces Tregs in the late phase (with IL-21 antagonist). We thus propose to start both drugs at the day of transplantation, discontinuing CTLA4-Ig treatment later on and prolonging the administration of IL-21 antagonist.
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