Gain-of-Sensitivity Mutations in a Trim5-Resistant Primary Isolate of Pathogenic SIV Identify Two Independent Conserved Determinants of Trim5α Specificity
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Abstract

Retroviral capsid recognition by Trim5 blocks productive infection. Rhesus macaques harbor three functionally distinct Trim5 alleles: Trim5αQ, Trim5αTFP and Trim5αCypA. Despite the high degree of amino acid identity between Trim5αQ and Trim5αTFP alleles, the Q/TFP polymorphism results in the differential restriction of some primate lentiviruses, suggesting these alleles differ in how they engage these capsids. Simian immunodeficiency virus of rhesus macaques (SIVmac) evolved to resist all three alleles. Thus, SIVmac provides a unique opportunity to study a virus in the context of the Trim5 repertoire that drove its evolution in vivo. We exploited the evolved rhesus Trim5α resistance of this capsid to identify gain-of-sensitivity mutations that distinguish targets between the Trim5αQ and Trim5αTFP alleles. While both alleles recognize the capsid surface, Trim5αQ and Trim5αTFP alleles differed in their ability to restrict a panel of capsid chimeras and single amino acid substitutions. When mapped onto the structure of the SIVmac239 capsid N-terminal domain, single amino acid substitutions affecting both alleles mapped to the β-hairpin. Given that none of the substitutions affected Trim5αCypA alone, and the fact that the β-hairpin is conserved among retroviral capsids, we propose that the β-hairpin is a molecular pattern widely exploited by Trim5α proteins. Mutations specifically affecting rhesus Trim5αTFP (without affecting Trim5αQ) surround a site of conservation unique to primate lentiviruses, overlapping the CPSF6 binding site. We believe targeting this site is an evolutionary innovation driven specifically by the emergence of primate lentiviruses in Africa during the last 12 million years. This modularity in targeting may be a general feature of Trim5 evolution, permitting different regions of the PRYSPRY domain to evolve independent interactions with capsid.

Introduction

The anti-retroviral activity of Trim5α was discovered in a screen to identify rhesus macaque cDNAs conferring resistance to HIV-1 replication [1]. Antiretroviral activity has since been demonstrated for a large number of primate Trim5 orthologs, including prosimians, as well as homologs from cow and rabbit [2,3,4,5]. While no single ortholog of Trim5 universally restricts all retroviruses, the collective breadth of restriction, coupled with the observation that some orthologs can restrict viruses from two or more genera, suggests that Trim5 recognizes a conserved, pathogen-associated molecular pattern common to members of the Retroviridae [2,6,7].

Trim5α is composed of four domains: the RING, the B-Box and the Coiled-coil domains, which make up the tripartite RBCC of TRIM proteins, and a C-terminal PRYSPRY domain [8,9]. The PRYSPRY domain is thought to recognize the viral capsid [1,10,11]. In the case of lentiviruses, the cone-shaped capsid is composed of 12 pentamers and approximately 200 hexamers, each in turn comprised of identical copies of monomeric capsid (CA) protein [12,13]. An HIV-1 CA monomer has two β-helical domains connected by a flexible linker [14]. The N-terminal domain makes up the outer surface of the capsid and mediates interactions with cellular cofactors [15,16,17,18,19,20,21].

Comparisons between reported CA structures from viruses representing five Orthoretrovirinae genera show that the overall architecture of the N-terminal domain is conserved, despite little conservation of protein sequence. All reported retroviral N-terminal domain structures contain a conserved five β-helix core, from which a conserved surface feature, the β-hairpin, protrudes into the cytoplasm. Structural variation can be found among additional features on the CA surface. These differences include the presence and arrangement of 1–2 additional β-helices and/or
Author Summary

TRIM5α is an intrinsic immunity protein that blocks retrovirus infection through a specific interaction with the viral capsid. Uniquely among primates, rhesus macaques harbor three functionally distinct kinds of Trim5 alleles: rhTrim5α<sub>TPP</sub>, rhTrim5α<sub>Q</sub> and rhTrim5<sub>5Cyp</sub>α. SIVmac239, a simian immunodeficiency virus that causes AIDS in rhesus macaques, is resistant to all three, whereas its relative, the human AIDS virus HIV-1, is inhibited by rhTrim5α<sub>TPP</sub> and rhTrim5α<sub>Q</sub> alleles. We exploited this difference between these two retroviruses to figure out how Trim5 proteins recognize viral capsids. By combining mutagenesis, structural biology and evolutionary data we determined that both rhTrim5α<sub>TPP</sub> and rhTrim5α<sub>Q</sub> recognize a conserved structure common to all retroviral capsids. However, we also found evidence suggesting that rhTrim5α<sub>TPP</sub> evolved to recognize an additional target that is specifically conserved among primate immunodeficiency viruses. Molecular evolutionary analysis indicates that this expanded function appeared in a common ancestor of modern African monkeys sometime between 9–12 million years ago, and that it thereafter continued to be modified by strong evolutionary pressure. Our results provide insight into the evolutionary flexibility of Trim5α-capsid interactions, and support the notion that viruses related to modern HIV and SIV have been present in Africa for millions of years.

the presence of an extended loop connecting helices 4 and 5 (4–5 loop) [22,23,24,25,26,27].

