Photodynamic and Antibiotic Therapy Impair the Pathogenesis of *Enterococcus faecium* in a Whole Animal Insect Model
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**Abstract**

*Enterococcus faecium* has emerged as one of the most important pathogens in healthcare-associated infections worldwide due to its intrinsic and acquired resistance to many antibiotics, including vancomycin. Antimicrobial photodynamic therapy (aPDT) is an alternative therapeutic platform that is currently under investigation for the control and treatment of infections. PDT is based on the use of photoactive dye molecules, widely known as photosensitizer (PS). PS, upon irradiation with visible light, produces reactive oxygen species that can destroy lipids and proteins causing cell death. We employed *Galleria mellonella* (the greater wax moth) caterpillar fatally infected with *E. faecium* to develop an invertebrate host model system that can be used to study the antimicrobial PDT (alone or combined with antibiotics). In the establishment of infection by *E. faecium* in *G. mellonella*, we found that the *G. mellonella* death rate was dependent on the number of bacterial cells injected into the insect hemocoel and all *E. faecium* strains tested were capable of infecting and killing *G. mellonella*. Antibiotic treatment with ampicillin, gentamicin or the combination of ampicillin and gentamicin prolonged caterpillar survival infected by *E. faecium* (*P* = 0.0003, *P* = 0.0001 and *P* = 0.0001, respectively). In the study of antimicrobial PDT, we verified that methylene blue (MB) injected into the insect followed by whole body illumination prolonged the caterpillar survival (*P* = 0.0192). Interestingly, combination therapy of larvae infected with vancomycin-resistant *E. faecium*, with antimicrobial PDT followed by vancomycin, significantly prolonged the survival of the caterpillars when compared to either antimicrobial PDT (*P* = 0.0095) or vancomycin treatment alone (*P* = 0.0025), suggesting that the aPDT made the vancomycin resistant *E. faecium* strain more susceptible to vancomycin action. In summary, *G. mellonella* provides an invertebrate model host to study the antimicrobial PDT and to explore combinatorial aPDT-based treatments.

**Introduction**

Enterococci are part of the gastrointestinal tract of humans [1–3], but due to intrinsic and acquired resistance to many antibiotics, they have become leading causes of nosocomial infections worldwide [4–7]. *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Enterococcus faecium* account for 95% of clinical isolates from the genus *Enterococcus*, and are isolated from patients with endocarditis, bloodstream infection, wound and surgical-site infection, and intra-abdominal and urinary tract infection [3,8,9]. In dentistry, they are frequently associated with chronic periodontitis and persistent endodontic infections [10–12]. In the 1980s and early 1990s, more than 90% of all enterococcal infections were caused by *E. faecalis* and only 5–10% by *E. faecium*. Due to the acquisition of the virulence determinants as well as acquired antibiotic resistance, this ratio has changed, and currently, *E. faecium* is associated with between 30–75% of all enterococcal infections [4,13]. The increased resistance of bacteria to antibiotics has emerged as one of the most important clinical challenges of this century, highlighting the need for new and effective antimicrobial...
countermeasures against resistant bacteria and especially the “ESKAPE” pathogens (Enterococcus faecium, Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Acinetobacter baumannii, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Enterobacter spp.) [14,15]. Photodynamic therapy (PDT), is a light-based technology platform [16] that uses harmless visible light in combination with non-toxic dye, called photosensitizer (PS), to control infections. PSs are usually organic aromatic molecules with a high degree of electron delocalization [17]. Porphyrins, chlorins, bacteriochlorins, phthalocyanines as well as a plethora of dyes with different molecular frameworks have been proposed as antimicrobial PSs [18–20]. Historically PDT has had a prominent role in cancer therapy and is also currently used to treat age-related macular degeneration [21]. Currently, PDT is being investigated as an alternative treatment for localized infections [22]. Dental, dermatologic as well as oral soft tissue infections are areas of special interest for antimicrobial PDT (aPDT) research [14,29–27].

