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Muffled Dialect Spoken by Green Fruit:
An Alternative History of Modern Chinese Poetry*

Xiaofei Tian
Harvard University

Prologue: Poetry in Transit and Translation

In early 1882, the late Qing poet Huang Zunxian (1848-1905), who was serving as assistant to the Chinese ambassador to Japan at the time, was appointed as Chinese consul general to the United States in San Francisco. Huang Zunxian departed from Japan by sea on the eighteenth of the first month (March 3) and arrived at San Francisco on the twelfth of the second month (March 30). During the long ocean voyage he composed a series of quatrains, collectively entitled “Various Responses on an Ocean Voyage” (“Haixing zagan”). No. 13 of the poetic series muses, as befitting someone traveling to a foreign land whose tongue he does not know, on the issue of language and communication:

拍拍群鷗逐我飛   Flapping and fluttering their wings, gulls fly after me;
不曾相識各天涯   we do not know each other, each at the edge of sky.
欲憑鳥語時通訊   I wish to convey a message by way of birds’ talk,

* This essay is based on a talk given at the Fairbank Center for Chinese Studies in May, 2007. I am grateful for the constructive feedback offered by Kirk A. Denton and for the useful comments made the anonymous readers. I alone am responsible for all the errors and defects that remain.
Birds have always acted as messengers in Chinese poetry. The third century poet Ruan Ji (210-263) wrote in “Singing of My Cares” (“Yonghuai”) No. 36:

寄言東飛鳥 I send word by the eastward flying bird,
可用慰我情 it may console my feelings. (1987: 317)

Sometimes the bird rejects the poet’s supplication, as in Cao Pi’s (187-226) “Ballad of Qiuhu” (“Qiuhu xing”):

寄言飛鳥 I want to send word by a flying bird,
告余不能 it tells me it cannot. (Lu 1995: 396)

In the nineteenth-century quatrain cited above, the poet was, however, caught in a particular dilemma. At first glance there seems to be an apparent discrepancy between the two categories of “birds’ talk” (niaoyu) and “Chinese” (huayan), for the natural counterpart of “birds’ talk” should be “human talk”; and yet, it is in this discrepancy where the irony of the poem lies. As early as in the second century, “birds’ talk” has been used to refer to the language or languages spoken by the non-Han Chinese people in south and southwestern China. Fan Ye’s (398-445) The History of the Latter Han mentions that an able magistrate of Xuancheng (in modern Anhui) forced “all people who lived in the distant forests, bound their hair in a single bun like a pestle and talked like birds” to relocate, and as a result “there were no more bandits
and thieves in the territory” (1965: 1286). The phrase was used again in “The Biographies of the Southern and Southwestern Barbarians,” referring to the non-Han people as “the species living in caves like animals and talking like birds” (Fan 1965: 2860). The commentary to The History of the Latter Han explains that it is because the language spoken by those people “sounds like the twittering of birds.” In the quatrain written during his ocean voyage from one foreign country to another, Huang Zunxian seems to be playing with the double meanings of niaoyu: the squeaking of gulls and the equally incomprehensible non-Chinese language. The true irony is, of course, that Huang Zunxian, coming from a Guangdong Hakka family, would have been considered a niaoyu-speaker himself by the northern Chinese who hailed from the heartland of China in an earlier era.

The birds in Huang’s poem are not just any birds: they are gulls, a species that had acquired a particular meaning in the Chinese poetic tradition. Any educated premodern Chinese reader would immediately recognize in these lines the story from the fourth-century Daoist work Liezi. In the story, a man living by the ocean played with gulls every day until one day his father told him to catch one for him. On that day, when the man went out to the sea, the gulls circled above his boat but would not come down again (1987: 67-68). In this story the man did not have to speak a single word or even do anything: the loss of innocence and the presence of a motivation were enough to keep the birds away. In Huang Zunxian’s poem, gulls were “following” the poet, not, however, because of his innocence, as there is plenty of worrying and calculating on his part, but because of the blocked communication caused by a linguistic barrier. The Liezi story assumed an intuitive understanding between man and nature; Huang Zunxian’s poem has lost that innocence: any poem is a linguistic construct; this particular poem is the product of a fallen world of confused tongues.
This observation is poignantly relevant to Huang’s poem as the poet continues to play word games in the second half of the poem by placing *huayan* in the corresponding position of *niaoyu*. *Huayan*, the Chinese language, also means “flowery language;” flower and bird are a common pair of “matching terms” in a parallel couplet, the most characteristic device in traditional Chinese poetry. Yet the effect is completely lost in English translation, just as the *Liezi* echo would be lost to a modern Chinese reader of average education. As Huang Zunxian’s poem travels across the Pacific Ocean, it painfully straddles several overlapping borders.

Puns, however, are dangerous. *Huayan*, the Chinese language/the flowery and splendid language, had also been used to refer to “fancy talk”—ornate but insubstantial, beautiful but insincere. The deadlock opposition of patterning, *wen*, and substance, *zhi*, is almost as old as the Chinese cultural and literary discourse itself, but in Huang’s poem it acquires a new meaning: in the context of the *Liezi* allusion, *huayan* is not “embellished language,” but it is language itself that becomes *hua* in the sense of superficial and superfluous. In the context of Chinese-English and premodern-modern crossings, Huang Zunxian’s clever word game is rendered meaningless and, again, superfluous. This is a poem about language, and it turns out that the poet is no longer in control of language and indeed has never been. Educated in the traditional belief in the fundamental superiority of Han Chinese culture, and yet living at a time when that belief was profoundly shaken by coming into contact with a powerful and complicated cultural Other, i.e. the Western nations, the poet does, in the end, manage to convey a sense of deep ambivalence by his ironic use of the terms “bird talk” and “flowery language.”

The poem written during the ocean voyage undertaken by the late nineteenth century poet is allegorical. While it is true that many traditional Chinese poets were always on the move, going from one place to another, Huang Zunxian was the first major Chinese poet who had
traveled extensively across the globe—from East Asia to Southeast Asia, from North America to Europe—and wrote a poetry in transit and about transit in both spatial and temporal terms. Huang Zunxian’s concern that the seagulls encountered during his voyage on the Pacific Ocean do not speak Chinese summarizes the quintessential problem faced by a modern Chinese poet: namely, the problem of understanding, communication, and translation, all happening in a vast watery space—undifferentiated nature—marked by national and international territories as well as the International Date Line.

Constructing Stories of Modern Chinese Poetry

For a long time the history of modern Chinese poetry has been articulated in a binary framework. The story is simple enough: the New Culture Movement had done away with traditional culture and new-style poetry—poetry in the vernacular—had vanquished old-style poetry, i.e. poetry in traditional forms such as “regulated verse” (lūshi) or quatrains in the five- and seven-syllable line. It is a militant, black-and-white, and yet very effective narrative, as black-and-white narratives tend to be. It is worthwhile, however, to trace the origin of such a narrative, and to examine it against reality.

China went through profound socio-political and cultural changes in the early twentieth century. The revolution in social life and public sphere found its parallel and expression in literary revolution. The narrative of vernacular poetry being fundamentally antagonistic to, and eventually taking the place of, poetry in traditional forms was constructed out of an ideological necessity; it was part and parcel of the revolutionary discourse of the day. Old-style poetry was
seen the worst enemy of new-style poetry, and became so by virtue of the adversarial position adopted by many new-style poetry advocates. Nearly a century later, there can be no doubt that new-style poetry has won: it occupies an illustrious position in literary and scholarly establishments, is part of school textbooks and university curriculum (while no course is ever given on modern old-style poetry), and most important, has achieved aesthetic success with an array of excellent poets and great poems.

