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Abstract

The research described here explores the ability of dual H-bond donor catalysts to induce asymmetry in a variety of synthetically useful transformations that proceed via diverse reactive intermediates. In Chapters 1–3, we investigate ureas and thioureas as anion-binding catalysts for asymmetric reactions that proceed via cationic intermediates with little precedent as electrophiles in asymmetric catalysis. Chapter 4 details our application of H-bond donor catalysis to the Cope-type hydroamination.

Chapter 1 describes the development of an asymmetric aldehyde alkylation catalyzed by a bifunctional primary aminothiourea. A variety of 2-aryl propionaldehydes are alkylated with benzhydryl bromides in moderate to good yields and good enantioselectivities. Catalyst structure-activity relationship studies of the alkylation pointed towards electrophile activation by the dual H-bond donor moiety. Experiments aimed at gaining a better understanding of the electrophile activation mode and characterizing the activated electrophilic intermediate in the alkylation reaction are described in Chapter 2.

The development of an enantioselective cyanide addition to \(N,N\)-dialkyliminium intermediates is the subject of Chapter 3. A variety of strategies for accessing \(N,N\)-dialkyliminium ions are established, and chiral thioureas are shown to promote the addition of cyanide to such intermediates with moderate enantioselectivities.
Chapter 4 details our discovery that thioureas bearing polarizable and conformationally constrained aromatic groups catalyze highly enantioselective Cope-type hydroaminations. This powerful transformation provides a variety of chiral pyrrolidine products under mild reaction conditions.
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Chapter 1

Enantioselective Primary Aminothiourea-Catalyzed Aldehyde α-Alkylation

1.1. Introduction

The direct α-alkylation of simple aldehydes is a synthetically useful carbon-carbon bond-forming reaction that allows access to chiral α-branched aldehydes, which have broad utility as synthetic intermediates towards biologically active compounds. Despite the utility of this class of transformation, few catalytic, asymmetric variants have been developed. The lack of reported asymmetric aldehyde α-alkylations is not due to a lack of interest in the transformation, but instead is a product of the challenges associated with catalyzing the transformation. Herein, we review strategies for asymmetric aldehyde α-alkylation, including recent advances in catalytic


\[^{2}\] Commonly cited challenges for catalysis include the propensity of aldehydes to undergo side reactions, such as self-Aldol reactions or Canizzaro or Tishchenko disproportionation reactions. Additionally, catalyst deactivation by alkylation can be a challenge.
asymmetric methods.\textsuperscript{3} Our strategy and results for effecting the transformation are also presented and discussed.

1.2 Strategies for Asymmetric α-Alkylation of Aldehydes

1.2.1 Chiral Auxiliary Strategies

Chiral auxiliary technology is a robust and commonly utilized strategy for the construction of chiral α-substituted aldehydes. Implementation of this strategy requires the use of a stoichiometric amount of a chiral auxiliary, as well as additional synthetic steps for the installation and removal of the auxiliary (Scheme 1.1). An ideal chiral auxiliary is easy to install, promotes a highly selective enolization process, provides high selectivity in the desired bond forming reaction, and can be easily removed (and ideally recycled) without racemization of the desired product.\textsuperscript{4} A number of auxiliaries have been developed that meet these requirements, and the synthetic utility of chiral auxiliary approaches to carbonyl α-alkylation is therefore high.

Scheme 1.1. Chiral Auxiliary Strategy for Asymmetric Carbonyl Alkylation

Seminal work demonstrating the use of chiral auxiliaries for carbonyl alkylation was reported by Meyers and coworkers in 1976\textsuperscript{5} and Enders and coworkers in 1977.\textsuperscript{6} Meyers and coworkers

\textsuperscript{3} For a comprehensive review of strategies for asymmetric carbonyl α-alkylation see: Doyle, A. G.; Ph.D. dissertation, Harvard University, 2008, and references therein.

\textsuperscript{4} Evans, D. A. in \textit{Asymmetric Synthesis – The Essentials} 2007 Wiley-VCH Weinheim, Germany. Editors Mathias Christmann and Stefan Bräse


showed that chiral 2-oxazolines could be alkylated with high degrees of selectivity, and then unmasked to yield the corresponding enantioenriched carboxylic acids (Scheme 1.2). Enders and coworkers reported the use of (S)-1-amino-2-methyl-oxyethyl-pyrroline (SAMP) as a chiral auxiliary for the methylation and benzylation of a variety of aliphatic aldehydes. The method involves conversion of aldehydes into their corresponding chiral hydrazones, deprotonation with LDA, and alkylation. The alkylated hydrazones are converted to aldehydes via hydrolysis or ozonolysis (Scheme 1.2).

**Scheme 1.2.** Early Chiral Auxiliary Approaches to Asymmetric Carbonyl Alkylation

Since these early examples, a variety of chiral auxiliaries have been developed that provide high degrees of selectivity for a diverse range of asymmetric transformations. Some of the most robust and highly selective auxiliary methods for carbonyl α-alkylation are those that make use of the oxazolidinone auxiliaries developed by Evans and the pseudoephedrine auxiliaries developed by Myers. These predictable and highly selective methods utilize readily available

---


auxiliaries and represent the state-of-the-art in chiral auxiliary approaches to asymmetric alkylation.

First introduced for asymmetric alkylations in 1982 (Scheme 1.3), oxazolidinone auxiliaries are straightforward to install and can be removed easily after alkylation to yield ester, amide or acid products. Since their introduction, many variations of the oxazolidinone auxiliary have been developed. A number of these auxiliaries are commercially available, and they have been extensively used in complex molecule synthesis.

Scheme 1.3. Two Enantiocomplimentary Oxazolidinone Auxiliaries Introduced by Evans

Twelve years after the introduction of the oxazolidinone auxiliaries by Evans, another exceedingly practical auxiliary was introduced by Myers. Pseudoephedrine is a commodity chemical, and it was inexpensive and readily available in bulk quantities at the time that these methods were developed. N-acyl pseudoephedrine derivatives can be alkylated with high

---

9 See Evans, D. A.; Shaw, J. T. L'actualité chimique, 2003, 35 and references therein.


11 Because pseudoephedrine can be easily converted into methamphetamine and other illegal drugs, its distribution is illegal in many countries and highly regulated in others. Pseudoephedrine has recently been developed as
selectivity for a variety of substrates and with many alkylating agents (Scheme 1.4). The alkylation products can then be converted to a range of functional groups, including a direct procedure to access aldehyde products. More recently, it has been shown that α-substituted N-acyl pseudoephedrine derivatives can be alkylated with high levels of selectivity, allowing access to enantioenriched α-quaternary carbonyl derivatives.\(^\text{12}\)

**Scheme 1.4. Alkylation of Pseudoephedrine-Derived Chiral Auxiliary**

Chiral auxiliary approaches to asymmetric aldehyde α-alkylation are well-developed and often utilized due to their consistently high selectivity and broad substrate scope. Despite these advantages, one cannot discount the lack of chemical efficiency of these processes due to the requirements for incorporation of stoichiometric amounts of chiral auxiliary, and due to the multiple synthetic steps required for installation and removal of the auxiliary. Direct catalytic, asymmetric processes would address these problems, and they have therefore been the subject of significant chemical research.

**1.2.2 Catalytic Asymmetric Aldehyde α-Alkylation**

Despite the synthetic utility of catalytic, asymmetric aldehyde α-alkylation, the first example of this type of transformation was not reported until 2004, when List and coworkers reported an alternative auxiliary to pseudoephedrine: Morales, M. R.; Mellem, K. T.; Myers, A. G. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2012, *51*, 4568.

intramolecular aldehyde α-alkylation catalyzed by α-methyl proline. The transformation proceeds with good yields and enantioselectivities to generate cyclopentane, cyclopropane, and pyrrolidine products, provided that the starting materials are activated for cyclization by the presence of geminal diester groups (Scheme 1.5). The conditions for the intramolecular alkylation were tested for the intermolecular alkylation reactions of cyclohexanone and propionaldehyde with benzyl bromide. These intermolecular alkylations were not successful, however, as catalyst benzylation was observed as the major byproduct.

**Scheme 1.5.** List’s Intramolecular Aldehyde α-Alkylation

Following the initial report of catalytic asymmetric intramolecular aldehyde α-alkylation by List and coworkers, a number of research groups devoted significant effort to the development of intermolecular variants of the transformation. In fact, some of the newest and most innovative strategies for asymmetric catalysis were applied toward the transformation: MacMillan’s organocatalysis using SOMO activation, List’s Asymmetric Counterion Directed Catalysis (ACDC), and anion-abstraction catalysis by our group (this work).

---

In 2007, MacMillan and coworkers introduced the concept of SOMO catalysis with its application toward asymmetric aldehyde $\alpha$-allylation (Scheme 1.6).\textsuperscript{14} This unique mode of catalytic activation involves a single electron oxidation of an enamine to generate a radical cation intermediate, which can be trapped by $\pi$-rich nucleophiles. In the context of the aldehyde $\alpha$-allylation, excellent yields and enantioselectivities were observed for the transformation. Since this initial report, this novel activation mode has been applied to a variety of impactful catalytic, asymmetric transformations.\textsuperscript{15}

Scheme 1.6. MacMillan’s SOMO Activation for Aldehyde $\alpha$-Allylation

A year later, MacMillan and coworkers reported an extension of their SOMO activation strategy to the alkylation of aldehydes by merging photoredox catalysis and organocatalysis.\textsuperscript{16} Enamine catalysis is also central in this system, in which a catalyst derived enamine reacts with an electron deficient radical. The radical is generated from the corresponding alkyl halide following reduction by Ru(bpy)$_3$$^+$$. This strategy provided enantioenriched $\alpha$-substituted


aldehydes in good yields and excellent enantioselectivities, but it is limited to electron deficient alkyl halides such as α-bromo ketones or bromomalonates. The strategy was expanded further by using fac-Ir(ppy)$_3$ as the photoredox catalyst, which enabled the electrophile scope to be expanded to highly electron deficient benzyl bromides (Scheme 1.7).$^{17}$

**Scheme 1.7.** Aldehyde α-Alkylation via Photoredox Organocatalysis

Shortly following MacMillan’s initial report of aldehyde α-allylation by SOMO activation, List and coworkers reported that their recently developed concept of asymmetric counteranion directed catalysis (ACDC)$^{18}$ could be applied to the asymmetric α-allylation of aldehydes.$^{19}$ Using N-benzhydryl allyl amine as the allylating agent, α-branched aldehydes are allylated under palladium and phosphoric acid co-catalysis. A variety of electronically diverse 2-aryl propionaldehydes underwent allylation under these conditions to yield highly enantioenriched α-}

---


quaternary aldehydes (Scheme 1.8). More recent extensions of this transformation have allowed for the use of simple allylic alcohols as the allylating agent.\textsuperscript{20}

**Scheme 1.8.** Application of List’s ACDC Strategy to Aldehyde α-Allylation

Advances in aldehyde α-alkylation have also been realized by engaging electrophiles with significant cationic character in the transformation. This approach was first reported in 2008 by Melchiorre and coworkers in the context of a proline catalyzed formal α-alkylation employing arylsulfonyl indoles as electrophiles (Scheme 1.9).\textsuperscript{21} In the presence of potassium fluoride supported on basic alumina, 3-(1-arylsulfonylalkyl)indoles provide vinylogous imine intermediates following elimination of the sulfonyl group. The vinylogous imine is then protonated to yield a vinylogous iminium intermediate, which could also be described as a stabilized carbenium ion, depending on the resonance character of the intermediate. For this system, the alkylation proceeds with good yields, moderate diastereoselectivity, and good enantioselectivity.


Scheme 1.9. Melchiorre’s Alkylation of Cationic Electrophiles

\[
\text{H}_2\text{C} = \text{CHO} + \text{Ph-SO}_2\text{Ph} \xrightarrow{\text{L-proline}} \text{Ph-NH} - \text{CHO} \xrightarrow{\text{KF/alumina}} \text{Ph-NH}_2 \text{Me}
\]

More recently, Cozzi and coworkers were successful in achieving aldehyde α-alkylation with highly stabilized diarylmethine carbocations generated from the corresponding alcohols (Scheme 1.10).\textsuperscript{22} Yields for the transformation are moderate to good, and the observed enantioselectivities are moderate. It is notable that only very highly stabilized diarylmethine cations participate in the transformation.\textsuperscript{23}


Although general catalytic asymmetric methods for aldehyde α-alkylation have yet to be discovered, significant steps toward realizing this transformation have been made. Efforts to develop the transformation have impacted the field of asymmetric catalysis, as many catalysis strategies developed for aldehyde α-alkylation have proven useful for a variety of other synthetic methods.

1.3. Results and Discussion

1.3.1. Primary Aminothioureas as Bifunctional Catalysts

Primary aminothioureas are bifunctional catalysts that have the ability to activate nucleophiles via enamine catalysis and electrophiles via H-bonding, and they have been successful in catalyzing highly enantioselective aldehyde α-functionalization reactions (Scheme 1.11).24 We

sought to utilize this catalyst class to achieve asymmetric intermolecular aldehyde α-alkylation. We expected that a bifunctional catalyst capable of synergistic nucleophilic and electrophilic activation would not require an alkylating agent with high intrinsic reactivity. Therefore, some of the challenges associated with catalytic aldehyde α-alkylation, such as catalyst deactivation via alkylation, could be overcome.

**Scheme 1.11.** Primary Aminothiourea Catalyzed Aldehyde α-Functionalization

1.3.2. Preliminary Experiments

We evaluated the alkylation of 2-phenyl propionaldehyde with a variety of electrophiles in the presence of primary aminourea 3 as well as N-acyl cyclohexyl diamine 4. Interestingly, alkylation was observed using both allyl iodide and benzhydryl bromide (Table 1.1).\(^{25}\) The fact that amine 4, which lacks the dual H-bond donor motif, successfully catalyzed the alkylation with allyl iodide to the same extent as urea 3 indicated that electrophile activation is likely not necessary for this electrophile. The lack of dual activation observed for the allyl substrate, in addition to the fact that asymmetric allylation of this class of aldehyde had been established\(^{19a}\) influenced our decision to explore the alkylation reaction with benzhydryl electrophiles.

---


\(^{25}\) Experiments in Table 1.1 were carried out and analyzed by Wen-Hsin Kuo.
Although catalyst 3 was successful in catalyzing the alkylation transformation, a significant amount of $\alpha$-oxygenation byproduct was also observed under the catalytic conditions. This required the development of a freeze-pump-thaw procedure for the reaction setup. Limited oxidation byproducts were observed under this procedure, which enabled further reaction optimization.

1.3.3. Catalyst Optimization

A variety of diverse primary amine containing thiourea and urea catalysts were evaluated for the $\alpha$-alkylation of 2-phenyl propionaldehyde with benzhydryl bromide. Although more than thirty urea and thiourea catalysts were evaluated for the transformation, the results can be summarized by discussion of a few representative catalysts (Scheme 1.12).

Tertiary amino Takemoto’s catalyst 6 doesn’t promote the reaction at all, supporting our hypothesis of catalysis via a catalyst-derived enamine (Scheme 1.12, compare catalysts 5 and 6).

---

26 See experimental section for further details.
Catalyst 7, which contains a primary amine and a carbamate capable of donating a single H-bond, only provides a trace amount of the desired product under the reaction conditions, indicating that activation of the electrophile by the dual H-bond donor is necessary. Together these results indicate that both a dual H-bond donor and a primary amine are necessary for catalysis of the alkylation reaction. Further, the primary amine activation of the nucleophile and electrophilic activation by the dual H-bond donor are cooperative, as a combination of the two as separate catalysts does not promote the reaction (Scheme 1.12, catalysts 11 + 12).

As is the case for many dual H-bond donor catalyzed reactions, thioureas typically outperform the analogous urea slightly with respect to both reaction rate and enantioselectivity (Scheme 1.12, compare catalysts 3 and 5). This indicates that the catalytic activity of the thiourea moiety is likely due to its H-bonding properties, not due to nucleophilic catalysis with the thiocarbonyl. Variation of the diamine portion of the catalyst indicated that cyclohexyldiamine-containing catalysts were uniquely effective in catalyzing the transformation (Scheme 1.12, compare catalysts 5 and 10).

Changes to the left side of the catalyst (as depicted in Scheme 1.12) had minimal impact on the enantioselectivity of the transformation, but significantly affected the reaction rate (Scheme 1.12, compare catalyst 5, 8, and 9). In general, catalysts with more electron rich left hand portions were less effective catalysts with respect to reaction rate. It is notable that simple catalysts such as 5 that only contain one stereochemical element are highly enantioselective catalysts, while catalysts with additional stereochemical elements offer no advantage (Scheme 1.12, compare catalysts 2 and 5).
Scheme 1.12. Selected Catalyst Optimization Data

![Chemical structures and yields](image)

Yields determined by $^1$H NMR analysis using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard
Scheme 1.13. Proposed Catalytic Cycle

Our catalyst optimization studies pointed toward a mechanism involving nucleophilic activation via a catalyst-derived enamine. A proposed catalytic cycle is presented in Scheme 1.13. Analysis of the catalytic cycle reveals imine formation, imine hydrolysis, and proton transfer steps, along with production of a stoichiometric amount of hydrogen bromide. A basic additive capable of neutralizing the hydrogen bromide seemed necessary to prevent catalyst deactivation by protonation. In addition, it seemed likely that additives capable of shuttling
protons could facilitate some of the imine formation, imine hydrolysis, and proton transfer steps. Based on these hypotheses, an empirical evaluation of reaction additives was carried out.

### 1.3.4. Optimization of Reaction Conditions

Due to the fact that a stoichiometric quantity of hydrogen bromide was being generated over the course of the alkylation reaction, a basic additive was required. A variety of amine bases were examined (Table 1.2), with triethylamine proving optimal. The enantioselectivity of the reaction was relatively insensitive to the identity of the amine base, with the exception of DBU, which may promote alkylation via alternate mechanisms due to its high basicity. The reaction was lower-yielding in the presence of pyridine bases, likely because these additives were less basic than the primary amine catalyst, and some catalyst deactivation by protonation occurred.

#### Table 1.2. Optimization of Basic Additive

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>base</th>
<th>yield (%)</th>
<th>ee (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Et₂N</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>DIPEA</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>DBU</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>pyridine</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2,6-lutidine</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Me₂NET</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Et₂NH</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Yields determined by \(^{1}\text{H} \) NMR analysis using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard

---

27 Water and weak acid have been shown to be beneficial in previously reported primary aminothiourea-catalyzed reactions (See ref. 24b-c)
Solvent optimization was carried out, and it was observed that the enantioselectivity of the alkylation reaction was relatively insensitive to the identity of the solvent (Table 1.3). The alkylation proceeded with good enantioselectivity in a variety of solvents, with the only exception being acetonitrile.

**Table 1.3. Solvent Optimization**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>solvent</th>
<th>ee (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>TBME</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>EtO</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>hexanes</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>DCM</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>trifluorotoluene</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>acetonitrile</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As noted previously, the catalytic cycle for primary amine catalyzed transformations requires imine formation, imine hydrolysis, and proton transfer steps. In our previous work on primary aminothiourea catalyzed transformations we had observed beneficial effects on reaction rate when water or weak acids were added to the reaction mixture.\textsuperscript{24b-c} For the aldehyde alkylation reaction, the identity and amount of the carboxylic acid present affected the rate of the reaction, and had little to no effect on the enantioselectivity of the transformation. The amount of water present in the reaction mixture also did not affect the enantioselectivity of the reaction, and the optimal amount was determined empirically. These results are consistent with the hypothesis that the water and acetic acid additives are not involved in the enantioselectivity-determining alkylation step, and they instead influence the imine formation, imine hydrolysis and proton transfer steps.
1.3.5. Aldehyde Scope and Limitations

The α-alkylation of a variety of α-branched aldehydes was investigated under the optimized reaction conditions. Electronically varied 2-aryl proprionaldehydes reacted with benzhydryl bromide in moderate to good yields and good to excellent enantioselectivity (Table 1.4).

**Table 1.4. Reaction Scope With Respect to Aldehyde**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>aldehyde</th>
<th>yield (%) (^a)</th>
<th>ee (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Aldehyde 1" /></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Aldehyde 2" /></td>
<td>68</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Aldehyde 3" /></td>
<td>56</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Aldehyde 4" /></td>
<td>57</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="Aldehyde 5" /></td>
<td>59</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><img src="image6.png" alt="Aldehyde 6" /></td>
<td>52</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Yield of isolated alcohol following reduction with NaBH\(_4\)

The scope of the alkylation was strictly limited to 2-aryl proprionaldehydes. Any variation from aldehydes bearing α-methyl and α-aryl groups was not tolerated, as no alkylation product
was observed for these substrates. Aldehydes that failed to yield any alkylation product under the optimized conditions are shown below (Scheme 1.14).

**Scheme 1.14.** Unsuccessful Aldehydes for Alkylation

![Scheme 1.14](image)

We hypothesize that the strict requirement for α-aryl aldehydes is due to the imine-enamine equilibrium of the aldehyde-catalyst derivative. Conjugation between the enamine tautomer and the α-aryl group is likely stabilizing, which increases the amount of enamine tautomer present (Scheme 1.15). Such an interaction is not possible with aldehydes lacking α-aryl groups.

**Scheme 1.15.** Hypothesis for Requirement of Aldehyde α-Aryl Substituent

![Scheme 1.15](image)

1.3.6. **Electrophile Scope and Limitations**

The electrophile scope of the aldehyde α-alkylation reaction was studied. First, we examined the effect of the electrophile leaving group (Table 1.5). In alkylation reactions that employed electrophiles bearing acetate or trifluoroacetate leaving groups, no alkylation products were observed. Benzhydryl chloride provided very modest quantities of highly enantioenriched alkylation product, and benzhydryl bromide proved to be optimal, giving good yields and high enantioselectivities for the alkylation. The similar enantioselectivities that are observed for the
bromide and chloride electrophile are striking, and are atypical for reactions that proceed via an anion binding mechanism.\textsuperscript{28}

**Table 1.5. Leaving Group Optimization**

![Chemical Reaction Diagram]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>yield (%)\textsuperscript{a}</th>
<th>ee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>OAc</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>OCOCF\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Br</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Yields determined by \textsuperscript{1}H NMR analysis using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard

A variety of electronically varied benzhydryl bromides were examined under the optimal alkylation conditions (Table 1.6). While moderate variation of the electronic properties of the benzhydryl electrophiles was tolerated, benzhydryl electrophiles with strongly electron donating groups provided racemic products, while benzhydryl electrophiles with strongly electron withdrawing groups failed to react.

\textsuperscript{28} See Chapter 2 of this thesis for discussion of the anion binding mechanism and its implications in the aldehyde α-alkylation.
Table 1.6. Reaction Scope With Respect to Electrophile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>yield (%)</th>
<th>ee (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(\text{Ph-OMe} )</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(\text{Ph-F} )</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(\text{Ph-B}r)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(\text{Ph-Cl} )</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(\text{Ph-C}F_3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Yield of isolated alcohol following reduction with NaBH\(_4\) (entries 1 and 4). Yield of isolated aldehyde (entries 2–3).

Alkylation using 4,4'-(bromomethylene)bis(methoxybenzene), which gives rise to a highly stabilized carbocation, proceeded with very low enantioselectivity using optimal catalyst 5 (Table 1.6, entry 1). We hypothesized that activation by the thiourea was not necessary for this electrophile, and alkylation was therefore proceeding via an unselective pathway. This hypothesis was confirmed (Table 1.7, entries 2–3), as primary amine catalyst 11 lacking the dual hydrogen bond donor functionality provided similar yields to primary aminoureia catalyst 3.\(^{29}\) Interestingly, a primary amine catalyst was not necessary to observe product formation (Table

\(^{29}\) The yields using thiourea catalyst 4 are reduced because of catalyst decomposition pathways via alkylation of the thiocarbonyl.
1.7, entry 4), suggesting that an enol alkylation pathway may be also be operative for this electrophile.

**Table 1.7.** Explanation for Racemic Reaction with Electrophiles Bearing Highly Electron Donating Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>catalyst</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>ee (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.4. Conclusions

Our goal at the outset of this project was to develop a highly enantioselective aldehyde alkylation reaction through the use of bifunctional primary aminothiourea catalysts. We discovered that primary aminothioureas were capable of promoting the desired alkylation reaction with high levels of enantioselectivity, but the scope of the reaction was somewhat limited with respect to both the aldehyde component and the alkylating agent. Further studies were carried out in order to gain a better understanding of the electrophile activation mode at work in the aminothiourea catalyzed aldehyde α-alkylation reaction. These studies are presented in Chapter 2 of this thesis.
1.5. Experimental Procedures

1.5.1. General Information

**General Procedure.** Unless otherwise noted, all reactions were performed in flame-dried round-bottom flasks sealed with a rubber septum under a nitrogen atmosphere. Air and moisture sensitive liquids were transferred using stainless steel cannulae or syringes. Flash chromatography was performed using silica gel ZEOprep60 ECO 40-63 micron from America International Chemical, Inc.

**Materials.** Commercial reagents were purchased from VWR, Acros, and Sigma-Aldrich and used as received with the following exceptions: 2-phenyl propionaldehyde was purified by distillation before use. Bromodiphenylmethane was purified by recrystallization from hexanes before use. Toluene, dichloromethane, and t-butyl methyl ether were dried by passing through columns of activated alumina. Acetonitrile was dried by passing through a column of activated molecular sieves. Triethylamine was distilled from CaH₂ at 760 torr.

**Instrumentation.** Proton nuclear magnetic resonance (¹H NMR) spectra and carbon nuclear magnetic resonance (¹³C NMR) spectra were recorded on a Varian Inova-500 (500 MHz) NMR spectrometer. Chemical shifts for protons are reported in parts per million downfield from tetramethylsilane and are referenced to the NMR solvent residual peak (CHCl₃: δ7.27). Chemical shifts for carbons are reported in parts per million downfield from tetramethylsilane and are referenced to the carbon resonances of the NMR solvent (CDCl₃: δ77.0). Data are represented as follows: chemical shift, integration, multiplicity (br = broad, s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, p = pentet, m = multiplet), and coupling constants in Hertz (Hz). The mass spectroscopic data were obtained at the Harvard University mass spectrometry facility.
Infrared (IR) spectra were obtained using a Bruker Tensor 27 FTIR spectrophotometer. Data are represented as follows: frequency of absorption (cm\(^{-1}\)), intensity of absorption (s = strong, m = medium, w = weak). Optical rotation data were obtained using a 1 mL cell with a 0.5 dm path length on a Jasco P-2000 polarimeter. Chiral HPLC analysis was performed using a Shimadzu VP series instrument.

1.5.2. Catalyst Preparation and Characterization Data

Preparation of catalyst 5. A flame dried 100 mL round-bottom flask was charged with (R,R)-1,2-trans-diaminocyclohexane\(^{30}\) (1.01 g, 9.6 mmol) and diethyl ether (30 mL) under nitrogen. The mixture was cooled to 0 °C, and 1M HCl in diethyl ether (9.6 mL) was added dropwise. The reaction was stirred for 1 h while warming to room temperature. The white solid precipitate was filtered and washed with diethyl ether to give the mono-HCl diamine salt (1.38 g, 9.18 mmol), which was dissolved in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (30 mL) and cooled to 0 °C. 3,5-bis-trifluoromethyl isothiocyanate (990 mg, 3.65 mmol) was dissolved in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (6 mL), and added to the mono-HCl diamine salt over 1 h. The reaction was stirred overnight while warming to room temperature. 1M NaOH (20 mL) was then added to the reaction mixture, and the mixture was extracted with CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (3x 25 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over sodium sulfate, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash

chromatography, eluting with 10% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂ to give 5 as a white solid (954 mg, 68% yield). The spectral data obtained were in accordance with those described in the literature. Catalysts 2-3 and 6-7 were prepared using previously reported methods. Catalysts 8-10 were prepared in an analogous fashion to catalyst 5.

1.5.3. Substrate Preparation and Characterization Data

Preparation of Aldehydes: 2-Phenyl-propionaldehyde was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, and was purified by distillation before use. All other aldehydes are known compounds, and were prepared using previously reported methods.

Preparation of Benzhydryl Electrophiles: Bromodiphenylmethane was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, and recrystallized from hexanes before use.

![Chemical Reaction](image)

4,4’-difluorobenzhydryl bromide (14c). A flame-dried 25 mL round-bottom flask was charged with 4,4’-difluorobenzhydrol (1.026g, 4.6 mmol) and benzene (9 mL) under nitrogen. Acetyl bromide (1.0 mL, 13.4 mmol) was added, and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 6 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated to give 4,4’-difluorobenzhydryl bromide as a clear oil.

---


(1.02 g, 78% yield). The spectral data obtained were in accordance with those described in the literature.\(^{34}\)

**4,4’-dibromobenzhydryl bromide (14d).** A flame-dried 100 mL round-bottom flask was charged with 1,4-dibromobenzene (2.35 g, 10 mmol) and THF (25 mL) under nitrogen. The reaction was cooled to -78 °C, and nBuLi (2.5 M in hexanes, 4 mL, 10 mmol) was added dropwise and the reaction was stirred for 20 minutes. \(p\)-bromobenzaldehyde (1.85 g, 10 mmol), as a solution in THF (25 mL) was added. The reaction was stirred for 20 minutes, after which H₂O (~50 mL) was added. The mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3x 25 mL), the combined organic phases were dried over sodium sulfate, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography to give 4,4’-dibromobenzhydryl as a white solid (2.71 g, 79% yield). The spectral data obtained were in accordance with those described in the literature.\(^{35}\)

A flame-dried 25 mL round-bottom flask was charged with 4,4’-dibromobenzhydryl (1.03 g, 3 mmol) and benzene (9 mL) under nitrogen. Acetyl bromide (1.0 mL, 13.4 mmol) was added, and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 6 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated to give an orange solid, which was recrystallized from hexanes to give 4,4’-dibromobenzhydryl bromide as a white solid (820 mg, 68% yield). \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) \(\delta = 7.49\) (d, \(J = 8.7\))


Hz, 16 H), 7.31 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 10 H), 6.18 (s, 5 H); $^{13}$C{$_1$H} NMR (125MHz,CDCl$_3$) δ = 139.6, 131.8, 130.0, 122.4, 53.2; FTIR (neat, cm$^{-1}$): 1484 (m), 1398 (m), 1070 (m), 1008 (m), 852 (w), 810 (m), 786 (s).