The use of mammalian models for studying pathogenesis and the efficacy of antimicrobial treatments in vivo is costly and cumbersome [28]. The use of invertebrate model hosts has important advantages for obtaining in vivo data at low cost and with no special housing requirements or need for regulatory approval. The larvae of the greater wax moth, Galleria mellonella, has been used to study host-pathogen interaction as an alternative to mammalian models and a positive correlation between microbial virulence in mammalian hosts and in G. mellonella has been demonstrated for a range of organisms [29–31]. Advantages of the Galleria model include facile inoculation of microorganisms and the ability to thrive at 37°C.

G. mellonella is an ideal model to examine aPDT in vivo: the photosensitizer can be injected into the insect haemocoel and the relatively translucent body facilitates light delivery activating the PS. Because of the importance of E. faecium as a hospital pathogen that is often resistant to most antimicrobial therapies, it was of interest to examine the utility of aPDT in limiting this infection. We characterized the G. mellonella model for E. faecium infection and tested methylene blue (MB) mediated aPDT and aPDT-antibiotic combination therapy for efficacy.

Materials and Methods

Microbial Strains and Culture Conditions

The strains of E. faecium used in these experiments are summarized in Table 1. We tested strains of E. faecium with different phenotypic characteristics. We also compared efficacy of aPDT for treating infection caused by E. faecalis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Relevant characteristics</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E. faecium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E007</td>
<td>clinical isolate; pMV158GFP; tetracycline resistance</td>
<td>[64]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,231,410</td>
<td>clinical isolate; vancomycin resistance</td>
<td>[40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D344R</td>
<td>clinical isolate; ampicillin resistance</td>
<td>[65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2158</td>
<td>TX1330RF/(pHylEfmTX16); virulent in mouse peritonitis model</td>
<td>[32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. faecalis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OG1RF</td>
<td>rifampin and fusidic acid resistance</td>
<td>[35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V583</td>
<td>blood culture isolated; vancomycin resistance</td>
<td>[36]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055926.t001

E. faecium and E. faecalis inocula were prepared by growing bacteria aerobically in brain-heart-infusion (BHI) at 37°C without shaking (overnight growth). The culture concentration was determined by optical density and compared to a standard curve determined by plating serial dilutions on BHI agar. Cell numbers were assessed at 24 h and expressed in colony forming units (CFU) per ml. Prior to injection, cells were washed twice in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and diluted in PBS to the desired concentration.

G. mellonella Infection

G. mellonella in the final instar larval stage (250–350 mg body weight) were stored in the dark at 15°C and used within 7 days from shipment (Vanderhorst Wholesale, St. Marys, OH). Two control groups were included in each experiment: one inoculated with PBS as a control for physical trauma, and the other not injected as a control for general viability. A 10 μl Hamilton syringe was used to inject 10 μl inoculum aliquots into the hemocoel of each larva via the last left proleg. After injection, larvae were incubated at 37°C in plastic containers.

G. mellonella Survival Assays

After injection, larvae were observed every 24 h, and considered dead when they displayed no movement in response to touch. Sixteen randomly chosen G. mellonella larvae were used per group in all assays. Survival curves were constructed by the Kaplan-Meier method and compared by the Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test using Graph Pad Prism statistical software. A P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All experiments were repeated at least twice, and representative experiments are presented.

Persistence of E. faecium in the Hemolymph

The number of bacterial cells in the hemolymph was measured at 0, 2, 4, 8, 12 and 24 h after larvae were infected with the E. faecium strain E007. At each indicated time-point, 5 surviving larvae per group were bled by insertion of a lancet into the hemocoel. Hemolymph from 5 larvae was pooled into 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes in a final volume of approximately 130 μL. Then, the hemolymph was homogenized, serially diluted, and plated on BHI agar containing tetracycline (12.5 mg/L), kanamycin (45 mg/L) and amphotericin B (3 mg/L), to prevent contamination by other bacteria or fungal cells. Plates were incubated aerobically at 37°C for 24 h, and colonies were counted in each pool (CFU/pool).
Administration of Antibacterial Agents

Antibiotics were injected within 120 min after the infection of larvae with a lethal dose of \textit{E. faecium}. The antibiotics and doses included ampicillin (150 mg/kg), streptomycin (15 mg/kg), gentamicin (6 mg/kg) and vancomycin (50 mg/kg). A different proleg was used for the infection and antibiotic injection. As control group, the caterpillars received PBS injections. After that, killing curves were plotted using the Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test.

