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Aims/hypothesis: Genome-wide association studies have identified over 50 new genetic loci for type 2 diabetes (T2D). Several studies conclude that higher dietary heme iron intake increases the risk of T2D. Therefore we assessed whether the relation between genetic loci and T2D is modified by dietary heme iron intake.

Methods: We used Affymetrix Genome-Wide Human 6.0 array data [681,770 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs)] and dietary information collected in the Health Professionals Follow-up Study (n = 725 cases; n = 1,273 controls) and the Nurses’ Health Study (n = 1,081 cases; n = 1,692 controls). We assessed whether genome-wide SNPs or iron metabolism SNPs interacted with dietary heme iron intake in relation to T2D, testing for associations in each cohort separately and then meta-analyzing to pool the results. Finally, we created 1,000 synthetic pathways matched to an iron metabolism pathway on number of genes, and number of SNPs in each gene. We compared the iron metabolic pathway SNPs with these synthetic SNP assemblies in their relation to T2D to assess if the pathway as a whole interacts with dietary heme iron intake.

Results: Using a genomic approach, we found no significant gene-environment interactions with dietary heme iron intake in relation to T2D at a Bonferroni corrected significance level of 7.33 × 10−8 (top SNP in pooled analysis: intergenic rs10896058; p = 1.03 × 10−6). Furthermore, no SNP in the iron metabolic pathway significantly interacted with dietary heme iron intake at a Bonferroni corrected significance level of 2.10 × 10−4 (top SNP in pooled analysis: rs1105313; p = 1.14 × 10−5). Finally, neither the main genetic effects (pooled empirical p-value for the interactions = 0.72) were significant for the iron metabolic pathway as a whole.

Conclusions: We found no significant interactions between dietary heme iron intake and common SNPs in relation to T2D.
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INTRODUCTION

Type 2 diabetes (T2D) is a multifactorial condition whereby insulin resistance and beta-cell dysfunction produce glucose metabolism alterations, most notably hyperglycemia, resulting in microvascular and macrovascular complications. T2D affects over 25 million individuals (greater than 8% of the U.S. adult population; American Diabetes Association, 2011). Discovery of the combination of genetic and environmental factors contributing to T2D is essential so that more targeted preventive and management strategies can be devised.

Dietary heme iron intake is the iron derived from hemoglobin, the protein in the red blood cells found in animal foods such as red meat, fish, and poultry. A recent meta-analysis demonstrated that non-heme iron intake (such as iron derived from vegetables), total iron (iron from heme and non-heme sources) or iron supplements were not associated with increased risk of T2D (Bao et al., 2012). However, epidemiologic studies indicate that increased total body iron stores are associated with an increased risk of T2D (Dahlen et al., 1998; Jiang et al., 2004b). While the relation between dietary heme iron intake and obesity has not been well-studied, diets with higher heme iron intake have consistently been associated with increased risk of T2D (Jiang et al., 2004a; Lee et al., 2004; Song et al., 2004; Rajpathak et al., 2006). Iron is a catalyst in the formation of hydroxyl radicals, which are powerful pro-oxidants that attack cellular membrane lipids, proteins, and nucleic acids (Nelson, 1999). While heme iron intake is not directly linked to...
obesity, excess iron stores produced by dietary behaviors may render pancreatic beta cells particularly vulnerable to oxidative stress because they possess a weak antioxidative stress system (Tiedge et al., 1997).

While dietary heme iron intake plays a role in T2D, genome-wide association studies have revealed approximately 50 genetic loci for T2D (Vocser et al., 2012), raising the question of whether gene environment interactions focused on dietary heme iron intake exist in T2D.

In fact, a previous candidate gene association study demonstrated a significant interaction between dietary iron intake and the H63D or C282Y risk variants for HFE, the gene for hereditary hemochromatosis (HH), in relation to T2D risk (Qi et al., 1997). This approach was found to be valid for identifying T2D cases and 2,965 controls were eligible for the study (see Table 1). Informed consent was obtained from all participants in this study and the institutional review board at the Harvard School of Public Health approved the study.