Reports suggest that multiple sites within retroviruses may modulate Trim5α sensitivity [28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38, 39,40,41,42,43,44,45,46,47,48,49,50,51,52]. The majority of these sites are found within the N-terminal domain and are enriched within the CA surface features. Perplexingly, engineered CA mutations, naturally occurring variants, and escape mutations can have similar phenotypes even when separated by distances in excess of 25 Å. Understanding how these sites relate to one another is critically important for defining how Trim5α recognizes retroviral capsids, and how viruses evolve to evade Trim5α restriction.

We previously reported that the Trim5 locus of rhesus macaques (Macaca mulatta) is highly polymorphic, and that the different allelic lineages of rhesus Trim5 (rhTrim5) have been maintained by long-term balancing selection [33,54]. Based on functional assays and gene association studies, rhTrim5 alleles can be grouped into 3 classes, rhTrim5<sub>α</sub><sup>TPP</sup>, rhTrim5<sub>α</sub><sup>Q</sup> and rhTrim5<sub>5Cyp</sub>α [31,55,56,57,58,59,60]. When tested against a panel of primate lentiviruses, the 3 alleles give differing patterns of restriction [31,53,57] – an indication that rhTrim5 has at least 3 distinct (or incompletely overlapping) targets on the lentiviral CA protein.

SIVmac emerged in captive macaque colonies in the 1970s, most likely the result of an unintentional interspecies transmission of SIV from sooty mangabeys (SIVsm) [61,62,63,64]. We previously reported that SIVsm isolates are resistant to rhTrim5<sub>α</sub><sup>Q</sup>, but sensitive to rhTrim5<sub>α</sub><sup>TPP</sup> and rhTrim5<sub>5Cyp</sub>α alleles [31]. Because rhTrim5<sub>α</sub><sup>Q</sup> and rhTrim5<sub>5Cyp</sub>α likely have deferring targets within CA and because all are present at moderate-to-high frequency, emergence of SIVmac in rhesus macaque colonies required adaptations permitting simultaneous resistance to all three. Thus, comparisons between SIVmac and other restricted isolates provide a unique opportunity to understand the basis of recognition by Trim5 proteins and to identify specific features of CA that determine sensitivity and resistance to rhTrim5α-mediated restriction.

The structural basis for CA recognition by rhTrim5<sub>5Cyp</sub>α is clear: the cyclophilin A domain (CypA) specifically binds the 4–5 loop [65,66]. In contrast, rhTrim5<sub>α</sub><sup>Q</sup> and rhTrim5<sub>α</sub><sup>TPP</sup> interact with capsids via a C-terminal PRYSPRY domain, but the basis for capsid recognition by Trim5 PRYSPRY domains remains poorly understood. There are several factors that complicate studies of the interaction. For example, rhTrim5α destabilizes capsid complexes [10,11,67,68,69], the nature of the interaction is believed to be high avidity and low affinity [69,70,71,72], the interaction site may extend beyond a single CA monomer or hexamer [11,67,70,72,73], retroviral capsids and presumably the Trim5α lattice surrounding them have variable morphology and composition [70,74], and there is considerable diversity among Trim5α orthologs and retroviral CA sequences.

To investigate how Trim5α recognizes retroviral CAs, we combined genetic, phylogenetic and structural investigations with an alternative mutational strategy to separate and map the determinants for the differential restriction of HIV-1 and SIVmac by rhTrim5α alleles. The resolution of our mapping, together with the structural determination of the SIVmac239 CA N-terminal domain and consideration of primate lentivirus diversity, allowed us to identify two conserved CA surface elements that appear to be targets of rhTrim5α recognition. The first, the β-hairpin, is a structural feature that is present in all reported retroviral CA structures. Mutations in the β-hairpin affect targeting by both rhTrim5α<sub>Q</sub> and rhTrim5α<sub>TPP</sub> alleles. The second element, a patch of highly conserved amino acids among primate lentivirus CAs, may be a unique target of the more recently evolved rhTrim5α<sub>TPP</sub> allele. Strikingly, this patch is a surface-exposed extension of the recently indentified CPSF6 binding site [18]. Therefore, similar to the exploitation of the interaction between cyclophilin A and Nup358 by Trim5<sub>5Cyp</sub>α, it appears that rhTrim5α<sub>TPP</sub> has evolved to target the binding site of a required cellular cofactor. Taken together, the observations made from investigating the differential breadth and specificities of rhTrim5α alleles have revealed a complex evolutionary relationship between retroviruses and Trim5α orthologues.