Photodynamic Therapy

The phenothiazinium salt methylene blue (MB, Sigma Aldrich) was used as the PS in this study. MB solutions at a final working concentration of 1 mM were prepared by dissolving the dye in distilled and deionized filter sterilized water (ddH₂O). A new PS solution was prepared on the same day of each experiment. After the PS injection, larvae were maintained in the dark until the time of light irradiation.

A broad-band non coherent light source (LumaCare, Newport Beach, CA) was used for light delivery. This device was fitted with a 660±15 nm band-pass filter probe that was employed to produce a uniform spot for illumination. The optical power was measured using a power meter (PM100D power/energy meter, Thorlabs, Inc., Newton, NJ).

All experiments were performed as follows: \textit{G. mellonella} received the PS injection (10 μL) 90 min after the bacterial infection. We waited for at least 30 additional min after the PS injection to allow a good dispersion of the PS into the insect body, prior to the light irradiation. After the irradiation, survival curves were plotted using the log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test.

Results

Initially, a set of experiments was performed to provide a comprehensive understanding of the host response following \textit{E. faecium} infection. We injected different inocula of \textit{E. faecium} E007...
The association of ampicillin and gentamicin. The antibiotics were administered within 2 h after larvae were infected with 5.6
commonly used agents (alone or in association) for enterococcal infection by administering single doses of ampicillin, gentamicin, streptomycin and
E. faecium when compared to control. However, streptomycin was not effective against gentamicin (P = 0.0003), gentamicin (P = 0.0001) and the combination of ampicillin and gentamicin (P = 0.0001) significantly prolonged the survival of G. mellonella caterpillars when compared to control. However, streptomycin was not effective against E. faecium E007 (P = 0.0995). A representative example was used for each group.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055926.g002
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(临床 isolate tetracycline resistant) in G. mellonella and it was observed that the increasing concentrations (10^5, 10^6 and 10^7 CFU/larva) of the E. faecium cell numbers resulted in progressively decreasing survival of the infected larvae (Fig. 1A).

Besides using the E. faecium 007 strain, we performed infection assays using other clinical isolates of E. faecium, including the strain 1,231,410 vancomycin resistant and the strain D344R ampicillin resistant. We also employed the strain 2158 that was previously evaluated in the mouse peritonitis model [32]. We observed that these strains were also capable of infecting and killing G. mellonella (Fig. 1B). In addition, we verified that the virulence capability of the strain 2158 in G. mellonella was well correlated with the virulence profile of the same strain in the mouse peritonitis model [32].

The number of bacterial cells in the hemolymph was measured at 0, 2, 4, 8, 12 and 24 h after larvae were infected with a lethal dose (5×10^7 CFU/larva) of the E. faecium strain E007 (time 0 was immediately after injection). As shown in Fig. 2, during the first 2 h after injection the CFU decreased, suggesting an initially effective immune response to the infection. However, at 8 hours after the infection the maximum number of E. faecium was recovered (4.8×10^9 CFU/pool).

An important question about the G. mellonella-E. faecium infection model is whether it could serve for testing antibacterial agents. We explored this possibility by assessing the efficacy of single and combinatorial antibiotic treatments for G. mellonella caterpillars infected by E. faecium. We evaluated the monotherapy with the antibiotics ampicillin (150 mg/kg), streptomycin (15 mg/kg) and gentamicin (6 mg/kg). Another experimental larvae group was administered the combination of ampicillin (150 mg/kg) and gentamicin (6 mg/kg) to evaluate the ability of the model to assess combinatorial treatment. The injection of a single dose of antibiotics ampicillin, and gentamicin prolonged the survival of G. mellonella caterpillars. However, for streptomycin no statistically significant difference was observed. Among the larvae that received single antibiotic, gentamicin treatment led to greater than 50% larvae survival up to 7 days after infection. Moreover, the group that received the combination treatment consisting of ampicillin and gentamicin showed the highest survival rate (more than 80% after 7 days) when compared to the other groups treated with a single antibiotic (Fig. 3). The combination of an aminoglycoside (gentamicin) with a cell-wall-active antibiotic (such as ampicillin) is the most widely antibacterial treatment for severe enterococcal infections [30].