The beautiful simplicity of the narrative culminating in the final victory of new-style poetry is, however, marred by one equally simple fact: that is, nearly a hundred years after the Literary Revolution, old-style poetry is apparently alive and well, enjoying a large readership in China, Hong Kong, Taiwan and overseas Chinese communities. There are journals that are devoted to old-style poetry; anthologies of old-style poetry are made and published; clubs, societies, and groups of fans of old-style poetry are formed in physical and virtual reality, as poetry websites, which have attracted a huge audience in the past decade, either give separate space to old-style poetry or are exclusively to dedicated it. Indeed, in recent years more and more scholars of modern Chinese literature have come to recognize this curious phenomenon, and a number of studies of modern old-style poetry, in the form of books and articles, have appeared both in China and abroad.¹

¹ For books and articles on modern old-style poetry, see, for instance, Hu Yingjian’s Minguo jiuti shi shigao (2005), Jon Kowallis’ The Subtle Revolution (2005), Wu Shengqing’s substantial article “‘Old Learning’ and the Refeminization of Modern Space in the Lyric Poetry of Lü Bicheng” (2004: 1-75) as well as her essay “Contested Fengya: Classical-Style Poetry Clubs in Early Republican China” included in the volume Literary Societies in Republican China (Denton and Hockx 2008: 15-46). For anthologies of old-style poetry, see, for instance, Qian Liquan and Yuan Benliang, eds. Ershi shiji shici zhuping. Zhonghua shici, a journal exclusively devoted to old-style poetry, boasts the largest print run (25,000 copies) of poetry journals in mainland China.
Could it be a battle with no winner or loser? Or, to put the question in another way: could there be no battle in the first place? If, to borrow the words of a scholar of Chinese literature, “as we now understand, the ‘newness’ of new literature and poetry is an artificial construction on the epistemological break,” then perhaps the imagined contestation between “old” and “new” also needs to be revisited, as the temporal distance from the vested interests and heated debates in the early part of the twentieth century no longer have to get in the way of a more clear-headed perspective. Perhaps the time has come, as a number of Chinese scholars begin to realize, for a different sort of history of modern Chinese poetry, one that incorporates both new-style and old-style poetry (Huang 2002; Chen 2005).

It is important, however, to emphasize that such a history should not be a simple-minded glorification, motivated by nationalistic sentiments, of a “native form.” While it is true that old-style or classical-style poetry has a longer history than new-style poetry, it is not more of a privileged site for the elusive and artificially constructed “Chineseness” than new-style poetry is. The attempt to identify old-style poetry with “Chineseness” is flawed for the simple reason that “Chinese culture” must be understood historically as a process of happening and becoming, not as a static set of essentialized characteristics and traits. Nor should this new history of modern Chinese poetry be a polemical account engaged in an ideological battle pitching the new-style against old-style, no matter which side the literary historian is on. The battle was created to serve a practical need in the revolutionary discourse of the early twentieth century; it is long over, despite some passionate efforts to continue or resurrect it. It is easy to take and argue one’s position in such a battle, however passé it is, because battle narratives are more often than not constructed on the basis of good and bad, progressive and backward; it is much more difficult to

---

2 I have cited this remark from the report made by one of the anonymous readers of this essay.
reconcile oneself with a gray zone which is the reality of modern Chinese poetry, to sort out the complications created by the co-existence and indeed mutual dependence of “old” and “new” that keep bleeding into each other in a love-hate embrace.

Whereas the current debate in Chinese academia still largely centers on the question whether a history of modern literature should include old-style poetry, it is quite clear that such a history is essential to a fuller picture of Chinese modernity. Even more important, we should go beyond the generic compartmentalization which still characterizes most of the Chinese literary histories produced nowadays. That is to say, a literary history that neatly lists modern old-style and new-style poetry side by side, while a step forward, is still theoretically inadequate to account for the complex phenomenon that is modern Chinese poetry. The key to understanding this phenomenon is to bear in mind that not only new-style poetry was created in reaction against old-style poetry, but the presence of new-style poetry has changed the writing of old-style poetry as well. Here I am not speaking of any visible influence of one form on the other in terms of style, theme, diction, or imagery; for, in truth, while new-style poetry was struggling to establish its own position and identity against old-style poetry in its early formation period, old-style poetry seems to have remained more or less unperturbed by the existence of new-style poetry—and in many cases even by the happening of modern life in general. A great number of the old-style poems written in the Republican era, or even today, can hardly be distinguished from the numerous old-style poems produced in imperial China. By saying “the presence of new-style poetry has changed the writing of old-style poetry,” what I mean is that to write old-style poetry in modern times becomes a willful choice in the face of a rapidly changing social order as well as of the rising new-style poetry. To write old-style poetry in modern times, in light of the changed circumstances, is to self-consciously cultivate a separate space and, as new-style poetry
establishes itself as the *official* modern Chinese poetry, to engage in an increasingly intensified private and personal undertaking that is entirely severed from the traditionally public realm occupied by *shi* poetry. This, of course, is not to say that new-style poetry is *not* a “personal and private undertaking” in terms of articulating the poet’s private experiences and feelings; but in terms of getting publicly recognized in literary and scholarly establishments, in terms of possessing a history, a canon, old-style poetry in modern times definitely yields its place to new-style poetry. Moreover, compared with old-style poetry produced in premodern China, old-style poetry in modern times becomes a much more limited form of cultural capital; it is practiced largely as a gesture of withdrawing from, not participating in, the public discourse, and of resisting. It is in this sense that I describe modern old-style poetry as a private and personal undertaking.

Just as new-style poetry is produced and articulated against old-style poetry, old-style poetry in modern times also becomes a reaction against new-style poetry. Old-style and new-style poetry are thus closely intertwined, each existing and struggling in the other’s shadow, so much so that it is virtually impossible to give a fair analysis of the landscape of modern Chinese literature without taking both—and their complex relationship to each other—into consideration. A new, alternative history of modern Chinese poetry should therefore not only incorporate both old-style and new-style poetry but also examine the two forms *in relation to one another*, within the larger Chinese cultural context and the context of world literature.

This paper does not presume to give an overview of the development of Chinese poetry in the past century following the model provided in this brief introduction of the theoretical framework for an alternative history of modern Chinese poetry. Instead, it shall single out some important moments in the writing of old-style poetry in modern times, and discuss the issues
embodied in these moments. First I would like to consider the role played by old-style poetry in the modern world and its dynamic relation with new-style poetry and modern life; two poets, Huang Zunxian and Nie Gannu (1903-1986), are cited as primary examples. Then I will move to contemporary period, and focus on a new kind of poetry dubbed “New-Old Style” (xinjiuti), represented by an Internet poet Lizilizilizi (1964-; subsequently Lizi). It is a postmodern hybrid form straddling between old-style and new-style, and is largely read, circulated, and even directly produced on the Internet. This new form brings together a number of issues that concern today’s writers, critics and scholars alike, namely globalism and localism, world literature and national literature, translation and the impossibility of it.

Before I go into a detailed discussion of the three poets, a few words are called for to briefly explain why these three poets are chosen to each represent an important moment in modern Chinese cultural and poetic history. There are many poets in modern times who write poetry in traditional forms, in some cases exclusively in these forms; but few, perhaps with the single exception of Mao Zedong (1893-1976), have had a significant following. Mao Zedong is, however, a political figure; and it is doubtful that his old-style poetry would have obtained cult status had Mao not been who he was. In contrast, Huang Zunxian, Nie Gannu and Lizi are first and foremost writers and poets; the case of Lizi is particularly remarkable in that he has established a reputation for himself purely by virtue of writing old-style poetry on the Internet. All three poets fortuitously write at a critical juncture in recent Chinese history: in the late nineteenth century, during the Cultural Revolution (1966-1976), and at the turn of the twenty-first century, when China is undergoing economic and social transformation. The three poets under discussion in this essay give eloquent expression of their experiences during these
turbulent times as they try to make sense of the turmoil of the world around them through the craft of poetry.

The Case of Huang Zunxian

If we allow the term “modern Chinese poetry” to include both new-style and old-style poems, then Huang Zunxian, an important figure in the so-called “Poetic Revolution” in the late nineteenth century, stood at the very beginning of the history of modern Chinese poetry. This is less for his literary innovation than for the great tension between his experience of foreign life—which, as said before, was singular for a premodern poet—and the traditional poetic forms and language deployed to convey his experience. In other words, Huang Zunxian’s poetry was “new” not because he brought about a revolution to traditional poetic forms or language, but because his poetry represented a classical poet’s attempt to come to terms with the modern world. It is true that Huang Zunxian stretched traditional poetic vocabulary as much as possible, and yet, primarily due to his deep involvement in the old cultural world, he never did break away from the poetic tradition in which he was writing. In fact, for Huang Zunxian as well as for many other turn-of-the-century men of letters who continued to write old-style poetry, the familiar allusions, tropes, images and vocabulary of classical poetry permitted them to inscribe a radically changing world order in the sort of language that made sense to them. This was even truer when later on the writing of old-style poetry, as discussed in the previous section, became a conscious choice rather than the only available venue of poetic expression as it had been throughout imperial China.
In his analysis of Huang Zunxian’s writing on foreign life, J.D. Schmidt uses the term “exotic allusions” to designate “references drawn from earlier Chinese writings about foreign cultures” (1994: 96); he also uses the term “transfer allusions” to refer to poetic devices that “involve the transfer of purely Chinese cultural or historical allusions into poetry that describes foreign cultures” (1994: 98). And yet, the so-called “exotic allusions” have become so standard in classical Chinese writings after centuries of repeated use that they are no longer “exotic.” Instead, what we have are accepted terms with which to talk about foreign life, and these terms make the foreign comfortably knowable and familiar. When, for instance, Huang Zunxian uses conventional synecdoche such as “curly beard” and “emerald eyes” to describe any foreigner regardless of the person’s actual looks, he evokes a familiar cultural discourse which helps dissolve foreignness, as “curly beard and emerald eyes” have become standard terms to depict a non-Han person or a hu (Huang 1963: 141). Even his well-known set of poems, “Modern Parting” (“Jin bieli”), tropes on the established yuefu title “Ancient Parting” (“Gu bieli”); and everything “modern” in these poems, such as train or steam boat, telegraph, and photograph, is described in relation to “how things used to be,” so that the poet and the reader are placed in a privileged position of mutual understanding and shared socio-cultural memory (Huang 1963: 185-87).