Results

Differential restriction by the rhesus Trim5α<sub>Q</sub> and Trim5α<sub>TPP</sub> alleles

Differential restriction by rhTrim5α<sub>Q</sub> and rhTrim5α<sub>TPP</sub> has been mapped to a length polymorphism in the PRYSPRY domain (TFP359-341Q) [57]. Despite the fact that the protein sequences are >98% identical, the rhTrim5α<sub>Q</sub> and rhTrim5α<sub>TPP</sub> alleles yield different patterns of restriction when tested in parallel against divergent retroviruses [31,53,56,57]. We tested both alleles against multiple primate lentiviruses and found that even among these related viral strains, the rhTrim5α<sub>Q</sub> and rhTrim5α<sub>TPP</sub> alleles give different patterns of restriction (Figure 1). Specifically, rhTrim5α<sub>Q</sub> restricted a human viral isolate, HIV-1LH-3, but failed to restrict any of the lentiviruses isolated from Ceropithecine primates (SIVmac239 from rhesus macaques, SIVsmE041 and SIVsmE543-3 from sooty mangabeys, and SIVagmTAN-1 from African green monkeys) or HIV-2ROD (which originated by cross-species transmission of SIVsm [75]). In contrast, rhTrim5α<sub>TPP</sub> restricted HIV-1LH-3, SIVsmE041, SIVsmE543-3, SIVagmTAN-1 and to a lesser extent, HIV-2ROD. Only the rhesus macaque isolate, SIVmac239, was resistant to both alleles. Thus, while both alleles are functional, the differing patterns of restriction are consistent with the hypothesis that rhTrim5α<sub>Q</sub>
and rhTrim5αTPP proteins differ in the way they recognize primate lentivirus CA.

Individual surface elements of capsid determine restriction by Trim5α

HIV-1 and SIVmac239 had opposite restriction profiles when tested for restriction on rhTrim5α expressing cells. HIV-1nl4.3 was restricted by both rhTrim5αTPP and rhTrim5αQ2 alleles, whereas SIVmac239 was resistant to both alleles. At least three lines of evidence support the existence of multiple sites of restriction by Trim5α within the HIV-1 CA. First, HIV-1 is restricted by both rhTrim5αTPP and rhTrim5αQ2 alleles while other tested primate lentiviruses are resistant to the rhTrim5αQ2 allele. Second, attempts to evolve an HIV-1 with resistance to rhTrim5α have not yielded fully resistant viruses [42], while other viruses that have successfully evolved resistance to rhTrim5α-mediated restriction with genuine escape mutations both in vitro and in vivo [30,31]. Third, mutagenesis approaches in which elements of the SIVmac239 CA were inserted into the HIV-1 CA resulted in rhTrim5α restricted viruses [28,29,37,38]. With 79 amino acid differences between the two viruses (Figure 2A), we hypothesized that isolating each determinant would allow us to resolve the specific amino acids involved in rhTrim5α recognition at each target site. We therefore chose to take an alternative approach, based on identifying gain of sensitivity mutations of the inherently rhTrim5α-resistant SIVmac239 CA. We inserted individual features of the HIV-1nl4.3 CA into the SIVmac239 CA and measured the impact on restriction.

The ability of Trim5α orthologs to restrict highly divergent retroviruses with little to no sequence identity suggests Trim5α may target conserved, structural elements of CA. All reported retroviral N-terminal domain structures have a conserved five α-helix core. To determine whether differences within the five α-helix core impact rhTrim5α recognition, we generated SIV-HIVinter, by replacing most of the five α-helix core of SIVmac239 with that of HIV-1nl4.3. This virus retained the SIVmac239 residues at the first and last amino acid of each α-helix (Figure S1). We then tested this virus for restriction by rhTrim5αTPP and rhTrim5αQ2 alleles. This mutant was 2.3-fold more sensitive to rhTrim5αTPP than the SIVmac239 parent (Figures 3A–3C and Figure S2). This differed markedly from SIV-HIVsurface, in which three surface elements, the β-hairpin, 4–5 loop and helix 6, were derived from HIV-1nl4.3. This virus was restricted by all rhTrim5α alleles tested, at levels similar to HIV-1nl4.3 (Figures 3A–3D).

Because SIV-HIVsurface was phenotypically similar to HIV-1nl4.3, we asked whether a reciprocal chimera was sufficient to render HIV-1nl4.3 restriction resistant. Therefore, we replaced the HIV-1nl4.3 CA surface features with the three SIVmac239 surface features (the β-hairpin, 4–5 loop and helix 6) to create HIV-SIVsurface (Figure S1). This HIV-1 variant differed from HIV-1nl4.3 by 28 amino acids and was highly resistant to restriction by rhTrim5αTPP and rhTrim5αQ2 alleles (Figure 3E). Within the linker that connects the β-hairpin to helix 1, HIV-1nl4.3 and SIVmac239 differ at three positions (amino acids 13–15) (Figure 2 and Figure S1). Using a second HIV-1-SIV chimera, HIV-SIVsurfaceD, we determined that these three differences do not influence restriction (Figure 3F). To our knowledge, HIV-SIVsurface and HIV-SIVsurfaceD represent the first description of an HIV-1 strain resistant to all allelic classes of rhTrim5. Titration of these viruses and abrogation assays confirm that resistance was not due to saturation of rhTrim5α in the target cell lines (Figures S2 and S3).