We completed genotyping on the Affymetrix Genome-Wide Human 6.0 array at the Broad Institute (Cambridge, MA, USA). Details regarding the quality control measures employed in genotyping these samples have been previously published (Qi et al., 2010). After data cleaning, 706,034 SNPs remained for analysis on 725 cases and 1,273 controls in HPFS. In NHS, a total of 704,409 SNPs remained after data cleaning and were analyzed on 1,081 cases and 1,692 controls.

DATA ANALYSIS
To assess the interaction between dietary heme iron intake and gene variants in relation to T2D, we created the following logistic regression models:

1. **T2D = β0 + β1 (age) + β2 (body mass index, BMI) + β3 (heme) – Environmental model**
2. **T2D = β0 + β1 (SNP) + β2 (age) + β3 (BMI) + β4 (heme) – Genetic model**
3. **T2D = β0 + β1 (SNP) + β2 (age) + β3 (BMI) + β4 (heme) + β5 (SNP × heme) – one degree of freedom gene–environment (GxE) interaction model**

For Model 1 (which we generated in SAS version 9.3, Cary, NC, USA), we formulated these models in each cohort separately using PLINK. Heme iron intake (mg/day), BMI (kg/m²), as of 1986 for HPFS and 1980 for NHS and age (years, as of 1986 for HPFS and 1980 for NHS) were treated as continuous variables. We transformed heme to be centered on the mean value for each cohort. SNPs were coded as 0, 1, or 2 minor alleles. We controlled for the top three eigenvectors in NHS and the top four eigenvectors in HPFS. We also tested models that treated the dietary heme iron intake term as a dichotomous variable divided at the median value in controls.

To test for interactions between dietary heme intake and SNP genotypes in relation to T2D, we utilized a one degree of freedom

### Table 1: Characteristics of Health Professionals Follow-up Study (HPFS) and Nurses’ Health Study (NHS) cohorts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>IV</th>
<th>Mean heme, SD (mg/day)</th>
<th>Mean body mass index, SD (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Mean age at baseline, SD (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HPFS Cases</td>
<td>19987251273</td>
<td>1.29 (0.43)</td>
<td>25.05 (0.3)</td>
<td>54.19 (9.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.78 (0.42)</td>
<td>27.78 (0.32)</td>
<td>56.95 (9.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHS Cases</td>
<td>277301811692</td>
<td>1.36 (0.44)</td>
<td>26.08 (0.46)</td>
<td>47.47 (6.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.92 (0.49)</td>
<td>28.66 (0.45)</td>
<td>47.47 (6.76)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: standard deviation.
We identified 237 SNPs in genes coding for enzymes in this pathway. The empirical p-value for the interactions was evaluated by comparing Cobs, the count of SNPs with a p-value below a given significance threshold T in the heme metabolic pathway, to Cexp, the corresponding count derived in the synthetic pathways. The empirical p-value (for both the main genetic effect and the gene–environment interaction term) related to enrichment for a given T was derived as the number of times Cobs was higher than Cexp divided by 1,000, the total number of synthetic pathways.

RESULTS

Type 2 diabetes cases were similar in age compared to controls in men (overall mean ± SD = 53.3 ± 8.4 years) and women (47.5 ± 6.8 years). As expected, cases had higher BMI and higher mean dietary heme iron intake than controls in men and women (Table 1). Dietary heme iron intake was adversely associated with T2D (OR = 1.36 (1.17, 1.58); pooled p = 7.51 × 10^{-5}; Model 1). As expected, the top SNP associated with T2D was in TCF7L2 (rs7901695; pooled p-value = 1.36 × 10^{-14}) (Model 2).