After we verified that G. mellonella infected by E. faecium can be treated by antibacterial agents, we asked whether this host-pathogen system could be used to study aPDT. The first step was to assess potential toxic effects of PS to the larvae and whether they promoted melanization. We selected the widely used PS methylene blue (MB) for a number of reasons, including its low reported host toxicity, easy availability and broad clinical applicability [26–27]. Injection of larvae with MB at 1 mM did not yield melanization, death or other visible toxic effects. Additionally, the comparison of the E. faecium infected groups that received a

Figure 2. Number of bacterial cells in G. mellonella hemolymph post E. faecium E007 infection. The caterpillars were infected with 5.3×10^7 CFU/larva and were maintained at 37 C. Number of bacterial cells was quantified from pools of five larvae hemolymph per time-point (0, 2, 4, 8, 12 and 24 h after infection). Bars represent mean and the standard deviation of three pools per time-point.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055926.g002

Figure 3. Antimicrobial drugs prolong the survival of G. mellonella caterpillars infected by E. faecium. We examined the role of the most commonly used agents (alone or in association) for enterococcal infection by administering single doses of ampicillin, gentamicin, streptomycin and the association of ampicillin and gentamicin. The antibiotics were administered within 2 h after larvae were infected with 5.6×10^7 CFU/larva of E. faecium E007. A control group received the E. faecium E007 inoculum and PBS instead of antibiotics. Treatment with ampicillin (P = 0.0003), gentamicin (P = 0.0001) and the combination of ampicillin and gentamicin (P = 0.0001) significantly prolonged the survival of G. mellonella caterpillars when compared to control. However, streptomycin was not effective against E. faecium E007 (P = 0.0995). A representative example was used for each group.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055926.g003
second injection of MB or PBS did not show any substantial difference between the groups (data not shown).

A second preliminary step to simulate an in vivo aPDT study involved assessing the effects of the exposure of *G. mellonella* to red light only, before or after the infection, employing survival assays. There is evidence in other systems that red light may trigger immune responses and the absorption of red light by mitochondrial respiratory chain components may result in the increase of reactive oxygen species (ROS), and adenosinetriphosphate (ATP) or cyclic AMP, that initiate a signaling cascade, which promotes cellular proliferation and cytoprotection. Also, red light may stimulate defense cells to increase phagocytosis and to produce proteolytic enzymes [33,34]. Groups of larvae exposed to red light alone before or after infection were compared to infected larvae that received no light exposure. No difference between the groups was observed in both experiments indicating that red light alone is not toxic to the larvae and did not alter the larvae immune response to infection (data not shown).

In order to find the optimal dose-response to MB-mediated PDT, we evaluated 10 groups of larvae that were infected with the clinical isolate *E. faecium-E007* and received MB injection (10 μL of 1 mM). We gradually increased the light exposure time. More specifically, 8 groups were exposed to red light at different fluences (0.9, 1.8, 3.6, 5.4, 7.2, 10.8, 14.4 and 18 J/cm², corresponding to 30, 60, 120, 180, 240, 360, 480 and 600 seconds of irradiation), while two control groups received injection of PBS or MB with no light exposure. After irradiation, the survival rate of *G. mellonella* was counted 24 h post *E. faecium* infection. The best survival rate was reached with 30 seconds of irradiation (0.9 J/cm²). We found that after 120 seconds of light exposure that corresponded to 3.6 J/cm², killing of *G. mellonella* was significantly higher compared to the control groups (P = 0.0023) indicating that the aPDT at that time exposure level was lethally toxic to the host (data not shown).