To examine the individual contributions of each of the three surface features to restriction, we produced a series of SIVmac239 CAs each grafted with a single HIV-1nl4.3 surface feature. To take into account the fact that the β-hairpin is one amino acid shorter in SIVmac239, we generated two SIV variants: SIV-HIVbhp with a full length HIV-1nl4.3 β-hairpin, and SIV-HIVbhpQ7, with a single amino acid deletion in the HIV-1nl4.3 β-hairpin. We also generated SIVmac239 variants with the HIV-1nl4.3 4–5 loop and helix 6 (SIV-HIV4–5L and SIV-HIVh6, respectively). Rhesus Trim5αTPP alleles restricted all four of these viruses (SIV-HIVbhp, SIV-HIVbhpQ7, SIV-HIV4–5L, and SIV-HIVh6), with the exception of SIV-HIVh6, the chimeras had little effect on restriction by rhTrim5αQ2 (Figure 3G–J). Together, these mutants suggest that the HIV-1 restriction-sensitive and SIVmac239 restriction-resistant phenotypes involve contributions from all three capsid surface features.

Capsid mutagenesis reveals differences in restriction by Trim5αTPP and Trim5αQ2

Based on results obtained from the HIV-SIVsurface chimera, we generated a series of SIVmac239 CA mutations in which the amino acid at each of the 25 positions of interest was substituted with the amino acid found at the homologous position in HIV-1nl4.3 (Figures 2A, 3F, S1, S2 and Table 1). Two of the 25 mutations in the SIVmac239 CA, R117H and N123P, resulted in loss of infectivity. Although a His is found at position 117 in HIV-1nl4.3, an Asp is more common among HIV-1 isolates. We found that an SIVmac239 in which R117 was substituted with Asp instead of His retained infectivity (Figure S2).
The 24 infectious SIVmac239 variants with single amino acid substitutions in CA were tested for sensitivity to restriction by rhTrim5α and rhTrim5αQ. Restriction was quantified by determining the level of infectivity relative to SIVmac239 (Table 1). Only two single amino acid substitutions (Q3V and G6L), both in the β-hairpin, resulted in gain-of-sensitivity to both rhTrim5α and rhTrim5αQ. There were 12 additional mutations that caused gain-of-sensitivity to rhTrim5α TFP, but not to rhTrim5αQ. These mutations were spread among all three CA surface features. Together these results indicate that the targets of the two alleles partially overlap, and that the overlap involves elements within the β-hairpin. The observation that a large number of residues outside of the β-hairpin exclusively affect rhTrim5α TFP without altering rhTrim5αQ sensitivity raises the possibility that rhTrim5α TFP either has a larger footprint on the CA surface than rhTrim5αQ, or that it has the capacity to target more than one determinant in CA. Most notably, there were no mutations that affected only the rhTrim5αQ allele (that is, none of the mutations tested caused gain-of-sensitivity to rhTrim5αQ but not to rhTrim5α TFP). This trend was mirrored among the 14 other viruses tested, including both naturally occurring viruses and chimeric viruses generated for this study (Figures 1 and 3).

The 24 infectious SIVmac239 variants with single amino acid substitutions in CA were tested for sensitivity to restriction by rhTrim5α TFP and rhTrim5αQ. Restriction was quantified by determining the level of infectivity relative to SIVmac239 (Table 1). Only two single amino acid substitutions (Q3V and G6L), both in the β-hairpin, resulted in gain-of-sensitivity to both rhTrim5α TFP and rhTrim5α Q. Restriction was quantified by determining the level of infectivity relative to SIVmac239 (Table 1). Only two single amino acid substitutions (Q3V and G6L), both in the β-hairpin, resulted in gain-of-sensitivity to both rhTrim5α TFP and rhTrim5α Q. There were 12 additional mutations that caused gain-of-sensitivity to rhTrim5α TFP, but not to rhTrim5α Q. These mutations were spread among all three CA surface features. Together these results indicate that the targets of the two alleles partially overlap, and that the overlap involves elements within the β-hairpin. The observation that a large number of residues outside of the β-hairpin exclusively affect rhTrim5α TFP without altering rhTrim5α Q sensitivity raises the possibility that rhTrim5α TFP either has a larger footprint on the CA surface than rhTrim5α Q, or that it has the capacity to target more than one determinant in CA. Most notably, there were no mutations that affected only the rhTrim5α Q allele (that is, none of the mutations tested caused gain-of-sensitivity to rhTrim5α Q but not to rhTrim5α TFP). This trend was mirrored among the 14 other viruses tested, including both naturally occurring viruses and chimeric viruses generated for this study (Figures 1 and 3).
Structure of the SIVmac239 CA N-terminal domain

To provide a relevant structural context for evaluating the mutagenesis results, we determined the structure of the SIVmac239 CA N-terminal domain (Figures 4A, S4, S5 and Table S1). The SIVmac239 CA N-terminal domain was very similar to reported structures of HIV-1 (PDB: 2X2D) (RMSD at Cα positions: 2.29 Å) and HIV-2 (PDB: 2WLV) (RMSD at Cα positions: 1.42 Å) (calculations used SuperPose [76]). In particular, the five α-helices of the SIVmac239 N-terminal domain core did not deviate from those of HIV-1 or HIV-2, consistent with the observation that the SIV-HIV interior chimera remained largely resistant to restriction (Figure 3C).