Using the 1-df test (Model 3), no gene–environment interaction achieved genome-wide significance level. The most significant interaction with continuous dietary heme iron intake was rs10988058 (pooled p = 1.03 × 10^{-6}; an intergenic SNP between muscle, skeletal, receptor tyrosine kinase (MUSK) and Sushi, von Willebrand factor type A, EGF, and pentraxin domains-containing 1 (SVEP1; Table 3). The 2-df test revealed that SNPs in TCF7L2 had genome-wide margin association with T2D but did not reveal new marginal gene effects of genome-wide significance; nor was there significant interaction between TCF7L2 and dietary heme iron intake in T2D (data not shown). When we generated models substituting dietary heme intake with red meat, processed meat, and total meat, we found similar results with top marginal genetic effects in TCF7L2; yet, Model 3 did not yield significant gene–environment interactions (data not shown).

No significant iron metabolism SNP – dietary heme iron intake interaction was detected with the 1-df test (Model 3) in relation to T2D (top SNP rs10988058; pooled p = 1.14 × 10^{-5}; Bonferroni corrected significance level p = 2.10 × 10^{-5}). The 2-df test of gene and gene–environment interactions also did not reveal any significant interactions between dietary heme iron intake and SNPs in the iron metabolic pathway SNP in pooled analyses (data not shown).

Compared with synthetic pathways, the iron metabolic pathway was not associated with T2D when we performed the analyses by SNP (pooled empirical p-value = 0.41). Similar null results were obtained when interactions with dietary heme iron intake were considered (pooled empirical p-value for the interactions =0.72). Interactions between various forms of dietary meat intake and the iron metabolic pathway were also not significant.

DISCUSSION

Neither the 1-df test nor the 2-df test revealed any genome-wide significant interactions between dietary heme iron intake and genomic SNPs in T2D. Furthermore, the relation between an iron metabolic pathway SNP panel and T2D was not modified by dietary heme iron intake. Finally the iron metabolic pathway was not enriched with SNPs related to T2D.

There could be several possible reasons for the null results reported here. First, despite its large size (n = 4,771) our study could be underpowered to find modest interaction terms. In fact, only in a log additive model would we achieve 80% power to detect a genome-wide environmental interaction effect of 1.8 (assumes minor allele frequency = 0.4; genetic relative risk = 1.2, and relative risk of the highest tertile of dietary heme iron intake = 1.3). Nonetheless we had >80% power to discover an interaction effect of 1.5 between iron metabolic SNPs and dietary heme iron intake in relation to T2D using similar assumptions in a dominant inheritance model. Second, power could be compromised due to inherent error in measuring dietary heme iron intake. Third, self-reported iron intake, while collected using a validated food frequency questionnaire, could be prone to recall bias. Finally, the

---

(1-df) test, which is represented as β3 of Model 3. Models 1 and 2 tested the marginal effects of dietary heme iron intake and SNPs respectively, adjusting for age and BMI. We also performed a two degree of freedom joint test (2-df) by comparing the fit of the null model containing the environment exposure only (Model 1) to the model with gene and gene–environment covariates (Model 3) as an alternate test of the gene–environment interaction (Cornelis et al., 2011; Manning et al., 2011). After running these models separately in NHS and HPFS, we performed tests for heterogeneity of the cohort specific results to check for appropriateness of pooling the data. We conducted an inverse variance-weighted fixed-effects meta-analysis of estimates from the two cohorts using the METAL software. Only SNPs with genotypes available in both cohorts were included in the meta-analysis (N = 681,770).

Next we limited our gene–environment interaction analyses to SNPs in the iron metabolic pathway created using the KEGG database and other sources (Michal, 1999; Andrews and Schmidt, 2007; Andrews, 2008, Iron Health Alliance, 2009, Williams, 2009). We identified 237 SNPs in genes coding for enzymes in this pathway that were present on the Affymetrix 6.0 platform (Table 2). We repeated the logistic regression analysis of Models 2 and 3 using the iron metabolic pathway SNPs. We used a Bonferroni correction based on the number of SNPs analyzed to establish statistical significance in genome-wide (p = 0.05/681,770 = 7.33 × 10^{-6}) and pathway analyses (p = 0.05/237 = 2.10 × 10^{-4}). These estimates of the correction for multiple comparisons are somewhat liberal in that they do not account for the secondary analyses we performed.