Next, a finer evaluation was performed to establish the optimum light dosimetry and 8 additional groups were divided analyzing the photodynamic effects at 15, 30, 45, 60, 75, 90, 105 and 120 seconds of irradiation (0.45, 0.9, 1.35, 1.8, 2.25, 2.7 and 3.6 J/cm²) and once again 0.9 J/cm² (30 seconds of irradiation) provided the best survival rate (Fig. 4).

A further experimental procedure was designed to study the effects of aPDT, mediated by MB (1 mM) and red light at 0.9 J/cm², on *Galleria* survival when infected by six different bacteria strains. We tested different strains of *E. faecium*, including *E. faecium* E007 tetracycline resistant, *E. faecium* D344R ampicillin resistant, *E. faecium* 1,231,410 vancomycin resistant, and *E. faecium* 2158 used in the mouse peritonitis model [32]. We also tested two strains of *E. faecalis*: *E. faecalis* OG1RF (a rifampin and fusidic acid resistant laboratory derivative of an isolate from a child with rampant caries [35] and *E. faecalis* V583, that was the first vancomycin resistant enterococcal strain isolated in the USA [36]. We observed that aPDT, prolonged significantly the larvae survival in most of the clinical isolates when compared to non-PDT treated larvae, except of the vancomycin resistant *E. faecium* 1,231,410 (Fig. 5A, B, C, D, E, F).

As noted on the previous section the killing of larvae depends on the number of bacteria inoculated (Fig. 1A) and the most probable explanation for the prolonged survival of the infected larvae after MB-mediated PDT is the reduction of the bacterial tissue burden.

Figure 4. Dose-response 24 h after infected *G. mellonella* by *E. faecium* E007 were exposed to antimicrobial PDT. Larvae were infected with 3 x 10⁷ CFU/larva of *E. faecium* E007. Best result was found when the fluence of 0.9 J/cm² was applied.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055926.g004
We therefore measured CFU immediately after aPDT, (time 0) as well as 4 and 8 h post-PDT treatment using larvae infected by *E. faecium*. We compared the hemolymph burden of aPDT-treated larvae with non-treated larvae. The aPDT effect that reduces bacterial cell viability, would occur immediately upon light exposure, as the singlet oxygen (the main PDT pathway that promotes cell death) lifetime in biological systems has been reported to be shorter than 0.04 μs [37]. A significant reduction in the CFU number was also observed at 4 and 8 h post-PDT treatment (Fig. 6). Even though there was still a significant bacterial burden, it is reasonable to assume that enterococci were impaired by the non-lethal oxidative damage which may make

---

**Figure 5. Killing of *G. mellonella* by *E. faecium* and *E. faecalis* exposed to antimicrobial PDT.** In the aPDT group, the larvae received the PS injection 90 min after the bacterial infection. In order to allow a good dispersion of the PS into the insect body, we waited at least 30 additional min after the PS injection prior to the light irradiation. Control group received PS without light exposure. A) *E. faecium* E007 tetracycline resistant (3.0 x 10^7 CFU/larva), B) *E. faecium* D344R ampicillin resistant (1.08 x 10^7 CFU/larva), C) *E. faecium* 1,231,410 vancomycin resistant (4.8 x 10^7 CFU/larva), D) *E. faecium* 2158 used in the mouse peritonitis model (1.25 x 10^7 CFU/larva), E) *E. faecalis* OG1RF rifampin and fusidic acid resistant (1.7 x 10^6 CFU/larva), F) *E. faecalis* V583 vancomycin resistant (1.3 x 10^6 CFU/larva). A representative example was used for each group.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055926.g005
them more susceptible to insect immunity, resulting in a greater reduction in bacterial burden (4 and 8 h after PDT compared to time 0) and therefore prolonged the survival of PDT exposed hosts.