Since the amino acids governing rHTrim5α restriction mapped to the CA surface, we were particularly interested in structural differences between SIVmac239 and HIV-1 in the β-hairpin, 4–5 loop and helix 6. We compared the SIVmac239 CA N-terminal domain structure to all of the previously reported wild type HIV-1 and HIV-2 CA N-terminal domain structures in which the surface features were properly folded (Figure 4B and Figure S5). This dataset includes structures of CA monomers, CA monomers from cyclophilin A bound HIV-1 CAs, HIV-1 hexamers and HIV-1 pentamers. From this analysis, we found a clear distinction between the HIV-1 structures and those of the more closely related HIV-2 and SIVmac239. Specifically, the 4–5 loops and β-hairpins formed two clusters; one composed of HIV-1 structures, and the other composed of SIVmac239 and HIV-2 structures. Measurements between the HIV-1 Cα of Gly94 or Gln95 and the corresponding Gly91 and Gln92 of SIVmac239 indicate that these two groups are separated by 3.3–11 Å in the structural alignment.

Table 1. Single amino acid mutants reveal differences in restriction by Trim5TFP and Trim5Q.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>SIVmac239 Residue</th>
<th>TFP</th>
<th>Q</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V2I</td>
<td>V2</td>
<td>1.21±0.08</td>
<td>1.02±0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3V</td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>5.58±0.92</td>
<td>5.42±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E5N</td>
<td>E5</td>
<td>0.70±0.05</td>
<td>0.86±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G6L</td>
<td>G6</td>
<td>8.37±1.46</td>
<td>6.59±2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ7Q</td>
<td>Δ</td>
<td>7.73±1.35</td>
<td>0.96±0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N9Q</td>
<td>N8</td>
<td>1.82±0.21</td>
<td>1.09±0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y10M</td>
<td>Y9</td>
<td>3.41±0.07</td>
<td>0.94±0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q86V</td>
<td>Q85</td>
<td>3.14±0.31</td>
<td>0.99±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P87H</td>
<td>P86</td>
<td>5.73±1.06</td>
<td>0.95±0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ88A</td>
<td>Δ</td>
<td>1.12±0.10</td>
<td>0.95±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A89G</td>
<td>A87</td>
<td>4.08±0.50</td>
<td>0.94±0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A91I</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>4.53±0.56</td>
<td>0.91±0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q92A</td>
<td>Q89</td>
<td>0.95±0.20</td>
<td>1.00±0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q93P</td>
<td>Q90</td>
<td>2.53±0.28</td>
<td>1.01±0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L96M</td>
<td>L93</td>
<td>7.12±0.58</td>
<td>1.03±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S100R</td>
<td>S97</td>
<td>10.80±2.46</td>
<td>0.95±0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S110T</td>
<td>S107</td>
<td>1.6±0.23</td>
<td>1.01±0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V111L</td>
<td>V108</td>
<td>6.01±0.09</td>
<td>2.11±0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D112Q</td>
<td>D109</td>
<td>7.15±0.76</td>
<td>1.39±0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q116G</td>
<td>Q113</td>
<td>2.83±0.16</td>
<td>1.05±0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y119T</td>
<td>Y116</td>
<td>0.73±0.03</td>
<td>0.94±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R120H</td>
<td>R117</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R120N</td>
<td>R117</td>
<td>0.71±0.08</td>
<td>1.04±0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q122N</td>
<td>Q119</td>
<td>1.79±0.13</td>
<td>0.91±0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N123P</td>
<td>N120</td>
<td>0.75±0.07</td>
<td>1.01±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q122N</td>
<td>Q119</td>
<td>1.79±0.13</td>
<td>0.91±0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The amino acid numbering of mutations corresponds to the alignment in Figure 2A. Numbering corresponding to the SIVmac239 capsid (Accession number M33262) is also provided (column 2). All values are shown as fold-restriction relative to parental SIVmac239. The values are the result of 3 independent experiments, each done in triplicate. The error represents the standard deviation between these 9 infections. N.D - mutant was not infectious and was not analyzed.