4,4’-dichlorobenzhydryl bromide (14e). A flame-dried 250 mL round-bottom flask was charged with p-chlorobenzaldehyde (1.41g, 10 mmol) and diethyl ether (50 mL) under nitrogen. The mixture was cooled to 0 °C, and p-chlorophenyl magnesium bromide (1 M in diethyl ether, 12 mL, 12 mmol) was added dropwise. The reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h. H$_2$O (~50 mL) was added, and the mixture was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (3x 25 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over sodium sulfate, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography to give 4,4’-dichlorobenzhyrol as a white solid (2.32g, 92% yield). The spectral data were in accordance with those described in the literature.$^{36}$

A flame-dried 25 mL round-bottom flask was charged with 4,4’-dichlorobenzhydrol (759mg, 3 mmol) and benzene (9 mL) under nitrogen. Acetyl bromide (1.0 mL, 13.4 mmol) was added, and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 6 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated to give an orange solid, which was recrystallized from hexanes to give 4,4’-dichlorobenzhydryl bromide as a white solid (422mg, 45% yield). The spectral data obtained were in accordance with those described in the literature.$^{37}$

1.5.4. General Procedure for the Asymmetric Alkylation Reaction.

Preperation of Reaction Mixture Stock Solution. In a flame dried 10 mL Schlenk flask under nitrogen, benzhydryl bromide (0.750 mmol) was added, and the flask was sealed with a rubber septum. The flask was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen (x4). Aldehyde (0.375 mmol), AcOH (2.1 µL, 0.0375 mmol), Et₃N (52 µL, 0.375 mmol), and toluene (7.5 mL) were added to the flask, and the rubber septum was replaced with a glass stopper under positive nitrogen flow. The mixture was degassed (3 freeze-pump-thaw cycles), and the glass stopper was replaced with a rubber septum.

Addition of Stock Solution to Catalyst. In a flame dried 10 mL Schlenk flask under nitrogen, thiourea catalyst 5 (22.4 mg, 0.058 mmol) was added, and the flask was sealed with a rubber septum. The flask was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen (x4). In a GC vial, nitrogen was bubbled through deionized H₂O (~1 mL) for 10 minutes. A gas-tight microsyringe was used to transfer H₂O (5.2 µL, 0.29 mmol) from the GC vial to the Schlenk flask. The reaction mixture stock solution (6 mL, 0.29 mmol aldehyde, 0.58 mmol bromide) was added to the Schlenk flask, with care taken to wash the water from the side of the flask. The rubber septum was replaced with a glass stopper under positive nitrogen flow, the Schlenk flask was sealed, and the reaction was stirred at room temperature.

Work-up A: Isolation of aldehyde product. 3 mL of 1N aqueous HCl was added to the reaction mixture, and the mixture was stirred for 15 minutes. The mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3x 15 mL), the combined organics were dried over sodium sulfate, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography to give the aldehyde product.
Work up B: Reduction and isolation of the alcohol product. 3 mL of 1N aqueous HCl was added to the reaction mixture, and the mixture was stirred for 15 minutes. The mixture was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (3x 15 mL), the combined organics were dried over sodium sulfate, and concentrated under reduced pressure. To the residue was added MeOH (~10 mL) and CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (~5 mL), and the mixture was cooled to 0 ºC. NaBH$_4$ (113 mg, 3.0 mmol) was added, and the reaction was stirred at 0 ºC for 15 minutes. The reaction was then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 30 minutes. H$_2$O (~10 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 30 minutes. The mixture was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (3x 15 mL), the combined organics were dried over sodium sulfate, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography to give the alcohol product.

Preperation of racemic products. Racemic products were prepared following the general procedure described above using racemic thiourea catalyst 5.

1.5.5. Product Characterization

(S)-2-methyl-2,3,3-triphenylpropanal (15a):

According to the general procedure, 2-phenyl propionaldehyde (0.29 mmol) was reacted with bromodiphenylmethane (0.58 mmol). After 72h, workup A provided the product aldehyde 15a (61.1 mg, 70% yield) as a clear oil. $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{21} = -51.4^\circ$ (c = 0.147, CH$_2$Cl$_2$); $^1$H NMR (500MHz ,CDCl$_3$) $\delta = 9.77$ (s, 1 H), 7.35 - 7.02 (m, 15 H), 5.07 (s, 1 H), 1.60 (s, 3 H); $^{13}$C{$^1$H}NMR (125 MHz ,CDCl$_3$) $\delta = 201.9, 140.9, 140.5, 139.0, 130.3, 130.2, 128.6, 128.3, 128.2, 127.8, 127.2, 126.6, 126.3, 57.9, 56.9, 18.4; HRMS [M+Na]$^+$ calculated for C$_{22}$H$_{20}$O: 323.14064, found:
323.13941; FTIR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3082 (w), 3060 (m), 2923 (w), 1722 (s), 1684 (m), 1599 (m), 1495 (s), 1449 (s), 1032 (m), 700 (s).

(S)-2-methyl-2,3,3-triphenylpropan-1-ol (15a-red):

The aldehyde was reduced with NaBH₄ and the alcohol was determined to be 91% ee by chiral HPLC analysis (OD-H, 2% IPA in hexanes, 1.0 mL/min, 220 nm, tᵣ(major)= 23.7 min, tᵣ(minor)= 21.1 min); [α]D²² = -67.6° (c = 0.100, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (500MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.31 - 7.05 (m, 15 H), 4.55 (s, 1 H), 3.83 (dd, J = 5.4, 11.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.60 (dd, J = 7.8, 11.0 Hz, 1 H), 1.53 (s, 3 H), 1.28 (dd, J = 5.4, 7.8 Hz, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (126MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 143.1, 141.7, 141.5, 130.6, 130.3, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.6, 126.4, 126.4, 126.0, 70.9, 58.5, 47.1, 20.7; HRMS [M+Na]⁺ calculated for C₂₂H₂₂O: 325.15629, found: 325.15888; FTIR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3283 (m), 3025 (w), 2891 (w), 1598 (w), 1495 (m), 1448 (m), 1046 (s).

(S)-2-methyl-2-(naphthalen-2-yl)-3,3-diphenylpropan-1-ol (15b-red):

According to the general procedure, 2-(naphthalen-2-yl)propanal (0.29 mmol) was reacted with bromodiphenylmethane (0.58 mmol). After 48h, workup B provide the product alcohol 15b-red (69.0 mg, 68% yield) as a white solid. The material was determined to be 92% ee by chiral HPLC analysis (OD-H, 2% IPA in hexanes, 1.0 mL/min, 230 nm, tᵣ(major)= 31.6 min,
According to the general procedure, 2-(4-bromophenyl)propanal (0.29 mmol) was reacted with bromodiphenylmethane (0.58 mmol). After 96h, workup B provided the product alcohol **15c-red** (61.5 mg, 56% yield) as a clear oil. The material was determined to be 94% ee by chiral HPLC analysis (OD-H, 2% IPA in hexanes, 1.0 mL/min, 230 nm, t\(_r\)(major)= 20.5 min, t\(_r\)(minor)= 25.5 min; \([\alpha]_D^{21} = -38.5^\circ \text{(c= 0.253, CH}_2\text{Cl}_2)\); \(\text{H}^1\) NMR (500MHz ,CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta = 7.40 \text{ (d, } J = 8.3 \text{ Hz, 2 H), 7.32 - 7.20 \text{ (m, 5 H), 7.20 - 7.13 \text{ (m, 3 H), 7.10 (d, } J = 6.8 \text{ Hz, 2 H), 7.04 (d, } J = 8.3 \text{ Hz, 2 H), 4.52 (s, 1 H), 3.77 (d, } J = 11.2 \text{ Hz, 1 H), 3.60 (d, } J = 11.2 \text{ Hz, 1 H), 1.49 (s, 3 H), 1.39 \text{ (br. s., 1 H); } \text{C}^{13}\{\text{H}\} \text{NMR (126MHz ,CDCl}_3\}) \delta = 142.4, 141.4, 141.1, 130.9, 130.5, 130.2, 130.0, 128.0, 127.8, 126.5, 126.2, 120.5, 70.5, 58.1, 46.9, 20.8; \text{HRMS } [\text{M+Na}]^+ \text{ calculated for } C_{26}H_{24}O: 375.17194, \text{ found: 375.16959}; \text{FTIR (neat, cm}^{-1}\): 3558 (s), 3023 (w), 2919 (w), 1493 (m), 1449 (m), 1043 (s).
According to the general procedure, 2-(4-fluorophenyl)propanal (0.29 mmol) was reacted with bromodiphenylmethane (0.58 mmol). After 96h, workup B provided the product alcohol \textbf{15d-red} (53.2 mg, 57% yield) as a clear oil. The material was determined to be 92% ee by chiral HPLC analysis (OD-H, 2% IPA in hexanes, 1.0 mL/min, 230 nm, \(t_r\) (major) = 22.1 min, \(t_r\) (minor) = 26.5 min); \(\left[\alpha\right]_D^{21} = -36.1^\circ\ (c = 0.260, \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2)\); \(^1\text{H}\) NMR (500MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta = 7.32 - 7.21\) (m, 5 H), 7.20 - 7.08 (m, 7 H), 7.02 - 6.94 (m, 2 H), 4.51 (s, 1 H), 3.80 (d, \(J = 11.0\) Hz, 1 H), 3.63 (d, \(J = 11.2\) Hz, 1 H), 1.52 (s, 3 H), 1.41 (br. s., 1 H); \(^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\} (125MHz, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta = 162.7, 160.7, 141.7, 139.1, 130.6, 130.1, 130.0, 128.2, 128.0, 126.8, 126.4, 114.9, 71.0, 58.9, 47.0, 21.3; HRMS [M+Na]\(^+\) calculated for C\(_{22}\)H\(_{21}\)FO: 343.14686, found: 343.14706; FTIR (neat, cm\(^{-1}\)): 3406 (m), 3027 (w), 2925 (w), 1600 (m), 1510 (s), 1495 (m), 1450 (m), 1232 (s), 1167 (s), 1032 (s).
(S)-2-methyl-3,3-diphenyl-2-(p-tolyl)propan-1-ol (15e-red):

According to the general procedure, 2-p-tolylpropanal (0.29 mmol) was reacted with bromodiphenylmethane (0.58 mmol). After 48h, workup B provide the product alcohol 15e-red (53.8 mg, 59% yield) as a clear oil. The material was determined to be 85% ee by chiral HPLC analysis (OD-H, 2% IPA in hexanes, 1.0 mL/min, 235 nm, t<sub>r</sub>(major)= 13.4 min, t<sub>r</sub>(minor)= 15.2 min); [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>23</sup> = -53.9º (c= 0.136, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500MHz , CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 7.32 - 7.18 (m, 5 H), 7.17 - 7.02 (m, 9 H), 4.52 (s, 1 H), 3.78 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.57 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 2.34 (s, 3 H), 1.50 (s, 3 H), 1.33 (br. s., 1 H); <sup>13</sup>C<sup>1</sup>H) NMR (125MHz ,CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 141.8, 141.6, 139.8, 135.9, 130.6, 130.3, 128.7, 128.1, 127.8, 127.6, 126.3, 125.9, 70.9, 58.4, 46.7, 20.9, 20.8; HRMS [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> calculated for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O: 339.17194, found: 323.16937; FTIR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3412 (m), 3026 (w), 2921 (w), 1514 (m), 1494 (m), 1449 (m), 1032 (s).

(S)-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-methyl-3,3-diphenylpropan-1-ol (15f-red):

According to the general procedure, 2-(4-methoxyphenyl)propanal (0.29 mmol) was reacted with bromodiphenylmethane (0.58 mmol). After 72h, workup B provide the product alcohol 15f-red (49.0 mg, 52% yield) as a clear oil. The material was determined to be 85% ee by chiral HPLC analysis (ss-welk, 2% IPA in hexanes, 1.0 mL/min, 240 nm, t<sub>r</sub>(major)= 18.0 min, t<sub>r</sub>(minor)= 38.2
min); $[\alpha]^D_{D23} = -38.7^\circ$ (c= 0.066, CH$_2$Cl$_2$); $^1$H NMR (500MHz , CDCl$_3$) $\delta = 7.29 - 7.18$ (m, 5 H), 7.17 - 7.03 (m, 7 H), 6.85 - 6.77 (m, 2 H), 4.47 (s, 1 H), 3.81 (s, 3 H), 3.77 (d, $J = 11.2$ Hz, 1 H), 3.58 (d, $J = 11.2$ Hz, 1 H), 1.48 (s, 3 H), 1.37 (br. s., 1 H); $^{13}$C($^1$H) NMR (125MHz , CDCl$_3$) $\delta = 158.0, 141.8, 141.5, 134.7, 130.5, 130.3, 129.3, 127.9, 127.6, 126.4, 126.0, 113.2, 70.8, 58.7, 55.1, 46.5, 21.2; HRMS [M+Na]$^+$ calculated for C$_{23}$H$_{24}$O$_2$: 355.16685, found: 355.15314; FTIR (neat, cm$^{-1}$): 3431 (m), 3026 (w), 2933 (w), 1609 (m), 1187 (m), 1031 (s).

(S)-3,3-bis(4-fluorophenyl)-2-methyl-2-phenylpropanal (16c):

According to the general procedure, 2-phenyl propionaldehyde (0.145 mmol) was reacted with 4,4'-difluorobenzhydryl bromide (0.29 mmol). After 72h, workup A provided the product aldehyde 16c (29.2 mg, 60% yield) as a clear oil. $[\alpha]^D_{D23} = -28.4^\circ$ (c= 0.142, CH$_2$Cl$_2$); $^1$H NMR (500MHz , CDCl$_3$) $\delta = 9.65$ (s, 1 H), 7.34 - 7.24 (m, 3 H), 7.15 (dd, $J = 5.4, 8.8$ Hz, 2 H), 7.10 (d, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 2 H), 7.00 - 6.91 (m, 4 H), 6.81 (t, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2 H), 5.03 (s, 1 H), 1.55 (s, 3 H); $^{13}$C($^1$H) NMR (125MHz , CDCl$_3$) $\delta = 201.5, 162.4, 160.5, 138.3, 136.3, 136.2, 131.7, 131.6, 128.7, 128.4, 127.6, 115.1, 114.7, 58.1, 55.1, 18.4; FTIR (neat, cm$^{-1}$): 3061 (w), 1724 (m), 1603 (m), 1508 (s), 1226 (s), 1160 (m), 831 (m).
(S)-3,3-bis(4-fluorophenyl)-2-methyl-2-phenylpropan-1-ol (16c-red):

The aldehyde was reduced with NaBH$_4$ and the alcohol was determined to be 90% ee by chiral HPLC analysis (OD-H, 2% IPA in hexanes, 1.0 mL/min, 220 nm, $t_r$(major)= 28.7 min, $t_r$(minor)= 23.1 min); $[\alpha]_{D}^{23} = -44.7^\circ$ (c = 0.065, CH$_2$Cl$_2$); $^1$H NMR (500MHz , CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ = 7.33 - 7.22 (m, 3 H), 7.18 - 7.11 (m, 4 H), 7.02 (dd, $J$ = 5.3, 8.9 Hz, 2 H), 6.95 (t, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 6.83 (t, $J$ = 8.7 Hz, 2 H), 4.58 (s, 1 H), 3.75 (dd, $J$ = 5.5, 11.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.57 (dd, $J$ = 7.6, 11.0 Hz, 1 H), 1.48 (s, 3 H), 1.35 (dd, $J$ = 5.5, 7.6 Hz, 1 H); $^{13}$C{$_1^H$} NMR (125MHz , CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ = 162.6, 160.6, 142.9, 137.6, 137.4, 132.1, 131.9, 128.3, 128.3, 126.9, 115.0, 114.8, 70.8, 56.5, 47.2, 20.8; HRMS [M+Na]$^+$ calculated for C$_{22}$H$_{20}$F$_2$O: 361.13744, found: 361.13863; FTIR (neat, cm$^{-1}$): 3418 (m), 3060 (w), 2975 (m), 1602 (m), 1507 (s), 1226 (s), 1160 (m), 1039 (m), 831 (s).

(S)-3,3-bis(4-bromophenyl)-2-methyl-2-phenylpropanal (16d):

According to the general procedure, 2-phenyl propionaldehyde (0.145 mmol) was reacted with 4,4'-dibromobenzhydryl bromide (0.29 mmol). After 72h, workup A provided the product aldehyde 16d (40.5 mg, 61% yield) as a clear oil. $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} = -13.8$ (c= 0.370, CH$_2$Cl$_2$); $^1$H NMR (500MHz , CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ = 9.62 (s, 1 H), 7.39 (d, $J$ = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.35 - 7.22 (m, 5 H), 7.12 (dd, $J$ =
1.2, 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 7.07 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 6.89 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 5.00 (s, 1 H), 1.57 (s, 3 H);

$^{13}$C-$^1$H NMR (125MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ = 201.4, 139.9, 139.4, 138.2, 132.2, 132.1, 131.6, 131.3, 128.9, 128.8, 128.0, 121.2, 120.8, 58.0, 55.6, 18.6; FTIR (neat, cm$^{-1}$): 3057 (w), 2814 (w), 2713 (w), 1722 (m), 1487 (s), 1074 (m), 1009 (s), 814 (m), 700 (m).

$^S$-3,3-bis(4-bromophenyl)-2-methyl-2-phenylpropan-1-ol (16d-red):

![Chemical structure](image)

The aldehyde was reduced with NaBH$_4$ and the alcohol was determined to be 91% ee by chiral HPLC analysis (OD-H, 2% IPA in hexanes, 1.0 mL/min, 240 nm, t$_r$(major)= 34.6 min, t$_r$(minor)= 26.9 min); [$\alpha$]$_D^{20}$ = -40.7° (c = 0.052, CH$_2$Cl$_2$); $^1$H NMR (500MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ = 7.39 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.33 - 7.23 (m, 5 H), 7.15 (dd, J = 1.3, 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.05 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 6.92 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 4.57 (s, 1 H), 3.71 (dd, J = 5.6, 11.1 Hz, 1 H), 3.55 (dd, J = 7.3, 11.1 Hz, 1 H), 1.46 (s, 3 H), 1.39 (dd, J = 5.6, 7.3 Hz, 1 H); $^{13}$C-$^1$H NMR (125MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ = 142.4, 140.3, 140.1, 132.2, 131.9, 131.1, 130.9, 128.2, 127.9, 126.8, 120.7, 120.2, 70.4, 56.3, 46.7, 20.5; FTIR (neat, cm$^{-1}$): 3417 (m), 3056 (w), 2975 (w), 2882 (w), 1487 (s), 1402 (m), 1074 (m), 1038 (m), 1009 (s), 817 (s), 736 (s), 702 (s).
(S)-3,3-bis(4-chlorophenyl)-2-methyl-2-phenylpropan-1-ol (16e-red):

According to the general procedure, 2-phenyl propionaldehyde (0.145 mmol) was reacted with 4,4'-dichlorobenzhydryl bromide (0.29 mmol). After 72h, workup B provided the product alcohol 16e-red (33.0 mg, 61% yield) as a clear oil. The material was determined to be 91% ee by chiral HPLC analysis (OD-H, 2% IPA in hexanes, 1.0 mL/min, 240 nm, t\(_r\) (major)= 32.9 min, t\(_r\) (minor)= 24.4 min); \(\left[\alpha\right]_D^{22} = -56.6 \) (c= 0.065, CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)); \(^1\)H NMR (500MHz , CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta = 7.35 - 7.20 \) (m, 5 H), 7.17 - 7.13 (m, 2 H), 7.10 (dd, \(J = 2.7, 8.5 \) Hz, 4 H), 6.98 (d, \(J = 8.8 \) Hz, 2 H), 4.59 (s, 1 H), 3.71 (dd, \(J = 3.9, 11.2 \) Hz, 1 H), 3.54 (dd, \(J = 5.9, 10.7 \) Hz, 1 H), 1.46 (s, 3 H), 1.38 (br. s., 1 H); \(^1^3\)C\({_1\}H\) NMR (125MHz , CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta = 142.7, 140.1, 139.9, 132.7, 132.3, 132.0, 131.8, 128.4, 128.2, 128.1, 127.0, 70.7, 56.5, 47.1, 20.7\); HRMS [M+Na]\(^+\) calculated for C\(_{22}\)H\(_{20}\)Cl\(_2\)O: 393.07834, found: 393.07825; FTIR (neat, cm\(^{-1}\)): 3407 (m), 3056 (w), 2927 (w), 1490 (s), 1406 (w), 1265 (w), 1091 (s), 1028 (m), 1013 (s), 820 (m), 738 (m), 701 (s).
A flame-dried 5mL round-bottom flask was charged with aldehyde 15a (134 mg, 0.45 mmol) and (S)-(−)-1-amino-2-methoxymethylpyrrolidine (60 μL, 0.45 mmol). After stirring at room temperature for 4 days, the reaction mixture was purified by flash chromatography to give the product as a clear oil (103 mg, 55% yield). By $^1$H NMR analysis, the diastereomeric ratio was $>20:1$. $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{21} = -95.5^\circ$ (c = 0.088, CH$_2$Cl$_2$); $^1$H NMR (500MHz ,CDCl$_3$) $\delta = 7.27 - 7.00$ (m, 16 H), 4.66 (s, 1 H), 3.59 (dd, $J = 3.2$, 9.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.50 - 3.43 (m, 2 H), 3.41 (s, 3 H), 3.35 (m, 1 H), 2.83 (q, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1 H), 1.98 (s, 4 H), 1.54 (s, 3 H) $^{13}$C{$^1$H}NMR (125MHz , CDCl$_3$) $^{13}$C NMR (126MHz , CDCl$_3$) $\delta = 147.3$, 142.4, 142.0, 141.9, 139.5, 130.8, 130.6, 127.9, 127.9, 127.7, 126.3, 126.2, 126.1, 75.0, 63.4, 62.5, 59.5, 49.9, 48.9, 26.8, 25.4, 22.2; LRMS [M+H]$^+$ calculated for C$_{28}$H$_{32}$N$_2$O: 413.3, found: 413.2; FTIR (neat, cm$^{-1}$): 2867 (m), 1596 (m), 1493 (m), 1446 (m), 1342 (w), 1193 (m), 1119 (m), 1032 (w), 973 (w), 901 (w), 760 (m), 746 (m), 697 (s).

The oil was dissolved in diethyl ether, and crystallized by evaporation at room temperature. The structure of the crystal was determined by X-ray crystallography.

**X-Ray Structure of 17**

Data Collected and refined by Doug Ho

Report generated by Richard Staples
Experimental Section:

A colorless Prism crystal with dimensions 0.40 x 0.30 x 0.175 mm was mounted on a Nylon loop using very small amount of paratone oil.

Data were collected using a Bruker CCD (charge coupled device) based diffractometer equipped with an Oxford Cryostream low-temperature apparatus operating at 173 K. Data were measured using omega and phi scans of 0.5° per frame for 30 s. The total number of images was based on results from the program COSMO\(^\text{38}\) where redundancy was expected to be 4.0 and completeness of 100% out to 0.83 Å. Cell parameters were retrieved using APEX II software\(^\text{39}\) and refined using SAINT on all observed reflections. Data reduction was performed using the SAINT software\(^\text{40}\) which corrects for Lp. Scaling and absorption corrections were applied using SADABS\(^\text{41}\) multi-scan technique, supplied by George Sheldrick. The structures are solved by the direct method using the SHELXS-97 program and refined by least squares method on F\(^2\), SHELXL-97, which are incorporated in SHELXTL-PC V 6.10.\(^\text{42}\)

The structure was solved in the space group P2\(_1\)2\(_1\)2\(_1\) (\# 19). All non-hydrogen atoms are refined anisotropically. Hydrogens were calculated by geometrical methods and refined as a riding model. The Flack\(^\text{43}\) parameter is used to determine chirality of the crystal studied, the value


\(^{39}\) APEX2 V2008.5-0 Software for the CCD Detector System; Bruker Analytical X-ray Systems, Madison, WI (2008).

\(^{40}\) SAINT V 7.34 Software for the Integration of CCD Detector System Bruker Analytical X-ray Systems, Madison, WI (2008).


should be near zero, a value of one is the other enantiomer and a value of 0.5 is racemic. The Flack parameter was refined to 0.4(14), confirming that we are unable with the x-ray data to confirm the stereochemistry, although the relative stereo chemistry is known. The crystal used for the diffraction study showed no decomposition during data collection. All drawings are done at 50% ellipsoids.

**Acknowledgement.** The CCD based x-ray diffractometer at Michigan State University were upgraded and/or replaced by departmental funds.

\(^a\) Obtained with graphite monochromated Mo K\(\alpha\) (\(\lambda = 0.71073\) Å) radiation.

\(^b\) \(R_1 = \sum |F_o| - |F_c| / \sum |F_o|\). \(^c\) \(wR_2 = \{[\sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2] / \{\sum [w(F_o^2)]^2]\}^{1/2}\).

The following are 50% thermal ellipsoidal drawings of the molecule in the asymmetric cell with various amount of labeling.
Figure 1.1. X-Ray structure of 17
Figure 1.2. Packing of 17 along the a-axis
Crystal data and structure refinement for enj093.

Identification code enj093
Empirical formula C28 H32 N2 O
Formula weight 412.56
Temperature 193(2) K
Wavelength 0.71073 Å
Crystal system Orthorhombic
Space group P 21 21 21
Unit cell dimensions
\[ a = 9.7107(4) \text{ Å} \quad \alpha = 90^\circ, \]
\[ b = 12.3459(5) \text{ Å} \quad \beta = 90^\circ, \]
\[ c = 20.2414(8) \text{ Å} \quad \gamma = 90^\circ. \]
Volume \(2426.69(17) \text{ Å}^3\)
Z 4
Density (calculated) 1.129 Mg/m³
Absorption coefficient 0.068 mm⁻¹
F(000) 888
Crystal size 0.40 x 0.30 x 0.17 mm³
Theta range for data collection 1.93 to 27.50°.
Index ranges \(-12 \leq h \leq 12, -16 \leq k \leq 16, -26 \leq l \leq 26\)
Reflections collected 31743
Independent reflections 5580 [R(int) = 0.0195]
Completeness to theta = 25.00° 100.0 %
Absorption correction Semi-empirical from equivalents
Max. and min. transmission 0.9892 and 0.9682
Refinement method Full-matrix least-squares on F²
Data / restraints / parameters 5580 / 0 / 281
Goodness-of-fit on F² 1.056
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)] \(R1 = 0.0393, wR2 = 0.1023\)
R indices (all data) \(R1 = 0.0426, wR2 = 0.1054\)
Absolute structure parameter 0.4(14)
Largest diff. peak and hole 0.358 and -0.151 e.Å⁻³
Chapter 2

Thiourea Promoted Anion Abstraction Enables Aldehyde α-Alkylation via an $S_{N}1$ Pathway

2.1. Introduction

Trivalent carbocations, or carbenium ions, are highly reactive electrophilic intermediates that undergo chemical transformations with a diverse set of nucleophilic partners. Although these intermediates have been thoroughly studied and their reactivity is well understood, very few examples of their participation in catalytic asymmetric reactions are known. The scarcity of catalytic asymmetric methods that proceed via carbenium intermediates can be attributed to the

---


high reactivity of such intermediates, which makes their use as discriminating electrophiles in asymmetric reactions very challenging. Here, we highlight some examples in which carbenium ions are intermediates in catalytic asymmetric processes. We then present our research towards characterizing the cationic intermediates that are generated via H-bond donor mediated anion abstraction in the context of the catalytic asymmetric aldehyde α-alkylation discussed in Chapter 1 of this thesis.

2.2. Carbenium Ion Intermediates in Selective Asymmetric Catalytic Transformations

Two strategies have emerged to access carbenium intermediates in catalytic asymmetric reactions: (1) activation of an electrophilic functional group for intramolecular reaction with an electron rich olefin or (2) activation of an oxygen-containing leaving group by a Lewis or Bronsted acid. Examples of these strategies are highlighted here.

2.2.1. Metal-Catalyzed Cycloisomerization Reactions via Carbenium Intermediates

Cationic metal species are capable of activating unsaturated functional groups, such as an olefin or an allene. The activated electrophilic species then reacts in an intramolecular sense with an electron rich olefin, giving rise to a carbenium intermediate, which is capable of undergoing further transformations.

An example of this type of reactivity was reported by Gagné and coworkers in 2006 in the context of a diene cycloisomerization. In this platinum-catalyzed transformation, the cationic metal complex activates a terminal olefin for intramolecular reaction with an electron rich olefin, giving rise to a tertiary carbocation intermediate. A hydride shift provides access to another tertiary carbocation intermediate, which undergoes a cyclopropanation reaction to provide the

---

bicyclopropane product (Scheme 2.1). Mechanistic studies have revealed that alkene activation and initial reaction with the electron rich alkene is rapid and reversible on the time scale of product formation, but it isn’t clear whether hydride transfer or cyclopropanation is rate-determining.\(^4\) In either case, the enantiodetermining step involves the reaction of a carbenium intermediate.