“Moved by Events” (“Gan shi”) No. 1 gives account of a grand party at Queen Victoria’s court, written when the poet was serving as assistant to the Chinese ambassador to England between 1890 and 1891 (Huang 1963: 188-189). The poem begins with a stanza that shows the poet being surrounded with splendid luxury objects:

酌君以葡萄千斛之酒 I pour you, my lord, the grape wine in a thousand goblets;
I present you, my lord, with roses joined at roots.

I satisfy your appetite with the foot-long fruit of pineapple;

I quench your thirst with small tea-cakes from India.

I house you in a many-storied building with windows of glass;

I provide you with a carriage with curtains on the four sides;

I dress you in a robe with golden silk threads covering the sleeves,

I invite you to a residence with brocade lining its four walls.

This stanza evokes the famous opening of the early medieval poet Bao Zhao’s (414–466) “Hard Travel” (“Xinglu nan”) No. 1 (Bao 1972: 53):

I present you, my lord, with ale in a golden goblet;

a carved zither in a jade case decorated with tortoise-shell;

feathered bed-curtain embroidered with lotus blossoms of seven colors;

a brocade coverlet with the pattern of lush grapes.

Framing one’s poetic lines in the verbal paradigm of early poetry is a rhetorical strategy of familiarization, even as it calls attention to the elements that have been changed. In this case we notice the exotic nature of the luxury objects—grape wine, roses, pineapple, Indian tea, glass windows, and wall hangings. These objects are, however, accepted codes of foreignness; they do not threaten, especially when enclosed within the structure of a famous earlier poetic text.
The following lines of Huang’s poem plunge into a dramatic representation of the extravagant gathering of royal personages, lords and ladies, and foreign emissaries. Images of paradise and immortals abound, again with echoes of earlier poetry such as the Tang poet Li Bai’s (701-762) well-known “Visiting Mt. Tianmu in a Dream, Presented upon Parting” (“Mengyou Tianmu yin liubie”), in which the poet stumbles on a grand gathering of gods and goddesses during his dream-visit to the Tianmu Mountain.

紅氍貼地燈耀壁 Red carpet covers the floor, lamps shine on the wall;
今夕大會來無遮 tonight’s grand assembly has no restriction.³
褰裳攜手雙雙至 Gathering up their dresses, holding hands, men and women arrive in pairs,
仙之人兮紛如麻 a multitude of immortals descend to earth, numerous as sands.⁴
繡衣曳地過七尺 Embroidered robes trail on the ground, with a train of over seven feet;
白羽覆髻騰三叉 white feathers decorating the chignon rise up like a trident.
襜褕乍解雙臂袒 Taking off their capes,

³ “No-restriction assembly” (wuzhe dahui) is a large Buddhist gathering open to all people. It was first being held in China by Emperor Wu of the Liang dynasty.

⁴ This line is almost taken verbatim from Li Bai’s “Visiting Mt. Tianmu in a Dream, Presented upon Parting” (Li 1980: 899).
the women expose two bare arms,
旁綴繡絡中寶珈 on the side wearing tassels,
in the middle precious jewels.
細腰亭亭媚楊柳 Small, delicate waists,
more charming than willow branches,
窄靴簇簇團蓮華 a throng of slender boots
like lotus blossoms.
膳夫中庭獻湩乳 Butlers serve sherbets in the courtyard,
樂人階下鳴鼓笳 musicians play drums and pipes below the stairs.
諸天人龍盡來集 All the devas, humans and nāgas have come together;
來自天漢通銀槎 coming from the Heavenly River on a silver raft.\(^5\)
衣裳闌斑語言雜 Wearing colorful clothes,
speaking a motley of languages,
康樂和親懽不嘩 the crowd are joyful and agreeable,
merry, though not boisterous.

Just as the lengthy description of the assembly culminates in the “joyful and agreeable, merry though not boisterous” crowd, a high point both for the poem and for the grand party itself, the poem abruptly ends with a note of melancholy:

問我何為獨不樂 You ask why it is then

---

\(^5\) This couplet refers to the foreign diplomats who were present at the gathering.
that I alone am unhappy,

側身東望三咨嗟 turning to the side, gazing east,

and heaving many sighs?

The ending couplet comes unexpected, and because of this, intensifies its affective power. The description of the splendid, joyful party becomes a foil to the melancholy sense of alienation felt by the poet. The following two poems under the same title reveal the source of the poet’s melancholy: the traditional Chinese sense of the world order has been shaken up by the newly gained knowledge of “various great nations,” and the poet urges his fellow countrymen to forsake the “empty talk” (xu lun) of “Confucian scholars of Song and Ming” (Song Ming zhu ru) for a true understanding of this brave new world:

古今事變奇到此 The changes from past to present—

they are so very strange indeed!

彼己不知寧毋恥 If we remain ignorant about them and us—

would not it be a shame? (Huang 1963: 191)

In some ways, the “empty talk” denounced by Huang Zunxian echoes the “flowery language,” the phrase he uses to refer to the Chinese language in the quatrain written during his voyage to San Francisco. By the time Huang wrote “Moved by Events,” he was already a much more experienced world traveler than when he first embarked on his ocean-crossing voyage. Still trapped in the painful awareness of the crumbling world order in which China remained the center, he nevertheless exhorted his fellow-countrymen to better understand a foreign civilization.
What deserves note in this series of poems is that “strangeness” (qi) is used to describe the situation in which China found itself: i.e. China no longer occupied the position of the superior “Central Kingdom,” but must come to terms with the “various great nations;” and yet, the “various great nations” themselves continue to be represented in a form and vocabulary familiar to the Chinese readers, in a poetic language that helps the poet—and his readers—make sense of the new world order.

Underlying the received poetic language is a whole system of explicit and implicit laws and codes, beliefs and values, by which members of a society understand and approach the world; and because of this, it clashes with the new and alien world it seeks to represent. A striking example is Huang Zunxian’s long poem in the five-syllable line on American presidential election, entitled “An Account of Events” (“Jishi”). Shocked and dismayed by what he considered as regrettable infighting among the American people, Huang Zunxian used traditional Chinese terms of monarchy to represent a political system he did not fully understand:

烏知舉總統  Who would expect to see these strange events
所見乃怪事  during their presidential election?
怒揮同室戈  Angrily brandishing halberds at members of the family,
憤爭傳國璽  they struggle with one another for the imperial seal.
......
究竟所舉賢  I wonder if the worthy man being elected in the end
無愧大寶位  would indeed deserve the position of “Great Treasure”?
倘能無黨爭  If only they could do away with faction rivalry,
尚想太平世  one could well imagine a world of prosperity and peace. (1963, 134-35)

The phrase “Great Treasure” (dabao) comes from the “Commentary on Appended Phrases” in *The Classic of Changes*: “The great treasure of the sage is called [his] position” (Ruan 1955: 166). “Imperial seal” is the emblem of imperial power and legitimate rule, passed on from one emperor to another and sometimes from one dynasty to another. By resorting to the vocabulary of struggling for the imperial throne, he not only sets up a familiar frame of reference for his contemporary Chinese readers, but also effectively distorts the meaning of election and the nature of the presidential office. The high register of the poetic language, which requires variations of the plain descriptive term “presidential office,” combined with the poet’s misinterpretation of an alien political system, yields a skewed picture of a foreign phenomenon (foreign in every sense of the word); nevertheless, this foreign phenomenon is made comprehensible to native readers, and domesticated, by rhetorical device.