Since the amino acids governing rHTrim5α restriction mapped to the CA surface, we were particularly interested in structural differences between SIVmac239 and HIV-1 in the β-hairpin, 4–5 loop and helix 6. We compared the SIVmac239 CA N-terminal domain structure to all of the previously reported wild type HIV-1 and HIV-2 CA N-terminal domain structures in which the surface features were properly folded (Figure 4B and Figure S5). This dataset includes structures of CA monomers, CA monomers from cyclophilin A bound HIV-1 CAs, HIV-1 hexamers and HIV-1 pentamers. From this analysis, we found a clear distinction between the HIV-1 structures and those of the more closely related HIV-2 and SIVmac239. Specifically, the 4–5 loops and β-hairpins formed two clusters; one composed of HIV-1 structures, and the other composed of SIVmac239 and HIV-2 structures. Measurements between the HIV-1 Cα of Gly94 or Gln95 and the corresponding Gly91 and Gln92 of SIVmac239 indicate that these two groups are separated by 3.3–11 Å in the structural alignment.
Figure 4. Structure of the SIVmac239 Capsid N-terminal domain. (A) Structure of the SIVmac239 CA N-terminal domain at 2.9 Å resolution. There was no clear density for Pro88, and thus, it was omitted from the structure. A dashed line is used to indicate its place. (B) Comparison of the SIVmac239 β-hairpin and 4–5 loop to all other wild type HIV-1 and HIV-2 X-ray structures deposited in the PDB. HIV-1 structures are colored dark gray, except PDB: 2X2D, which is colored red (and used in all subsequent comparisons). HIV-2 structures are colored light gray, and the SIVmac239 N-terminal domain is colored blue. (C and D) Locations of amino acids mutations associated with rhesus Trim5α (C) and rhesus Trim5α TFP (D) restriction from Table 1. Blue spheres indicate amino acid differences that do not impact Trim5α restriction. Orange spheres show the location of mutations associated with 2.5–5 fold gains in sensitivity to rhesus Trim5α relative to SIVmac239. Red spheres indicate positions associated with >5 fold gains in sensitivity to rhesus Trim5α. Images created in PyMol. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003352.g004

Similarly, measurements between the Cα of HIV-1 Gly8 and the homologous SIVmac239/HIV-2 Gly7 show the two groups are separated by 4–8.5 Å in the structural alignment (Figure 4B). These CA structural differences may help to explain the observed changes in restriction between the reciprocal SIV-HIV surface and HIV-SIV surface chimeras (Figures 3D and 3E).

To determine the spatial arrangement of the single amino acid substitutions associated with rhTrim5α restriction, we mapped the restriction data for rhTrim5α Q and rhTrim5α TFP onto the structure of the SIVmac239 N-terminal domain (Figures 4C and 4D respectively) as well as the structure of the HIV-1 CA hexamer (Figure S6). The two individual point mutations associated with rhTrim5α Q restriction were confined to the β-hairpin and were within 10 Å of each other. This differed from rhTrim5α TFP, which in addition to being affected by the same two sites in the β-hairpin, also recognized amino acid substitutions outside the β-hairpin, spanning approximately 30 Å of the CA surface.

Residues influencing rhesus Trim5α TFP sensitivity surround a conserved capsid patch

In contrast to rhTrim5α Q, we found that rhTrim5α TFP restricts at least three phylogenetically distinct primate lentiviruses: HIV-1, SIVagm Tan, and SIVsm (Figure 1). While single amino acid substitutions affecting rhTrim5α Q were confined to the β-hairpin, substitutions that increased sensitivity to rhTrim5α TFP were spread across the N-terminal domain surface (Figures 4C and 4D). Based on these two observations, we hypothesized that rhTrim5α TFP may have evolved to target a conserved element(s) unique to the primate lentivirus CA N-terminal domain. To identify uncharacterized sites of primate lentivirus conservation, we generated an alignment of CA N-terminal domains using one representative virus from eleven different primate lentivirus lineages (Figure S7). We then scored the number of unique amino acids found at each position, and mapped the results onto the SIVmac239 structure (Figure 5A).

Despite significant sequence diversity among primate lentiviruses, we found a cluster of conserved residues on the CA surface. This site overlapped with the structurally conserved C-terminus of the 4–5 loop, and helices 5 and 6. In SIVmac239, this patch is composed of residues Lue93, Arg94, Pro96, Gly98, Asp100, Ile101, Ala102, Gly103, Thr105, Ser106, Ser107, Glu110, Gln112 and Trp114 (Figures 5, S4, S5, and S7). This patch of conservation extends into a larger site of conservation formed by α-helices 3, 4 and 5. This site of conservation has recently been identified as the binding site for nuclear import factor CPSF6 [18]. Mutations that specifically increased sensitivity of SIVmac239 to rhTrim5α TFP include S100R, V111L, D112Q and Q116G, which ring the boundaries of this patch, and Q86V, P87H, A89G, A91I, Q93P and L96M which are in the 4–5 loop just above the patch (Table 1, Figure 5 and Figure S5). In the immediate vicinity of the surface exposed conserved patch there were three observed trends for amino acid substitutions that influenced rhTrim5α TFP restriction: 1) mutations in the variable regions of the 4–5 loop, 2) amino acid differences at the periphery of the surface patch, and 3) amino acid differences extending into the surface patch.