**Scheme 2.1.** Platinum-Catalyzed Diene Cycloisomerization

A year later, Toste and coworkers reported a gold(I)-catalyzed formal [2+2]-cycloaddition that proceeds via the intermediacy of a benzylic carbenium intermediate.\(^5\) In this case, the cationic gold species activates an allene for nucleophilic attack by a pendant styrene, which provides a benzylic cation. This species reacts intramolecularly with a vinyl-gold species to yield highly enantoienriched bicyclo[3.1.0]hexane structures (Scheme 2.2). Mechanistically, this transformation is similar to the platinum-catalyzed reaction discussed previously. The initial cyclization is reversible, and the enantiodetermining step is the reaction of the carbenium intermediate to form the cyclobutane ring.


2.2.2. Leaving Group Activation to Access Carbenium Intermediates

An alternative and likely more general approach to access carbenium ions is the activation of a leaving group for ionization. Recently, some promising examples of this activation strategy have emerged through the use of chiral catalysts. After the ionization event, the catalysts are associated with the resultant cationic intermediate, and can influence the addition of nucleophiles to these intermediates.

In 2004, Braun and coworkers reported that racemic silyl ethers undergo allylation with high enantioselectivity and nearly quantitative yield in the presence of titanium(IV) catalysts.\(^6\) This rather impressive transformation provides chiral products containing a quaternary stereogenic center. The fact that a racemic starting material is converted to a single enantiomer of product in high yield is indicative that a dynamic kinetic process is taking place.\(^7\) In this case, it is proposed that the catalyst promotes the formation of two diastereomeric ion pairs from the two enantiomers of starting materials, and that equilibration between the two ion pairs is fast. One of

---


the diastereomeric ion pairs reacts faster with allylsilane than the other, which allows for the preferential formation of one enantiomer of product (Scheme 2.3). Despite the apparent promise of this approach as a general solution for accessing carbenium intermediates and controlling highly selective nucleophilic additions to them, no further studies have been published in the ten years subsequent to the initial report.

**Scheme 2.3.** Dynamic Kinetic Resolution via Carbenium Intermediate Enables Asymmetric Allylation

More recently, Cozzi and coworkers were successful in accessing benzhydryl carbenium intermediates via protonation of the corresponding benzhydrol starting materials in the context of
an asymmetric aldehyde α-alkylation (Scheme 2.4). The carbenium intermediates that are generated undergo alkylation with a catalyst-derived enamine to provide products in moderate to good yields and moderate enantioselectivity.

**Scheme 2.4.** Cozzi’s Aldehyde α-Alkylation with Highly Stabilized Diarylmethine Cations

[Diagram showing the reaction scheme]

In 2011, and subsequent to our research that is described in this chapter, Rueping reported that chiral Bronsted acids can activate alcohols as leaving groups via protonation to access allylic cationic intermediates. In this report, N-triflylphosphoramidate catalyst 3 promotes the highly enantioselective cyclization of racemic allylic alcohols to provide chiral chromene products (Scheme 2.5). Mechanistic studies of the reaction point towards the intermediacy of two diastereomeric ion pairs which are in rapid equilibration. One of the diastereomeric ion pairs

---


reacts more rapidly with the pendant alcohol than the other, giving rise to enantioenriched products. This report provides important proof of concept that chiral ion strategies are viable for controlling asymmetric reactions of carbenium intermediates.

Scheme 2.5. Chiral Bronsted Acid Promoted Allylic Substitution

2.3. Anion Abstraction by Dual H-Bond Donor Catalysts Provides Access to Cationic Intermediates

The field of H-bond donor catalysis has rapidly developed in recent years, and this maturation has led to the discovery of numerous substrate activation strategies. Anion abstraction is an example of such a strategy, which provides access to highly reactive cationic intermediates. This class of transformation is characterized by H-bond donor assisted abstraction of an anion from a

---

neutral organic precursor to generate a more reactive cationic intermediate. In principle, this strategy could be applied to access carbenium intermediates.

Pioneering work in catalysis by anion abstraction was carried out by our group in the context of the asymmetric \(N\)-acyl Pictet–Spengler reaction. In this transformation an \textit{in situ} generated chlorolactam starting material underwent asymmetric cyclization in the presence of a chiral thiourea catalyst. Based on substrate substituent effects, counterion effects, and DFT computational analysis, a mechanism consisting of catalysis via anion abstraction was proposed (Scheme 2.6).\(^\text{12}\)

**Scheme 2.6. Seminal Work in Anion Abstraction Catalysis**

Since this initial report, this mode of catalysis has also been used to engage oxocarbenium ions in asymmetric catalysis via a similar strategy. Our group demonstrated that racemic \(\alpha\)-chloroethers undergo enantioselective alkylation with silyl ketene acetals in high yields and enantioselectivities (Scheme 2.7).\(^\text{13}\) The ability to control the asymmetric addition to


oxocarbenium ions has wide reaching implications in its application to a variety of synthetic methods, such as diastereoselective $O$-glycosidation reactions or enantioselective Prins reactions.\textsuperscript{14}

Scheme 2.7. Thiourea-Catalyzed Alkylation of $\alpha$-Chloroethers

\begin{center}
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{scheme2_7.png}
\end{center}

2.4. Results and Discussion

Although thiourea-promoted electrophile activation by anion abstraction had been applied to substrates giving rise to heteroatom-stabilized cationic intermediates, we were interested in applying the strategy to nonheteroatom-stabilized carbenium ions. Studies with benzhydryl derivatives have helped to establish many of the conceptual foundations of carbocation reactivity,\textsuperscript{15} and these compounds have been especially useful for characterizing the nature and stereochemical properties of ion pairs.\textsuperscript{16} Benzhydryl halides therefore represented an ideal class of electrophiles with which test our proposal, and to use to gain insight into the properties of putative catalyst-bound cationic intermediates. The $\alpha$-alkylation of aldehydes was chosen as a model reaction because of the high value of chiral aldehydes bearing $\alpha$-quaternary stereocenters.

\textsuperscript{14} Doyle, A. G.; Ph.D. dissertation, Harvard University, 2008.


as synthetic intermediates, and the inherent challenges associated with asymmetric catalysis of this type of transformation.\textsuperscript{17}

**Scheme 2.8.** Enantioselective Primary Aminothiourea-Catalyzed Aldehyde α-Alkylation

As discussed in Chapter 1 of this thesis, we discovered that primary aminothiourea 5 promoted the α-alkylation of a variety of electronically diverse 2-arylpropionaldehydes with benzhydryl bromides in moderate to good yields and good enantioselectivities (Scheme 2.8). Catalyst structure-activity studies indicated that activation of the nucleophile as a catalyst-derived enamine was necessary, as was activation of the electrophile by a dual H-bond donor. The essential role of the catalyst thiourea moiety in promoting these enantioselective alkylation reactions may be ascribed to electrophile activation by H-bonding to the leaving group in either of two limiting mechanisms: 1) general acid catalysis to induce a concerted, S\textsubscript{N}2-like substitution, or 2) formation of an ion-pair intermediate and promotion of an S\textsubscript{N}1-like pathway (Scheme 2.9).

\textsuperscript{17} See Chapter 1 for background on enantioselective aldehyde α-alkylation, and a detailed account of the discovery of the primary aminothiourea-catalyzed aldehyde α-alkylation
In an effort to distinguish between these possibilities, the effects of isotopic and electronic substitution of the electrophile on the reaction were analyzed. Competition experiments between different classes of electrophiles were also carried out, as were experiments to characterize the stereochemical course of the alkylation. These experiments and their analysis are presented in the following sections.

2.4.1. **Kinetic Isotope Effect Experiments**

The relative reaction rates of benzhydryl bromide and its deuterated analogue were examined via an intermolecular competition experiment. A normal secondary kinetic isotope effect ($k_H/k_D$) of 1.12 was observed upon deuterium-substitution of the benzhydryl proton (Scheme 2.10A), indicating a change in hybridization of the electrophilic carbon from $sp^3$ to $sp^2$ in the
transition state. This kinetic isotope effect is consistent with what has been observed for the solvolysis of benzhydryl chlorides (Scheme 2.10B), which are prototypical S_N1 reactions.

Scheme 2.10. Kinetic Isotope Experiments

A. Observed KIE for Asymmetric Aldehyde α-Alkylation

B. Reported KIE for Benzhydryl Chloride Solvolysis (Ref. 18)

2.4.2. The Electronic Properties of the Electrophile Affect Relative Rates

Intermolecular competition experiments between electronically varied benzhydryl bromides were carried out to determine the relative reaction rates of these species in the aldehyde α-alkylation reaction. Correlating the observed relative rates to the corresponding Hammett substituent constants revealed a strong dependence on the electronic properties of the electrophile, with benzhydryl derivatives bearing electron-donating substituents reacting more rapidly (Scheme 2.11).

---

Mayr and coworkers have recently studied both $S_N1$ and $S_N2$ reactions of benzhydryl bromides. The rates of $S_N1$ reactions of benzydryl bromides (solvolysis in DMSO) depend strongly on the electronic properties of the electrophile ($\rho = -2.9$), while the rates of $S_N2$ reactions of benzhydryl bromides with amines are only marginally affected and display poor Hammett correlations. The results of our experiments are therefore much more consistent with reactions proceeding via $S_N1$ mechanisms.

\begin{multicols}{2}


\textsuperscript{20} The benzhydryl bromides used in Mayr’s Hammett analysis of the solvolysis reactions only had substituents on one of the aryl groups of the electrophile. In our experiments, the electrophiles were symmetrically substituted on both aryl groups of the benzhydryl electrophiles. Since both aromatic rings of the benzhydryl electrophile are likely not simultaneously in conjugation with the benzhydryl position, the $\rho$ value observed for our experiments is likely smaller in magnitude than it would have been if benzhydryl electrophiles with only one substituted aryl group. For a discussion of nonadditive substituent effects for benzhydryl substrates see: Uddin, K.; Fujio, M.; Kim, H.-J.; Rappoport, Z.; Tsuno, Y. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. \textbf{2002}, \textit{75}, 1371.

\end{multicols}
2.4.3. Competition Experiments Between S\textsubscript{N}1- and S\textsubscript{N}2-Type Electrophiles

Additional evidence for a catalyst-induced S\textsubscript{N}1 pathway was provided through the evaluation of benzyl bromide as a potential electrophile in the alkylation reaction. Compared to benzhydryl bromide, benzyl bromide is less sterically encumbered and is also less effective in stabilizing positive charge buildup. Therefore, it is reasonable to conclude that benzyl bromide is a superior electrophile for S\textsubscript{N}2 pathways while benzhydryldryl bromide is more suited for S\textsubscript{N}1 pathways. In competition experiments, alkylation of 1-cyclohexenylypyrrolidine was found to proceed exclusively with benzyl bromide in the presence of equimolar amounts benzhydryldryl bromide. This selectivity is attributable to the relative reactivity of these electrophiles in S\textsubscript{N}2 pathways typical of enamine nucleophiles (Table 2.1).

Table 2.1. Alkylation of 1-cyclohexenylypyrrolidine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>solvent</th>
<th>time</th>
<th>temp</th>
<th>yield 6</th>
<th>yield 7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>2h</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>2h</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>2h</td>
<td>60 °C</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>8h</td>
<td>60 °C</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In contrast, under the catalytic conditions, no alkylation of 2-phenylpropionaldehyde was obtained with benzyl bromide (Table 2.2, entry 2). This absence of reactivity could not be ascribed to catalyst deactivation, as experiments with mixtures of benzyl bromide and benzhydryldryl bromide demonstrated that the catalyst maintained activity (Table 2.2, entry 3).
Taken together, the results from these experiments indicate that enamines inherently react selectively via $S_N2$ pathways, but under the catalytic conditions an $S_N1$ pathway is favored.

**Table 2.2.** Electrophile Competition Experiments Under Catalytic Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>equiv 8</th>
<th>equiv 9</th>
<th>yield 10</th>
<th>ee (%) 10</th>
<th>yield 11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4.4. Stereochemical Outcome of the Aldehyde $\alpha$-Alkylation

We were interested in characterizing the stereochemical course of the thiourea-catalyzed aldehyde $\alpha$-alkylation in order to better understand the cationic intermediate that is generated, and also to evaluate the possibility of diastereoselective alkylation with chiral electrophiles. We synthesized an enantioenriched benzhydryl chloride electrophile from the corresponding enantioenriched alcohol (Scheme 2.12), and carried out alkylation studies with this electrophile.

**Scheme 2.12.** Synthesis of Enantioenriched Benzhydryl Chloride
The diastereoselectivity of the alklylation of 2-phenyl propionaldehyde with \( p \)-chlorobenzhydryl chloride was highly dependent on the enantiomeric purity of the chloride starting material (Table 2.3). A very cursory analysis of the results indicates that the alklylation proceeds with a high degree of stereospecificity. Further analysis of the data that corrects for the inherent diastereoselectivity that is observed for racemic chloride and allows the quantification of the stereospecificity of the reaction (Table 2.4).

**Table 2.3.** Experiments with Enantioenriched Chloride

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>catalyst</th>
<th>e.r. chloride</th>
<th>d.r. product</th>
<th>e.r. product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1 : 1</td>
<td>1 : 1.3</td>
<td>10.1 : 1 (major) / 10.7 : 1 (minor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 : 7.7</td>
<td>1 : 6.1</td>
<td>55 : 1 (major) / 1.5 : 1 (minor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ent-5</td>
<td>1 : 7.7</td>
<td>3.4 : 1</td>
<td>57 : 1 (major) / 1.3 : 1 (minor)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.4.** Product Distribution for Experiments Outlined in Table 2.3, and Determination of Chirality Transfer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>((13+15)/(12+14))</th>
<th>correct for intrinsic selectivity</th>
<th>chirality transfer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>8.97</td>
<td>7.29/7.7=0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>8.97</td>
<td>7.29/7.7=0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.69</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>(5.97)(1.23)=7.34</td>
<td>7.34/7.7=0.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The absolute configuration beta to the carbonyl has not been determined, but the relationships between the stereoisomers are correct.
The high stereospecificity (95%) observed for the alkylation indicates that addition of the catalyst-associated enamine to the ion-pair intermediate is rapid relative to ion-pair reorganization (Scheme 2.13). This is in line with the known reactivity of benzhydryl cations and enamines as analyzed by Mayr,\textsuperscript{21} which would predict that these partners should undergo reaction at the diffusion limit.

### Scheme 2.13. Implications of High Observed Stereospecificity

2.5. Conclusions

This work demonstrates that thiourea derivatives effectively induce alkylation through carbenium ions via anion abstraction. An important extension of this strategy would be to the activation of chiral electrophiles and the subsequent control of nucleophilic addition to prochiral carbenium ions. Our results characterizing the stereochemical course of the aldehyde \( \alpha \)-alkylation indicate that this would be difficult for reactions where the nucleophilic reaction partner is tethered to the activated electrophile. We anticipate that this challenge may be overcome in the context of intermolecular transformations, or by using electrophiles that give

\textsuperscript{21} For quantitative data describing the reactivity of these reacting partners see ref. 15c and: Kempf, B.; Hampel, N.; Ofial, A. R.; Mayr, H. \textit{Chem. –Eur. J.} \textbf{2003}, 9, 2209.
rise to more stabilized carbenium intermediates. Further, catalysts capable of stabilizing the high-energy carbenium intermediate that is generated following anion abstraction may hold promise for these systems. Stabilizing such intermediates would increase their lifetime, making equilibration between diastereomeric ion pairs prior to nucleophilic addition more likely.

2.6. Experimental Procedures

2.6.1. General Information

**General Procedure.** Unless otherwise noted, all reactions were performed in flame-dried round-bottom flasks sealed with a rubber septum under a nitrogen atmosphere. Air and moisture sensitive liquids were transferred using stainless steel cannulae or syringes. Flash chromatography was performed using silica gel ZEOprep60 ECO 40-63 micron from America International Chemical, Inc.

**Materials.** Commercial reagents were purchased from VWR, Acros, and Sigma-Aldrich and used as received with the following exceptions: 2-phenyl propionaldehyde was purified by distillation before use. Bromodiphenylmethane was purified by recrystallization from hexanes before use. Toluene, dichloromethane, and t-butyl methyl ether were dried by passing through columns of activated alumina. Acetonitrile was dried by passing through a column of activated molecular sieves. Triethylamine was distilled from CaH$_2$ at 760 torr.

**Instrumentation.** Proton nuclear magnetic resonance ($^1$H NMR) spectra and carbon nuclear magnetic resonance ($^{13}$C NMR) spectra were recorded on a Varian Inova-500 (500 MHz) NMR spectrometer. Chemical shifts for protons are reported in parts per million downfield from tetramethylsilane and are referenced to the NMR solvent residual peak (CHCl$_3$: δ7.27). Chemical shifts for carbons are reported in parts per million downfield from tetramethylsilane
and are referenced to the carbon resonances of the NMR solvent (CDCl₃: δ77.0). Data are represented as follows: chemical shift, integration, multiplicity (br = broad, s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, p = pentet, m = multiplet), and coupling constants in Hertz (Hz).

The mass spectroscopic data were obtained at the Harvard University mass spectrometry facility. Infrared (IR) spectra were obtained using a Bruker Tensor 27 FTIR spectrophotometer. Data are represented as follows: frequency of absorption (cm⁻¹), intensity of absorption (s = strong, m = medium, w = weak). Optical rotation data were obtained using a 1 mL cell with a 0.5 dm path length on a Jasco P-2000 polarimeter. Chiral HPLC analysis was performed using a Shimadzu VP series instrument.

2.6.2. Kinetic Isotope Effect Experimental Procedure and Data

Preperation of α-Deuterio-diphenylmethylbromide.

\[
\text{Ph} \quad \text{O} \quad \text{NaBD}_4, \text{MeOH} \quad \text{D} \quad \text{OH} \quad \text{AcBr} \quad \text{C}_6\text{H}_5, \text{rt} \quad \text{Ph} \quad \text{D} \quad \text{Br}
\]

A 50 mL round-bottom flask was charged with benzophenone (729 mg, 4 mmol) and MeOH (20 mL). The solution was cooled to 0 ºC, and NaBD₄ (167 mg, 4 mmol) was added, and the reaction was stirred at 0 ºC for 15 minutes. The reaction was then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 30 minutes. H₂O (~10 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 30 minutes. The mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3x 15 mL), the combined organics were dried over sodium sulfate, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography to give the alcohol product (704 mg, 95% yield). The spectral data obtained were in accordance with those described in the literature.²²

A flame-dried 25 mL round-bottom flask was charged with 1-deuteriodiphenylmethanol (741 mg, 4 mmol) and benzene (9 mL) under nitrogen. Acetyl bromide (1.0 mL, 13.4 mmol) was added, and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 6 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated to give an orange solid, which was recrystallized from hexanes to give α-Deuteriodiphenylmethylbromide as a white solid (431 mg, 43% yield). ¹H NMR (500MHz ,CDCl₃) δ = 7.52 - 7.47 (m, 2 H), 7.40 - 7.34 (m, 2 H), 7.33 - 7.28 (m, 1 H); ¹³C{¹H}NMR (126MHz ,CDCl₃) δ = 141.2, 128.8, 128.7, 128.3, 55.3; FTIR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3059 (w), 3025 (w), 1492 (m), 1448 (m), 1246 (w), 1178 (w), 1082 (w), 1029 (w), 885 (m), 788 (s), 745 (s).

Preparation of a Stock Solution of Bromodiphenylmethane and α-Deuteriodiphenylmethylbromide. In a flame-dried 10 mL Schlenk flask under nitrogen, bromodiphenylmethane (185.3 mg, 0.750 mmol) and α-deuterio-diphenylmethylbromide (186.1 mg, 0.750 mmol) were added, and the flask was sealed with a rubber septum. The flask was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen (x4). Toluene (1.5 mL) was added to the flask, and the rubber septum was replaced with a glass stopper under positive nitrogen flow. The mixture degassed (3 freeze-pump-thaw cycles), and the glass stopper was replaced with a rubber septum. Analysis of the solution by ¹H NMR provided R₀.

Preparation of a Stock Solution of 2-phenyl-propionaldehyde, AcOH, and Et₃N. In a flame-dried 10 mL Schlenk flask under nitrogen, 2-phenyl-propionaldehyde (53.3 µL, 0.4 mmol), AcOH (2.3 µL, 0.04 mmol), Et₃N (55.5 µL, 0.4 mmol), and toluene (4 mL) were added to the flask, and the rubber septum was replaced with a glass stopper under positive nitrogen flow. The mixture degassed (3 freeze-pump-thaw cycles), and the glass stopper was replaced with a rubber septum.
Addition of Stock Solutions to Catalyst. In a flame dried 10 mL Schlenk flask under nitrogen, thiourea catalyst 5 (7.7 mg, 0.02 mmol) was added, and the flask was sealed with a rubber septum. The flask was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen (x4). In a GC vial, nitrogen was bubbled through deionized H$_2$O (~1 mL) for 10 minutes. A gas-tight microsyringe was used to transfer H$_2$O (1.8 µL, 0.1 mmol) from the GC vial to the Schlenk flask. The aldehyde stock solution (1 mL, 0.1 mmol aldehyde) and the bromide stock solution (1 mL, 0.5 mmol bromodiphenylmethane, 0.5 mmol α-deuterio-diphenylmethylbromide) were added to the Schlenk flask, with care taken to wash the water from the side of the flask. The rubber septum was replaced with a glass stopper under positive nitrogen flow, the Schlenk flask was sealed, and the reaction was stirred at room temperature.

Work up. After 45h, 3 mL of 1N aqueous HCl was added to the reaction mixture, and the mixture was stirred for 15 minutes. The mixture was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (3x 15 mL), the combined organics were dried over sodium sulfate, and concentrated under reduced pressure. 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (5.6 mg, 0.033 mmol) was added as an internal standard, and the yield of the aldehyde product was determined by $^1$H NMR analysis of the reaction mixture. To the crude aldehyde was added MeOH (~10 mL) and CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (~5 mL), and the mixture was cooled to 0 °C. NaBH$_4$ (113 mg, 3.0 mmol) was added, and the reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 15 minutes. The reaction was then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 30 minutes. H$_2$O (~10 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 30 minutes. The mixture was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (3x 15 mL), the combined organics were dried over sodium sulfate, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography to give the alcohol product. Analysis of the product by $^1$H NMR provided R$_p$. 
Partial $^1$H NMR spectrum of benzhydryl bromide starting material stock solution for expt. 1

Data Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expt.</th>
<th>$R_o$</th>
<th>$R_p$</th>
<th>KIE$^a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.953</td>
<td>0.848</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.944</td>
<td>0.852</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$KIE = $k_H/k_D = \ln(1-F)/\ln((1-F)R_p/R_o)$ where
F = conversion of deuterated starting material.
At low conversion (limit where F approaches 0), KIE = $k_H/k_D = R_p/R_o$

This simplification is valid because a large excess of deuterated starting material is used.

2.6.3. Linear Free-Energy Correlation Experimental Procedure and Data

Representative Experimental Procedure for the Determination of $k_X/k_H$: Determination of $k_{Br}/k_H$

Preperation of a Stock Solution of 2-phenyl-propionaldehyde, AcOH, and Et$_3$N. In a flame dried 10 mL Schlenk flask under nitrogen, 2-phenyl-propionaldehyde (50 µL, 0.375 mmol), AcOH (2.1 µL, 0.0375 mmol), Et$_3$N (52 µL, 0.375 mmol), and toluene (7.5 mL) were added to the flask, and the rubber septum was replaced with a glass stopper under positive nitrogen flow. The mixture degassed (3 freeze-pump-thaw cycles), and the glass stopper was replaced with a rubber septum.

Addition of Stock Solutions to Catalyst and Electrophiles. In a flame dried 10 mL Schlenk flask under nitrogen, thiourea catalyst 5 (5.8 mg, 0.015 mmol), bromodiphenylmethane (92.7 mg, 0.375 mmol), 4,4'-(bromomethylene)bis(bromobenzene) (151.8 mg, 0.375 mmol) were added, and the flask was sealed with a rubber septum. The flask was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen (x4). In a GC vial, nitrogen was bubbled through deionized H$_2$O (~1 mL) for 10 minutes. A gas-tight microsyringe was used to transfer H$_2$O (1.4 µL, 0.075 mmol) from the GC vial to the Schlenk flask. The aldehyde stock solution (1.5 mL, 0.075 mmol aldehyde) was added to the Schlenk flask, with care taken to wash the water from the side of the flask. A small aliquot was taken and analyzed by $^1$H NMR, providing [Br]$_0$/[H]$_0$=1.02. The rubber septum was replaced with a glass stopper under positive nitrogen flow, the Schlenk flask was sealed, and the reaction was stirred at room temperature.

Work up. After 24h, 3 mL of 1N aqueous HCl was added to the reaction mixture, and the mixture was stirred for 15 minutes. The mixture was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (3x 15 mL), the
combined organics were dried over sodium sulfate, and concentrated under reduced pressure. 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (4.2 mg, 0.025 mmol) was added as an internal standard and \(^1\)H NMR analysis of the concentrate provided \([\text{Br}]_F/\text{[H]}_F=0.33\).

\[ k_{\text{Br}}/k_H = ([\text{Br}]_0/[\text{H}]_0) / ([\text{Br}]_F/\text{[H]}_F) = 0.32 \]

Using the general procedure described above, the following relative rates were determined:

\[ k_C/k_H = 0.42 \]

\[ k_F/k_H = 2.51 \]

**Linear Free Energy Relationship Analysis.** Hammett plots of log \(k_{\text{rel}}\) vs. Hammett’s substituent constants (\(\sigma^+\)) are shown below (Figure S1).\(^{24}\)

---

2.6.4. Enantioenriched Chloride Experimental Procedure and Data

Preperation of Enantioenriched \( p \)-chlorobenzydryl chloride.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{OH} \\
\text{98% ee} \\
\downarrow \\
\text{Cl} \\
\text{77% ee}
\end{array}
\xrightarrow{\text{POCl}_3, \text{pyridine, pentanes, 0 ºC}}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Cl} \\
\downarrow \\
\text{Cl}
\end{array}
\]

A flame-dried 50 mL round-bottom flask was charged with (\( R \))-\((4\)-chlorophenyl)(phenyl)methanol\(^{25}\) (219 mg, 1 mmol, 98% ee). Pentanes (14 mL) and pyridine (240 \( \mu \)L, 3 mmol) were added, and the mixture was cooled to 0 ºC. Phosphorus oxychloride (150 \( \mu \)L, 1.6 mmol) was added, and the reaction was stirred at 0 ºC for 5h. Saturated sodium bicarbonate (~10 mL) was added, and the organics were extracted with \( \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 \) (3x 15 mL). The combined organics were dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (10:1 hexanes/EtOAc, \( R_f =0.6 \), which is necessary to avoid decomposition) to give the chloride product (100 mg, 42% yield). The spectral data obtained were in accordance with those described in the literature.\(^{26}\) The chloride was determined to be 77% ee by chiral HPLC analysis (OD-H, 0.03% IPA in hexanes, 1.0 mL/min, 254 nm, \( t_r \)(major)= 17.9 min, \( t_r \)(minor)= 17.0 min). \([\alpha]^{22}_D = 10.4^\circ \) (\( c = 0.111, \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 \));

The absolute configuration of the chloride product was not determined.

Preperation of Reaction Mixture Stock Solution. In a flame dried 10 mL Schlenk flask under nitrogen, 1-chloro-4-(chloro(phenyl)methyl)benzene (32 mg, 0.135 mmol) was added, and the flask was sealed with a rubber septum. The flask was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen.


(x4). 2-phenylpropionaldehyde (90 µL, 0.675 mmol), AcOH (4 µL, 0.0675 mmol), Et₃N (94 µL, 0.675 mmol), and toluene (750 µL) were added to the flask, and the rubber septum was replaced with a glass stopper under positive nitrogen flow. The mixture degassed (3 freeze-pump-thaw cycles), and the glass stopper was replaced with a rubber septum.

**Addition of Stock Solution to Catalyst.** In a flame dried 10 mL Schlenk flask under nitrogen, thiourea catalyst 5 (21.4 mg, 0.056 mmol) was added, and the flask was sealed with a rubber septum. The flask was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen (x4). In a GC vial, nitrogen was bubbled through deionized H₂O (~1 mL) for 10 minutes. A gas-tight microsyringe was used to transfer H₂O (5 µL, 0.28 mmol) from the GC vial to the Schlenk flask. The reaction mixture stock solution (400 µL, 0.28 mmol aldehyde, 0.056 mmol chloride) was added to the Schlenk flask, with care taken to wash the water from the side of the flask. The rubber septum was replaced with a glass stopper under positive nitrogen flow, the Schlenk flask was sealed, and the reaction was stirred at room temperature.

**Work Up.** After 48h, 3 mL of 1N aqueous HCl was added to the reaction mixture, and the mixture was stirred for 15 minutes. The mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3x 15 mL), the combined organics were dried over sodium sulfate, and concentrated under reduced pressure. 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (3.1 mg, 0.019 mmol) was added as an internal standard, and the yield and diastereomeric ratio were determined by ¹H analysis of the crude concentrate. The aldehyde was reduced with NaBH₄ and the enantiomeric excess of the resulting alcohol was determined using HPLC analysis.
Product Characterization.