To familiarize the unfamiliar was a primary motivation that drove many twentieth-century poets to continue to work in old poetic forms. For those poets, just as for Huang Zunxian, writing poetry in old forms was a way of dealing with and making sense of the radical changes China was undergoing in late Qing and early Republican era. This may help explain why extreme public and personal circumstances, such as war, imprisonment and exile, always worked like a catalyst for writing old-style poetry. National and personal disasters had, of course, always been a stimulant for premodern poets; the difference is, however, that modern poets have an option: they could writing in the new form and yet choose not to do so. A good example is Zhou Zuoren (1885-1967), one of the first writers who experimented with new-style poetry. When he was imprisoned as “a traitor to the country” after the Sino-Japanese war, Zhou Zuoren wrote
about 150 poems in jail, all in old-style verse (Zhou 1995: 291). In a colophon written in prison to his *Miscellaneous Poems of the Tiger Bridge* (Tiger Bridge being where he was imprisoned in Nanjing), Zhou Zuoren makes an interesting disclaimer: “These poems, on the one hand, are not ‘old poems’ [jiushi], and yet they observe the restriction [jushu] of the number of characters and rhyme schemes; on the other hand, they are not ‘vernacular poems’ [baihua shi], and yet I can use them to express myself freely and casually” (277). This is almost an allegory of the poet’s condition: both being confined to prison and retaining the freedom of thought and speech. And yet, whereas physical imprisonment was not Zhuo Zuoren’s willful choice, the linguistic “imprisonment” (jushu) was. Zhou Zuoren was, however, not an isolated case. According to the contemporary scholar Hu Yingjian, of the more than 2,000 extant poems composed by “revolutionary martyrs”—that is, those who died during the White Terror period in the 1920s and during the Sino-Japanese war and the Civil War between 1930s and 1940s, most are old-style poems and can be roughly divided into two categories: prison poetry or poetry written before execution (Hu 2005: 274-279).  

Closely related with the familiarization of the unfamiliar is the intensely social nature of old-style poetry. By social nature I do not refer to the numerous old-style poetry societies and clubs that sprang up and flourished across China in the twentieth century, for literary societies and clubs were, after all, just as important in the production of writings in new forms; instead, I

---


7 In recent years there have been several excellent studies on the subject of modern literary societies in Chinese and English. See, for instance, Chen 1997; Hockx 2003; Cheng 2005; Luan 2006; Wu 2006. The most recent publication
refer to the everyday social occasions on which old-style poets are called upon to write poems: on festivals, at banquets, at parting, on birthdays, and so on and so forth. Traditional festivals as well as the birthdays of contemporary luminaries continued to be favorite occasions on which writers of old-style poetry composed verses together, sometimes using the same rhymes; and one can easily cite many examples from every decade from the 1900s and 1940s (Hu 2005: 18-19, 22-23). The social situations in which poets compose old-style poems are often quite different from those in which poets compose new-style poems, although in recent years new-style poets have begun to consciously adopt the practice of old-style poetry, such as inviting fellow-poets to write to the same topic.\(^8\) Such a practice, though quite ordinary in premodern China, is not a practice one commonly sees in Western poetry, and new-style poets make it explicit that they have drawn their inspiration from the native tradition. If new-style poets sometimes make the poet’s solitude and loneliness a positive value, old-style poetry tends to speak to a community and within a community in a language that seeks to familiarize and normalize the unfamiliar and the abnormal.

---

\(^8\) A contemporary new-style poet Li Shaojun, an advocate of the “Grass-roots New Poetry,” posted a poem entitled “Flowing Water” (“Liushui”) on the Internet, which aroused great interest and controversy and reportedly received more than 200,000 hits within a week. Later he invited twenty poets to write to the same topic. In a recent newspaper interview, he said he was planning to collect these poems to the same topic into one small volume: “In the past poets often wrote poetry to the same topic in response to one another, a practice I find fascinating. I feel we should recover this tradition.” The interview is published in *Hainan Ribao* (Wei 2008).
Writing at a time when the world as he knew of was turned upside down, Huang Zunxian made the most of old-style poetry in his painful negotiations with the new world order. In the following poem, the familiar and the unfamiliar converge and clash, and the form of old-style poetry is stretched to the utmost limit. This poem, simply entitled “My Little Daughter” (“Xiaonü”), was written in 1885 (Huang 1963: 151). After his sojourn spanning tens of thousands of miles and eight years, the poet was spending some quiet time with his long-separated family:

一燈團坐話依依   My family sat around a single lamp,  
                   having an intimate chitchat;

簾幕深藏未掩扉   deeply hidden behind the drawn curtains, a door  
                   not yet closed.

小女挽髯爭問事   My little daughter caressed my beard, constantly  
                   asking me this and that;

阿娘不語又牽衣   then she tugged at her mother’s sleeves,  
                   who had fallen silent.

日光定是舉頭近   “The sun, so bright, must be very close—  
                   one can see it by just raising one’s head;

海大何如兩手圍   you say the ocean is big, but what if I cup it  
                   with both hands?”

欲展地球圖指看   I was about to unroll the map of the world  
                   and point at it for her to see,
a breeze slipped into the curtains,

flames flickered, and a moth fell.

It is, we notice, an enclosed space: the family sits down in a circle around a single lamp—it is evening time, perhaps after dinner—and the curtains are drawn. It is a domestic space that belongs to daily life and to the womenfolk, characterized by the silence of the wife, the chatter of the daughter. The poet establishes a boundary from the very beginning, a boundary separating the inside from the outside, his family from the world, the women’s domestic space from the man’s public space. The former is intimate, warm, and peaceful: even the unrolling of the world map must be interrupted because it does not fit in such a feminine space of wife and daughter—they are so small, so fragile, compared with the world outside.

And yet, the boundary is not rigid, and there are cracks everywhere. In the second line of the poem the poet tells us that a door is left open. Wind slips in, lamp flames flicker, and a moth falls. The intimate, warm and peaceful scene ends in a small act of violence and death. The outside world looms as a threatening force, a sudden gale blowing in from darkness.

Even before this climax the outside world is already intruding, as the poet’s little daughter is eagerly asking him about all sorts of things and showing her intense curiosity about the world: How far is the foreign land compared with the sun? But surely I can cup the ocean if I use both of my hands? What strikes us is the contrast between the infinite smallness of the poet’s “little daughter” and the immense largeness of the ocean. The little girl’s innocence and complete lack of experience seem touchingly fragile in front of the vastness and hardness of the world. The dynamics would have been completely different if the poet were talking about his son: a boy will grow into a man, go out into the world, and soar like a peng bird, like his father; but a
girl in the nineteenth century China had no such great expectations. Her mother’s silence, in this context, becomes much more intriguing and yet revealing. It is an eloquent silence that coincides textually with the ultimate silence of the death of the moth.

Suddenly, the poet seems awkwardly out of place in this domestic space. He himself represents the force of the outside world, even as he seeks refuge in his family home from the wind and waves of his ocean journeys and of the national and international politics. He himself is an intruder into the space of the womenfolk, a stranger after an absence of eight long years. His masculinity is inscribed everywhere: his beard, caressed by his little daughter; his world map, rolled up in the suitcase. He is no Odysseus, there are no suitors for him to slay, but he certainly has disturbed the domestic order by bringing back with him new knowledge, charts, maps, tall tales about the ocean and the brave new world. It is interesting that he intends to show his little daughter the world map, and the word he uses is zhikan: to point at it for her to “see.” He seems to be implying that only by “seeing” it for herself can she comprehend the vastness of the world; but the wind blows in, the flames flicker, and a moth dies. The man is locked up in the loneliness of his newly acquired knowledge of the world that he finds impossible to communicate to the people “back at home”—his neighbors, relatives, wife and daughter, just as the woman is locked up in the loneliness of her domestic existence, and the girl in her innocence.

In the past, the commonly used phrase is jia guo, home and state; but now it must become jia shijie, home and the world. In many ways, the family home of the poet, the enclosed domestic space described in the poem “My Little Daughter,” is an allegory of China on the eve of an age of nationalism and internationalism. But the powerful, enigmatic image at the end of the poem, the burned moth falling from the lamp flames, interrupting the unrolling of the world map and
distracting the little girl: *that* belongs to poetry, poetry of the best kind, and intervenes between the poet and the foreign world he encounters.