To evaluate whether the iron metabolic pathway as a whole might interact with dietary heme intake, we assessed whether the iron metabolic pathway SNP panel was enriched with variants that were not in the iron metabolic pathway. To ensure an adequate distribution of SNPs from 1,000 permuted “synthetic pathways” generated by randomly picking SNPs available on the platform, we considered (pooled empirical p-value for the interactions =0.05/237 = 7.33 × 10^{-6}). Dietary heme iron intake was adversely associated with T2D (OR = 1.36 (1.17, 1.58); pooled p = 7.51 × 10^{-5}; Model 1). As expected, the top SNP associated with T2D was in TCF7L2 (rs7901695; pooled p-value = 1.88 × 10^{-14}) (Model 2).

Using the 1-df test (Model 3), no gene–environment interaction achieved genome-wide significance level. The most significant interaction with continuous dietary heme iron intake was rs10988058 (pooled p = 1.03 × 10^{-6}; an intergenic SNP between muscle, skeletal, receptor tyrosine kinase (MUSK) and Sushi, von Willebrand factor type A, EGF, and pentraxin domains-containing 1 (SVEP1; Table 3). The 2-df test revealed that SNPs in TCF7L2 had genome-wide margin association with T2D but did not reveal new marginal gene effects of genome-wide significance; nor was there significant interaction between TCF7L2 and dietary heme iron intake in T2D (data not shown). When we generated models substituting dietary heme intake with red meat, processed meat, and total meat, we found similar results with top marginal genetic effects in TCF7L2; yet, Model 3 did not yield significant gene–environment interactions (data not shown).

No significant iron metabolism SNP – dietary heme iron intake interaction was detected with the 1-df test (Model 3) in relation to T2D (top SNP rs10988058; in ALAD (delta-aminolevulinate dehydratase); pooled p = 1.14 × 10^{-5}; Bonferroni corrected significance level p = 2.10 × 10^{-5}). The 2-df test of gene and gene–environment interactions also did not reveal any significant interactions between dietary heme iron intake and SNPs in the iron metabolic pathway SNP in pooled analyses (data not shown).

Compared with synthetic pathways, the iron metabolic pathway was not associated with T2D when we performed the analyses by SNP (pooled empirical p-value = 0.41). Similar null results were obtained when interactions with dietary heme iron intake were considered (pooled empirical p-value for the interactions =0.72). Interactions between various forms of dietary meat intake and the iron metabolic pathway were also not significant.

DISCUSSION

Neither the 1-df test nor the 2-df test revealed any genome-wide significant interactions between dietary heme iron intake and genomic SNPs in T2D. Furthermore, the relation between an iron metabolic pathway SNP panel and T2D was not modified by dietary heme iron intake. Finally the iron metabolic pathway was not enriched with SNPs related to T2D.