\((2S)-3-(4\text{-chlorophenyl})-2\text{-methyl}-2,3\text{-diphenylpropanal:}\)

\begin{center}
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{structure.png}
\end{center}

The product was isolated as an inseparable mixture of diastereomers (6.1:1). (major) \(^1\)H NMR (500MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta = 9.70\) (s, 1 H), 7.54 - 6.87 (m, 14 H), 5.06 (s, 1 H), 1.58 (s, 3 H); \(^{13}\)C\(^{\text{\{}}\)H\(^{\text{\}}}\)NMR (125MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta = 201.4, 140.6, 139.2, 138.5, 132.2, 131.7, 130.1, 128.7, 128.4, 128.3, 127.9, 127.5, 126.8, 57.9, 56.0, 18.3\); (minor) \(^1\)H NMR (500MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta = 9.71\) (s, 1 H), 7.42 - 6.94 (m, 14 H), 5.03 (s, 1 H), 1.59 (s, 3 H); \(^{13}\)C\(^{\text{\{}}\)H\(^{\text{\}}}\)NMR (125MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta = 201.7, 140.1, 139.5, 138.5, 132.5, 131.6, 130.2, 128.6, 128.4, 128.3, 128.0, 127.4, 126.5, 57.9, 56.2, 18.6\); HRMS [M+Na]\(^+\) calculated for C\(_{22}\)H\(_{19}\)ClO: 357.10166, found: 357.10156; FTIR (neat, cm\(^{-1}\)): 3060 (w), 3029 (w), 2814 (w), 1723 (s), 1492 (s), 1093 (m), 1015 (m), 909 (m), 733 (s), 700 (s).

The aldehyde was reduced with NaBH\(_4\) and the major diastereomer of the alcohol was determined to be 96% ee by chiral HPLC analysis (OD-H, 2% IPA in hexanes, 1.0 mL/min, 225 nm, \(t_c\) (major)= 33.0 min, \(t_c\) (minor)= 26.0 min). The minor diastereomer was determined to be 21% ee by chiral HPLC analysis OD-H, 2% IPA in hexanes, 1.0 mL/min, 225 nm, \(t_c\) (major)= 28.5 min, \(t_c\) (minor)= 23.7 min).
The reaction of the enantioenriched chloride was also carried out using catalyst ent-5. The product was isolated as an inseparable mixture of diastereomers (3.4:1). (major) $^1$H NMR (500MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ = 9.71 (s, 1 H), 7.42 - 6.94 (m, 14 H), 5.03 (s, 1 H), 1.59 (s, 3 H); $^{13}$C($^1$H)NMR (125MHz, CDCl$_3$) (minor) $^1$H NMR (500MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ = 9.70 (s, 1 H), 7.54 - 6.87 (m, 14 H), 5.06 (s, 1 H), 1.58 (s, 3 H); $^{13}$C($^1$H)NMR (125MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ = 201.4, 140.6, 139.2, 138.5, 132.2, 131.7, 130.1, 128.7, 128.4, 128.3, 127.9, 127.5, 126.8, 57.9, 56.0, 18.3; δ = 201.7, 140.1, 139.5, 138.5, 132.5, 131.6, 130.2, 128.6, 128.4, 128.3, 128.0, 127.4, 126.5, 57.9, 56.2, 18.6; HRMS [M+Na]$^+$ calculated for C$_{22}$H$_{19}$ClO: 357.10166, found: 357.10156; FTIR (neat, cm$^{-1}$): 3060 (w), 3029 (w), 2814 (w), 1723 (s), 1492 (s), 1093 (m), 1015 (m), 909 (m), 733 (s), 700 (s).
The aldehyde was reduced with NaBH₄ and the major diastereomer of the alcohol was determined to be 96% ee by chiral HPLC analysis (OD-H, 2% IPA in hexanes, 1.0 mL/min, 225 nm, \( t_r (\text{major}) = 23.1 \text{ min} \), \( t_r (\text{minor}) = 27.9 \text{ min} \)). The minor diastereomer was determined to be 14% ee by chiral HPLC analysis OD-H, 2% IPA in hexanes, 1.0 mL/min, 225 nm, \( t_r (\text{major}) = 25.4 \text{ min} \), \( t_r (\text{minor}) = 32.3 \text{ min} \).

The reaction was also carried out using racemic chloride and catalyst 5. The product was isolated as an inseparable mixture of diastereomers (1.3:1). (major) \(^1\text{H} \text{NMR (500MHz ,CDCl}_3) \delta = 9.70 (s, 1 H), 7.54 - 6.87 (m, 14 H), 5.06 (s, 1 H), 1.58 (s, 3 H); \(^{13}\text{C} [^1\text{H}] \text{NMR (125MHz ,CDCl}_3) \delta = 201.4, 140.6, 139.2, 138.5, 132.2, 131.7, 130.1, 128.7, 128.4, 128.3, 127.9, 127.5, 126.8, 57.9, 56.0, 18.3; \text{(minor)} \(^1\text{H} \text{NMR (500MHz ,CDCl}_3) \delta = 9.71 (s, 1 H), 7.42 - 6.94 (m, 14 H), 5.03 (s, 1 H), 1.59 (s, 3 H); \(^{13}\text{C} [^1\text{H}] \text{NMR (125MHz ,CDCl}_3) \delta = 201.7, 140.1, 139.5, 138.5,
132.5, 131.6, 130.2, 128.6, 128.4, 128.3, 128.0, 127.4, 126.5, 57.9, 56.2, 18.6; HRMS [M+Na]^+ calculated for C_{22}H_{19}ClO: 357.10166, found: 357.10156; FTIR (neat, cm\(^{-1}\)): 3060 (w), 3029 (w), 2814 (w), 1723 (s), 1492 (s), 1093 (m), 1015 (m), 909 (m), 733 (s), 700 (s).

The aldehyde was reduced with NaBH\(_4\) and the major diastereomer of the alcohol was determined to be 82\% ee by chiral HPLC analysis (OD-H, 2\% IPA in hexanes, 1.0 mL/min, 225 nm, t\(_r\)(major)= 32.4 min, t\(_r\)(minor)= 25.2 min). The minor diastereomer was determined to be 83\% ee by chiral HPLC analysis OD-H, 2\% IPA in hexanes, 1.0 mL/min, 225 nm, t\(_r\)(major)= 27.8 min, t\(_r\)(minor)= 22.9 min).
Chapter 3

Enantioselective Thiourea-Catalyzed
Addition of Cyanide to \(N,N\)-Dialkyliminium Ions

3.1. Introduction

Although chiral tertiary amines are known to be important structural motifs in biologically active compounds (Figure 3.1),\(^1\) efficient synthetic methods for their direct asymmetric synthesis have not been well-developed. Catalytic asymmetric methods for chiral amine synthesis typically yield protected primary amine products, which must undergo deprotection and

---

alkylation to access the desired tertiary amine product.\(^2\) A straightforward approach to address this problem would be the direct asymmetric 1,2-addition of nucleophiles to \(N,N\)-dialkyliminium ions (Scheme 3.1). Despite the apparent simplicity of this approach, methods utilizing such a strategy are not well-established. In this chapter, we will highlight the asymmetric 1,2-additions to \(N,N\)-dialkyliminium intermediates that are present in the literature, and we will discuss our research toward the development of an enantioselective thiourea-catalyzed addition of cyanide to \(N,N\)-dialkyliminium ions.


---

**Figure 3.1.** Selected Biologically Active Tertiary Amines

---

3.2. Enantioselective 1,2-Additions to N,N-dialkyliminium Ions

Although general methods for the enantioselective 1,2-addition of nucleophiles to N,N-dialkyliminium ions do not exist, a few isolated examples have been reported. The earliest examples of this class of transformation involved the addition of chiral copper alkyne species to dialkyliminium intermediates. Since the chiral element in these reactions is associated with the nucleophile, this is not a general strategy for the addition of a variety of other nucleophiles to N,N-dialkyliminium intermediates. More recently, asymmetric transformations have been developed that control nucleophilic additions to N,N-dialkyliminium intermediates by establishing an asymmetric environment around the electrophilic reaction partner. Such strategies are promising as a general solutions to control additions to N,N-dialkyliminium ions.

3.2.1. Propargyl Amine Synthesis via Asymmetric Copper-Catalyzed Alkyne Additions

Tertiary propargyl amines are important synthetic intermediates\(^3\) that can be accessed via the addition of alkynyl nucleophiles to N,N-dialkyliminium intermediates. In 2002, Knochel and coworkers reported the copper-catalyzed asymmetric addition of alkynes to N,N-dialkyliminium intermediates generated \textit{in situ} from enamines.\(^4\) The following year, the same group reported a similar transformation that presumably proceeds via a similar mechanism, but in this case the dialkyliminium intermediate was generated \textit{in situ} from the corresponding aldehyde and amine.\(^5\) These transformations proceed with good yields and moderate to good enantioselectivities (Scheme 3.2).

---


The technology developed by Knochel and coworkers was utilized a few years later by Schreiber and coworkers in the context of a diversity-oriented synthesis (DOS) of isoquinoline-derived alkaloids. These studies showed that Knochel’s copper mediated alkynylation was also applicable for additions to discrete dialkyliminium species, as a variety of terminal alkynes were added to alkylisoquinolinium salts with high levels of enantioselectivity (Scheme 3.3).\(^6\)

**Scheme 3.3.** Addition of Terminal Alkynes to Alkylisoquinolinium Salts

---

3.2.2. Chiral Anion Approaches to Asymmetric Additions to \(N,N\)-Dialkyliminium Ions

A few chiral anion approaches have been developed to control the stereochemical outcome of 1,2-addition to \(N,N\)-dialkyliminium ions. The first of these was reported in 2003 by Nelson and coworkers. In this study, chiral borate anions were shown to induce very moderate amounts of asymmetry to the addition of indole to \(N,N\)-dialkyliminium chloride intermediates (Scheme 3.3).\(^7\)

The results are quite modest, but the principle of using a chiral anion approach to promote an enantioselective reaction with the corresponding cation was quite novel at the time. Today, this type of asymmetric induction represents a field of study that is rather well-developed.\(^8\)

**Scheme 3.3.** Asymmetric Induction by Chiral Borate Anions

[Diagram of Scheme 3.3 showing the reaction of \(N,N\)-dialkyliminium chloride intermediate with indole in the presence of a borate anion to yield a product with 11% ee.]

More recently, a chiral phosphate anion approach has been used for asymmetric Pictet-Spengler reactions of \(N,N\)-dialkyliminium ions.\(^9\) Although this class of phosphoric acid catalysts have been used for a multitude of reactions involving various cationic intermediates,\(^10\) this is the

---


only example involving \( N,N \)-dialkyliminium intermediates.\(^{11}\) The reaction proceeds with moderate to good enantioselectivities for a variety of aromatic and aliphatic aldehyde substrates (Scheme 3.4).

**Scheme 3.4.** Phosphoric Acid-Catalyzed Pictet-Spengler Reaction

![Phosphoric Acid-Catalyzed Pictet-Spengler Reaction](image)

3.2.3. Enantioselective Three-Component Petasis Reaction

Subsequent to our work in this area, which will be discussed later in this chapter, Yuan and coworkers disclosed a highly enantioselective Petasis reaction that proceeds via the intermediacy of an \( N,N \)-dialkyliminium intermediate.\(^{12}\) These transformations are catalyzed by thiourea-BINOL catalyst 4, and proceed with moderate to good levels of enantioselectivity. The three component reaction is limited to salicylaldehydes as the aldehydic component, but a variety of amines and boronic acids are tolerated (Scheme 3.5). The authors propose the intermediacy of a BINOL-derived borate ester for the transformation, but the evidence to support this hypothesis is not particularly strong as catalysts that do not contain alcohol functionality promote the reaction.

---

\(^{11}\) A similar approach has been reported for 1,4-additions to \( N,N \)-dialkyliminium reactions: Mayer, S.; List, B. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2006, 45, 4193.

\(^{12}\) Han, W.-Y.; Wu, Z.-J.; Zhang, X.-M.; Yuan, W.-C. *Org. Lett.* 2012, 14, 976
with moderate enantioselectivity. Despite the mechanistic ambiguity of the transformation, it is an impressive example of asymmetric 1,2-addition to N,N-dialkyliminium ions.

Scheme 3.5. Asymmetric Three-Component Petasis Reaction

3.3 Results and Discussion

3.3.1. Rationale for the Choice of Cyanide as Nucleophilic Reaction Partner

Dual H-bond donor catalysts are known to promote asymmetric bond-forming reactions that proceed via cationic intermediates and were explored as catalysts for the asymmetric addition of cyanide to N,N-dialkyliminium ions. The choice of cyanide as the preliminary nucleophile in our study was a strategic one. Our group had previously established that chiral thioureas can interact with cyanide, and facilitate its highly selective addition to prochiral cationic protioiminium intermediates.\(^\text{13}\) During these studies, a key H-bonding interaction was identified between the

amide of the catalyst and the N-H of the protioiminium ion. This H-bonding interaction positions the prochiral protioiminium ion in an orientation that allows for highly selective nucleophilic addition (Scheme 3.6).

**Scheme 3.6. Key H-Bonding Interaction in Asymmetric Strecker Reaction**

The structure of N,N-dialkyliminium ions precludes such a hydrogen bonding interaction between the substrate and the chiral catalyst, making selective additions to these electrophiles challenging (Figure 3.2). However, the discovery of catalysts that promote highly enantioselective additions to N,N-dialkyliminium ions would provide an opportunity to study non-covalent interactions that were either not present or not important for anchoring protioiminium ions. Ultimately, an understanding of these non-covalent interactions could be applied to the design of chiral catalysts that interact with other cationic species lacking heteroatom-H bonds, such as oxocarbenium ions or carbenium ions.

---


Figure 3.2. Key Secondary Interaction for Asymmetric Addition to Protioiminum Ions is Not Possible for $N,N$-Dialkyliminium Substrates

3.3.2. Preliminary Experiments

We examined the reaction of an amine, aldehyde and hydrogen cyanide in the presence of thiourea 5. Although an increase over the background rate was observed in the presence of catalyst 5, the cyanation reaction was quite slow and proceeded with very low levels of enantioselectivity (Table 3.1).

Table 3.1. Preliminary Results for Asymmetric Strecker Reaction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>catalyst</th>
<th>yield (%)</th>
<th>ee (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ent-5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3.3. Symmetrical Aminals as Precursors to $N,N$-Dialkyliminium Ions

We considered the mechanism of the transformation in an effort to understand the slow observed rate. The aldehyde and amine component of the reaction likely react to form
hemiaminal intermediate 7, which must be protonated by hydrogen cyanide before expulsion of water to generate dialkyliminium intermediate 8 (Scheme 3.7A). We hypothesized that symmetrical aminal 9 would be more basic than hemiaminal 7, and therefore protonation would be more facile (Scheme 3.7B). Consistent with our hypothesis, we observed that aminal 9a reacted with hydrogen cyanide at cryogenic temperatures in the presence of thiourea catalysts, giving product with measurable levels of enantioenrichment (Table 3.2).

Scheme 3.7. Rational for Higher Rate with Symmetrical Aminal Substrate
Alternate cyanide sources were also examined for the transformation. Symmetrical aminals are known to react with acetyl chloride to provide dialkyliminium chloride intermediates. We hypothesized that acetyl cyanide would react in a similar manner with aminals to generate the desired dialkyliminium cyanide intermediates for the asymmetric cyanation. Acetyl cyanide was used as the cyanide source in the presence of thiourea catalysts 10 and 11, and the desired product was observed in improved yield and enantioselectivity compared to the analogous reactions using hydrogen cyanide (Table 3.2). We attribute the increased reaction rate for the reactions with acetyl cyanide to the formation of amide 12, which makes the dialkyliminium formation from the parent aminal an irreversible process (Scheme 3.8B). When hydrogen cyanide is used, the dialkyliminium formation is reversible (Scheme 3.8A). Additionally, under the hydrogen cyanide conditions, free amine is generated as a stoichiometric byproduct, which is more basic than the aminal starting material and could therefore inhibit the reaction by reacting with hydrogen cyanide.

Experiments were conducted to determine the sensitivity of the reaction to changes in substrate structure (Scheme 3.9). We found that substrates bearing N-benzyl groups reacted with much higher enantioselectivity than substrates lacking such functionality. This was also the case for substrates where the N-benzyl group was part of a cyclic tetrahydroisoquinoline system.

Scheme 3.9. Preliminary Substrate Scope Under Suboptimal Reaction Conditions
3.3.4. Discovery of Weak Acid Co-Catalyst

During the reaction optimization process, we noticed that reactions set up under identical conditions were not yielding identical results. Notably, the results obtained from reactions that were carried out in January of 2011 were different than those obtained from reaction carried out in August of the previous year. In order to understand these differences, we tested the effect of a series of additives on the cyanation reaction. Interestingly, the presence of basic additives inhibited the reaction, while acidic additive provided an increase in rate and did not diminish the observed enantioselectivity.

Table 3.3. Investigation of Irreproducibility via Addition of Additives

We optimized the reaction conditions with respect to the ratio of catalyst to acid and found that equal amounts of thiourea 11 and acid were optimal (Table 3.4). Additionally, we observed the effect of a variety of acidic additives on the reaction. Interestingly, a variety of structurally diverse carboxylic acids of similar acidity gave comparable results for the cyanation. Highly
acidic additives such as trifluoroacetic acid caused significant decreases in the enantioselectivity of the reactions, while less acidic additives such as phenol were also less effective.

**Table 3.4.** Evaluation of Optimal Acid Co-Catalyst Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>acid</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>yield</th>
<th>ee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>AcOH</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AcOH</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>AcOH</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>AcOH</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>AcOH</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>AcOH</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Acid Screen: 20 mol% 11 and 20 mol% acid*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acid</th>
<th>Yield</th>
<th>ee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HOOC</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>81% ee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOOC tBu</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>78% ee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOOC Me</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>81% ee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOOSO</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>-16% ee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOOSO Me</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>30% ee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOOSO Me</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>-16% ee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOOC Me</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>81% ee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOOC Me</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>76% ee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOOC Me</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>62% ee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOOC Me</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>71% ee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOOC Me</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>73% ee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOOC Me</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>74% ee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**3.3.5. Optimization of Cyanide Source**

Other commercially available acyl cyanide reagents were also evaluated as alternatives to acetyl cyanide for the cyanation reaction (Table 3.5). At –70 °C, benzoyl cyanide and Mander’s reagent only provided small amounts of the desired product (Table 3.5, entries 2–3). However,
at -50 °C all three acyl cyanide reagents provided increased yields. Acetyl cyanide was optimal with respect to the observed enantioselectivity for the transformation.

Table 3.5. Acyl Cyanide Optimization.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>temp (°C)</th>
<th>time (h)</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>yield</th>
<th>ee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-70</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-70</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-70</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>OMe</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-50</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-50</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-50</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>OMe</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3.6. Catalyst Optimization

With optimal conditions identified, we evaluated the performance of a variety of dual H-bond donor catalysts for the cyanation of aminal 13a. The catalysts of this class that have been developed in the Jacobsen group are modular in nature, with one side of the dual H-bond donor bearing an amino acid derived amide functionality and the other side bearing a range of functional groups, such as N-aryl groups, cyclohexylamino pyrroles, or salicaldehyde derived imines. We first varied the non-amino acid derived side of the catalyst and found that thioureas bearing N-aryl groups were optimal (Scheme 3.10, compare catalysts 5, 15–17). We then evaluated the effect of the amide portion of the catalyst and found that N-benzylmethyl and benzhydrylmethyl amides were good catalysts for the transformation (Scheme 3.10, catalyst 5 and 10). Increasing the size of the benzyl or benzhydryl group was beneficial with respect to the
enantioselectivity of the transformation (Scheme 3.10, catalyst 18–25), but even catalysts with very large benzhydryl groups did not promote the reaction with high enantioselectivity. Catalysts bearing 1-napthyl pyrrolidine moieties proved to be optimal for the transformation, with catalyst 11 providing the product in 74% ee.\(^\text{16}\)

We carried out catalyst optimization for tetrahydroquinoline derived substrate 14a as well in order to investigate whether catalysts trends that were observed for the N-benzylmethylamine derived substrate 13a were general to a range of substrates. We were also particularly interested in the tetrahydroisoquinoline derived substrate due to its structural similarity to the blockbuster drug Plavix\(^\text{®}\). Catalysts bearing N-benzylmethyl and –benzhydrylmethyl amides catalyzed the reaction of aminal 14a with low enantioselectivity (Scheme 3.11, catalysts 5 and 10), while aryl pyrrolidine containing catalysts proved to be optimal for this substrate. A variety of catalysts bearing varied aryl groups were examined in the cyanation reaction and the 1-napthyl pyrrolidine catalyst was found to be optimal (Scheme 3.11, catalysts 11 and 32–39).

\(^{16}\) Catalysts with varied aryl pyrrolidine moieties were investigated for the cyanation of aminal 13a under conditions without acid additives, and the 1-napthyl aryl group was found to be optimal under those conditions.
Scheme 3.10. Catalyst Optimization
Scheme 3.11. Catalyst Optimization

We evaluated the substrate scope of the transformation (Scheme 3.12). A variety of tetrahydroisoquinoline and N-benzylmethylamine derived aminals undergo the cyanation reaction with moderate to good enantioselectivity. For tetrahydroisoquinoline derived aminals,
ortho-substitution on the benzaldehyde derived portion of the substrate is required for good enantioselectivity. In contrast, for N-benzylmethylamine derived aminals, ortho-substitution on the benzaldehyde derived portion of the substrate leads to reduced enantioselectivity. The pronounced effect of substitution on the benzaldehyde portion of the substrate is difficult to understand, as changes in enantioselectivity cannot be easily attributed to steric or electronic variation.

**Scheme 3.12. Investigation of Substrate Scope and Limitations**
3.3.8. Proposed Catalytic Cycle for Cyanation of Symmetrical Aminal Substrates

A proposed catalytic cycle for the cyanation reaction is presented below (Scheme 3.13). We propose that the presence of acetic acid facilitates the breakdown of the aminal starting material to the dialkyliminium acetate and \( N \)-benzylmethylamine. The generated 2\(^\circ\) amine is much more nucleophilic than the aminal, and can react with acetyl cyanide to generate hydrogen cyanide. Since reactions with a number of structurally diverse acids proceed with similar levels of enantioselectivity it seems unlikely that the acetate is intimately involved in the enantiodetermining step. We therefore propose the depicted anion metathesis prior to cyanide addition to the dialkyliminium intermediate.

**Scheme 3.13. Proposed Catalytic Cycle for Asymmetric Cyanation**
3.3.9. Aminal Ethers as Precursors to $N,N$-Dialkyliminium Ions

We also examined alternative routes for accessing dialkyliminium intermediates starting from aminal ether intermediates (Scheme 3.14). We anticipated that a thiourea catalyst could facilitate the ionization of the aminal ether via anion abstraction to generate a $N,N$-dialkyliminium methoxide intermediate. The methoxide could then react with acetyl cyanide to generate the cyanide anion which could add to the dialkyliminium intermediate.

Scheme 3.14. Access to $N,N$-Dialkyliminium Intermediates from Aminal Ether Substrates

Consistent with our hypothesis, the aminal ether did undergo the reaction to provide the desired cyanide addition product in good enantioselectivity in the presence of thiourea 11. However, the reaction was very slow, and increasing the reaction temperature led to a significant decrease in enantioselectivity (Table 3.6). Perhaps the most cumbersome characteristic of this approach was the purification of the aminal ether starting materials, which limited our interest in this strategy for accessing $N,N$-dialkyliminium intermediates. 17

---

17 Approaches for generating aminal ethers in situ were also examined, but were not successful.
We also evaluated this strategy using tethered aminal ether substrates. Due to the intramolecular nature of the alkoxide abstraction, higher temperatures were required for reactions of this substrate class. Although very little background reaction was observed, only low to moderate enantioselectivities were observed for a variety of substrates in the presence of number of aryl pyrrolidine containing catalysts (Scheme 3.15).

Table 3.6. Enantioselective Cyanation from Aminal Ether Starting Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>temp (°C)</th>
<th>mol % 11</th>
<th>time (h)</th>
<th>yield</th>
<th>ee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>−70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>−70</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>−70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>−70</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>−60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>−50</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>−30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>−30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Scheme 3.15. Asymmetric Cyanation of Tethered Aminal Ether Substrates

3.4. Conclusions

We have developed a variety of diverse strategies for accessing \( N,N \)-dialkylimininium intermediates and we have shown that chiral thioureas can promote the asymmetric addition of cyanide to such intermediates. To date we have not been successful in utilizing this approach to carry out transformations with synthetically useful enantioselectivities. We anticipate that the
future development of new classes of H-bond donor catalysts may enable highly enantioselective additions to N,N-dialkyliminium ion intermediates using the strategies for accessing such intermediates developed here.

3.5. Experimental Section

3.5.1. General Information

General Procedure. Unless otherwise noted, all reactions were performed in flame-dried round-bottom flasks sealed with a rubber septum under a nitrogen atmosphere. Air and moisture sensitive liquids were transferred using stainless steel cannulae or syringes. Flash chromatography was performed using silica gel ZEOprep60 ECO 40-63 micron from American International Chemical, Inc.

Materials. Commercial reagents were purchased from VWR, Acros, and Sigma-Aldrich and used as received with the following exceptions: dichloromethane and tetrahydrofuran were dried by passing through columns of activated alumina. Acetonitrile was dried by passing through a column of activated molecular sieves. Triethylamine and N,N-diisopropylethylamine were distilled from CaH$_2$ at 760 torr.

Instrumentation. Proton nuclear magnetic resonance ($^1$H NMR) spectra and carbon nuclear magnetic resonance ($^{13}$C NMR) spectra were recorded on a Varian Inova-500 (500 MHz) NMR spectrometer. Chemical shifts for protons are reported in parts per million downfield from tetramethylsilane and are referenced to the NMR solvent residual peak (CHCl$_3$: δ7.27). Chemical shifts for carbons are reported in parts per million downfield from tetramethylsilane and are referenced to the carbon resonances of the NMR solvent (CDCl$_3$: δ77.0). Data are represented as follows: chemical shift, integration, multiplicity (br = broad, s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q
= quartet, p = pentet, m = multiplet), and coupling constants in Hertz (Hz). Mass spectroscopic (MS) data were obtained using an Agilent 6120 Single Quadrupole LC/MS instrument equipped with an ESI-APCI multimode source. Infrared (IR) spectra were obtained using a Bruker Tensor 27 FTIR spectrophotometer. Data are represented as follows: frequency of absorption (cm$^{-1}$), intensity of absorption (s = strong, m = medium, w = weak). Optical rotation data were obtained using a 1 mL cell with a 0.5 dm path length on a Jasco P-2000 polarimeter. Chiral HPLC analysis was performed using Agilent 1200 series instruments.

3.5.2. Preparation of Symmetrical Aminal and Aminal Ether Substrates

General Synthetic Scheme for Synthesis of Symmetrical Aminals

To a suspension of 4-chlorobenzaldehyde (2.8 g, 20 mmol) and chromatographic alumina (7 g) in diethyl ether (15 mL) was added piperidine (5 mL, 50 mmol). The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The resultant suspension was filtered through celite and concentrated in vacuo to yield the desired aminal as a white solid in quantitative yield.\textsuperscript{18} If necessary, the aminal can be purified by recrystallization from hexanes. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta = 7.29$ (d, $J =

\textsuperscript{18} Excess piperidine was removed in vacuo.
8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.14 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 3.55 (s, 1 H), 2.29 (m, 8 H), 1.57 - 1.46 (m, 8 H), 1.41 - 1.31 (m, 4 H).

\textit{N,N’-dibenzyl-1-(4-chlorophenyl)-N,N’-dimethylmethanediame}:

\begin{center}
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{structure.png}
\end{center}

To a suspension of 4-chlorobenzaldehyde (700 mg, 5 mmol) and chromatographic alumina (1.7 g) in diethyl ether (3.5 mL) was added \textit{N}-benzylmethylamine (1.3 mL, 10 mmol). The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The resultant suspension was filtered through celite and concentrated \textit{in vacuo} to yield the desired aminal as a white solid in quantitative yield.\textsuperscript{19} If necessary, the aminal can be purified by recrystallization from hexanes. Often, the reaction would not proceed to full conversion. In those cases, it was useful to dissolve the crude aminal and remaining starting material in toluene and store over activated molecular sieves. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \( \delta = 7.50 - 7.2 \) (m, 14 H), 3.98 (s, 1 H), 3.71 (d, 2 H), 3.61 (d, 2 H), 2.13 (s, 6 H).

All other symmetrical aminals were synthesized via analogous procedures.

\textsuperscript{19} Excess piperidine was removed \textit{in vacuo}.
General Synthetic Scheme for Synthesis of Aminal Ether Substrates

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{R}^1 \text{N} \text{R}^2 \\
\text{HCl} \\
\text{MeOH}
\end{array} \rightarrow 
\begin{array}{c}
\text{R}^1 \text{N} \text{R}^2 \\
\text{OMe}
\end{array}
\]

\text{N-benzyl-1-(4-chlorophenyl)-1-methoxy-N-methylmethanamine:}

Symmetrical aminal (2.26 g, 6.2 mmol) was dissolved in \text{n-hexane} containing methanol (0.5 mL, 12.4 mmol) and cooled to 0 °C. A 4 M solution of HCl in dioxane (1.55 mL, 6.2 mmol) was slowly added and the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 2 h. The precipitated amine hydrochloride was filtered off and washed with an additional portion of hexane. The clear solution was evaporated and the residual liquid was purified by distillation to yield the desired aminal ether product.