The Case of Nie Gannu

The two characteristics of old-style poetry—familiarization of the unfamiliar and active participation in a socio-literary community—come together in unexpected ways in the poetry of Nie Gannu, a cultural and political figure who led an unusual life. Born in Hubei in 1903, Nie Gannu was one of the earliest students of the Huangpu Military School, where he got to know Zhou Enlai (1898-1976). After graduation, he was sent to Moskow Sun Yat-sen University, where he was classmates with Deng Xiaoping (1904-1997), Jiang Jingguo (1910-1988), and many other Communist and Nationalist members. In 1932, Nie joined the Left-wing Writers Alliance, and was one of the pallbearers at Lu Xun’s funeral in 1936. From 1940s on, he mainly worked as journal editor. In mid-1950s, because of a close friendship as well as many literary views and cultural values shared with the literary critic and poet Hu Feng (1902-1985), Nie was implicated in the movement launched by the Chinese Communist Party against Hu Feng and a group of writers associated with him (known as the “Hu Feng clique”).

Although he managed to survive the ordeal, soon afterward he was identified as a Rightist and sent to a farm in Beidahuang, the “Great Northern Wilderness” in northeast China, to do hard labor. This was 1958. Nie came back to Beijing in 1962, only to be sentenced for life imprisonment as a counter-

---

revolutionary during the Cultural Revolution. He was released in 1976, and died ten years later in Beijing (Zhou 1987; Nie 1998).

Nie Gannu was a well-known essayist, but his literary fame is also largely built on his old-style poetry. He had written some new-style poems and a few old-style poems in early years, and started to seriously write old-style poetry only in 1959. It began as, in his own words, “obeying command” during the “national poetry-writing movement,” which was the literary parallel of the Great Leap Forward Plan designed to rapidly increase Chinese industrial and agricultural production (Nie 2005: 8-9). During this movement, people in cities, towns, and villages were asked to write poetry in large quantities. Nie Gannu recorded the event in a series of quatrains entitled “Collective Poetry Writing” (“Jiti xieshi”; 2005: 135-36). The following is the first of them:

整日田间力已疲 Laboring in the fields all day

was exhausting enough,

下工回屋事新奇 things became curioser and curioser

when we came back from the fields:

解衣磅礴床头坐 taking off clothes, sitting in bed,

a majestic phenomenon indeed:

万烛齐明共写诗 we all wrote poetry together

under ten thousand bright candles.

Nie Gannu continued to write old-style poetry even after the movement was over and throughout 1960s and 1970s. His poems were first published in Hong Kong under the title *Three
Drafts (Sancao) in 1981 and soon afterwards in Beijing under the title The Poems of Sanyisheng (Sanyisheng shi). These poems not only gained recognition in the Chinese intellectual community, but were also well-liked by common readers for their distinct “Gannu Style” (Gannu tí).11

In the late nineteenth century, Huang Zunxian dealt with pressures on traditional life by writing old-style poetry. As the socialist revolution between 1949 and 1976 was yet another profoundly debilitating and traumatizing event in the life of modern Chinese intellectuals, the writing of old-style poetry again presented itself as a way of resisting as well as a way of constructing meaning in these “curiouser and curiouser” political movements. Many of Nie Gannu’s best poems are seven-syllable-line regulated poems about life in the “Great Northern Wilderness.” The most prominent characteristic of these poems is an ingenious use of traditional literary references, mixed with modern vernacular terms, in his description of the unglamorous daily life on the farm; the incongruity creates irony, and the irony is both accentuated and mitigated by the poet’s humorous attitude toward the hardship he was put through. A good example is a poem entitled “Cleaning the Toilet: In Reply to Meizi” (“Qingce tong Meizi”) No. 1:

君自舀來僕自挑 You, my dear sir, scoop,

and I’ll carry;

10 “Sanyisheng” was the name of one of the ministers of King Wu of Zhou. Nie Gannu split the name apart and explicated it as “being useless and laidback (san) is suitable (yi) to preserving life (sheng)” (2005: 12).
At the King of Yan’s terrace,
     a drizzling rain.

High or low, deep or shallow:
     two pairs of hands;

Sweet or stinky, thick or thin:
     one and same ladle.

in either cover your nose;

Blue flies in high summer:
     backs bent in unison.

It’s the task of such as us
     to clean up the whole world:

How, then, could we spare
     one filthy stall?  

“The King of Yan’s terrace” is also known as “Yellow Gold Terrace” (Huangjin tai) and
refers to the legendary terrace on which King Zhao of Yan (r. 311-279 BC) placed gold to attract
worthy men to be his advisors. If the poet had used “Yellow Gold Terrace,” it would have
equally fit the prosodic scheme of the second line; but here the “suppression” calls attention to
what is being suppressed: a metaphoric “gold” which matches the king’s gold in color and in

---

12 Although no mention of “King of Yan’s terrace” is found in early records, the legend is an old one that can be
traced back to the second century and became a popular allusion subsequently (see Xiao 1994: 1875; Li 1990: 231).
terms of agricultural value. These worthy men—the poet and his friend—were indeed collecting the “yellow gold,” although Mao Zedong was not quite like the King of Yan. The last couplet contains a reference to the Eastern Han minister Chen Fan (d. 168), who did not like cleaning up his room. When asked by his guests why, he answered: “A real man should clean up the world—why just bother with a room” (Fan 1965: 2159). The phrase “such as us” or “people like us” (wucao) recalls the proud self-designation of the closed circle of premodern scholar-officials, and yet the jarring circumstances—cleaning up not one’s room but a public toilet stall, traditionally unthinkable for the cultural elite—induce tongue-in-cheek irony.

Another poem records the experience of chasing a runaway horse:

馬逸 Horse Running Away

脫韁羸馬也難追 Even a nag is hard to catch,

once it gets free of the reins;

賽跑渾如兔與龜 Our race was like the one of rabbit and tortoise.

無譐無嘉無話喊 There was no “whoa!,” no “giddyup!”

in fact I had nothing to shout to it at all;

越追越遠越心灰 The more I chased, the further it ran,

the more I was in despair.

蒼茫暮色迷奔影 In the gray light of dusk

I lost its galloping shadow;

斑白老軍嘆逝騅 An old soldier, hair streaked with white,
sighed for steed gone away.

今夕塞翁真失馬  This evening the old man on the frontier has truly lost his horse—

倘若馬會自行歸  unless it picks up a pal

and comes home of its own accord. (2005: 16)

The race of rabbit and tortoise is from Aesop’s fables; what I have translated as “whoa” and “giddyup” are “e” and “jia”—characters borrowed for the sounds made by carriage drivers to make the horses stop or pick up speed. The last couplet refers to the Huainanzi story about an old man living on the frontier who has lost his horse (Liu 1981: 598-99). The old man was first upset but then overjoyed when the horse returned with other horses. Hence the saying: “Old man on the frontier lost his horse—how do you know it is not a blessing in disguise?” This delightful mixture of modern colloquialism, traditional cultural reference, and Western literary echo is characteristic of Nie Gannu’s old-style poems, but the crucial line of the poem is the sixth line: “An old soldier, hair streaked with white, sighed for steed gone away.” Xiang Yu (232-202 B.C.), the powerful opponent of Liu Bang (256-195 B.C.), the founding emperor of the Han Dynasty, had a fine steed. On the eve of his final defeat by Liu Bang, Xiang Yu reportedly composed an air, which begins with:

力拔山兮氣蓋世  My strength uprooted mountains,

時不利兮骓不逝  but the times are against me,

and yet my steed would not go away. (Sima 1959: 333)
The great general’s tragic air is deflated in the sigh of the modern poet, a mere “old soldier” with white hair, over the fact that the steed does get away and that he is unable to bring it back. But any self-pity is offset by the earlier reference to rabbit and tortoise (the latter, though slow, wins the race) and the concluding allusion to the old man on the frontier, who acquires not one but several horses.

Sometimes Nie Gannu rises to lyrical eloquence, as in “Wheat Stacks” (“Maiduo”):

麦垛千堆又万堆 One thousand, ten thousand stacks of wheat—
长城迤俪复迂回 a Great Wall that spreads and stretches, winds and coils.
散兵线上黄金满 All along a line of troops, yellow bullion abound;
金字塔边赤日辉 a red sun glows by the golden pyramids.
天下人民无冻馁 If all the people of the world could have no cold and hunger,
吾侪手足任胼胝 what matter if such as us have callused hands and feet?
明朝不雨当酣战 No rain will fall tomorrow—perfect for battle in the fields:
新到最新脱粒机 we have newly got the newest model of threshing machine. (2005: 30-31)

Portraying man’s war with nature for food and survival, this is the quintessential Socialist song. It celebrates manual labor even as a sense of bleakness prevails in the grim military images of war and death—the Great Wall of wheat warding off the attack of hunger, troops on the battlefield, the pyramids commemorating the deceased, and the cold, hard piece of modern machinery—all in the glaring light of a blazing red sun. Again Nie Gannu uses the phrase “such
as us” (wuchai, a variation of wucao) to refer to himself and his fellow-intellectuals sent down to do physical labor in the countryside; the echo of the traditional scholar-elite class, juxtaposed with callused hands and feet in a socialist pastoral song, takes on an unmistakable irony.