We also evaluated the hypothesis that aPDT might permeabilize the microbial cell wall making vancomycin-resistant enterococci susceptible to vancomycin. Therefore, we employed the G.mellonella-E. faecium developed system to assess the sequential application of aPDT with antibiotics (Fig. 7). Larvae infected by a VRE strain were treated with MB-mediated PDT or with vancomycin. Neither therapy alone significantly prolonged larval survival. However the sequential challenge employing aPDT followed by vancomycin led to a remarkable increase in the survival of caterpillars. The survival of G. mellonella infected by E. faecium 1,231,410, a vancomycin resistant clinical isolate, was more pronounced with a sequential treatment employing MB-mediated PDT followed by a single dose of vancomycin when compared to infected caterpillars treated with PDT alone or subjected to one dose of vancomycin.

Discussion

In this report, we describe the use of G. mellonella larvae to develop an invertebrate host model system for evaluation of a variety of antimicrobial treatments against E. faecium, including aPDT and antibiotics. First, we performed a set of experiments to elucidate the G. mellonella host response following E. faecium infection. We found that killing of G. mellonella larvae depended on the number of bacteria inoculated, and all E. faecium strains tested were capable of infecting and killing G. mellonella. In addition, treatment with clinically approved antibiotics prolonged caterpillar survival infected by E. faecium. Then we utilized this model in order to outline the first invertebrate model for the study of aPDT and demonstrated that aPDT results in a significant reduction in the CFU number immediately upon light exposure as well as 4 and 8 h post-PDT treatment.

G. mellonella has been used to study the host-pathogen interaction as an alternative host model to mammalian hosts [29–31,39–51]. As variation of the initial bacterial inoculum can considerably affect the G. mellonella infection, we injected $10^5$, $10^6$ and $10^7$ CFU/larva of E. faecium E007 in G. mellonella resulting in 20, 60 and 100% of mortality, respectively, after 72 h of infection. Similar mortality patterns were observed in studies employing the opportunistic pathogens S. aureus and E. faecalis. Peleg et al. [52] found mortality rates of 98 and 100% after 72 h of infection with $10^6$ and $10^7$ CFU/larva. Gaspar et al. [53] demonstrated that E. faecalis strains were able to kill between 60 and 98% of G. mellonella larvae with inocula about $2 \times 10^6$ CFU/larva (48 h post-infection).

In this study we verified that a set of E. faecium multidrug resistant clinical isolates was capable to infect and kill G. mellonella. In a recent study, Lebreton et al. [40] showed that G. mellonella larvae were susceptible to infection by a variety of E. faecium hospital-adapted, commensal or animal isolates as well as mutant E. faecalis.
strains with deletion of virulence genes. The authors suggested that *G. mellonella* could be a suitable and convenient surrogate model to study *E. faecium* susceptibility to host defenses and the role of suspected virulence factors in the colonization process. However, the *E. faecium* strains evaluated by Lebreton et al. [40] exhibited reduced pathogenicity for *G. mellonella* compared to the results obtained in the present study. Interestingly, the vancomycin resistant strain 1,231,410 which was evaluated in both studies showed in our experiment setting a mortality rate of 100% after 50 h of injection with 4.8×10^6 CFU/larva. Lebreton et al. [40] reported approximately 10% of mortality 50 h post infection by *E. faecium* 1,231,410 (2×10^5 CFU/larva). Besides the inoculum concentration, the differences between our results and the data obtained by Lebreton et al. [40] can be explained by the *G. mellonella* lineage. We used *G. mellonella* within 7 days from shipment without a food source while Lebreton et al. [40] used larvae starved for 24 h. Recently, Banville et al. [54] demonstrated that the deprivation of *G. mellonella* larvae of food leads to a reduction in cellular immune responses and an increased susceptibility to infection.

*G. mellonella* can be treated by administration of traditional antimicrobial agents [38]. Treatment efficacy of Gram-positive bacterial infection with clinically approved antibiotics was recently reported in this model by Desbois et al. [39]. We observed that the injection of a single dose of the antibiotics ampicillin, and gentamicin prolonged the survival of *G. mellonella* caterpillars infected by *E. faecium*. The combination of an aminoglycoside (gentamicin) with a cell-wall-active antibiotic (such as ampicillin) is the most widely used antibacterial treatment for severe enterococcal infections [55]. We also found a better result using the combination of ampicillin and gentamicin (more than 80% survival rate after 7 days).