All other aminal ethers were synthesized via analogous methods.

5-Substituted Pthalides were synthesized via previously reported methods.\textsuperscript{20}

Reduction of Pthalides with DIBAL-H was carried out according to previously reported methods.\textsuperscript{21} The resultant hemiacetals must be carried on to the aminal ether formation immediately after purification to avoid decomposition.

1-(5-chloro-1,3-dihydroisobenzofuran-1-yl)piperidine:

To a suspension of the hemiacetal (3 mmol) and chromatographic alumina (900 mg) in diethyl ether (2.0 mL) was added piperidine (0.446 mL, 4.5 mmol). The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The resultant suspension was filtered through celite and concentrated *in vacuo* to yield the desired aminal as a white solid in quantitative yield. $^1$H NMR (500MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ = 7.29 - 7.22 (m, 2 H), 7.19 (s, 1 H), 5.92 (s, 1 H), 4.99 (s, 2 H), 2.67 - 2.50 (m, 4 H), 1.58 - 1.40 (m, 6 H)

All other tethered aminal ether substrates were synthesized via analogous procedures. For substrates bearing amines that cannot be removed *in vacuo* a 1:1 ratio of amine and hemiacetal must be used.

3.6.3. Procedure for Thiourea-Catalyzed Cyanation Reaction

**General Procedure for Symmetrical Aminal Substrates**

Symmetrical aminal (0.1 mmol) and catalyst (0.02 mmol) are dissolved in toluene (1 mL) in a 1 dram vial equipped with a small football-shaped stirbar. Acetic acid (0.02 mmol) is added, and the mixture is cooled to −78 °C. With stirring, acetyl cyanide (0.125 mmol) is added. The mixtures are stirred at −70 °C for 4 h. The reaction is quenched at −78 °C by the addition of 2M hydrogen chloride (0.5 mL) and sodium borohydride (50 mg). The mixture is stirred at −78 °C for 30 minutes, then 20% aqueous potassium carbonate is added, and the mixture is warmed to

---

room temperature. The mixtures are extracted with dichloromethane, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated. 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (0.1 mmol) is added, and the yield is determined by \(^1\)H NMR analysis.

2-(benzyl(methyl)amino)-2-(4-chlorophenyl)acetonitrile:

Following the general procedure 2-(benzyl(methyl)amino)-2-(4-chlorophenyl)acetonitrile was formed in 55% yield and 81% ee. \(^1\)H NMR (500MHz ,CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta = 7.52 - 7.47\) (m, 2 H), 7.42 - 7.35 (m, 6 H), 7.34 - 7.29 (m, 1 H), 4.85 (s, 1 H), 3.83 (d, \(J = 12.8\) Hz, 1 H), 3.57 (d, \(J = 13.3\) Hz, 1 H), 2.32 - 2.24 (m, 3 H). \(^{13}\)C NMR (126MHz ,CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta = 137.2, 134.7, 132.4, 129.0, 128.9, 128.8, 128.7, 127.8, 114.8, 59.5, 59.3, 38.3\). Enantiomers were resolved on Chiralcel AS-H column using 2% IPA in hexanes.

**General Procedure for Aminal Ether Substrates**

Aminal ether (0.1 mmol) and catalyst (0.02 mmol) are dissolved in toluene (1 mL) in a 1 dram vial equipped with a small football-shaped stirbar. Acetic acid (0.02 mmol) is added, and the mixture is cooled to −78 °C. With stirring, acetyl cyanide (0.125 mmol) is added. The mixtures are stirred at −70 °C. The reaction is quenched at −78 °C by the addition of 2M hydrogen chloride (0.5 mL) and sodium borohydride (50 mg). The mixture is stirred at −78 °C for 30 minutes, then 20% aqueous potassium carbonate is added, and the mixture is warmed to room temperature. The mixtures are extracted with dichloromethane, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated. 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (0.1 mmol) is added, and the yield is determined by \(^1\)H NMR analysis.
5-chloro-2-(cyano(piperidin-1-yl)methyl)benzyl acetate:

Key resonances from crude reaction mixture used for analysis. $^1$H NMR (500MHz, Benzene) $\delta$

= 5.07 (d, $J = 13.2$ Hz, 1 H), 4.89 (d, $J = 13.7$ Hz, 1 H), 4.55 (s, 1 H), 1.58 (s, 3 H).

Enantiomers were resolved on Chiralcel AS-H column using 5% IPA in hexanes.
Chapter 4

Enantioselective Thiourea-Catalyzed
Intramolecular Cope-Type Hydroamination\(^1\)

4.1. Introduction

Enantioselective intramolecular olefin hydroamination\(^2\) affords a direct and atom-economical synthetic approach to chiral nitrogen heterocycles with useful properties as biologically active compounds,\(^3\) small-molecule catalysts,\(^4\) and ligands.\(^5\) Significant advances in metal-catalyzed

\(^1\) Portions of this chapter have been published: Brown, A. R.; Uyeda, C.; Brotherton, C. A.; Jacobsen, E. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 6747.


asymmetric intramolecular hydroaminations have relied mostly on highly air- and moisture-sensitive lanthanide, group 4 metal (Zr, Ti), or highly electropositive main group metal (Mg, Li) complexes. Late transition metal-catalyzed hydroamination and carboamination reactions have been identified that hold promise for broader functional group tolerance and concomitant substrate generality. Herein, strategies for accessing enantioenriched nitrogen heterocycles via enantioselective intramolecular hydroamination and carboamination are reviewed, and our research towards a thiourea catalyzed intramolecular Cope-type hydroamination is presented.

5 For a review of chiral amine ligands, see: Caputo, C. A.; Jones, N. D. *Dalton Trans.* **2007**, *4627*.


4.2. Strategies for Asymmetric Intramolecular Hydroamination

4.2.1. Rare-Earth Metal-Catalyzed Hydroaminations

Seminal work in asymmetric intramolecular olefin hydroamination was carried out by Marks and coworkers. In 1994, a hydroamination catalyzed by a cyclopentadienyl containing $C_1$-symmetric chiral organolanthanide was reported that proceeded with moderate enantioselectivity.$^{6a}$ These systems provided the first examples of catalytic asymmetric olefin hydroamination, but the chiral catalyst complexes were not configurationally stable in the presence of protic amines, which complicated their analysis and limited their utility. Almost a decade later, the same group reported that configurationally stable chiral bis(oxazoline) lanthanide complexes are also capable of catalyzing asymmetric hydroaminations.$^{6b}$ Mechanistic study of the system revealed rate and enantiodetermining Ln–N alkene insertion. Since these initial reports by Marks, a number of other groups have reported rare-earth metal-catalyzed asymmetric intramolecular hydroaminations, some of which proceed with high enantioselectivity (Scheme 4.1).$^{6c-g}$
4.2.2. Group 4 Metal-Catalyzed Hydroaminations

Over the past ten years, a number of group 4 metal-catalyzed asymmetric intramolecular hydroaminations have been developed, most of which are catalyzed by zirconium or titanium complexes. The first example of this class of transformation was reported by Scott and coworkers in 2004 (Figure 4.1).\(^7\text{a}\) In this pioneering work, secondary amines were found to cyclize with moderate enatioselectivity in the presence of a cationic zirconium catalyst. This system was not compatible with primary amines, however, presumably due to catalyst deactivation via the formation of a zirconium imido species. Shortly after the report by Scott, the groups of Bergman and Schafer independently reported zirconium catalyzed intramolecular hydroaminations with primary amine substrates (Figure 4.1).\(^7\text{b–c}\) Higher enantioselectivities
were reported by Schafer, but only terminal olefin substrates with β-quaternary carbons were included. Bergman reported the results for a wider range of substrates, but the enantioselectivities observed were only moderate. More recently, Sadow and coworkers have developed a chiral oxazolinylborate zirconium complex that that promotes the highly enantioselective hydroamination of a number primary aminoalkenes (Figure 4.1). Similar to Schafer’s report, only terminal olefin substrates with germinal disubstitution at the 2-position reacted. Although the mechanistic properties of a number of these zirconium mediated transformations have been investigated, a consistent mechanistic picture has not yet emerged.

Figure 4.1. Selected Zirconium-Catalyzed Asymmetric Hydroaminations
4.2.3. Main Group Metal-Catalyzed Hydroaminations

Recently, main group metal-catalyzed hydroaminations have appeared in the literature. In 2006, the Hulzsch group reported a lithium-based hydroamination using diamidobinaphthyl ligands that provided hydroamination products with moderate enantioenrichment. In a subsequent report, the same group showed that phenoxyamine magnesium catalysts are impressive catalysts for intramolecular hydroamination. These catalysts promote the transformation of a number aminoalkenes with moderate to excellent enantioselectivity (Figure 4.2).

Figure 4.2. Hultzsch's Main Group Metal-Catalyzed Hydroaminations

4.2.4. Late Transition Metal-Catalyzed Hydroaminations

The metal-catalyzed asymmetric intramolecular hydroaminations discussed thus far have relied mostly on highly air- and moisture-sensitive lanthanide, group 4 metal (Zr, Ti), or highly electropositive main group metal (Mg, Li) complexes. Late transition metal catalyzed hydroaminations would provide functional group tolerant alternatives to the previously discussed systems. However, until very recently this class of metals had not been shown to catalyze
intramolecular asymmetric hydroaminations. In 2011, Buchwald and coworkers reported a rhodium-catalyzed method for the hydroamination of N-benzyl aminoalkenes.\textsuperscript{9a} Buchwald’s method provides a variety of α-methyl pyrrolidine products with moderate to good enantiomeric excess, but is limited to terminal olefin substrates (Scheme 4.2). More recently, Chemler and coworkers demonstrated that intramolecular hydroaminations of sulfonamides can be catalyzed by chiral copper complexes to yield electronically varied indoline products.\textsuperscript{9b} These pioneering studies indicate that late-metal catalyzed hydroaminations may soon be synthetically relevant strategies for the construction of chiral nitrogen heterocycles.

**Scheme 4.2.** Buchwald's Rhodium-Catalyzed Hydroamination
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4.3. Late Transition Metal-Catalyzed Carboamination

Asymmetric intramolecular carboamination is a synthetic route to chiral nitrogen heterocycles that is complimentary to hydroamination. These transformations forge new carbon-nitrogen and carbon-carbon bonds in the addition reaction of amines to olefins. Known asymmetric reactions of this type are catalyzed by late transition metals, and therefore proceed under synthetically convenient conditions and have broad functional group compatibility.

Chemler and coworkers reported an early example of an asymmetric carboamination reaction in 2007, which was promoted by a copper bis(oxazoline) complex (Scheme 4.3).\textsuperscript{10b} The
reaction provides chiral polycyclic sultams, which can be reduced to the corresponding pyrrolidine. A range of sulfonamide starting materials undergo the carboamination reaction with good to excellent enantioselectivities, and the utility of the method has been demonstrated in an application to the total synthesis of (+)-tylophorine.\textsuperscript{10c}

**Scheme 4.3.** Chemler's Copper-Catalyzed Carboamination

![Chemler's Copper-Catalyzed Carboamination](image)

More recently, Wolfe and coworkers have reported a palladium catalyzed asymmetric carboamination reaction in which aryl bromides are used as the carbon source for the carbon-carbon bond being formed in the transformation.\textsuperscript{10d} This modular approach to 2-benzyl pyrrolidines proceeds with good yields and enantioselectivities for a range of substrates (Scheme 4.4).

**Scheme 4.4.** Wolfe's Palladium-Catalyzed Carboamination

![Wolfe's Palladium-Catalyzed Carboamination](image)

Late metal catalyzed hydroaminations and carboaminations have begun to address the need for functional group tolerant asymmetric additions of amines to olefins. Despite the elegance and utility of these systems, they are limited in their substrate scope and the level of
enantioselectivity that is observed. Further research towards asymmetric olefin aminofunctionalization is therefore warranted.

4.4. The Reverse Cope Elimination

The reverse Cope elimination reaction (Scheme 4.5) represents a mechanistically distinct alternative to metal-catalyzed processes for alkene hydroamination. In this type of transformation, a hydroxylamine undergoes reaction with an olefin in a pericyclic pathway involving concerted C–H and C–N bond formation (Scheme 4.5A). The transformation was first discovered serendipitously, and was first reported by House and coworkers in 1976. After some dispute about the mechanism of the transformation, strong evidence for a concerted mechanism was provided by Oppolzer and coworkers in 1994. In an elegant set of experiments, Oppolzer showed that one olefin isomer of starting material is converted to one diastereomer of product, while the opposite olefin isomer is converted to the opposite diastereomer of product (Scheme 4.5B). Therefore, the cyclization involves suprafacial formation of C–N and C–H bonds via a planar five-membered transition state. The mechanism of the reverse Cope elimination is, as its name implies, the microscopic reverse of the Cope elimination. The forward reaction is therefore termed a Cope-type hydroamination.


Recently, Beauchemin and coworkers have applied the reverse Cope elimination to asymmetric catalytic intermolecular hydroamination via an elegant tethering strategy. By using such a strategy, intermolecular reactions are temporarily rendered intramolecular, allowing for faster reaction rates due to the high relative concentration of reactants in the tethered system. Beauchemin’s catalytic tethering agent is an aldehyde, and the reactants are therefore tethered via the intermediacy of an aminal. When a chiral aldehyde is used as a catalyst, good enantioselectivities are observed for the intermolecular hydroamination (Scheme 4.6).

---

4.5. Results and Discussion

Protic solvents have been shown to accelerate the Cope-type hydroamination, and computational studies have identified specific stabilizing H-bonding interactions in the polar cyclization transition structure to account for this effect. We considered whether ureas and thioureas, which have demonstrated utility as enantioselective dual hydrogen-bond donor catalysts, could facilitate the Cope-type hydroamination in a similar manner. In order to evaluate this hypothesis, we analyzed the effect of a simple thiourea on the reaction coordinate for the Cope reaction using DFT methods (Figure 4.3). This analysis predicts that the thiourea lowers the activation barrier for the cyclization by 3.1 kcal/mol, thereby supporting the hypothesis that this family of H-bond donors could serve as viable catalysts for the hydroamination reaction.


18 DFT calculations were carried out by Dr. Christopher Uyeda.
Figure 4.3. Calculated electronic energies for the optimized structures of the substrate, transition state, N-oxide intermediate, and product for both an uncatalyzed (black, top) and a N,N'-dimethylthiourea-catalyzed (blue, bottom) Cope hydroamination reaction (B3LYP/6-311+G(d, p) level of DFT). Relative energies are in kcal/mol and key hydrogen bonding distances are shown in Angstroms.

The principle we applied to the design of chiral catalysts for the Cope hydroamination is outlined in Scheme 4.7. In prior work on enantioselective catalytic Claisen rearrangements,\(^\text{19}\) the combined effect of hydrogen-bonding and secondary stabilization in the dipolar transition structure was shown to underlie the observed rate acceleration and asymmetric induction.\(^\text{20}\) We reasoned that the polar character of the Cope-type hydroamination transition structure might render it susceptible to analogous cooperative, attractive, non-covalent interactions with the appropriate polyfunctional catalyst framework.


4.5.1. Preliminary Experiments

We carried preliminary experiments to explore the validity of our hypothesis that dual H-bond donors are capable of catalyzing the Cope-type hydroamination. After some preliminary catalyst screening and reaction optimization, we found that hydroxylamine 4 cyclized in the presence of thiourea 5 to give moderately enantioenriched product. Although we observed high conversion for the transformation, the yield was quite low, likely due to product decomposition or side reactions at the elevated temperatures required for the cyclization.

4.5.2. In situ Hydroxylamine Generation

We synthesized a variety of hydroxylamine products that we anticipated would cyclize at lower temperatures than hydroxylamine 4. Although we were successful in synthesizing such substrates, it was difficult to isolate the hydroxylamine starting material and subject it to the
desired catalytic reaction conditions without background thermal cyclization occurring. We realized that a system that allowed in situ generation of the hydroxylamine starting material would be necessary.

Our synthetic route toward the hydroxylamine starting material involved a final step oxime reduction to generate the desired hydroxylamine using sodium cyanoborohydride in glacial acetic acid. Since these conditions were not compatible for enantioselective catalysis with thioureas, we sought to identify reduction conditions that would be compatible. We examined Hantzsch’s ester as an in situ reducing agent under a variety of conditions, but the desired cyclization product was never observed (Scheme 4.9).

**Scheme 4.9. Attempted in situ Oxime Reduction**

Two other options for in situ hydroxylamine formation were also considered (Scheme 4.10), both of which were compatible with our synthetic strategy for accessing the hydroxylamine starting materials. The first involved oxidation of the parent amine 6 using benzoyl peroxide to reveal an O-benzyloxlated hydroxylamine 7. Treatment with a strong nucleophile would reveal the desired hydroxylamine in situ. A number of nucleophiles were examined, but none were successful in cleaving the benzoyl group. The second strategy involved the intermediacy of a bis-Boc protected hydroxylamine 8, which could be deprotected under acidic conditions to give the salt of the hydroxylamine 9. The salt could be converted to the desired hydroxylamine starting material under basic conditions. Preliminary NMR experiments confirmed this strategy.
as no cyclization of the trifluoroacetate salt was observed in CDCl$_3$ at room temperature, but upon addition of triethylamine rapid cyclization was observed.

**Scheme 4.10.** Strategies for *in situ* Hydroxylamine Generation

The *in situ* strategy was examined in the context of the catalytic reaction conditions (Table 4.1). The addition of amine bases and inorganic bases revealed the hydroxylamine and led to efficient product formation. The use of triethylamine as the base for the deprotonation led to much lower enantioselectivity for the hydroamination than when solid inorganic potassium carbonate was used. Alkyl ammonium salts are known to bind to thioureas via anion binding,$^{21}$ and we therefore attribute the low enantioselectivities with triethylamine to counterproductive interactions between the catalyst and the triethyl ammonium trifluoroacetate salt. Potassium trifluoroacetate likely has very low solubility in hexanes and therefore does not have a negative effect on the reaction.

---

Table 4.1. *In situ* Hydroxylamine Generation Under Catalytic Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>base</th>
<th>conv.</th>
<th>yield</th>
<th>ee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Et$_3$N</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_2$CO$_3$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The *in situ* hydroxylamine generation was tested for a number of other substrates, and it proved to be rather general. The method is limited to substrates that are stable to the Boc deprotection conditions. Substrates containing electron rich olefins, such as trisubstituted olefins, decomposed under the Boc deprotection conditions. Terminal olefin substrates were well tolerated, as were (E)-styrenyl olefin substrates that did not have electron donating substituents on the aryl ring.

4.5.3. Reaction Optimization

Preliminary solvent and catalyst optimization was carried out on unfunctionalized hydroxylamine 10 (Table 4.2). We found that highly nonpolar solvents were optimal, with hexanes being the superior solvent. Although cyclohexane and pentane were not tested as solvents for the cyclization of hydroxylamine 10, we found that these solvents performed similarly to hexanes for the hydroamination of various other substrates. Our initial catalyst screening revealed that catalysts with pyrrole motifs were superior to their 3,5-bis trifluoromethylphenyl analogues (Table 4.2, compare catalyst 11a and 12a), and that aryl
pyrrolidine catalysts performed well for the transformation (Table 4.2, compare catalyst 11a and 11c). Combining these two elements led to the discovery of an improved catalyst 12c.

**Table 4.2. Preliminary Reaction Optimization for Unfunctionalized Hydroxylamine Salt 10**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>catalyst</th>
<th>mol% catalyst</th>
<th>solvent</th>
<th>yield (%)</th>
<th>ee (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>hexanes</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>11c</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>hexanes</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11c</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>11c</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>TBME</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>11c</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Reactions were quenched by the addition of p-NO₂ benzoyl chloride. *b* Yields of the O-benzoylated products were determined by ¹H NMR analysis using mesitylene as an internal standard. *c* Determined by HPLC analysis using commercial chiral columns.

**Solvent: hexanes**

71% yield, 32% ee

87% yield, 48% ee

79% yield, 41% ee

83% yield, –60% ee

82% yield, –53% ee

63% yield, 32% ee

78% yield, 43% ee

The fact that catalyst 12c was capable of inducing moderate levels of enantioselectivity for highly unfunctionalized substrate 10 was encouraging. We investigated the hydroamination in
the context of a number of different substrates with varied olefin substitution patterns. The first
group of substrates we tested were hydroxylamines with \((E)\)-styrenyl olefins.

**Table 4.3.** Stirring Rate Affects Enantioselectivity for Hydroamination

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{entry}^a & \text{K}_2\text{CO}_3 & \text{stirring} & \text{ee} (\%)^b \\
1 & \text{solid} & \text{none} & 75 \\
2 & \text{solid} & \text{slow} & 87 \\
3 & \text{solid} & \text{fast} & 89 \\
4 & \text{aqueous} & \text{none} & 80 \\
5 & \text{aqueous} & \text{slow} & 89 \\
6 & \text{aqueous} & \text{fast} & 89 \\
\end{array}
\]

\(^a\) Reactions were quenched by the addition of \(\text{p-NO}_2\) benzoyl chloride. \(^b\) Determined by HPLC analysis using
commercial chiral columns.

\((E)\)-Styrenyl olefin substrate \(16a\) cyclized with high enantioselectivity in the presence of
catalyst \textit{ent-12c}. However, we repeated the reaction and observed substantially lower
enantioselectivity for that reaction. Due to the heterogeneous nature of the reaction, we tested
the effect of stirring on the reaction enantioselectivity, and we found it to be highly dependent on
stirring rate (Table 4.3). Reaction mixtures that were not stirred produced product with
significantly less enantioenrichment. We also examined the 20\% aqueous potassium carbonate
as a base, and found that the enantioselectivity of reactions under these conditions were less
sensitive to stirring rate.\(^{22}\) Moving forward, reactions were set up with aqueous potassium
carbonate as the base and were stirred vigorously.

\(^{22}\) Unsubstituted substrate \(10\) was not compatible with the aqueous basic conditions, presumably due to solubility in
the aqueous phase.
The cyclization of hydroxylamine 16a was evaluated in the presence of a variety of thiourea catalysts bearing polarizable and conformationally constrained aromatic components (Table 4.4). While catalysts 11a–b (Table 4.4, entries 2–3) provided modest acceleration over the background uncatalyzed reaction, catalysts containing electron rich π-systems, such as extended arenes or aryl-pyrroles, led to more significant rate enhancements. For catalysts 11b–11e (Table 1, entries 3–6), an increase in yield and enantioselectivity was observed as the expanse of the α-aryl group of the pyrrolidine was increased. Comparable correlations between arene expanse and rate and enantioselectivity have been observed previously for this class of catalysts (11c–11e) in the context of polyene cyclizations and episulfonium ion ring-openings.\(^{23}\) In these systems, stabilizing cation-π interactions between the catalyst arene and the cationic transition state were identified.

Catalysts bearing aryl-pyrrole components capable of similar interactions were also found to be effective and highly enantioselective catalysts for the model transformation (Table 4.4, compare entries 2 and 7). For catalysts containing both a pyrrole and an α-aryl pyrrolidine moiety, the level of enantioinduction was relatively insensitive to the size of the aryl group on the pyrrolidine portion of the catalyst (Table 4.4, entries 8–11). These results suggest that catalyst-transition state interactions with the aryl-pyrrole are stronger than those with the aryl pyrrolidine for this class of catalysts. After extensive optimization of these structural motifs (Scheme 4.11), thiourea 12f was identified as the optimal catalyst for the hydroamination.

Table 4.4. Catalyst Optimization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>catalyst</th>
<th>yield&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>ee&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>11a</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ent-11b</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>–14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>11c</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>11d</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>11e</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12a</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>12b</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>ent-12c</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>–89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>12d</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>12e</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12f</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Reactions were performed on a 0.046-0.049 mmol scale, and were quenched by the addition of p-NO₂ benzoyl chloride.<br>
<sup>b</sup> Yields of the O-benzoylated products were determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis using mesitylene as an internal standard.<br>
<sup>c</sup> Determined by HPLC analysis using commercial chiral columns.
4.5.4. Evaluation of Substrate Scope

With the optimal catalyst identified, the substrate scope of the hydroamination reaction was evaluated. Several 4-alkenyl-1-hydroxylamines bearing electronically diverse (E)-styryl groups
underwent cyclization in the presence of thiourea 12f in good yields and moderate to excellent enantioselectivities (Table 4.5, entries 1-10). Substrates bearing electron-rich arenes reacted at much lower rates than those with electron-deficient arenes. *Ortho, meta, and para* substitution on the arene were all well tolerated (Table 4.5, entries 3, 6 and 7), as were halogen- and oxygen-containing functionalities (Table 4.5, entries 3 and 5). Substrates with geminal disubstitution at the 2-position reacted more rapidly and with higher enantioselectivity than substrates lacking such groups (Table 4.5, entries 1, 8, and 9), presumably as a result of a favorable Thorpe–Ingold effect in the cyclization reaction. Terminal olefin substrate 16j also underwent cyclization to the desired pyrrolidine product, albeit with slightly lower enantioselectivity than the corresponding styrenyl analogues (Table 4.5, entries 11-12). The catalytic efficiency of the system is also notable, as the catalyst loading could be decreased from 10 to 2 mol% with only slight diminution of enantioselectivity (Table 4.5, entry 2).
Table 4.5. Scope of Cope-Type Hydroamination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R¹</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>ee (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>C₆H₅</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>18a</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>C₆H₅</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>18a</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>p-ClC₆H₄</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>18b</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>p-(Me)C₆H₄</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>18c</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>p-(OMe)C₆H₄</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>18d</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>o-ClC₆H₄</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>18e</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>m-ClC₆H₄</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>18f</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>C₆H₅</td>
<td>-CH₂(CH₂)₃CH₂-</td>
<td>18g</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>C₆H₅</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>18h</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>p-ClC₆H₄</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>18i</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>18j</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>18j</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Reactions were performed on a 0.18-0.25 mmol scale, and were quenched by the addition of p-NO₂ benzoyl chloride. For entries 1-3, 6-8, and 11-12 the hydroxylamine was generated in situ from the corresponding trifluoroacetate salt by addition of aqueous potassium carbonate.

b Isolated yield of O-benzoylated products after purification on silica gel.

c Determined by HPLC analysis of O-benzoylated products using commercial chiral columns. d 2 mol% of 12f was used.

e 10 mol% of 11e was used as the catalyst.

A number of other substrates were also examined. Some failed to cyclize, and others cyclized with moderate enantioselectivity (Figure 4.4). Substrates leading to piperidine products failed to cyclize, as did substrates with olefins containing dialkyl substitution. The enantioselective cyclization of the trisubstituted olefin is particularly notable, as asymmetric hydroaminations of this class of olefin are quite rare.²⁴

Figure 4.4. Selected Suboptimal Substrates
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4.6. Conclusions

In conclusion, we have developed a highly enantioselective thiourea-catalyzed Cope-type hydroamination that provides access to a variety of pyrrolidine products under mild reaction conditions. A striking correlation between the electronics of the olefin and the rate of the Cope-type hydroamination reaction was observed. An understanding of this observation would likely shed light on the character of the cyclization transition state. Further, thiourea catalysts capable of stabilizing the dipolar transition structure in the pericyclic reaction via multiple non-covalent interactions were found to be most effective for catalysis and enantioenduction. Elucidation of the specific catalyst-substrate interactions at play could be applied to future catalyst-design strategies.
4.7. Experimental Section

4.7.1. General Information

General Procedure. Unless otherwise noted, all reactions were performed in flame-dried round-bottom flasks sealed with a rubber septum under a nitrogen atmosphere. Air and moisture sensitive liquids were transferred using stainless steel cannulae or syringes. Flash chromatography was performed using silica gel ZEOprep60 ECO 40-63 micron from American International Chemical, Inc.

Materials. Commercial reagents were purchased from VWR, Acros, and Sigma-Aldrich and used as received with the following exceptions: dichloromethane and tetrahydrofuran were dried by passing through columns of activated alumina. Acetonitrile was dried by passing through a column of activated molecular sieves. Triethylamine and N,N-diisopropylethylamine were distilled from CaH$_2$ at 760 torr.

Instrumentation. Proton nuclear magnetic resonance (\(^1\)H NMR) spectra and carbon nuclear magnetic resonance (\(^{13}\)C NMR) spectra were recorded on a Varian Inova-500 (500 MHz) NMR spectrometer. Chemical shifts for protons are reported in parts per million downfield from tetramethyldisilane and are referenced to the NMR solvent residual peak (CHCl$_3$: δ7.27). Chemical shifts for carbons are reported in parts per million downfield from tetramethyldisilane and are referenced to the carbon resonances of the NMR solvent (CDCl$_3$: δ77.0). Data are represented as follows: chemical shift, integration, multiplicity (br = broad, s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, p = pentet, m = multiplet), and coupling constants in Hertz (Hz). Mass spectroscopic (MS) data were obtained using an Agilent 6120 Single Quadrupole LC/MS instrument equipped with an ESI-APCI multimode source. Infrared (IR) spectra were obtained using a Bruker Tensor
27 FTIR spectrophotometer. Data are represented as follows: frequency of absorption (cm$^{-1}$), intensity of absorption (s = strong, m = medium, w = weak). Optical rotation data were obtained using a 1 mL cell with a 0.5 dm path length on a Jasco P-2000 polarimeter. Chiral HPLC analysis was performed using Agilent 1200 series instruments.

4.7.2. Catalyst Preparation and Characterization Data

Catalysts 11a-e were synthesized via previously reported methods. The spectral data matched those reported in the literature.$^{25}$

General synthetic route for the preparation of catalysts 12a-f.