One salient feature of Nie Gannu’s old-style poetry is its resistance to translation, because much pleasure of his poetry lies in his ingenious maneuvers of language. For instance, “Working the Millstones” (“Tuimo”), another poem about life in exile:

百事输人我老牛 Always second to everyone else—me the old ox;
惟餘转磨稍风流 Only in working the millstones I have some panache left.
春雷隐隐全中国 Spring thunder resounds in distance over the entire country;
玉雪霏霏一小楼 Snow, as white as jade, falls thick and hard in this one tiny house.
把坏心思磨粉碎 Grind and crush bad notions into very fine powder;
到新天地作环游 I shall make my merry-go-round in a brand-new universe.
连朝齐步三千里 From one morning to the next I plod my three thousand miles;
不在雷池更外头 And yet not even one step ever goes beyond the Thunder Pool. (2005: 14-15)

This is an immensely rich and ironic poem. An old ox is slow in moving, and yet the poet declares he still has “some panache left” in working the millstones, zhuanmo, which in northern Chinese dialect also means “going round and round and not knowing what to do.” “Snow as white as jade” refers to ground flour. Figuratively, the poet is saying that he alone is being punished (weathering the cold snow) while the entire country is enjoying springtime revival. The poet then declares he is determined to grind all his “bad notions” into fine powder: instead of
using the phrase *huai sixiang*, “bad thoughts,” which is serious and straight, he chooses “huai xinsi”—wicked ideas or notions, which is much more colloquial and even has a mischievous air. This choice is likely conditioned by the metrical rule (the fourth position in this line demands a word of level tone, which would not have been fulfilled by *xiang*, a word of deflected tone), but the effect is an unexpectedly lively and naughty line. The last couplet reworks a set phrase, “do not go beyond the Thunder Pool by one step.” The phrase comes from a letter written in the Eastern Jin (317-420), a dynasty famous for its panache (*fengliu*), and means “not going beyond the prescribed limit” (Fang 1974: 1918). The irony of the poem lies in the poet’s determination to be integrated into the “brand new universe” of the socialist regime, and yet all he can do is to go round and round in a circle, a merry-go-round instead of a “Great Leap Forward.” He observes the rule of not going beyond the Thunder Pool, so there is no transgression; but there is no progress either. The poet is, after all, a *laoniu*, an old ox, a compound that can also be taken as an adjective meaning “stubborn” or “arrogant.”

This poem best illustrates the charm of the witty, ironic, darkly humorous “Gannu Style.” The irony and humor come in no small part from the use of old poetic forms, as the poet deftly negotiates between the discourse of a traditional cultural elite and life in the “brave new world” of socialism. Nie Gannu was, however, a member of the last generation of the old Chinese social and cultural elite. He died in 1986; soon afterward China entered a new era of market economy and commercialization.

The past two decades have been a crucial stage for the development of both new-style and old-style poetry. New-style poetry from the 1990s has turned away from the Misty Poetry of the 1980s, which was deeply indebted to Euro-American Modernist poetry, to a call to portray events in daily life and to return to Chinese cultural tradition. Old-style poetry, which had tended
to be more down-to-earth than new-style poetry in its close connection with current social events and incidents of daily life, is pulled in two opposite directions.\(^\text{13}\) one direction is represented by conservative poets who insist on using traditional poetic language and militantly oppose any new terminology; the other is represented by the so-called New Old Style Movement. The most important and certainly most innovative member of this movement is an Internet poet known as Lizilizilizi, to whom we shall return in the last part of the paper.

Before we move on to Lizi, it should be pointed out at this juncture that Nie Gannu’s poetry is impossible to enjoy in English translation without copious notes. This is not because Nie Gannu was an erudite poet employing many dense allusions; in fact an average educated Chinese reader would have no problem “getting” his poems cited above without the help of a glossary. What remains untranslatable is his exuberant play with language, which is especially evident in his well-crafted parallel couplets, an important poetic device in old-style poetry that requires every word/phrase to form a perfect parallel with the word/phrase in the corresponding position in the pairing line. In a well-known parallel couplet such as:

口中白字揔三二 From her mouth she let slip two or three words mispronounced;

头上黄毛辫一双 on her head she wears her yellowish hair in a pair of braids. (2005, 33)

---

\(^{13}\) By “down-to-earth” I refer to certain poetic topics that are commonplace in old-style poetry but rarely make appearance and would indeed seem absurd in new-style poetry. For instance, a modern old-style poem is entitled “On the Evening of August 15, 1980, My Son, Guang, Called Me Long-Distance and Asked for a Tape-recorder under the Pretext of Studying English; I Wrote This Poem to Admonish Him.” Dangdai shici No. 4 (1984): 42.
“Mispronounced words,” literally “white characters,” form a perfect parallel with “yellowish hair.”

Or in the poem on wild duck eggs:

明日壶觞端午酒    Tomorrow, with a jug of wine fir for Duanwu Festival;
此时包裹小丁衣    for now they are nicely wrapped up in Little Ding’s jacket. (2005, 32)

“Duanwu,” the name of the festival, forms a parallel with the name “Little Ding,” as wu and ding are both terms of the so-called “Heavenly Stems and Early Branches” used to designate dates in Chinese lunar calendar.

Or the famous couplet that catches the gist of Chinese intellectuals’ painful experience in various political movements of Socialist China:

文章信口雌黄易    It is easy to wag one’s tongue freely in one’s writings,
思想锥心坦白难    but it is hard to come clean about one’s thoughts. (2005, 144)

The rather bland couplet coming out in translation conceals the pleasure of the original text, which lies in the ingenious juxtaposition of cihuang, orpiment used in ancient times to erase writing, and tanbai, “come clean” or “make a full confession,” with huang (yellow) and bai (white), the two color words, forming a contrast.

In his paper on Internet Poetry, Michel Hockx makes the keen observation that compared to a US poetry website, “on the PRC [poetry] website the issue of skill and discussion about the right word in the right place are much less prominent, although they do appear in the forum
dedicated to those in the classical style” (2005: 686). Hockx explains this phenomenon by pointing out the fact that old-style poetry has “very strict prosodic rules.” Strict prosodic rules are certainly pertinent to the writing of regulated poetry (lüshi); and yet, many of the discussions of old-style poetry do not focus on prosodic rules per se. Perhaps one may attribute the phenomenon of paying close attention to words and lines to a long-standing tradition in Chinese poetics instead, as the principle of “crafting words” (lianzi) and “crafting couplets” (lianju) became a commonplace value since the ninth century.

Finely chosen words and phrases could get lost in translation, but images couched in similes and metaphors are more easily translatable, just as visual art possesses an aura of immediacy and transparency in its relation with the audience. In the context of globalization, when translation into an hegemonic language like English means international recognition, old-style poetry increasingly becomes a local phenomenon enjoyed by a large native audience but unable to go beyond national borders. It is against this background that the Internet poet Lizi emerges, whose innovative poetry epitomizes these existing problems and opens up new possibilities.

The Case of Lizi

Lizi’s real name is Zeng Shaoli. A native of Hu’nan, he currently lives in Beijing. With a college degree in engineering, he has worked as engineer, teacher, and editor of a science journal. He began writing old-style poetry in 1999. Lizi is a true Internet poet: he not only composed his poems on the Web, but also discussed his poems with readers as well as revised the poems on the
Web, and his revision was often based on readers’ feedback and suggestions. In this way, the Chinese Web has recreated the traditional poetry community in which authors, readers and critics are often one and the same. Many of Lizi’s poems appear in multiple versions and show a clear track of revision. By now he has not only cultivated an avid following on the Chinese Web, which remains a burgeoning space for literature, but has also begun to make a name in academic circles.