The emergence of multidrug resistance (MDR) involves a variety of pathogenic microorganisms and antimicrobial agents. As a consequence MDR has prompted the investigation and development of new and alternative antimicrobial technologies and countermeasures, of which aPDT has emerged as an effective approach to selective destruction of pathogens [24,56–58]. *In vitro* antimicrobial PDT studies have been performed in vertebrate models, such as mice [59–61]. However, the high cost, together with the laborious and time consuming nature of the work may limit the number of variables studied, as well as the number of strains or species tested in a same experiment. *G. mellonella* as a model to study *in vivo* antimicrobial PDT can be very useful, especially when studying with different phenotypic features or different species of pathogen.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time an insect model host has been used to study antimicrobial PDT. In order to evaluate the *G. mellonella* system as a model for antimicrobial PDT, a preliminary set of experiments was performed with different groups of larvae that each received different PDT doses. Usually, a higher dose of PDT would be expected to provide better results in bacterial number reduction, but when applied in this insect model host, it was found that high-dose PDT had no effect on prolonging the survival rate when compared to non-exposed larvae. The working hypothesis is that higher PDT doses could promote damage in host tissues or on the host immune response. When a low dose of PDT was selected for application it was potent in the microorganisms and could be tolerated by *G. mellonella* larva without toxicity. Low doses of PDT can be also efficient, especially, in Gram-positive bacteria due their permeable cell wall.

In order to avoid host damage we applied a low antimicrobial dose therefore we found only a modest bacterial cell burden reduction. It is plausible that this sub-lethal PDT dose promotes bacterial cell-wall damage, thus facilitating the insect immune system response to clear the infection. With a weaker or permeable cell wall, bacteria could become easily phagocytized by *G. mellonella* hemocytes, and/or more susceptible to humoral insect immune response, by antimicrobial peptide action. This could explain the significant caterpillar survival rate in PDT exposed groups. The analysis implies that the precise mechanistic aspects of the pathogen photoinactivation in the caterpillar remain elusive. The same reservations applies in many occasions for the *in vitro* PDT explorations [62]. A comprehensive experimental design with emphasis in assessing the killing rate and the cell wall damage following *in vitro* exposure of *E. faecium* to different light levels will be essential to dissect the mechanism of the selective *E. faecium* photoinactivation in the host.

It has been demonstrated that photodynamic inactivation affect fungal cell wall and subsequently enhances the efficacy of antifungals [24]. This prompt the formulation of the hypothesis that the low PDT dose could also affect the bacteria cell wall. If the hypothesis holds truth it will be safe to assume, that the sequential application of aPDT and antimicrobial compounds such as antibiotics could act synergistically in treating the infection. It is known that vancomycin resistance by enterococci is considered the paradigm of the post-antibiotic era [13]. Conventional antimicrobial therapy could be combined with aPDT as an adjunct therapy [58]. The combination of PDT with antimicrobials has been used with success when compared to either approach [23,63].

Importantly, we observed that the *G. mellonella* larva survival after infection by a VRE strain was prolonged when vancomycin was administered after aPDT. When vancomycin or aPDT were applied alone no extension of caterpillar survival was observed. It is entirely possible that the permeabilization of the bacterial cell wall by the sub-lethal aPDT dose, makes it more susceptible to vancomycin. The exact mechanism by which aPDT makes VRE susceptible to vancomycin remains to be clarified. Again, further experimentation will be required to address the exact mechanism of this promising therapeutic modality for VRE infections or other resistant pathogens.

Overall, the first facile, whole animal alternative model host for aPDT testing is described. This invertebrate animal model provides a novel valuable tool to explore combinatorial aPDT-based treatments. It is logical to anticipate that the model described will be used to study the *in vivo* efficacy of new photosensitizers, and PDT-based protocols, without the ethical, financial and logistical barriers of mammalian models.
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