There were five amino acid substitutions within the highly variable regions of the 4–5 loop that had an impact on rhTrim5α TFP restriction. The SIVmac239 4–5 loop, like that of HIV-2, is positioned further over the conserved surface patch than that of most HIV-1 loops. (Figures 4B and 5B). It has been documented that amino acid substitutions can alter the conformation or dynamics of the 4–5 loop [77,78]. It is therefore possible that Q86V, P87H, A89G, A91I and Q93P may alter the conformation or dynamics of the 4–5 loop in such a way as to enhance rhTrim5α recognition of the conserved surface patch.
Figure 5. Mutations modulating rhesus Trim5αTPP restriction ring a conserved surface patch. (A) Top row: Orientations of the SIVmac239 capsid used for Figure 5A. Middle row: Surface representation of the SIVmac239 capsid N-terminal domain colored to reflect amino acid conservation.
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Structurally, the surface patch was conserved across SIVmac239, HIV-1 and HIV-2. The C-term or the 4–5 loop, helix 5 and helix 6 were in very close agreement with the structures of HIV-1 and HIV-2, indicative of strong selection to preserve the overall architecture and amino acid composition of this site. Rather than changes to the structure or sequence of the patch, a majority of substitutions that altered rhTrim5\(^{a}\) sensitivity were found at its periphery. For example, we found that altering Ser97 in SIVmac239 to the corresponding HIV-1 Arg had the largest effect of any single substitution tested. An Arg at this position is found in an overwhelming majority of reported SIVsm sequences, and importantly, the Arg to Ser mutation was found to be a critical adaptive change acquired by SIVsm to evade rhTrim5\(^{a}\)-mediated restriction in vivo [31]. In HIV-1 and HIV-2 an Arg at this position contributes to a hydrogen bond bridging the base of the 4–5 loop. In SIVmac239 the corresponding Ser97 does not participate in a similar contact, but rather, it appears to engage in additional contacts within helix 5 which are not observed in HIV-1 or HIV-2. SIVmac239 Gln109 and HIV-1 Asp112 are oriented similarly, however the presence of an acidic group would alter the chemical environment at the periphery of the patch (Figure 5B). There was no obvious difference to explain why the V111L mutant in helix-6 was six-fold more sensitive to restriction than parental SIVmac239. Perhaps slight differences between the side-chains of these residues can impact rhTrim5\(^{a}\) restriction.

Two substitutions that were associated with increased rhTrim5\(^{a}\) sensitivity extend into the conserved surface patch itself. We found that substituting the Leu at position 93 (which sits over the surface patch) for the less-bulky Met residue resulted in a 7-fold gain in sensitivity to rhTrim5\(^{a}\) (Figure 5B and Table 1). Notably, Leu93/Met96 cover Trp114 and Arg94, both of which are absolutely conserved among primate lentiviruses. Finally, SIVmac239 residue Gln113 reaches deeper into the patch than the corresponding Gly116 in HIV-1/h4.3 (Figure 5B).

Together, mutagenesis and structural data suggests that rhTrim5\(^{a}\) targets a surface-exposed patch of CA that is conserved in both structure and sequence across primate lentiviruses. Furthermore, differences between SIVmac239 and HIV-1 at the periphery of this patch account for their differential sensitivity to rhTrim5\(^{a}\). At the same time, Trim5\(^{a}\) and Trim5\(^{b}\) are both affected by changes in the \(\beta\)-hairpin, suggesting that restriction by both alleles involves recognition of this conserved feature of retroviral CAs.

Evolution of Trim5\(^{a}\)

To reconstruct the evolutionary origins of the Q/TFP polymorphism, we analyzed multiple primate Trim5\(^{a}\) sequences. We found that Gln341 in rhTrim5\(^{a}\) is present at the homologous location in Trim5\(^{a}\) of hominoids (Homo sapiens and Pan troglodytes), colobines (C. guereza and P. nemaeus), and macaques (M. mulatta and M. fascicularis) (Figure 6). In contrast, the insertion is found only in Papionins, including sooty mangabeys (M. fasicularis) and other Cercopithecus species (M. sphinx, C. atys) and the 4–5 loop, helix 5 and helix 6 are in very close agreement with the structures of HIV-1 and HIV-2, indicative of strong selection to preserve the overall architecture and amino acid composition of this site. Rather than changes to the structure or sequence of the patch, a majority of substitutions that altered rhTrim5\(^{a}\) sensitivity were found at its periphery. For example, we found that altering Ser97 in SIVmac239 to the corresponding HIV-1 Arg had the largest effect of any single substitution tested. An Arg at this position is found in an overwhelming majority of reported SIVsm sequences, and importantly, the Arg to Ser mutation was found to be a critical adaptive change acquired by SIVsm to evade rhTrim5\(^{a}\)-mediated restriction in vivo [31]. In HIV-1 and HIV-2 an Arg at this position contributes to a hydrogen bond bridging the base of the 4–5 loop. In SIVmac239 the corresponding Ser97 does not participate in a similar contact, but rather, it appears to engage in additional contacts within helix 5 which are not observed in HIV-1 or HIV-2. SIVmac239 Gln109 and HIV-1 Asp112 are oriented similarly, however the presence of an acidic group would alter the chemical environment at the periphery of the patch (Figure 5B). There was no obvious difference to explain why the V111L mutant in helix-6 was six-fold more sensitive to restriction than parental SIVmac239. Perhaps slight differences between the side-chains of these residues can impact rhTrim5\(^{a}\) restriction.