Lizi writes both shi poems and ci lyrics, and excels in the latter. Perhaps more than any other contemporary Chinese poet, he effectively conveys the local flavor of contemporary Beijing, a sprawling metropolis struggling with its past and present, covered with freeways, high-rise buildings, construction sites, immigrant workers’ temporary huts, and countless fortune-seekers coming from the provinces like Lizi himself. A ci lyric to the tune title “Commanding Fire” (“He huo ling”) reads:

日落長街尾        Sun sets at the tail-end of a long boulevard,
燕山動紫嵐        Mount Yan shimmers in a purple haze.
繁華氣色晚來羶        The busy colors of hustle and bustle

turn into smell of mutton at evening.

---

14 In a printed edition of Internet poetry anthology, which includes both new-style and old-style poetry, one of the editors, Xiangpi, relates how he no longer felt lonely after he discovered many fellow-lovers of old-style poetry on the Internet (Chen and Xiangpi 2002: 426). His experience is representative of the numerous old-style poetry lovers and practitioners.

旋轉玻璃門上  On the revolving glass doors
光影逐衣冠  light and shadow chase cap and gown.

買斷人前醉  I’ve bought up public drunkenness,
飄零海上船  a lone boat is tossed on the sea.
高樓似魅似蹣跚  Skyscrapers are like goblins,
             shuffling along with unsteady steps.
一陣風來  A gust of wind rises,
一陣夜傷寒  the night suffers from typhoid fever,
一陣星流雲散  stars flow past, clouds are scattered,
燈火滿長安  lights filling up the city of Chang’an.

It is difficult to explain why this little poem is touching. It is simple enough: the poet goes for a drink; he gets drunk; after coming out of the bar, he feels that it is the skyscrapers that have become wobbly. Perhaps it is the purple haze of Mount Yan in the distance and the smell of the Mongolian Hot Pot permeating the twilight streets that so powerfully evoke the atmosphere of Beijing; perhaps it is the juxtaposition of the revolving glass door and the skyscrapers with such old terms as “cap and gown” (yiguan) and “the city of Chang’an.” Capital of the Han and Tang Dynasties, Chang’an in all its ancient glory always haunts the great metropolis like a ghost who refuses to go away. For a brief moment, during the hazy twilight, Beijing seems to disappear into Chang’an, its verbal substitute, and what the drunken poet sees around him—the
towering skyscrapers of the city of Beijing, the unmistakable signs of modern life—fade into a ghostly presence and become unreal.

Many of Lizi’s poems intentionally blur the boundary between old-style and new-style poetry. He sometimes incorporates allusions to new-style poetry by contemporary poets. For instance, the “Misty Poet” Gu Cheng (1956-1993) wrote a famous poem entitled “A Generation” (“Yidairen”):

黑夜給了我黑色的眼睛
我卻用它尋找光明

The dark night gave me dark eyes,
but I use them to seek light. (Gu 1998: 26)

The poem is transformed into a line of Lizi’s ci lyric to the title “Picking Mulberries” (“Caisangzi”):

夜色收容黑眼睛
the color of night gives shelter to these dark eyes.

Haizi, who was born in the same year as Lizi and committed suicide in 1989, seems to be Lizi’s favorite poet. The following couplet makes a reference to the title of one of Haizi’s best-known poems, “Asian Bronze” (“Yazhou tong”):

革命無關菠菜鐵
To change a life has nothing to do with spinach iron;

---

16 For this point, also see Tan Zuowen’s detailed analysis (Tan 2003).
What I have rendered as “to change a life” is *geming*, which as a compound simply means “revolution.” Placing *geming* in the corresponding position of “mai ren” (to bury a person”) in a parallel couplet, however, forces the reader to adopt the principle of reading a parallel couplet; in other words, the reader must take the compound apart and read it as a verb-object construction as *ge ming* in parallel with the verb-object construction of *mai ren*; in such a reading, *ge ming* takes on the literal meaning of “changing/transforming a life.” Spinach, which contains rich iron, is almost *the* vegetable hated passionately by children across cultures perhaps exactly because parents so often exhort them to eat spinach for its nutritional value. The poet Lizi seems to be saying that *geming* in the sense of “revolution” performs the very opposite function of the life-nourishing vegetable, because so many people have died in the name of “revolution.” The second line of the parallel couplet would have been hardly comprehensible if a reader is not familiar with Haizi’s poem, whose first stanza reads:

亞洲銅，亞洲銅
祖父死在這裏，父親死在這裏，我也會死在這裏
你是唯一的一塊埋人的地方

Asian bronze, Asian bronze
Grandfather died here; father died here; I, too, will die here.
You are the only place to bury a person.
Compared with Haizi’s poem, Lizi’s couplet is ironic and darkly comic, which is one of the hallmarks of Lizi’s style.

Some of Lizi’s poems are effectively “new-style poems written in old forms,” such as the $ci$ lyric to the tune title “Music of Clarity and Peace” (“Qingping yue”):

白墻之屋  A room with white walls.
陌路遙聲哭  Strangers on street, sobbing from afar.
鬼影三千能覆國  Ghostly shadows, three thousand of them, can overturn a state;
生死那般孤獨  life and death: what lonely affairs.

鐵中顫響寒風  Cold wind quivers and sings in the iron;
燈如朽夜蛆蟲  a lamp is like a maggot in the decaying night.
我把眼簾垂下  I lower the curtains of eyelids
封存一架時鐘  to seal up, and preserve, a clock.

The lyric reads like a new-style poem because of the disconnectedness of images and the lack of a clearly discernible narrative sequence. To compare a lamp to “a maggot in the decaying [corpse of the] night” is a novel move that can find no easy counterpart in classical $ci$ poetry, which tends to conjure up a romantic atmosphere instead of one of “the flower of evil.” In the last two lines, closing his eyes is likened to drawing curtains over the windows of a room (one thinks of the room with “white walls” mentioned in the opening of the poem)—a metaphor that is not very
original in itself, but the implicit comparison of his aging body to a ticking clock is, and echoes the image of the decaying corpse of the night in the previous lines.

Or like the second stanza of the lyric to the tune title “Remembering the Maid of Qin” (“Yi Qin’e”):

滿天星斗搖頭丸 Stars fill the sky: Head-shaking Pills—Ecstasy.
鬼魂搬進新房間 A ghost has just moved into a new room.
新房間 New room—
花兒疼痛 flowers in pain,
日子圍觀 the days are a bunch of on-lookers.

As classical Chinese poetry is an empirically grounded poetry, lines such as “flowers in pain” and “the days are a bunch of on-lookers” would have been impossible.¹⁷

A quatrain in the seven-syllable line entitled “Subway” (“Ditie”) makes an allusion to Ezra Pound’s (1885-1972) famous poem, “In a Station of the Metro”: “The apparition of these faces in the crowd; / Petals on a wet, black bough.”

笑吾家國驀升華 I laugh at the sudden sublimation

of my home and nation:

¹⁷ Seeing “flowers in pain,” a reader familiar with the Chinese poetic tradition may recall the Tang poet Du Fu’s (712-770) famous line, “Moved by the times, flowers splash with tears” (“Ganshi hua jianlei”), but in this line the agent is human—it is the poet’s tears that splash on the flowers. Even if we take the tears to be wept by flowers in sympathy with the poet’s misery, the tears can be logically explained away as dewdrops.
Lizi appends a note to the last line explaining the allusion (again a typical practice in Chinese poetic tradition), although it is hardly necessary in this case.

Tiantai, a loyal fan and austere critic of Lizi’s poetry on the Internet, comments on this poem: “the way in which words are put together is bizarre, and yet the conceptual scene is profound….I feel Lizi has arrived at a turning point in his writing.” Lizi’s answer is intriguing: “If I continue to write like this, it would become new-style poetry. So my question is: if so, why not simply write in new style? I don’t understand this myself.”

The answer, I think, is that the very charm of Lizi’s poetry lies in the conjunction of old and new; in other words, the juxtaposition of old poetic form and traditional poetic language with the modern vocabulary and the modern context. The negotiation creates a tension, an irony, that neither purely traditional style nor purely new style possesses. Take the following lyric to the tune title “The Beautiful Lady Yu” (“Yu meiren”) for example:

屏前写得相思巧  
Facing the screen, I wrote clever words about love longing;

---

18 See URL: http://w0.5ilog.com/cgi-bin/sys/link/home.aspx?logname=lizilizi.
on second thought, it’s better to delete them all.

In this lifetime, no more than a person of my dreams:

feeling down over red clouds like her sleeves, grass like her skirt.

Lights of high buildings and marketplaces go on and off;

night recedes into distance, vanishing with the sky.