Intermediates S1a-e were synthesized via previously reported methods. The spectral data matched those reported in the literature.$^{25}$ 2-pyrrolylcyclohexylamines were synthesized via previously reported methods. The spectral data matched those reported in the literature.$^{26}$

---


Synthesis of optimal catalyst 12f.

1-((S)-3,3-dimethyl-1-((R)-2-(naphthalen-1-yl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-1-oxobutan-2-yl)-3-((1R,2R)-2-(2,5-diphenyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)cyclohexyl)thiourea (12f):

To Boc-protected amine S1c (640 mg, 1.56 mmol) was added excess hydrogen chloride (7.8 mL, 4M in dioxane) and the mixture was stirred for 1 h at 23 °C. The mixture was concentrated to yield the crude hydrochloride salt. Dichloromethane (12 mL) and saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate (12 mL) were added to the crude salt, and the mixture was cooled to 0 °C. Thiophosgene (0.130 mL, 1.7 mmol) was added to the organic (lower) phase of the mixture by syringe, and the mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 30 minutes. Dichloromethane (20 mL) was added, and organic portion was separated. The aqueous was extracted with dichloromethane (2 x 20 mL) and the combined organic extracts were dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo. The crude isothiocyanate was dissolved in dichloromethane (5 mL) and the pyrrolylcyclohexylamine (642 mg, 2.03 mmol) was added as a solution in dichloromethane (5 mL). The mixture was stirred at 23 °C for 14 h, then concentrated in vacuo, and purified by flash chromatography on silica gel to yield the desired product 5 (755 mg, 72% yield). $[\alpha]_{D}^{23} = -$
10.2° (c=1.0, CHCl₃). The compound exists as a 2.5:1 mixture of amide rotamers in CDCl₃. 

**¹H NMR (500MHz, CDCl₃)** major rotamer resonances δ = 7.98 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.80 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.65 - 7.34 (m, 13 H), 7.24 - 7.19 (m, 1 H), 7.02 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.19 (br. s., 2 H), 5.88 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.70 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.53 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.34 (br. s., 1 H), 4.62 - 4.49 (m, 1 H), 4.04 - 3.94 (m, 1 H), 3.89 - 3.72 (m, 1 H), 3.58 - 3.41 (m, 1 H), 2.49 - 2.31 (m, 1 H), 2.27 - 2.10 (m, 1 H), 2.03 - 1.23 (m, 10 H), 1.13 (s, 9 H); selected minor rotamer resonances: δ = 8.29 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.78 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.30 (br. s, 1 H), 5.64 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.12 (br. s, 1 H), 5.02 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1 H), 4.16 - 4.05 (m, 1 H), 0.72 (s, 9 H); 

**¹³C NMR (126MHz, CDCl₃)** major and minor rotamer resonances δ = 181.6, 181.4, 181.4, 172.0, 169.8, 138.4, 136.7, 134.0, 133.8, 131.4, 130.0, 128.7, 128.6, 127.8, 127.0, 126.3, 125.8, 125.7, 125.2, 124.5, 124.0, 123.9, 123.2, 121.6, 112.7, 109.8, 62.8, 62.0, 61.0, 60.7, 59.1, 58.1, 57.3, 56.3, 48.5, 47.3, 35.6, 34.9, 34.6, 34.0, 33.8, 33.5, 33.2, 32.9, 32.8, 29.0, 26.7, 26.6, 25.9, 25.7, 25.3, 24.1, 23.9, 23.4, 22.6, 21.4, 20.7 (the carbon resonances for the 2,5-aryl groups of the pyrrole are broadened and difficult to assign); 

**FTIR:** 3372 (w), 3256 (w), 3069 (w), 2953 (m), 2868 (m), 1638 (s), 1610 (s), 1506 (s), 1443 (s), 1308 (m); 

**LRMS [M+Na]⁺ calculated for C₄₃H₄₈N₄NaOS:** 691.34, found: 691.2

**Characterization data for all novel catalysts in Table 4.4.**

These catalysts were synthesized by an analogous procedure to catalyst 12f.
(S)-N-benzhydryl-N,3,3-trimethyl-2-(3-((1R,2R)-2-(2-methyl-5-phenyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)cyclohexyl)thioureido)butanamide (12a):

\[
[\alpha]_D^{23} = -16.0^\circ \text{ (c=1.0, CHCl}_3); \ \text{ }^1H \text{ NMR (500MHz, CDCl}_3) \ \delta = 7.55 - 7.04 \text{ (m, 15 H)}, 6.09 - 5.98 \text{ (m, 2 H)}, 5.85 \text{ (br. s., 1 H)}, 5.49 \text{ (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1 H)}, 5.23 \text{ (br. s., 1 H)}, 4.47 \text{ (br. s, 1 H)}, 4.16 - 3.93 \text{ (m, 2 H)}, 3.04 \text{ (s, 3 H)}, 2.49 \text{ (br. s., 3 H)}, 1.89 \text{ (m, 2 H)}, 1.81 - 1.65 \text{ (m, 2 H)}, 1.52 - 1.19 \text{ (m, 4 H)}, 0.97 \text{ (s, 9 H)}; \ ^{13}C \text{ NMR (126MHz, CDCl}_3) \ \delta = 182.1, 172.7, 139.2, 138.1, 136.0, 134.2, 129.8, 129.4, 128.7, 128.5, 128.4, 128.1, 127.6, 127.1, 127.0, 110.2, 108.7, 60.9, 60.4, 59.8, 56.1, 36.1, 33.7, 33.2, 32.3, 26.6, 25.7, 24.6, 15.3; \ \text{FTIR: } 3358 \text{ (m), 3292 \text{ (m), 3062 \text{ (m), 3030 \text{ (m), 2939 \text{ (s), 2861 \text{ (m), 1631 \text{ (s), 1522 \text{ (s), 1446 \text{ (m), 1408 \text{ (m), 1366 \text{ (m); LRMS [M+Na}^+ \text{ calculated for C}_{38}H_{46}N_4NaOS: 629.33, found: 629.3}})

1-((S)-3,3-dimethyl-1-oxo-1-((R)-2-phenylpyrrolidin-1-yl)butan-2-yl)-3-((1R,2R)-2-(2-methyl-5-phenyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)cyclohexyl)thiourea (12b):

\[
[\alpha]_D^{24} = 19.8^\circ \text{ (c=1.0, CHCl}_3); \text{ The compound exists as a 1.25:1 mixture of amide rotamers in CDCl}_3. \ ^1H \text{ NMR (500MHz, CDCl}_3) \text{ major rotamer resonances } \delta = 7.49 - 7.05 \text{ (m, 10 H)}, 5.94 - 5.80 \text{ (m, 3 H)}, 5.40 \text{ (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1 H)}, 5.22 \text{ (br. s., 1 H)}, 5.14 \text{ (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1 H)}, 4.70 - 4.51 \text{ (m, 1 H)}, 4.37 \text{ (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H)}, 3.84 - 3.62 \text{ (m, 2 H)}, 2.47 \text{ (br. s., 3 H)}, 2.37 - 1.58 \text{ (m, 12 H)},
1.08 - 0.99 (m, 9 H); $^{13}$C NMR (126MHz ,CDCl$_3$) major and minor rotamer resonances $\delta =$
181.8, 171.8, 169.9, 144.2, 142.4, 136.0, 134.3, 134.1, 129.6, 129.5, 129.1, 128.8, 128.6, 128.4,
128.3, 127.2, 127.0, 126.9, 126.7, 126.4, 125.3, 110.3, 109.9, 108.8, 108.6, 62.3, 61.2, 60.8, 60.1,
59.7, 56.1, 55.9, 48.4, 47.0, 35.7, 35.4, 35.3, 34.2, 33.7, 32.3, 32.1, 29.7, 29.0, 26.5, 26.4, 25.7,
25.6, 24.6, 24.5, 23.1, 21.9, 15.6, 15.4; FTIR: 3385 (m), 3066 (w), 2948 (m), 2870 (m), 1635 (s),
1518 (s), 1436 (s);
LRMS [M+Na]$^+$ calculated for C$_{34}$H$_{44}$N$_4$NaOS: 579.31, found: 579.2

1-((R)-3,3-dimethyl-1-((S)-2-(naphthalen-1-yl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-1-oxobutan-2-yl)-3-((15,2S)-2-(2-
methyl-5-phenyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)cyclohexyl)thiourea (ent-12c):

\[
\left[\alpha\right]_D^{24} = -15.2^\circ \text{ (c=1.0, CHCl}_3) \]; The compound exists as a 2.5:1 mixture of amide rotamers in
CDCl$_3$. $^1$H NMR (500MHz ,CDCl$_3$) major rotamer resonances $\delta =$ 8.00 (d, $J =$ 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.85
(d, $J =$ 8.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.63 - 7.28 (m, 8 H), 7.08 (d, $J =$ 6.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.02 (br. s., 1 H), 5.96 - 5.89
(m, 2 H), 5.49 (d, $J =$ 9.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.30 (br. s., 1 H), 4.56 (br. s., 1 H), 4.35 - 4.19 (m, 1 H), 4.00
(br. s., 1 H), 3.87 - 3.77 (m, 1 H), 3.76 - 3.65 (m, 1 H), 2.50 (br. s., 3 H), 2.42 - 1.79 (m, 10 H),
1.01 (s, 9 H); $^{13}$C NMR (126MHz ,CDCl$_3$) major and minor rotamer resonances $\delta =$ 181.8,
171.9, 170.0, 138.3, 136.7, 136.0, 134.1, 133.8, 133.1, 130.1, 130.0, 129.6, 129.5, 128.9, 128.8,
128.6, 128.5, 128.0, 127.8, 127.1, 126.2, 125.8, 125.7, 125.3, 125.3, 124.5, 124.1, 123.8,
123.3, 121.7, 110.4, 109.9, 108.7, 108.7, 62.5, 61.5, 60.4, 60.1, 59.7, 59.0, 58.2, 56.2, 56.0, 48.5,
47.1, 37.0, 35.7, 35.3, 33.9, 33.7, 33.7, 32.8, 32.4, 32.2, 26.7, 26.5, 25.7, 25.6, 24.7, 24.5, 23.3,
21.5; FTIR: 3340 (m), 3275 (m), 3055 (w), 2934 (m), 2866 (m), 1640 (m), 1607 (s), 1512 (s),
1445 (s), 1363 (m), 1311 (s); LRMS [M+Na]^+ calculated for C_{38}H_{46}N_{4}NaOS: 629.33, found: 629.2

1-((S)-3,3-dimethyl-1-oxo-1-((R)-2-(phenanthren-9-yl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)butan-2-yl)-3-((1R,2R)-2-(2-methyl-5-phenyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)cyclohexyl)thiourea (12d):

\[ \alpha^D = 59.1^\circ \] (c=0.45, CHCl_3); The compound exists as a 1.6:1 mixture of amide rotamers in CDCl_3. \(^1\)H NMR (500MHz, CDCl_3) major rotamer resonances δ = 8.72 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 8.61 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1 H), 8.04 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.90 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.73 - 7.29 (m, 10 H), 6.14 - 5.88 (m, 3 H), 5.82 (br. s., 1 H), 5.56 (br. s., 1 H), 5.47 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.73 (d, J = 10.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.94 (br. s., 1 H), 3.90 - 3.80 (m, 1 H), 3.72 (d, J = 16.9 Hz, 1 H), 2.34 (s, 3 H), 2.21 - 1.61 (m, 12 H), 1.10 (s, 9 H); \(^1^3\)C NMR (126MHz, CDCl_3) major and minor resonances δ = 182.0, 182.0, 172.1, 170.2, 136.2, 136.1, 134.2, 131.5, 131.3, 131.0, 130.8, 130.7, 130.3, 130.0, 129.8, 129.6, 129.2, 129.2, 129.0, 128.9, 128.5, 128.4, 127.3, 127.1, 126.7, 126.7, 126.5, 126.4, 126.1, 126.0, 125.0, 124.5, 123.9, 123.3, 123.0, 122.9, 122.4, 122.2, 122.1, 110.4, 109.8, 108.6, 66.7, 63.2, 61.5, 60.1, 59.7, 59.3, 58.4, 56.2, 55.8, 48.4, 47.1, 35.5, 35.2, 33.7, 33.5, 32.2, 26.8, 26.6, 26.5, 25.7, 25.4, 24.7, 24.3, 23.3, 21.6, 15.6, 15.4; FTIR: 3395 (m), 3155 (w), 3074 (m), 2947 (m), 2871 (s), 1638 (s), 1525 (s), 1435 (m); LRMS [M+Na]^+ calculated for C_{42}H_{48}N_{4}NaOS: 679.34, found: 679.3
1-((S)-3,3-dimethyl-1-oxo-1-(((R)-2-(pyren-4-yl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)butan-2-yl)-3-((1R,2R)-2-(2-methyl-5-phenyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)cyclohexyl)thiourea (12e):}

\[
\left[\alpha\right]_D^{25} = 90.0^\circ \text{ (c=0.30, CHCl}_3\text{)}; \text{ The compound exists as a 1.4:1 mixture of amide rotamers in CDCl}_3. \text{ } ^1\text{H NMR (500MHz ,CDCl}_3\text{)} \text{ major rotamer resonances } \delta = 8.31 \text{ (d, } J = 7.8 \text{ Hz, } 1 \text{ H), 8.25 - 7.97 \text{ (m, } 5 \text{ H), 7.95 - 7.86 \text{ (m, } 2 \text{ H), 7.84 \text{ (s, } 1 \text{ H), 7.66 - 7.34 \text{ (m, } 5 \text{ H), 6.14 \text{ (d, } J = 8.2 \text{ Hz, } 1 \text{ H), 6.01 \text{ (d, } J = 3.2 \text{ Hz, } 1 \text{ H), 5.94 \text{ (d, } J = 2.7 \text{ Hz, } 1 \text{ H), 5.55 \text{ (d, } J = 9.2 \text{ Hz, } 2 \text{ H), 4.82 \text{ (t, } J = 8.5 \text{ Hz, } 1 \text{ H), 4.06 - 3.81 \text{ (m, } 3 \text{ H), 2.39 \text{ (s, } 3 \text{ H), 2.23 - 1.49 \text{ (m, } 12 \text{ H), 1.16 - 1.10 \text{ (m, } 9 \text{ H); } ^{13}\text{C NMR (126MHz ,CDCl}_3\text{)} \text{ major and minor resonances } \delta = 182.1, 182.0, 172.1, 170.2, 137.2, 136.2, 135.3, 134.4, 131.6, 131.4, 130.9, 130.4, 129.9, 129.8, 129.0, 128.9, 128.6, 128.4, 127.6, 127.4, 127.2, 127.0, 126.0, 125.8, 125.2, 125.5, 125.3, 125.2, 124.9, 124.8, 124.6, 124.1, 123.9, 123.0, 122.3, 120.8, 110.6, 109.9, 108.8, 63.2, 61.5, 60.1, 59.7, 59.4, 58.5, 56.2, 55.8, 48.5, 47.0, 36.0, 35.7, 35.3, 34.6, 34.5, 33.7, 33.5, 32.3, 29.7, 29.0, 26.9, 26.6, 26.2, 25.7, 25.4, 25.2, 24.7, 24.3, 23.5, 21.8, 20.7, 18.7, 15.5; FTIR: 3396 (m), 3280 (w), 3054 (m), 2962 (m), 2870 (w), 1638 (s), 1610 (s), 1520 (s), 1445 (s), 1311 (m); LRMS [M+Na]^+ calculated for C_{44}H_{48}N_{4}NaOS: 703.34, found: 703.2.
4.7.3. Substrate Preparation and Characterization Data

General synthetic route for the preparation of substrates 17a-f.

General Procedure:

Branched allylic alcohols \( S2a-f \) were synthesized via previously reported methods. The spectral data matched those reported in the literature.\(^{27}\)

**Tsuji-Trost Allylation:**\(^{28}\) To a solution of palladium acetate (112 mg, 0.5 mmol), triphenylphosphine (262 mg, 1.0 mmol) and lithium chloride (211 mg, 5.0 mmol) in dry tetrahydrofuran (5 mL) were successively added isobutyraldehyde (500 \( \mu \)L, 5.5 mmol), branched allylic alcohol \( S2a-f \) (5.0 mmol), triethylamine (836 \( \mu \)L, 6.0 mmol), and triethylborane (12 mmol, 1.0 M solution in hexanes) via syringe at ambient temperatures under nitrogen. The mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 48 h. The mixture was filtered through a Celite pad and washed with ethyl acetate. The filtrate was washed with saturated aqueous sodium chloride.

---


bicarbonate, and the organic phase was dried over magnesium sulfate, concentrated in vacuo and carried forward to the following reaction without further purification.

**Oxime formation:** To aldehyde **S3a-f** (5.0 mmol) in a solution of methanol (50 mL) was added hydroxylamine hydrochloride (870 mg, 12.5 mmol) and sodium acetate (1.025 g, 12.5 mmol). A reflux condenser was fitted to the flask, and the mixture heated at reflux for 14 h. Upon cooling to ambient temperature, water (~50 mL) was added to the reaction mixture, and the mixture was extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 25 mL). The organic phase was dried over sodium sulfate, concentrated *in vacuo*, and purified by flash chromatography on silica gel to give the desired oxime **S4a-f**.

**(1E,4E)-2,2-dimethyl-5-phenylpent-4-enal oxime (S4a):**

![Image of oxime structure]

Following the general procedure (850 mg, 84% yield over 2 steps) of the desired product was isolated as a clear oil. $^1$H NMR (500MHz ,CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ = 8.12 (s, 1 H), 7.43 (s, 1 H), 7.37 (d, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.32 (t, $J$ = 7.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.24 (t, $J$ = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 6.44 (d, $J$ = 15.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.20 (td, $J$ = 7.3, 15.6 Hz, 1 H), 2.34 (d, $J$ = 7.3 Hz, 2 H), 1.17 (s, 6 H); $^{13}$C NMR (126MHz , CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ = 158.6, 137.4, 133.2, 128.5, 127.1, 126.1, 125.6, 44.4, 37.2, 25.2; FTIR: 3319 (br), 3027 (w), 2965 (m), 2925 (w), 1598 (w), 1496 (m), 1449 (s), 967 (s), 942 (s); LRMS [M+H]$^+$ calculated for C$_{13}$H$_{18}$NO: 238.09, found: 238.1
(1E,4E)-5-(4-chlorophenyl)-2,2-dimethylpent-4-enal oxime (S4b):

Following the general procedure (1.01 g, 85% yield over 2 steps) of the desired product was isolated as a white solid. $^1$H NMR (500MHz , CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ = 8.03 (s, 1 H), 7.40 (s, 1 H), 7.28 (s, 4 H), 6.37 (d, $J$ = 16.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.16 (td, $J$ = 7.3, 16.0 Hz, 3 H), 2.33 (dd, $J$ = 1.4, 7.3 Hz, 2 H), 1.15 (s, 6 H); $^{13}$C NMR (126MHz ,CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ = 158.4, 135.8, 132.7, 132.0, 128.6, 127.3, 126.5, 44.3, 37.2, 25.2; FTIR: 3325 (br), 3028 (w), 2967 (s), 2926 (m), 1652 (w), 1594 (w), 1491 (s), 1095 (s); LRMS [M+H]$^+$ calculated for C$_{13}$H$_{17}$ClNO: 204.13, found: 204.1

(1E,4E)-2,2-dimethyl-5-p-tolylpent-4-enal oxime (S4c):

Following the general procedure (870 mg, 80% yield over 2 steps) of the desired product was isolated as a white solid. $^1$H NMR (500MHz ,CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ = 8.60 - 7.97 (br. s, 1 H), 7.42 (s, 1 H), 7.27 (d, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.13 (d, $J$ = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 6.40 (d, $J$ = 15.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.15 (td, $J$ = 7.6, 15.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.35 (s, 3 H), 2.33 (dd, $J$ = 1.2, 7.6 Hz, 2 H), 1.16 (s, 6 H); $^{13}$C NMR (126MHz ,CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ = 158.6, 136.9, 134.6, 133.0, 129.2, 126.0, 124.5, 44.4, 37.2, 25.1, 21.1; FTIR: 3284 (m), 2966 (s), 2923 (m), 2869 (m), 1510 (m), 1453 (m), 1427 (m), 1309 (m); LRMS [M+H]$^+$ calculated for C$_{13}$H$_{20}$NO: 218.15, found: 218.1
(1E,4E)-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2,2-dimethylpent-4-enal oxime (S4d):

Following the general procedure (865 mg, 74% yield over 2 steps) of the desired product was isolated as a white solid. $^1$H NMR (500MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta = 8.19$ (s, 1 H), 7.42 (s, 1 H), 7.30 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 2 H), 6.86 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 2 H), 6.37 (d, $J = 15.6$ Hz, 1 H), 6.05 (td, $J = 7.3$, 15.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.82 (s, 3 H), 2.31 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 2 H), 1.15 (s, 6 H); $^{13}$C NMR (126MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta = 158.9$, 158.6, 132.5, 130.2, 127.2, 123.4, 113.9, 55.3, 44.4, 37.2, 25.1; FTIR: 3318 (br), 2964 (m), 2836 (w), 1607 (m), 1510 (s), 1463 (m), 1299 (m), 1246 (s); LRMS [M+H]$^+$ calculated for C$_{14}$H$_{20}$NO$_2$: 234.14, found: 234.1

(1E,4E)-5-(2-chlorophenyl)-2,2-dimethylpent-4-enal oxime (S4e):

Following the general procedure (825 mg, 69% yield over 2 steps) of the desired product was isolated as a white solid. $^1$H NMR (500MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta = 7.98$ (s, 1 H), 7.50 (dd, $J = 1.8$, 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.43 (s, 1 H), 7.35 (dd, $J = 1.4$, 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.19 (ddt, $J = 1.4$, 7.3, 23.8 Hz, 2 H), 6.80 (d, $J = 16.0$ Hz, 1 H), 6.17 (td, $J = 7.3$, 15.1 Hz, 1 H), 2.39 (dd, $J = 0.9$, 7.8 Hz, 2 H), 1.17 (s, 6 H); $^{13}$C NMR (126MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta = 158.5$, 135.6, 132.6, 129.6, 129.5, 128.9, 128.2, 126.9, 126.7, 44.4, 37.1, 25.2; FTIR: 3314 (br), 2966 (m), 2927 (w), 1649 (w), 1470 (s), 1440 (s), 1384 (m); LRMS [M+H]$^+$ calculated for C$_{13}$H$_{18}$NO: 238.09, found: 238.1
(1E,4E)-5-(3-chlorophenyl)-2,2-dimethylpent-4-enal oxime (S4f):

Following the general procedure (980 mg, 82% yield over 2 steps) of the desired product was isolated as a white solid. $^1$H NMR (500MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ = 7.76 (s, 1 H), 7.41 (s, 1 H), 7.35 (s, 1 H), 7.26 - 7.17 (m, 3 H), 6.36 (d, $J$ = 15.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.21 (td, $J$ = 7.8, 15.6 Hz, 1 H), 2.34 (d, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 2 H), 1.16 (s, 6 H); $^{13}$C NMR (126MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ = 158.5, 139.2, 134.4, 131.9, 129.7, 127.5, 127.1, 126.0, 124.4, 44.2, 37.1, 25.3; FTIR: 3319 (br), 2966 (s), 2927 (m), 1651 (w), 1594 (s), 1568 (s), 1474 (s), 1426 (s); LRMS [M+H]$^+$ calculated for C$_{13}$H$_{18}$NO: 238.09, found: 238.1

**Oxime reduction:** A solution of oxime S4a, b, e, or f (1.5 mmol) in acetic acid (2.5 mL) was cooled to 15 °C, and sodium cyanoborohydride (188 mg, 3.0 mmol) was added in one portion. After stirring at 15 °C for 5 mins, the mixture was warmed to 23 °C and stirred for 1 h. The reaction was quenched by the addition of 20% aq. potassium carbonate (20 mL) and extracted with diethyl ether. The organics were washed with 20% aq. potassium carbonate (20 mL) dried over magnesium sulfate, concentrated *in vacuo* and carried forward to the following reaction without further purification.

*To prevent excessive thermal cyclization, the hydroxylamine must be subjected to the Boc protection conditions immediately following workup.*

**Boc protection:** To crude hydroxylamine 17a, b, e, or f (1.5 mmol) in a solution of dichloromethane (10 mL) was added di-tert-butyl dicarbonate (1.3 g, 6.0 mmol) and triethylamine (1.7 mL, 12.0 mmol). The mixture was stirred at 23 °C for 14 h. Water (20 mL) was added, and the organics were extracted with dichloromethane (2 x 25 mL), washed with 1M
HCl (25 mL), dried over sodium sulfate, concentrated in vacuo and purified by flash chromatography on silica gel to give the desired Boc-protected hydroxylamine S5a, b, e, or f.

(E)-tert-butyl (tert-butoxycarbonyl)oxy(2,2-dimethyl-5-phenylpent-4-en-1-yl)carbamate (S5a):

Following the general procedure on a 3.5 mmol scale (1.18 g, 83% yield over 2 steps) of the desired product was isolated as a clear oil. $^1$H NMR (500MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ = 7.36 (d, $J$ = 7.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.30 (t, $J$ = 7.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.20 (t, $J$ = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 6.41 (d, $J$ = 16.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.25 (td, $J$ = 7.3, 16.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.69 (br. s, 1 H), 3.30 (br. s, 1 H), 2.21 (d, $J$ = 7.3 Hz, 2 H), 1.53 (s, 9 H), 1.48 (s, 9 H), 0.99 (s, 6 H); $^{13}$C NMR (126MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ = 155.3, 152.2, 137.7, 132.7, 128.4, 126.9, 126.7, 126.0, 84.8, 82.1, 60.3, 43.5, 35.8, 28.1, 27.6, 25.3; FTIR: 2979 (m), 2933 (w), 1781 (s), 1719 (s), 1369 (s), 1249 (s); LRMS [M+Na]$^+$ calculated for C$_{23}$H$_{35}$NNaO$_5$: 428.24, found: 428.1

(E)-tert-butyl (tert-butoxycarbonyl)oxy(5-(4-chlorophenyl)-2,2-dimethylpent-4-en-1-yl)carbamate (S5b):

Following the general procedure on a 1.0 mmol scale (220 mg, 50% yield over 2 steps) of the desired product was isolated as a clear oil. $^1$H NMR (500MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ = 7.29 - 7.22 (m, 4 H), 6.35 (d, $J$ = 15.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.22 (td, $J$ = 7.6, 15.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.65 (br. s, 1 H), 3.25 (br. s, 1 H), 2.19 (d, $J$ = 7.3 Hz, 2 H), 1.51 (s, 9 H), 1.47 (s, 9 H), 0.97 (s, 6 H); $^{13}$C NMR (126MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ = 155.3, 152.2, 136.2, 132.4, 131.5, 128.6, 127.6, 127.2, 84.8, 82.2, 60.3, 43.5, 35.8, 28.1,
27.6, 25.3; FTIR: 2978 (m), 2932 (w), 1782 (s), 1718 (s), 1491 (w), 1370 (s), 1248 (s); LRMS [M+Na]⁺ calculated for C₂₃H₃₅ClNNaO₅: 462.20, found: 462.1

(E)-tert-butyl (tert-butoxycarbonyl)oxy(5-(2-chlorophenyl)-2,2-dimethylpent-4-en-1-yl)carbamate (S5e):

Following the general procedure on a 1.0 mmol scale (257 mg, 58% yield over 2 steps) of the desired product was isolated as a clear oil. ¹H NMR (500MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.51 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.33 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.20 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.14 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 6.77 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.23 (td, J = 7.6, 15.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.67 (br. s, 1 H), 3.27 (br. s, 1 H), 2.25 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2 H), 1.52 (s, 9 H), 1.49 (s, 9 H), 1.00 (s, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (126MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 155.3, 152.2, 135.9, 132.5, 129.9, 129.5, 129.0, 127.9, 126.8, 126.7, 84.8, 82.2, 60.4, 43.6, 35.8, 28.1, 27.6, 25.3; FTIR: 2980 (m), 2932 (m), 1782 (m), 1719 (s), 1471 (m), 1370 (s), 1254 (s); LRMS [M+Na]⁺ calculated for C₂₃H₃₅ClNNaO₅: 462.20, found: 462.1

(E)-tert-butyl (tert-butoxycarbonyl)oxy(5-(3-chlorophenyl)-2,2-dimethylpent-4-en-1-yl)carbamate (S5f):

Following the general procedure (361 mg, 55% yield over 2 steps) of the desired product was isolated as a clear oil. ¹H NMR (500MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.34 (s, 1 H), 7.25 - 7.19 (m, 2 H), 7.19 - 7.14 (m, 1 H), 6.35 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.26 (td, J = 7.3, 15.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.65 (br. s, 1 H), 3.26 (br. s, 1 H), 2.21 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2 H), 1.52 (s, 9 H), 1.49 (s, 9 H), 0.98 (s, 6 H); ¹³C NMR
(126MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ = 155.3, 152.2, 139.6, 134.4, 131.4, 129.6, 128.5, 126.8, 125.9, 124.3, 84.9, 82.2, 60.3, 43.4, 35.8, 28.1, 27.6, 25.3; FTIR: 2979 (m), 2933 (w), 1780 (s), 1717 (s), 1594 (m), 1568 (w), 1475 (m), 1426 (m), 1369 (s); LRMS [M+Na]$^+$ calculated for C$_{23}$H$_{35}$ClNNaO$_5$: 462.20, found: 462.1

General synthetic route for the preparation of substrates 1g and 1j.