There could be several possible reasons for the null results reported here. First, despite its large size (n = 4,771) our study could be underpowered to find modest interaction terms. In fact, only in a log additive model would we achieve 80% power to detect a genome-wide environmental interaction effect of 1.8 (assumes minor allele frequency = 0.4; genetic relative risk = 1.2, and relative risk of the highest tertile of dietary heme iron intake = 1.3). Nonetheless we had >80% power to discover an interaction effect of 1.5 between iron metabolic SNPs and dietary heme iron intake in relation to T2D using similar assumptions in a dominant inheritance model. Second, power could be compromised due to inherent error in measuring dietary heme iron intake. Third, self-reported iron intake, while collected using a validated food frequency questionnaire, could be prone to recall bias. Finally, the
Table 2 | Genes and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the heme iron metabolic pathway on the Affymetrix 6.0 array that passed quality control.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Chromosome</th>
<th>SNPs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACO1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>rs1028932, rs10435792, rs1031838, rs13293491, rs10918895, rs2026738, rs7032871, rs10738895, rs16918276, rs3780473, rs3780474, rs4495514, rs13302577, rs7866419, rs7022554, rs4879639, rs10570961, rs7033149, rs10970792, rs10970974, rs7019520, rs1235240, rs1233941, rs3780474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALAD</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>rs1177680, rs1177689, rs286980, rs1177692, rs1165311, rs1065316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALAS1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>rs527296, rs3292169, rs3292170, rs3292168, rs3292168, rs3292169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELVRA</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>rs52234507, rs489161, rs695550, rs489162, rs489165, rs1023380, rs1026955, rs1317916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELVRA</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>rs52234507, rs489161, rs695550, rs489162, rs489165, rs1023380, rs1026955, rs1317916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACO1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>rs1028932, rs10435792, rs1031838, rs13293491, rs10918895, rs2026738, rs7032871, rs10738895, rs16918276, rs3780473, rs3780474, rs4495514, rs13302577, rs7866419, rs7022554, rs4879639, rs10570961, rs7033149, rs10970792, rs10970974, rs7019520, rs1235240, rs1233941, rs3780474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALAD</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>rs1177680, rs1177689, rs286980, rs1177692, rs1165311, rs1065316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALAS1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>rs527296, rs3292169, rs3292170, rs3292168, rs3292168, rs3292169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELVRA</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>rs52234507, rs489161, rs695550, rs489162, rs489165, rs1023380, rs1026955, rs1317916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELVRA</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>rs52234507, rs489161, rs695550, rs489162, rs489165, rs1023380, rs1026955, rs1317916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 2</td>
<td>Genes and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the heme iron metabolic pathway on the Affymetrix 6.0 array that passed quality control.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3 | Top 10 p-values from genome-wide SNP-heme interactions predicting type 2 diabetes adjusting for age, body mass index, dietary iron intake, and eigenvectors using a one degree of freedom test from meta-analysis of the Health Professionals Follow-up Study and Nurses’ Health Study.a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chromosome</th>
<th>SNP</th>
<th>Beta, p-value</th>
<th>Beta, p-value</th>
<th>Beta, p-value</th>
<th>Beta, p-value</th>
<th>p-Value, p-value</th>
<th>p-Value, p-value</th>
<th>p-Value, p-value</th>
<th>p-Value, p-value</th>
<th>Associated gene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>rs10869508</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>1.81 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.23 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>1.03 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.03 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.03 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.03 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.03 × 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>rs13270945</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>1.77 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.76 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>1.51 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.51 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.51 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.51 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.51 × 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>rs10870949</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>3.09 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.61 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>2.16 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>2.16 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>2.16 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>2.16 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>2.16 × 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>rs7048110</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>1.80 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>2.75 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>2.53 × 10^{-6}</td>
<td>2.53 × 10^{-6}</td>
<td>2.53 × 10^{-6}</td>
<td>2.53 × 10^{-6}</td>
<td>2.53 × 10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>rs10870572</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>4.43 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.93 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>3.53 × 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.53 × 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.53 × 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.53 × 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.53 × 10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>rs7177078</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>150 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>5.66 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>5.06 × 10^{-6}</td>
<td>5.06 × 10^{-6}</td>
<td>5.06 × 10^{-6}</td>
<td>5.06 × 10^{-6}</td>
<td>5.06 × 10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>rs10864995</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>3.60 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>3.33 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>3.49 × 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.49 × 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.49 × 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.49 × 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.49 × 10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>rs10448267</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>2.58 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>4.95 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>6.58 × 10^{-6}</td>
<td>6.58 × 10^{-6}</td>
<td>6.58 × 10^{-6}</td>
<td>6.58 × 10^{-6}</td>
<td>6.58 × 10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>rs470088</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>2.90 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>9.43 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>8.64 × 10^{-6}</td>
<td>8.64 × 10^{-6}</td>
<td>8.64 × 10^{-6}</td>
<td>8.64 × 10^{-6}</td>
<td>8.64 × 10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aMeta controls for BMI (kg/m²) and age (years), both as continuous variables, continuous mg dietary heme intake per day, centered on the mean heme value for each cohort and eigenvectors 1–3 for NHS or eigenvectors 1–4 for HPFS. SNPrs are coded as 0, 1, or 2 minor alleles.
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