Cries of wild geese pass over the Yan Mountain:

to fax you one word to report this autumn chill.

“Screen” (ping), whose function is partition space, to enclose, and to conceal, is a hackneyed image in classical poetry, but here of course it refers to the computer screen. The poet stays up all night composing an e-mail message to his beloved only to delete it all. Human writing is displaced into nature’s writing in the sky:

Cries of wild geese pass over the Yan Mountain:

to fax you one word to report this autumn chill.

“Yizi” is a clever word play: it means “a single character” (“one word”) and “the character for one,” yi. The wild goose is the traditional letter-bearer; but instead of bearing a letter, which the poet finds impossible to write, the birds form a line which represents the single word “one.” Wild geese flying south indicate autumn—all the poet asks them to report to the beloved in the warm south is “autumn chill,” both a state of the weather and a state of emotion. The compound
chuanzhen means “fax,” but literally means “conveying the truth.” Truth, or authenticity of feeling, consists in the suppression and substitution, just as the second stanza of the Southern Song poet Xin Qiji’s (1140-1207) famous ci lyric to the tune title “Ugly Slave” (“Chou nu’er”) tell us:

而今識盡愁滋味 But now I know the taste of sorrow all too well,
欲說還休 I am going to speak of it, then stop,
欲說還休 I am going to speak of it, then stop,
卻道天涼好個秋 And say instead, “Cool weather—what a nice autumn.” (Tang 1965: 1920)

Behind Lizi’s lines about wild geese as messenger, autumn chill, blocked desire and blocked communication, the power and failure of language, belong to a long tradition of shi and ci poetry that even a modern Chinese reader would find hard to miss.

The hybrid nature of Lizi’s poems is attested in the following lyric to the tune title “Washing Creek Sand” (“Huanxisha”):

買斷清歌濁酒杯 Buying all the clear songs and many glasses of cloudy beer,
一樁舊事一徘徊 I lingered every time I recalled something of old;
雨餘燈火滿城隈 after rain, all lights lit up at city’s edge.

忽而手機來短信 Suddenly a text message came through:
有人同醉在天涯 someone was drunk like me at the world’s edge—
只言相識未言誰  It just said “I know you,” but didn’t say who.

This poem is filled with verbal echoes of the Northern Song poet Yan Shu’s (991-1055) lyric to the same tune title:

一曲新詞酒一杯  One new song lyric, one cup of ale;
去年天氣舊亭臺  Last year’s weather, former pavilion and terrace.
夕陽西下幾時回  Evening sun sinks to the west: when shall it come back?
無可奈何花落去  What could you do about flowers falling?
似曾相識燕歸來  Swallows return—I seem to know them from before.
小園香徑獨徘徊  In the little garden, on the fragrant path, I linger alone. (Tang 1965: 89)

The similarities and differences of the two poems are striking. The earlier poet, lingering in the garden, facing the same old scenery and even the same old weather of last year, is touched by the bland repetition of nature and the irrevocable passing of human life—his life. In an attempt to find something distinct and individual in the anonymous world of nature, he looks to the swallows—which we all know look just like all other swallows—and fancies he recognizes them. In the modern poem, the song and beer are still there (though beer has turned cloudy), time is again twilight, and the poet is also lingering: he is contemplating “something of old,” the personal past that is not repeatable. The vague recognition of the swallows is echoed in the mysterious text message coming through: someone who claims to know him is likewise drunk
“at the world’s edge”—but he does not know who that person is. Communication succeeds and yet fails again: the world remains anonymous around him.

Some of Lizi’s *ci* poems begin with conventional lines commonly seen in classical poetry but then move abruptly into a different direction, such as the first stanza of another lyric to the tune title “Washing Creek Sands:”

誤入人間走半程  By mistake I entered the human realm;

now half-way through;

銀蟾嚙我齒痕青  silver toad nibbles at me,

tooth-mark still blue;

皮囊多氣易飄零  this skin-bag is full of air,

easily blown off in the breeze.

The opening line is ordinary enough, and the “silver toad” of the second line is a trite poetic expression for the moon (so-called because of a toad living on the moon according to Chinese legend); but to be “nibbled at” by the “silver toad is startling. The blue tooth-mark evokes the image of a new moon. The poet is speaking of the waxing and waning of the moon and of the process of aging. “Skin-bag,” here referring to the human body, is again a traditional phrase, Buddhist in origin; the poet combines it with the image of a balloon blown about by the wind, and we know all too well what is going to happen if the “silver toad” keeps nibbling at the “skin-bag” full of air.

From all these examples, we must conclude that Lizi is writing an altogether new kind of poetry: the old-style poetry that belongs to the twenty-first century. Its very power comes from
the negotiation of traditional poetic form and decidedly modern sentiments, vocabulary and imagery. Just like modern China itself, it is a hybrid entity. Lizi’s poetry powerfully demonstrates to us that we cannot disassociate modern Chinese old-style and new-style poetry in our critical discourse. They are the two sides of one coin: their existence is mutually dependent and mutually contingent. They both are modern Chinese poetry, and their uneasy relation is the essential story of modern Chinese poetry. Their mutual desire to get close to each other in recent years is the natural consequence of their strange intertwining growth; the true dynamic force of modern Chinese poetry, however, lies precisely in the clash and traffic between the two forms.

Coda

Lizi’s poetry nicely illustrates the issue of local and global literature. Lizi is a provincial writer who lives in Beijing. Even though he is always at “city’s edge,” his poetry travels on the Internet, a space bringing together authors and readers across vast regions—even across the Pacific Ocean and to the United States. And yet, his is the poetry that shall always lose in translation, because it affords too much “pleasure of the text”—echoes of classical and modern literature, cultural lore, contemporary colloquialism and slang, exuberant word play, or well-crafted parallel couplets. International readers expect a certain amount of annotation when reading classical Chinese poetry, because it is not only from another cultural system but also from another age; but modern poetry is supposed to be more “transparent”: its only challenge should remain that to the reader’s imagination. Old-style poets do not aspire to international fame; they often do not consider themselves “poets” (Chen and Xiangpi 2002: 426), and they are
writing a poetry, so to speak, “for internal consumption” (Owen 2003: 546). Only in recent years, as nationalistic sentiments are on the rise, do they begin to enjoy a higher profile in the national arena—though regrettably not always for the right reasons. Lizi or Nie Gannu’s poetry exists on “the world’s edge,” even as they are loved by numerous native Chinese readers. We think of Huang Zunxian, the poet who is trapped on the deck of his boat crossing the Pacific Ocean, alone, in transit; he is surrounded by gulls—and he narcissistically assumes they are chasing him—that do not speak Chinese, huayan. The “flower language” is transformed into “fruit language” in a strangely beautiful line in one of Lizi’s ci lyrics about the mountain village where he grew up:

隱約一坡青果講方言
Over the slope of a hill, green fruit
speak a muffled dialect.

In the case of Huang Zunxian, Nie Gannu, and Lizi, the muffled dialect requires some considerable effort to learn and understand, but the result seems to be worth it.

As we continue to write and rewrite literary history, perhaps an alternative history of modern Chinese poetry should not only reconsider the past, i.e. the twentieth century, in which old-style and new-style must be treated together; but it should also look to the present and, more important, to the future. What we see is a scene of hybrid vigor: new-style poetry keeps on evolving, achieves remarkable aesthetic success, and is being represented by poets who have made bold attempts and gone far beyond their predecessors; at the other end of the spectrum, in the hands of a poet like Lizi, old-style poetry is finally escaping from being a dead form that keeps recycling the age-old vocabulary used by Tang and Song masters. Or, to put it in another way, the form of old-style poetry is preserved, but is used in such an original way that it is
neither “old” nor “new” anymore. The negotiation of “old” and “new” is in many ways also what new-style poetry itself is practicing. Just as Lizi began a “New Old Style,” new-style poets, by paying close attention to the “everydayness” and “grass-rootsism” (caogen zhuyi) of poetry, and adopting the practice of writing to the same topic and in response to one another in a community, may very well have launched an “Old New Style” as well. The coexistence of new and old, traditional and modern, is not unique to Chinese literature, but in China the “old” has been so vehemently announced officially “dead” that a hundred years later, it may turn out to be a little embarrassing for critics to see it still alive and kicking. It is perhaps only fitting that practice remains one step ahead of theory; but today, the task for us scholars of premodern and modern literature is to try to catch up with what the poets are doing, talk to each other and develop an alternative critical discourse and an alternative way of thinking about Chinese literary history.

---
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