Nitrile alkylation: To a flame-dried 100 mL round-bottom flask was added diisopropyl amine (1.4 mL, 10 mmol) and tetrahydrofuran (20 mL) under nitrogen. The mixture was cooled to -78 °C, and nBuLi (4.2 mL of a 2.5 M solution in hexanes, 10.5 mmol) was added dropwise. The mixture was warmed to 0 °C and stirred for 10 minutes, then cooled to -78 °C. The nitrile (10 mmol) was then added dropwise as a solution in tetrahydrofuran (5 mL), and the mixture was warmed to 0 °C and stirred for 5 minutes. The bromide (12 mmol) was then added as a solution in tetrahydrofuran (5 mL), and the mixture was warmed to 23 °C and stirred for 14 h. The reaction was quenched by the addition of water (25 mL) and extracted with diethyl ether (3 x 25 mL). The organics were washed with water (25 mL) and brine (25 mL), dried over magnesium sulfate, and concentrated in vacuo. S7g was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel. S7j (2,2-dimethylpent-4-enenitrile) was carried on to the following reaction without further purification.
1-cinnamylcyclohexanecarbonitrile (S7g):

Following the general procedure, the alkylation was performed on a 10 mmol scale, and the desired product was isolated as a clear oil (1.82 g, 81% yield). The spectral data matched those reported in the literature.\(^\text{29}\)

**Nitrile reduction:** In a flame-dried 100 mL round-bottom flask a solution of nitrile (8.1 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (20 mL) was cooled to -78 °C. A solution of diisobutylaluminum hydride (12.2 mL of a 1.0 M solution in hexanes, 12.2 mmol) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 15 minutes, and warmed to 23 °C and stirred for 2 h. The mixture was cooled to 0 °C and 1 M aq. HCl was added (20 mL). Diethyl ether was added, and the mixture was stirred for 1 h at 23 °C. The phases were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether (2 x 25). The combined organics were dried over magnesium sulfate, and concentrated *in vacuo* to yield the aldehyde product, which was carried on to the following reaction without further purification.

**Oxime formation:** To the crude aldehyde (8.1 mmol) in a solution of methanol (65 mL) was added hydroxylamine hydrochloride (1.4 g, 20.25 mmol) and sodium acetate (1.7 g, 20.25 mmol). A reflux condenser was fitted to the flask, and the mixture heated at reflux for 14 h. Upon cooling to ambient temperature, water (~80 mL) was added to the reaction mixture, and the mixture was extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 35 mL). The organic phase was dried over

sodium sulfate, concentrated in vacuo, and purified by flash chromatography on silica gel to give the desired oxime.

\((E)-1\)-cinnamylcyclohexanecarbaldehyde oxime (S4g):

![Chemical Structure](image)

Following the general procedure (1.81 g, 92% over 2 steps) of the desired product was isolated as a clear oil. \(\text{\textsuperscript{1}}\text{H NMR (500MHz ,CDCl}_3\text{)} \delta = 7.97 \text{ (s, 1 H), 7.37 - 7.34 (m, 2 H), 7.33 (s, 1 H), 7.32 - 7.28 (m, 2 H), 7.24 - 7.19 (m, 1 H), 6.41 (d, } J = 15.6 \text{ Hz, 1 H), 6.18 (td, } J = 7.3, 15.1 \text{ Hz, 1 H), 2.35 (d, } J = 7.3 \text{ Hz, 2 H), 1.83 - 1.69 (m, 2 H), 1.62 - 1.25 (m, 8 H); }\text{\textsuperscript{13}}\text{C NMR (126MHz ,CDCl}_3\text{)} \delta = 157.8, 137.5, 132.9, 128.4, 127.1, 126.1, 125.5, 43.5, 40.6, 34.2, 26.0, 22.1; \text{FTIR: } 3320 \text{ (m), 3026 (m), 2926 (s), 2852 (m), 1649 (w), 1598 (w), 1495 (m), 1448 (s), 1305 (m); LRMS [M+H]^{+} \text{ calculated for C}_{16}\text{H}_{22}\text{NO: 244.16, found 244.2}

\((E)-2,2\)-dimethylpent-4-enal oxime (S4j):

![Chemical Structure](image)

Following the general procedure the desired product was isolated as a clear oil. \(\text{\textsuperscript{1}}\text{H NMR (500MHz ,CDCl}_3\text{)} \delta = 8.77 \text{ (br. s, 1 H), 7.35 (s, 1 H), 5.76 (m, 1H), 5.14 - 4.97 (m, 2 H), 2.16 (d, } J = 7.3 \text{ Hz, 2 H), 1.09 (s, 6 H); }\text{\textsuperscript{13}}\text{C NMR (126MHz ,CDCl}_3\text{)} \delta = 158.4, 133.8, 118.1, 45.2, 36.6, 25.0; \text{FTIR: } 3327 \text{ (br), 3078 (m), 2968 (s), 2910 (m), 1641 (m), 1462 (m), 1436 (m), 1384 (m), 1366 (m); LRMS [M+H]^{+} \text{ calculated for C}_{7}\text{H}_{14}\text{NO: 128.10, found 128.1}
**Oxime reduction:** A solution of oxime S4g, or j (1.5 mmol) in acetic acid (2.5 mL) was cooled to 15 °C, and sodium cyanoborohydride (188 mg, 3.0 mmol) was added in one portion. After stirring at 15 °C for 5 mins, the mixture was warmed to 23 °C and stirred for 1 h. The reaction was quenched by the addition of 20% aq. potassium carbonate (20 mL) and extracted with diethyl ether. The organics were washed 20% aq. potassium carbonate (20 mL), dried over magnesium sulfate, concentrated *in vacuo* and carried forward to the following reaction without further purification.

*To prevent excessive thermal cyclization, the hydroxylamine must be subjected to the Boc protection conditions immediately following workup.*

**Boc protection:** To crude hydroxylamine 17g or j (1.5 mmol) in a solution of dichloromethane (10 mL) was added di-tert-butyl dicarbonate (1.3 g, 6.0 mmol) and triethylamine (1.7 mL, 12.0 mmol). The mixture was stirred at 23 °C for 14 h. Water (20 mL) was added, and the organics were extracted with dichloromethane (2 x 25 mL), washed with 1M HCl (25 mL), dried over sodium sulfate, concentrated *in vacuo* and purified by flash chromatography on silica gel to give the desired Boc-protected hydroxylamine S5g or j.

*(E)-tert-butyl (tert-butoxycarbonyl)oxy((1-cinnamylcyclohexyl)methyl)carbamate (S5g):*

\[
\text{Ph}\text{\_}N\_\text{OBoc}\text{\_}Boc
\]

Following the general procedure (417 mg, 62% yield over 2 steps) of the desired product was isolated as a clear oil. \(^{1}\text{H NMR} (500MHz ,\text{CDCl}_3)\ \delta = 7.35 (d, \ J = 7.3 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ H}), 7.29 (t, \ J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ H}), 7.19 (t, \ J = 6.8 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 6.43 (d, \ J = 15.6 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 6.26 (td, \ J = 7.6, 15.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 3.84 - 3.70 (m, 1 \text{ H}), 3.38 - 3.25 (m, 1 \text{ H}), 2.30 (d, \ J = 7.3 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ H}), 1.50 (s, 9 \text{ H}), 1.48 (s, 9 \text{ H}),
1.47 - 1.32 (m, 10 H); $^{13}$C NMR (126MHz ,CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ = 155.5, 152.3, 137.9, 132.6, 128.4, 126.8, 126.6, 126.0, 84.8, 82.2, 57.3, 39.3, 37.9, 34.1, 33.6, 33.3, 28.1, 27.6, 26.1, 21.5; FTIR: 2980 (m), 2928 (m), 2855 (w), 1778 (s), 1715 (s), 1599 (w), 1455 (m), 1392 (m), 1369 (s), 1236 (s); LRMS [M+Na]$^+$ calculated for C$_{26}$H$_{39}$NNaO$_5$: 468.27, found 468.2

*tert*-butyl (*tert*-butoxycarbonyl)oxy(2,2-dimethylpent-4-en-1-yl)carbamate (S5j):

Following the general procedure on a 4.7 mmol scale (562 mg, 36% yield over 2 steps) of the desired product was isolated as a clear oil. $^1$H NMR (500MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ = 5.81 (s, 1 H), 5.09 - 4.95 (m, 2 H), 3.60 (br. s, 1 H), 3.22 (br. s, 1 H), 1.54 (s, 9 H), 1.47 (s, 9 H), 0.93 - 0.90 (m, 6 H); $^{13}$C NMR (126MHz ,CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ = 155.2, 152.2, 134.8, 117.4, 84.7, 82.1, 60.2, 44.5, 35.0, 28.1, 27.6, 25.1; FTIR: 3078 (m), 2982 (m), 1781 (s), 1715 (s), 1370 (s), 1248 (s); LRMS [M+Na]$^+$ calculated for C$_{17}$H$_{31}$NNaO$_5$: 352.21, found 352.1

**General synthetic route for the preparation of substrates 17h-i.**

Branched allylic alcohols **S2h-i** were synthesized via previously reported methods. The spectral data matched those reported in the literature.\textsuperscript{27}
(E)-methyl 5-phenylpent-4-enoate (S8h):

![Chemical structure of (E)-methyl 5-phenylpent-4-enoate](image)

Prepared by previously reported methods. The spectral data matched those reported in the literature.\(^\text{30}\)

(E)-methyl 5-(4-chlorophenyl)pent-4-enoate (S8i):

![Chemical structure of (E)-methyl 5-(4-chlorophenyl)pent-4-enoate](image)

To 1-(4-chlorophenyl)prop-2-en-1-ol (169 mg, 1.0 mmol) in a microwave vial was added trimethyl orthoacetate (0.673 mL, 5.0 mmol) and propionic acid (2 drops, catalytic). The vial was sealed, and heated at 140 °C for 14 h. The mixture was cooled, and purified by flash chromatography on silica gel to give the desired ester product (128 mg, 57% yield) as a white solid. \(^1\)H NMR (500MHz ,CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta = 7.26\) (s, 4 H), 6.39 (d, \(J = 15.6\) Hz, 1 H), 6.19 (td, \(J = 6.7, 15.9\) Hz, 1 H), 3.70 (s, 3 H), 2.58 - 2.46 (m, 4 H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (126MHz ,CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta = 173.3, 135.8, 132.7, 129.8, 129.1, 128.6, 127.3, 51.6, 33.7, 28.2\); FTIR: 3031 (w), 2951 (m), 1739 (s), 1492 (s), 1437 (m), 1169 (m)

**Ester reduction:** To a solution of ester (2.5 mmol) in dichloromethane (10 mL) at -78 °C was added diisobutylaluminum hydride (2.75 mL of a 1.0 M solution in hexanes, 2.75 mmol) dropwise. The mixture was stirred for 1 h at -78 °C. A saturated solution of Rochelle’s salt (15 mL) was added, and the mixture was warmed to 23 °C and stirred until a separation of phases

was observed. The organics were extracted with dichloromethane (2 x 25 mL), dried over sodium sulfate, concentrated in vacuo and carried forward to the following reaction without further purification.

**Oxime formation:** To the crude aldehyde (2.5 mmol) in a solution of methanol (20 mL) was added hydroxylamine hydrochloride (434 mg, 6.25 mmol) and sodium acetate (513 mg, 6.25 mmol). A reflux condenser was fitted to the flask, and the mixture heated at reflux for 14 h. Upon cooling to ambient temperature, water (~30 mL) was added to the reaction mixture, and the mixture was extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 15 mL). The organic phase was dried over sodium sulfate, concentrated in vacuo, and purified by flash chromatography on silica gel to give the desired oxime.

**(4E)-5-phenylpent-4-enal oxime (S9h):**

Following the general procedure on a 2.9 mmol scale, the desired product (400 mg, 79% yield over two steps) was isolated as a white solid as a 3.5:1 mixture of oxime isomers. The following NMR data is for the major isomer. $^1$H NMR (500MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta =$ 8.75 (br. s., 1 H), 7.39 - 7.34 (m, 2 H), 7.33 - 7.29 (m, 2 H), 7.25 - 7.19 (m, 1 H), 6.80 (t, $J = 5.3$ Hz, 1 H), 6.46 (d, $J = 16.0$ Hz, 1 H), 6.22 (td, $J = 6.7$, 15.9 Hz, 1 H), 2.59 (dt, $J = 5.5$, 7.3 Hz, 2 H), 2.48 - 2.37 (m, 2 H);

$^{13}$C NMR (126MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta =$ 151.8, 137.3, 130.9, 128.7, 128.5, 127.1, 126.0, 29.3, 24.5;

FTIR: 3189 (s), 3083 (s), 3027 (s), 2869 (s), 1666 (m), 1596 (w), 1577 (w), 1490 (s), 1320 (m), 1233 (m); LRMS [M+H]$^+$ calculated for C$_{11}$H$_{14}$NO: 176.10, found 176.2
(4E)-5-(4-chlorophenyl)pent-4-en-1-yl)hydroxylamine (S9i):

Following the general procedure on a 4.9 mmol scale, the desired product (800 mg, 78% yield over two steps) was isolated as a white solid. \(^1\)H NMR (500MHz ,CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta = 7.94 - 7.76\) (m, 1 H), 7.31 - 7.23 (m, 4 H), 6.79 (t, \(J = 5.5\) Hz, 1 H), 6.42 (d, \(J = 15.6\) Hz, 1 H), 6.20 (td, \(J = 6.8, 15.7\) Hz, 1 H), 2.59 (dt, \(J = 5.5, 7.3\) Hz, 2 H), 2.44 (q, \(J = 7.3\) Hz, 2 H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (126MHz ,CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta = 152.1, 136.1, 133.0, 130.0, 129.7, 128.9, 127.5, 29.5, 24.6\); FTIR: 3188 (m), 3087 (m), 3037 (m), 2874 (m), 1896 (w), 1723 (m), 1666 (m), 1594 (m), 1490 (s), 1445 (m), 1406 (m), 1352 (m); LRMS [M+H]\(^+\) calculated for C\(_{11}\)H\(_{13}\)ClNO: 210.06, found 210.1

**Oxime reduction:** A solution of oxime S9h, or i (1.5 mmol) in acetic acid (2.5 mL) was cooled to 15 °C, and sodium cyanoborohydride (188 mg, 3.0 mmol) was added in one portion. After stirring at 15 °C for 5 mins, the mixture was warmed to 23 °C and stirred for 1 h. The reaction was quenched by the addition of 20% aq. potassium carbonate (20 mL) and extracted with diethyl ether. The organics were washed with 20% aq. potassium carbonate (20 mL) dried over magnesium sulfate, concentrated in vacuo, and purified by flash chromatography on silica gel to give the desired hydroxylamine product.

(E)-N-(5-phenylpent-4-en-1-yl)hydroxylamine (17h):

Following the general procedure on a 2.3 mmol scale, the desired product was isolated as a white solid (195 mg, 48% yield). \(^1\)H NMR (500MHz ,CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta = 7.37 - 7.33\) (m, 2 H), 7.33 - 7.28 (m,
2 H), 7.23 - 7.19 (m, 1 H), 6.42 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.22 (td, J = 7.1, 15.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.01 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 2.29 (q, J = 6.9 Hz, 2 H), 1.76 (quin, J = 7.3 Hz, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 137.6, 130.4, 129.9, 128.5, 126.9, 125.9, 53.3, 30.5, 26.6; FTIR: 3265 (m), 3246 (m), 3122 (m), 3024 (m), 2944 (m), 2836 (m), 1513 (w), 1492 (m), 1451 (s), 1436 (m), 1374 (m), 1146 (m); LRMS [M+H]<sup>+</sup> calculated for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>16</sub>NO: 178.12, found 178.2

(E)-N-(5-(4-chlorophenyl)pent-4-en-1-yl)hydroxylamine (17i):

Following the general procedure on a 3.8 mmol scale, the desired product was isolated as a white solid (270 mg, 34% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 7.26 (s, 4 H), 6.36 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.19 (td, J = 6.9, 16.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.00 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 2.28 (q, J = 7.3 Hz, 2 H), 1.74 (quin, J = 7.3 Hz, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 136.1, 132.5, 130.7, 129.2, 128.6, 127.1, 53.3, 30.5, 26.6; FTIR: 3278 (m), 3149 (m), 2929 (s), 2828 (s), 1592 (w), 1544 (m), 1491 (s), 1432 (m), 1406 (m), 1371 (m); LRMS [M+H]<sup>+</sup> calculated for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>15</sub>ClNO: 212.08, found 212.1

4.7.4. General Procedure for the Thiourea-Catalyzed Cope-Type Hydroamination

Due to rapid thermal cyclization of hydroxylamine substrates, in many cases the hydroxylamine starting materials were generated in situ from the corresponding trifluoroacetate salts by the addition of aqueous potassium carbonate. This was necessary for hydroxylamines 17a-b, 17e-g and 17j (see methods A and B below).
For hydroxylamines 17c-d, it was not necessary to generate the hydroxylamine in situ, but they were not stable to purification by flash chromatography on silica gel. For these substrates, the hydroxylamine was generated from reduction of the parent oxime, and carried into the catalytic hydroamination without further purification (see method C below).

Hydroxylamines 17h-i were stable to purification by flash chromatography. These substrates were generated from the reduction of the parent oxime, purified by flash chromatography on silica gel, and then subjected to the catalytic reaction conditions (see method D below).

**Method A (catalyst screening):**

**Deprotection:** To a solution of Boc-protected hydroxylamine S5a (101 mg, 0.25 mmol) in dichloromethane (2.4 mL) was added trifluoroacetic acid (0.6 mL). The mixture was stirred for 1 h at 23 ºC, then concentrated in vacuo to yield salt 16a. The salt was left under reduced pressure (~0.5 torr) for 1 h, and then dissolved in dichloromethane (2.25 mL). 0.5 mL of solution was added to each of four one-dram vials, and the contents of the vials were concentrated. To one vial, mesitylene was added as an internal standard, and the amount of salt in that vial was determined by 1H NMR (0.046-0.049 mmol), which provided the yield for the deprotection (85-90%) and the amount of salt in the remaining three vials for the catalyst screening reactions.

**Hydroamination:** To a one dram vial containing trifluoroacetate salt 16a (0.046 mmol) was added hexanes (0.46 mL) and catalyst (0.0046 mmol). 20% aq. potassium carbonate (0.153 mL,
0.23 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred *vigorously* for 2 h. The mixture was extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 3 mL). *p*-Nitrobenzoyl chloride (34 mg, 0.18 mmol) and triethylamine (0.05 mL, 0.36 mmol) were added to the combined organics, and the mixture was stirred for 2 h. 20% aq. potassium carbonate (5 mL) was added, and the organics were extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 5 mL), dried over sodium sulfate, and concentrated *in vacuo*. Mesitylene was added as an internal standard, and the yield was determined by $^1$H NMR. The *O*-benzoylated product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel and the enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis.

**Method B (synthetic scale for substrates 17a-b, 17e-g and 17j):**

**Deprotection:** To a solution of Boc-protected hydroxylamine *S5a* (0.3 mmol) in dichloromethane (2.4 mL) was added trifluoroacetic acid (0.6 mL). The mixture was stirred for 1 h at 23 °C, then concentrated *in vacuo* to yield salt *16a*. The salt was left under reduced pressure (~0.5 torr) for 1 h, and then dissolved in dichloromethane (1.25 mL). 0.1 mL of the solution was added to a one-dram vial, and 1.0 mL of the solution was added to a 10 mL round bottom flask. The contents of the vial and flask were concentrated. To the vial, mesitylene was added as an internal standard, and the amount of salt in that vial was determined by $^1$H NMR (0.025 mmol), which allowed for the determination of the amount of salt in the round bottom flask (0.25 mmol).

**Hydroamination:** To round bottom flask containing trifluoroacetate salt *16a* (0.25 mmol) was added hexanes (2.5 mL) and catalyst *12f* (16.7 mg, 0.025 mmol). The mixture was cooled to 0 °C and 20% aq. potassium carbonate (0.864 mL, 1.25 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred *vigorously* for 12 h at 3 °C. The mixture was extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 10 mL). *p*-Nitrobenzoyl chloride (185 mg, 1.0 mmol) and triethylamine (0.279 mL, 2.0 mmol) were added
to the combined organics, and the mixture was stirred for 2 h. 20% aq. potassium carbonate (10 mL) was added, and the organics were extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 10 mL), dried over sodium sulfate, concentrated in vacuo and purified by flash chromatography on silica gel.

**Method C (synthetic scale for substrates 1c-d):**

**Oxime reduction:** A solution of oxime S4c (0.6 mmol) in acetic acid (1.2 mL) was cooled to 15 ºC, and sodium cyanoborohydride (75 mg, 1.2 mmol) was added in one portion. After stirring at 15 ºC for 5 mins, the mixture was warmed to 23 ºC and stirred for 1 h. The reaction was quenched by the addition of ~10 mL aq. potassium carbonate (200 mg/mL) and extracted with diethyl ether. The organics were washed with ~10 mL aq. potassium carbonate (200 mg/mL) dried over magnesium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo to yield the desired hydroxylamine.

The crude hydroxylamine was dissolved in diethyl ether (1.2 mL). 0.5 mL of solution was added to each of two 10 mL round bottom flasks, and the contents of the flasks were concentrated. Mesitylene was added to one of the flasks as an internal standard, and the amount of hydroxylamine (0.19 mmol) in that flask was determined by ¹H NMR, which allowed for the determination of the amount of hydroxylamine (0.19 mmol) present in the other round bottom flask, which was immediately carried forward to the catalytic hydroamination.

**Hydroamination:** To round bottom flask dram vial containing hydroxylamine 17c (0.19 mmol) was added hexanes (1.9 mL) and catalyst 12f (12.7 mg, 0.019 mmol). The mixture was stirred vigorously for 36 h at 3 ºC. To the mixture was added dichloromethane (10 mL), p-nitrobenzoyl chloride (141 mg, 0.76 mmol) and triethylamine (0.212 mL, 1.52 mmol), and the mixture was stirred for 2 h. 20% aq. potassium carbonate (10 mL) was added, and the organics were extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 10 mL), dried over sodium sulfate, concentrated in vacuo and purified by flash chromatography on silica gel.
Method D (synthetic scale for substrates 17h-i):

Hydroamination: To round bottom flask dram vial containing hydroxylamine 17h (0.20 mmol) was added hexanes (2.0 mL) and catalyst 12f (13.4 mg, 0.02 mmol). The mixture was stirred vigorously for 36 h at 3 ºC. To the mixture was added dichloromethane (10 mL), p-nitrobenzoyl chloride (141 mg, 0.76 mmol) and triethylamine (0.212 mL, 1.52 mmol), and the mixture was stirred for 2 h. 20% aq. potassium carbonate (10 mL) was added, and the organics were extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 10 mL), dried over sodium sulfate, concentrated in vacuo and purified by flash chromatography on silica gel.

4.7.5. Product Characterization

(S)-2-benzyl-4,4-dimethylpyrrolidin-1-yl 4-nitrobenzoate (18a-Bz):

According to the general procedure (method B), hydroxylamine 17a (0.25 mmol) was reacted for 12 h to give the desired O-benzoylated hydroxylamine 18a-Bz (74.0 mg, 83% yield) as a clear oil. The material was determined to be 94% ee by chiral HPLC analysis (AS-H, 5% IPA in hexanes, 1.0 mL/min, 254 nm, tₘajor= 7.2 min, tₘinor= 10.2 min) $\left[\alpha\right]_{D}^{25}=19.7^\circ$ (c=0.95, CHCl₃); $^1$H NMR (500MHz ,CDCl₃) δ = 8.21 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.91 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.24 - 7.16 (m, 4 H), 7.14 - 7.03 (m, 1 H), 3.66 (qd, J = 6.9, 10.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.57 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.04 (dd, J = 6.3, 13.7 Hz, 1 H), 2.85 (dd, J = 7.1, 13.4 Hz, 1 H), 2.79 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 1.82 (dd, J = 7.3, 13.2 Hz, 1 H), 1.63 - 1.53 (m, 1 H), 1.22 (s, 3 H), 1.15 (s, 3 H); $^{13}$C NMR (126MHz ,CDCl₃) δ = 163.3, 150.3, 139.1, 134.6, 130.4, 129.0, 128.3, 126.1, 123.3, 70.1, 69.1, 43.2, 40.1,
34.9, 30.4, 29.9; FTIR (neat, cm$^{-1}$): 3110 (w), 3059 (w), 3028 (m), 2868 (m), 1742 (s), 1606 (m), 1526 (s), 1347 (s), 1259 (s); LRMS [M+H]$^+$ calculated for C$_{20}$H$_{22}$N$_2$O$_4$: 355.16, found: 355.2

(S)-2-(4-chlorobenzyl)-4,4-dimethylpyrrolidin-1-yl 4-nitrobenzoate (18b-Bz):

According to the general procedure (method B), hydroxylamine 17b (0.20 mmol) was reacted for 5 h to give the desired O-benzoylated hydroxylamine 18b-Bz (67.5 mg, 87% yield) as a clear oil. The material was determined to be 95% ee by chiral HPLC analysis (AS-H, 5% IPA in hexanes, 1.0 mL/min, 254 nm, t$_r$(major)= 9.6 min, t$_r$(minor)= 17.6 min) $[\alpha]_D^{25}=25.1$° (c=0.46, CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (500MHz ,CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ = 8.21 (d, $J$ = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.88 (d, $J$ = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.15 - 7.08 (m, 4 H), 3.61 (qd, $J$ = 6.9, 10.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.53 (d, $J$ = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 2.93 (dd, $J$ = 6.8, 13.7 Hz, 1 H), 2.83 (dd, $J$ = 6.3, 13.7 Hz, 1 H), 2.77 (d, $J$ = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 1.80 (dd, $J$ = 7.6, 12.9 Hz, 1 H), 1.53 (m, 1 H), 1.19 (s, 3 H), 1.16 - 1.12 (m, 3 H); $^{13}$C NMR (126MHz ,CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ = 163.2, 150.4, 137.6, 134.3, 131.9, 130.3, 130.2, 128.4, 123.3, 70.0, 68.8, 43.1, 39.4, 34.8, 30.3, 29.9; FTIR (neat, cm$^{-1}$): 3111 (w), 2959 (m), 2929 (m), 2868 (m), 1742 (s), 1607 (m), 1526 (s), 1492 (m), 1259 (s); LRMS [M+H]$^+$ calculated for C$_{20}$H$_{22}$ClN$_2$O$_4$: 389.12, found: 389.1
(S)-4,4-dimethyl-2-(4-methylbenzyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl 4-nitrobenzoate (18c-Bz):

According to the general procedure (method C), hydroxylamine 17c (0.19 mmol) was reacted for 36 h to give the desired O-benzoylated hydroxylamine 18c-Bz (59.1 mg, 84% yield) as a clear oil. The material was determined to be 87% ee by chiral HPLC analysis (AS-H, 5% IPA in hexanes, 1.0 mL/min, 230 nm, t_r(major)= 6.4 min, t_r(minor)= 8.2 min) $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{24} = 23.3^\circ$ (c=0.84, CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (500MHz ,CDCl$_3$) $\delta = 8.21$ (d, $J = 9.2$ Hz, 2 H), 7.91 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 2 H), 7.09 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 2 H), 6.99 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 2 H), 3.63 (qd, $J = 7.0$, 10.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.56 (d, $J = 10.5$ Hz, 1 H), 2.99 (dd, $J = 6.4$, 13.7 Hz, 1 H), 2.87 - 2.74 (m, 2 H), 2.20 (s, 3 H), 1.82 (dd, $J = 7.3$, 12.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.64 - 1.51 (m, 1 H), 1.25 (s, 3 H), 1.15 (s, 3 H); $^{13}$C NMR (126MHz ,CDCl$_3$) $\delta =$ 163.3, 150.3, 136.0, 135.5, 134.6, 130.4, 129.0, 128.8, 123.2, 70.1, 69.2, 43.2, 39.6, 34.8, 30.4, 29.9, 20.8; FTIR (neat, cm$^{-1}$): 3111 (w), 2957 (m), 2867 (m), 1742 (s), 1607 (m), 1526 (s), 1451 (m), 1347 (s), 1258 (s); LRMS [M+H]$^+$ calculated for C$_{21}$H$_{24}$N$_2$O$_4$: 369.17, found: 369.1
(S)-2-(4-methoxybenzyl)-4,4-dimethylpyrrolidin-1-yl 4-nitrobenzoate (18d-Bz):

According to the general procedure (method C), hydroxylamine 17d (0.18 mmol) was reacted for 96 h to give the desired O-benzoylated hydroxylamine 18d-Bz (63.5 mg, 96% yield) as a clear oil. The material was determined to be 91% ee by chiral HPLC analysis (AS-H, 5% IPA in hexanes, 1.0 mL/min, 254 nm, t_r(major)= 9.7 min, t_r(minor)= 14.1 min) [α]_D^{25}=26.5 \degree (c=0.92, CHCl_3); ^1H NMR (500MHz ,CDCl_3) δ = 8.21 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2 H), 7.93 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2 H), 7.11 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2 H), 6.71 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2 H), 3.66 (s, 3 H), 3.61 (qd, J = 6.9, 10.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.55 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1 H), 2.96 (dd, J = 6.4, 13.7 Hz, 1 H), 2.83 - 2.74 (m, 2 H), 1.81 (dd, J = 7.3, 12.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.61 - 1.51 (m, 1 H), 1.21 (s, 3 H), 1.14 (s, 3 H); ^13C NMR (126MHz ,CDCl_3) δ = 163.3, 157.9, 150.3, 134.6, 131.1, 130.3, 129.8, 123.2, 113.7, 70.1, 69.2, 55.0, 43.1, 39.1, 34.8, 30.4, 29.9; FTIR (neat, cm\(^{-1}\)): 3112 (w), 2958 (m), 2868 (m), 1742 (s), 1610 (m), 1527 (s), 1513 (s), 1348 (m), 1249 (s); LRMS [M+H]^+ calculated for C_{21}H_{24}N_{2}O_{5}: 385.17, found: 385.1

(S)-2-(2-chlorobenzyl)-4,4-dimethylpyrrolidin-1-yl 4-nitrobenzoate (18e-Bz):

According to the general procedure (method B), hydroxylamine 17e (0.18 mmol) was reacted for 5 h to give the desired O-benzoylated hydroxylamine 18e-Bz (64.0 mg, 91% yield) as a clear oil.
The material was determined to be 92% ee by chiral HPLC analysis (AS-H, 5% IPA in hexanes, 1.0 mL/min, 254 nm, t_r(major)= 6.8 min, t_r(minor)= 8.0 min) \([\alpha]_D^{25}=3.2 \, ^\circ \, (c=0.67, \text{CHCl}_3)\); \(\mathrm{^1H}\) NMR (500MHz, CDCl\(_3\) \(\delta = 8.21 \, (d, \, J = 8.7 \, \text{Hz}, \, 2 \, \text{H}), \, 7.93 \, (d, \, J = 8.7 \, \text{Hz}, \, 2 \, \text{H}), \, 7.32 - 7.20 \, (m, \, 2 \, \text{H}), \, 7.02 \, (\text{dquin}, \, J = 1.6, \, 7.0 \, \text{Hz}, \, 2 \, \text{H}), \, 3.80 \, (\text{qd}, \, J = 6.9, \, 10.4 \, \text{Hz}, \, 1 \, \text{H}), \, 3.59 \, (d, \, J = 10.1 \, \text{Hz}, \, 1 \, \text{H}), \, 3.17 \, (\text{dd}, \, J = 6.6, \, 13.5 \, \text{Hz}, \, 1 \, \text{H}), \, 2.98 \, (\text{dd}, \, J = 6.6, \, 13.5 \, \text{Hz}, \, 1 \, \text{H}), \, 2.76 \, (d, \, J = 10.1 \, \text{Hz}, \, 1 \, \text{H}), \, 1.83 \, (\text{dd}, \, J = 7.3, \, 12.8 \, \text{Hz}, \, 1 \, \text{H}), \, 1.59 \, (\text{m}, \, 1 \, \text{H}), \, 1.27 - 1.20 \, (\text{m}, \, 1 \, \text{H}), \, 1.15 \, (s, \, 1 \, \text{H}); \(\mathrm{^13C}\) NMR (126MHz, CDCl\(_3\) \(\delta = 163.2, \, 150.3, \, 136.8, \, 134.5, \, 133.8, \, 131.5, \, 130.3, \, 129.4, \, 127.7, \, 126.7, \, 123.3, \, 70.0, \, 66.7, \, 43.0, \, 38.0, \, 34.8, \, 30.4, \, 29.9; \) FTIR (neat, cm\(^{-1}\)): 3111 (w), 2959 (m), 2868 (m), 1743 (s), 1527 (s), 1474 (m), 1444 (m), 1348 (s), 1259 (s); LRMS [M+H]\(^+\) calculated for C\(_{20}\)H\(_{22}\)ClN\(_2\)O\(_4\): 389.12, found: 389.1

\((S)-2-(3\text{-chlorobenzyl})-4,4\text{-dimethylpyrrolidin-1-yl} \text{ 4-nitrobenzoate (2f-Bz)}:\)

According to the general procedure (method B), hydroxylamine 17f (0.22 mmol) was reacted for 5 h to give the desired O-benzoylated hydroxylamine 18f-Bz (74.3 mg, 87% yield) as a clear oil. The material was determined to be 94% ee by chiral HPLC analysis (AS-H, 5% IPA in hexanes, 1.0 mL/min, 254 nm, t_r(major)= 8.8 min, t_r(minor)= 12.8 min) \([\alpha]_D^{25}=14.4 \, ^\circ \, (c=0.65, \text{CHCl}_3)\); \(\mathrm{^1H}\) NMR (500MHz, CDCl\(_3\) \(\delta = 8.22 \, (d, \, J = 8.2 \, \text{Hz}, \, 2 \, \text{H}), \, 7.92 \, (d, \, J = 8.7 \, \text{Hz}, \, 2 \, \text{H}), \, 7.20 \, (s, \, 1 \, \text{H}), \, 7.13 - 7.06 \, (m, \, 2 \, \text{H}), \, 7.03 - 6.98 \, (m, \, 1 \, \text{H}), \, 3.65 \, (\text{qd}, \, J = 7.1, \, 10.4 \, \text{Hz}, \, 1 \, \text{H}), \, 3.55 \, (d, \, J = 10.1 \, \text{Hz}, \, 1 \, \text{H}), \, 2.97 \, (\text{dd}, \, J = 6.6, \, 13.5 \, \text{Hz}, \, 1 \, \text{H}), \, 2.84 \, (\text{dd}, \, J = 6.4, \, 13.7 \, \text{Hz}, \, 1 \, \text{H}), \, 2.79 \, (d, \, J = 10.1 \, \text{Hz}, \, 1 \, \text{H}), \)
1.83 (dd, J = 7.8, 12.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.60 - 1.50 (m, 1 H), 1.21 (s, 3 H), 1.16 (s, 3 H); $^{13}$C NMR (126MHz ,CDCl$_3$) δ = 163.2, 150.4, 141.2, 134.4, 134.1, 130.3, 129.6, 129.0, 127.2, 126.3, 123.3, 70.2, 68.7, 43.1, 39.8, 34.9, 30.3, 29.9; FTIR (neat, cm$^{-1}$): 2958 (m), 2868 (m), 1745 (s) 1600 (m), 1528 (s), 1477 (w), 1349 (m), 1261 (s); LRMS [M+H]$^+$ calculated for C$_{20}$H$_{22}$ClN$_2$O$_4$: 389.12, found: 389.1

(S)-3-benzyl-2-azaspiro[4,5]decan-2-yl 4-nitrobenzoate (18g-Bz):

![Chemical structure]

According to the general procedure (method B), hydroxylamine 17g (0.20 mmol) was reacted for 5 h to give the desired O-benzoylated hydroxylamine 18g-Bz (64.8 mg, 82% yield) as a clear oil. The material was determined to be 96% ee by chiral HPLC analysis (AS-H, 5% IPA in hexanes, 1.0 mL/min, 254 nm, t$_r$(major)= 7.7 min, t$_r$(minor)= 10.6 min) $[^2]$D$^\alpha$=25.9 $^\circ$ (c=0.61, CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (500MHz ,CDCl$_3$) δ = 8.21 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.90 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.21 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 4 H), 7.13 - 7.06 (m, 1 H), 3.67 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.60 (qd, J = 6.8, 10.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.03 (dd, J = 6.3, 13.7 Hz, 1 H), 2.85 (dd, J = 6.8, 13.7 Hz, 1 H), 2.74 (d, J = 9.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.86 (dd, J = 7.1, 12.9 Hz, 1 H), 1.64 - 1.31 (m, 10 H); $^{13}$C NMR (126MHz ,CDCl$_3$) δ = 163.3, 150.3, 139.2, 134.6, 130.4, 128.9, 128.4, 126.1, 123.3, 68.3, 40.9, 40.0, 39.1, 38.9, 25.6, 23.4, 23.3; FTIR (neat, cm$^{-1}$): 3110 (w), 2926 (s), 2853 (m), 1743 (s), 1606 (m), 1527 (s), 1452 (m), 1319 (m), 1261 (s); LRMS [M+H]$^+$ calculated for C$_{23}$H$_{30}$N$_2$O$_4$: 395.19, found: 395.1
(R)-2-benzylpyrrolidin-1-yl 4-nitrobenzoate (18h-Bz):

According to the general procedure (method D), hydroxylamine 17g (0.20 mmol) was reacted for 72 h at 30 °C to give the desired O-benzoylated hydroxylamine 18f-Bz (44.6 mg, 68% yield) as a clear oil. The material was determined to be 81% ee by chiral HPLC analysis (AS-H, 10% IPA in hexanes, 1.0 mL/min, 254 nm, t_r(major)= 8.8 min, t_r(minor)= 10.0 min) \([\alpha]_{D}^{25} = 8.7^\circ (c=0.98, \text{CHCl}_3); \) \(^1\text{H} \text{NMR} (500\text{MHz, CDCl}_3) \delta = 8.22 (d, J = 8.7 \text{ Hz, 2 H}), 7.94 (d, J = 8.2 \text{ Hz, 2 H}), 7.24 - 7.16 (m, 4 H), 7.12 - 7.04 (m, 1 H), 3.77 - 3.65 (m, 1 H), 3.53 (qd, J = 7.1, 9.4 \text{ Hz, 1 H}), 3.14 - 2.96 (m, 2 H), 2.83 (dd, J = 7.3, 13.7 \text{ Hz, 1 H}), 2.14 - 1.86 (m, 3 H), 1.78 - 1.61 (m, 1 H); \(^{13}\text{C} \text{NMR} (126\text{MHz, CDCl}_3) \delta = 163.5, 150.4, 139.1, 134.6, 130.4, 128.9, 128.4, 126.1, 123.3, 69.1, 56.6, 39.8, 27.0, 20.0; \text{FTIR (neat, cm}^{-1}): 3110 (w), 3028 (w), 2977 (m), 2869 (m), 1741 (s), 1606 (m), 1526 (s), 1496 (m), 1347 (s), 1259 (s); \text{LRMS [M+H]}^+ \text{calculated for C}_{18}\text{H}_{18}\text{N}_2\text{O}_4: 327.13, \text{found: 327.1} \)

(R)-2-(4-chlorobenzyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl 4-nitrobenzoate (18h-Bz):

According to the general procedure (method D), hydroxylamine 17h (0.20 mmol) was reacted for 72 h at 30 °C to give the desired O-benzoylated hydroxylamine 18h-Bz (67.1 mg, 93% yield)
as a clear oil. The material was determined to be 83% ee by chiral HPLC analysis (AS-H, 10% IPA in hexanes, 1.0 mL/min, 254 nm, t_r(major)= 9.7 min, t_r(minor)= 12.2 min) \( [\alpha]^{25}_{D} = 17.0^\circ \) (c=1.45, CHCl₃); \(^1\)H NMR (500MHz, CDCl₃) \( \delta = 8.22 \) (d, \( J = 9.2 \) Hz, 2 H), 7.91 (d, \( J = 8.7 \) Hz, 2 H), 7.16 - 7.07 (m, 4 H), 3.68 (ddd, \( J = 4.1, 7.1, 10.8 \) Hz, 1 H), 3.48 (qd, \( J = 7.2, 9.2 \) Hz, 1 H), 3.09 - 2.90 (m, 2 H), 2.83 (dd, \( J = 6.4, 13.7 \) Hz, 1 H), 2.11 - 1.87 (m, 3 H), 1.73 - 1.57 (m, 1 H); \(^1^3\)C NMR (126MHz, CDCl₃) \( \delta = 163.4, 150.4, 137.5, 134.3, 132.0, 130.3, 128.4, 123.3, 68.8, 56.5, 39.2, 26.9, 19.9; \) FTIR (neat, cm\(^{-1}\)): 3019 (m), 2955 (m), 2864 (w), 1742 (s), 1607 (m), 1529 (s), 1492 (m), 1348 (m), 1261 (s), 1216 (s); LRMS [M+H]^+ calculated for C\(_{18}\)H\(_{18}\)ClN\(_2\)O\(_4\): 361.09, found: 361.0

\((S)-2,4,4\text{-trimethylpyrrolidin-1-yl 4-nitrobenzoate (2i-Bz)}:\)

![Structure of (S)-2,4,4-trimethylpyrrolidin-1-yl 4-nitrobenzoate](image)

According to the general procedure (method B) with the exception that 11e was used as the catalysy, hydroxylamine 17i (0.24 mmol) was reacted for 2 h at 3 °C to give the desired \( O\)-benzoylated hydroxylamine 18i-Bz (60.9 mg, 91% yield) as a clear oil. The material was determined to be 85% ee by chiral HPLC analysis (AD-H, 5% IPA in hexanes, 1.0 mL/min, 254 nm, t_r(major)= 11.0 min, t_r(minor)= 10.4 min) \( [\alpha]^{25}_{D} = 38.2^\circ \) (c=0.82, CHCl₃); \(^1\)H NMR (500MHz, CDCl₃) \( \delta = 8.27 \) (d, \( J = 8.7 \) Hz, 2 H), 8.16 (d, \( J = 8.7 \) Hz, 2 H), 3.58 - 3.38 (m, 2 H), 2.83 (d, \( J = 10.1 \) Hz, 1 H), 1.84 (dd, \( J = 7.3, 12.8 \) Hz, 1 H), 1.49 (m, 1 H), 1.24 (d, \( J = 6.0 \) Hz, 3 H), 1.21 (s, 3 H), 1.16 (s, 3 H); \(^1^3\)C NMR (126MHz, CDCl₃) \( \delta = 163.4, 150.4, 134.8, 130.4, 123.5, 70.1, 63.7, 45.1, 35.3, 30.6, 30.1, 17.8; \) FTIR (neat, cm\(^{-1}\)): 3112 (w), 2960 (m), 2868 (m), 1742 (s), 1607
(m), 1526 (s), 1347 (s), 1258 (s); LRMS [M+H]+ calculated for C_{14}H_{18}N_{2}O_{4}: 279.13, found: 279.1

4.7.6. Reduction of O-Benzoylated Products

Hydroxylamines can be reduced to the corresponding amine under a variety of conditions.\textsuperscript{31}

**Reduction of O-benzoylated hydroxylamine product 2a-Bz:**

To 18a-Bz (24 mg, 0.068 mmol) was added water (2.5 mL) and zinc powder (44.5 mg, 0.68 mmol). The mixture was stirred, and concentrated HCl (0.5 mL) was added slowly. A reflux condenser was fitted to the flask, and the mixture was heated at 80 °C for 1 h. The mixture was cooled to 0 °C, and 4M sodium hydroxide was added (3 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 15 mL). The organics were dried over sodium sulfate, and concentrated in vacuo to give amine S10 (75% yield by \textsuperscript{1}H NMR analysis using mesitylene as internal standard). The spectral data for S10 matched those reported in the literature.\textsuperscript{32}

S10 was benzoylated in order to facilitate analysis by HPLC.

To a solution of amine S10 (0.051 mmol) in dichloromethane (2 mL) was added p-NO\(_2\) benzoyl chloride (28 mg, 0.15 mmol) and triethylamine (0.042 mL, 0.3 mmol). The reaction was stirred


for 14 h, then quenched by the addition of 20% aq. potassium carbonate (2 mL). The organics were extracted with dichloromethane, concentrated in vacuo and purified by chromatography on silica gel to give the product as a white solid.

(2-benzyl-4,4-dimethylpyrrolidin-1-yl)(4-nitrophenyl)methanone (S11):

\[
\left[\alpha\right]_{D}^{23} = 132.6^\circ \text{ (c=0.46, CHCl}_3\text{)}; \quad ^1H \text{ NMR (500MHz ,CDCl}_3\text{) } \delta = 8.29 \text{ (d, } J = 8.7 \text{ Hz, 2 H)}, \ 7.68 \text{ (d, } J = 8.7 \text{ Hz, 2 H)}, \ 7.38 - 7.32 \text{ (m, 2 H)}, \ 7.30 - 7.25 \text{ (m, 3 H)}, \ 4.65 - 4.52 \text{ (m, 1 H)}, \ 3.30 \text{ (dd, } J = 3.2, 12.8 \text{ Hz, 1 H)}, \ 3.00 \text{ (dd, } J = 8.5, 13.0 \text{ Hz, 1 H)}, \ 2.95 \text{ (s, 2 H)}, \ 1.79 \text{ (dd, } J = 7.6, 12.6 \text{ Hz, 1 H)}, \ 1.65 \text{ (dd, } J = 10.1, 12.4 \text{ Hz, 1 H)}, \ 0.98 \text{ (s, 3 H)}, \ 0.89 \text{ (s, 3 H)}; \quad ^13C \text{ NMR (126MHz ,CDCl}_3\text{) } \delta = 167.9, \ 148.6, \ 142.9, \ 137.8, \ 129.8, \ 128.5, \ 128.3, \ 126.5, \ 123.7, \ 63.0, \ 58.1, \ 43.8, \ 38.7, \ 38.2, \ 25.5, \ 25.5; \quad \text{FTIR: } \ 3106 \text{ (w), } 3028 \text{ (w), } 2958 \text{ (m), } 2869 \text{ (m), } 1631 \text{ (s), } 1522 \text{ (s), } 1494 \text{ (m), } 1422 \text{ (s), } 1349 \text{ (s), } 1292 \text{ (m), } 1210 \text{ (m)}; \quad \text{LRMS [M+H]^+ calculated for C}_{20}\text{H}_{23}\text{N}_2\text{O}_3: } 339.16, \text{ found: 339.2}
\]

For enantioenriched 18a-Bz (94% ee) no erosion of enantioenrichment was observed during the sequence.
4.7.7. Absolute Stereochemistry Determination

Absolute stereochemistry was determined by derivatization of the pyrrolidine $\text{S10}$ to corresponding Mosher amide and comparison of the $^{19}\text{F}$ spectroscopic data to the previously assigned configuration for that compound.$^{32,33}$

**Procedure for Mosher amide formation and $^{19}\text{F}$ NMR Analysis:**

$(R)$-Mosher acid was converted to the corresponding $(S)$-Mosher acid chloride according to the literature procedure.$^{34}$

Amine $\text{S10}$ (0.04 mmol) was diluted with CDCl$_3$ (1.0 mL). DIPEA (0.017 mL, 0.1 mmol) and $(S)$-Mosher acid chloride (0.6 mmol) were added to the mixture with stirring. After 5 minutes, the reaction mixture was analyzed by $^{19}\text{F}$ NMR analysis at 50 ºC.

**Mosher adduct:** $^{19}\text{F}$ NMR (CDCl$_3$, 50 ºC) $\delta$ $-70.0$ (major), $-71.0$ (minor). Referenced to the $(S)$-Mosher acid chloride resonance at $-70.5$

4.7.8. Calculations$^{35}$

Calculations were executed at Harvard University on the Odyssey research computing cluster using the Gaussian 03$^{36}$ program with the B3LYP$^{37}$ method. The 6-311+G(d,p) basis sets of


$^{35}$ Calculations carried out by Dr. Christopher Uyeda.

Pople and co-workers was used.\textsuperscript{38} All stationary points are fully optimized and verified by frequency calculations using the rigid-rotor/harmonic-oscillator assumption. Transition structures were characterized by the existence of a single imaginary frequency corresponding to the process of interest and local minima were characterized by the absence of any imaginary frequencies. Energies are reported in units of hartrees.

![Molecule Diagram]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Charge: 0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multiplicity: 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E = −327.15100351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imaginary Frequencies: 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C</th>
<th>3.67166900</th>
<th>0.01703700</th>
<th>-0.47312900</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>4.58147700</td>
<td>-0.57175000</td>
<td>-0.43938300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>3.64671700</td>
<td>0.83789800</td>
<td>-1.18398000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2.63668600</td>
<td>-0.25093600</td>
<td>0.31995900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>2.71023100</td>
<td>-1.08632000</td>
<td>1.01574300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>-2.30526600</td>
<td>-0.50531100</td>
<td>-0.26580900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>-2.32633700</td>
<td>-1.27524700</td>
<td>0.40091000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>-3.54145400</td>
<td>0.19552000</td>
<td>0.00268800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>-3.99961500</td>
<td>0.16140100</td>
<td>-0.84372700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


C                  1.33837000    0.50536100    0.34147200
H                  1.38954200    1.34375700   -0.36144300
H                  1.19329500    0.93952500    1.33974700
C                  -1.19582400    0.38248700    0.09291200
C                  0.12570700   -0.38160900    0.00683400
H                  -1.33101700    0.80597300    1.09897800
H                  -1.19845400    1.21540800   -0.61621400
H                  0.10226400   -1.23380300    0.69870100
H                  0.24073900   -0.79786100   -0.99846100

Charge: 0
Multiplicity: 1
E = −327.11568012
Imaginary Frequencies: 1
Charge: 0
Multiplicity: 1

\( E = -327.14862822 \)

Imaginary Frequencies: 0

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{C} & 1.1926500 & 1.0157620 \quad -0.2401020 \\
\text{H} & 1.8254450 & 1.8415310 \quad 0.0989010 \\
\text{H} & 0.9886900 & 1.1551990 \quad -1.3029740 \\
\text{H} & 2.1452700 & -0.4154200 \quad 1.0757480 \\
\text{H} & 2.6891760 & -0.5281190 \quad -0.5938710 \\
\end{array}
\]
Charge: 0
Multiplicity: 1

\[ E = -327.16397954 \]

Imaginary Frequencies: 0
Charge: 0
Multiplicity: 1
\[ E = -954.09497369 \]
Imaginary Frequencies: 0

N    -1.10540200  2.13222700  0.11811100
O    -0.22156800  1.49381200  -0.84388500
H    1.72691000  -2.85370200  0.71167400
C    1.47981500  -2.44748200  -0.27186700
H    0.43601500  -2.67034900  -0.50107500
H    2.12184700  -2.93906700  -1.00732900
N    1.64650400  -1.00504900  -0.30167000
C    2.82689700  -0.37402100  -0.05409800
H    0.85035000  -0.43743600  -0.55996400
N    2.77084600  0.98167200  -0.15985700
C    3.91787500  1.85445100  0.01918100
H    1.89271500  1.39633400  -0.44398200
H    3.58201200  2.88409700  -0.11846300
H    4.34394400  1.74615700  1.01910400
H    4.70605100  1.63378100  -0.70557800
S    4.23644200  -1.20102800  0.35410400
C    -1.91167400  1.09812200  0.77635100
H    -2.32649300  1.58564700  1.66650100
H    -1.28725200  0.26117800  1.12121500
H    -0.48058000  1.88914900  -1.68387900
H    -0.45573200  2.51880600  0.79900000
C  -3.04180000  0.59272100  -0.11731200
H  -3.64976500  1.44407400  -0.43923900
H  -2.61390100  0.14661000  -1.02168100
C  -3.93158100  -0.44427000  0.59058200
H  -4.38506900  0.00065500  1.48325300
H  -3.30096700  -1.27355100  0.93898000
C  -5.01014700  -0.99111200  -0.30185700
H  -4.66770400  -1.50011000  -1.20225400
C  -6.31812900  -0.89255800  -0.07619600
H  -6.70744700  -0.39480800  0.80721700
H  -7.04664500  -1.30857500  -0.76288000

Charge: 0
Multiplicity: 1
E = -954.06448261
Imaginary Frequencies: 1

C   3.05277400  1.32599300  1.37960000
H   2.79677300  2.35371200  1.62413300
H   3.72048300  0.84558400  2.09219500
C   3.19060600  0.98334200  0.02544800
H   2.90816700  1.71113400  -0.73066900
N   1.40717700  -0.16752000  -0.16552600
H   0.70763300  0.24720500  -0.78043700
O   0.98376700  -0.12321800  1.12078900
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>X Coordinates</th>
<th>Y Coordinates</th>
<th>Z Coordinates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>1.88645100</td>
<td>0.61196300</td>
<td>1.55593900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>-2.72282700</td>
<td>-2.71236100</td>
<td>-0.04319300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>-2.57804700</td>
<td>-2.22393200</td>
<td>0.92467200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>-1.97583000</td>
<td>-2.86733500</td>
<td>1.56828800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>-3.56225200</td>
<td>-2.08375200</td>
<td>1.37686400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>-1.88401200</td>
<td>-0.95606300</td>
<td>0.78581000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>-2.36350500</td>
<td>0.09183600</td>
<td>0.07099900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>-0.94508000</td>
<td>-0.87669500</td>
<td>1.16484300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>-1.51873200</td>
<td>1.17490900</td>
<td>0.03885500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>-1.93914500</td>
<td>2.48011500</td>
<td>-0.45092800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>-0.77374500</td>
<td>1.16488600</td>
<td>0.72742500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>-1.08380200</td>
<td>3.15591300</td>
<td>-0.38702600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>-2.26297200</td>
<td>2.40865300</td>
<td>-1.48923300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>-2.76953300</td>
<td>2.89219900</td>
<td>0.13176000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>-3.84493900</td>
<td>0.06396400</td>
<td>-0.72686200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1.96672000</td>
<td>-1.43874000</td>
<td>-0.65018300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>1.25323400</td>
<td>-1.96173400</td>
<td>-1.29344800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>2.15000300</td>
<td>-2.05512900</td>
<td>0.23181800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>4.06469600</td>
<td>-0.14715700</td>
<td>-0.45866700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>4.92796100</td>
<td>0.26179200</td>
<td>-0.99511300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>4.45517100</td>
<td>-0.69846800</td>
<td>0.40317000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>3.27429300</td>
<td>-1.10120400</td>
<td>-1.37105800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>3.05464700</td>
<td>-0.60988300</td>
<td>-2.32577000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>3.84304000</td>
<td>-2.00614900</td>
<td>-1.60133800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Charge: 0
Multiplicity: 1

\[ E = -954.10632561 \]

Imaginary Frequencies: 0

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{C} & : 2.61417900 \quad 2.06656800 \quad 0.46394700 \\
\text{H} & : 1.82467400 \quad 2.73393000 \quad 0.10755200 \\
\text{H} & : 3.56263900 \quad 2.60503400 \quad 0.39353400 \\
\text{C} & : 2.69284400 \quad 0.79993600 \quad -0.36483100 \\
\text{H} & : 2.75890300 \quad 1.04453200 \quad -1.43171800 \\
\text{N} & : 1.43162400 \quad -0.05195500 \quad -0.23724900 \\
\text{H} & : 0.65443600 \quad 0.39208600 \quad -0.75645600 \\
\text{O} & : 1.04914800 \quad -0.23432800 \quad 1.06955700 \\
\text{H} & : -2.56812800 \quad -2.73618600 \quad -0.37422500 \\
\text{C} & : -2.35428300 \quad -2.37495400 \quad 0.63594700 \\
\text{H} & : -1.71329000 \quad -3.09384600 \quad 1.14947300 \\
\text{H} & : -3.30604600 \quad -2.30032200 \quad 1.1678400 \\
\text{N} & : -1.66556700 \quad -1.09839000 \quad 0.61342400 \\
\text{C} & : -2.19365300 \quad 0.03169500 \quad 0.08996400 \\
\text{H} & : -0.71045000 \quad -1.03458200 \quad 0.97938500 \\
\text{N} & : -1.35087600 \quad 1.12167200 \quad 0.18141700 \\
\text{C} & : -1.82967800 \quad 2.47937800 \quad -0.04330100 \\
\text{H} & : -0.60313000 \quad 1.00899900 \quad 0.86749300 \\
\text{H} & : -0.98699300 \quad 3.16149200 \quad 0.08935300 \\
\text{H} & : -2.21873700 \quad 2.58225100 \quad -1.05610500 \\
\text{H} & : -2.62910500 \quad 2.75721900 \quad 0.65171200 \\
\text{S} & : -3.71228000 \quad 0.10376900 \quad -0.63343200 \\
\text{C} & : 1.83125000 \quad -1.34406700 \quad -0.89720000 \\
\text{H} & : 1.80229400 \quad -1.18686900 \quad -1.97969900 \\
\text{H} & : 1.10039700 \quad -2.09914400 \quad -0.62031600 \\
\text{C} & : 3.79833100 \quad -0.19631800 \quad 0.01311800 \\
\text{H} & : 4.72840900 \quad 0.05478200 \quad -0.49972300 \\
\text{H} & : 3.98284900 \quad -0.14966400 \quad 1.08670300 \\
\text{C} & : 3.25719300 \quad -1.59685500 \quad -0.38528900 \\
\end{align*}
\]
Charge: 0

Multiplicity: 1

\[ E = -954.10969404 \]

Imaginary Frequencies: 0
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2.32366900</td>
<td>-2.47013200</td>
<td>-0.24823700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.86648600</td>
<td>-1.00002800</td>
<td>0.23919000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>1.47876700</td>
<td>-3.15400600</td>
<td>-0.14585200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>2.72828400</td>
<td>-2.54830800</td>
<td>-1.26073700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>3.11101400</td>
<td>-2.76587200</td>
<td>0.44947600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>4.28612300</td>
<td>-0.08531300</td>
<td>-0.35653000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>-2.75403400</td>
<td>1.43091200</td>
<td>0.16449300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>-2.19646600</td>
<td>2.33968100</td>
<td>-0.06583500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>-3.05070500</td>
<td>1.47801100</td>
<td>1.22655400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>-3.91808800</td>
<td>-0.31215900</td>
<td>-1.12157900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>-3.63646400</td>
<td>-0.42855400</td>
<td>-2.16896500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>-4.87600600</td>
<td>-0.81497600</td>
<td>-0.97931900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>-3.97558200</td>
<td>1.19981700</td>
<td>-0.74530400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>-3.92272200</td>
<td>1.82165200</td>
<td>-1.63931000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>-4.89974200</td>
<td>1.45709700</td>
<td>-0.22565300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>-3.97489600</td>
<td>-0.71572200</td>
<td>1.65044300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>-1.31431600</td>
<td>0.04817000</td>
<td>1.75417300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>