Two substitutions that were associated with increased rhTrim5\(^{a}\) sensitivity extend into the conserved surface patch itself. We found that substituting the Leu at position 93 (which sits over the surface patch) for the less-bulky Met residue resulted in a 7-fold gain in sensitivity to rhTrim5\(^{a}\) (Figure 5B and Table 1). Notably, Leu93/Met96 cover Trp114 and Arg94, both of which are absolutely conserved among primate lentiviruses. Finally, SIVmac239 residue Gln113 reaches deeper into the patch than the corresponding Gly116 in HIV-1/h4.3 (Figure 5B).

Together, mutagenesis and structural data suggests that rhTrim5\(^{a}\) targets a surface-exposed patch of CA that is conserved in both structure and sequence across primate lentiviruses. Furthermore, differences between SIVmac239 and HIV-1 at the periphery of this patch account for their differential sensitivity to rhTrim5\(^{a}\). At the same time, Trim5\(^{a}\) and Trim5\(^{b}\) are both affected by changes in the \(\beta\)-hairpin, suggesting that restriction by both alleles involves recognition of this conserved feature of retroviral CAs.

Evolution of Trim5\(^{a}\)

To reconstruct the evolutionary origins of the Q/TFP polymorphism, we analyzed multiple primate Trim5\(^{a}\) sequences. We found that Gln341 in rhTrim5\(^{a}\) is present at the homologous location in Trim5\(^{a}\) of hominoids (Homo sapiens and Pan troglodytes), colobines (C. guereza and P. nemaeus) and macaques (M. mulatta and M. fascicularis) (Figure 6). In contrast, the insertion is found only in Papionins, including sooty mangabeys (M. fasicularis) and other Cercopithecus species (M. sphinx, C. atys), Barbary macaques (M. sylvanus), rhesus macaques (M. mulatta) and crab-eating macaques (M. fascicularis). Therefore, the insertion most likely originated in a common ancestor of the Papionini. Strikingly, a 50-nucleotide insertion/duplication at an identical position is found in Trim5 of cercopithecines (E. patas and other Cercopithecus species.).

We therefore cannot rule out an earlier origin of the insertion in a common ancestor of the Cercopithecini and Papionini. Together, these observations give a range of insertion times between 9.8 and 11.6 million years ago (MYA) (Figure 6) [79]. Thus, Gln341 is the ancestral state at this position, and TFP is the evolutionarily derived state – consistent with our hypothesis that rhTrim5\(^{a}\) alleles may be the result of selection to recognize the CA of primate lentiviruses.

We also noted considerable variation in the first codon of the inserted element itself, finding (in addition to TFP) orthologs encoding SFP, MFP and LFP among extant species (Figure 6). To ask whether this variation is consistent with continued positive selection since the time of insertion, we calculated dN/dS for each codon in the PRYSPRY domain using an alignment representing sixteen species of old world primate, including 4 species for which multiple haplotypes are available (M. mulatta, M. sylvanus, P. anubis and C. alyi). We identified five codons in the PRYSPRY (332, 334, 337, 339 and 341) with high posterior probabilities of positive selection, including two in the 6 b.p. insertion itself (339 and 341), a pattern consistent with sequences evolving under continuous or repeated cycles of positive selection.

Discussion

Rhesus macaques have three functionally distinct Trim5 alleles, rhTrim5\(^{a}\), rhTrim5\(^{b}\), and rhTrim5\(^{c}\) (P. anubis, P. nemaeus, P. cynomolgus, P. baboon, and P. talarum). Of these, the structural basis for recognition of CA by rhTrim5\(^{a}\) is best understood, and is attributed to interactions between the CypA domain and the 4–5 loop [65,66]. In contrast, CA recognition by C-terminal PRYSPRY domains, such as those found in rhTrim5\(^{a}\) and rhTrim5\(^{b}\), is not well understood. Using genetic, mutagenic, and structural approaches we found evidence that restriction by rhTrim5\(^{a}\) proteins involves at least two structurally conserved elements of the primate lentivirus CA N-terminal domain.

There are four possible phenotypes for viruses that encounter rhTrim5\(^{a}\) and rhTrim5\(^{b}\) alleles: resistance to both, sensitivity to both, and sensitivity to one or the other but not both. We observed only three of the four possibilities: resistance to both (SIVmac239), sensitivity to both (HIV-1/h4.3), and sensitivity to rhTrim5\(^{b}\) but resistance to rhTrim5\(^{a}\) (SIVagmTAN, SIVsmE04, SIVsmE543 and HIV-2Rod) (Figure 1). We did not observe the converse, resistance to rhTrim5\(^{a}\) combined with sensitivity to rhTrim5\(^{b}\). Moreover, none of the 34 chimeric viruses assayed displayed a rhTrim5\(^{a}\)-resistant phenotype, and there are no reports of other retroviruses displaying a rhTrim5\(^{b}\)-resistant phenotype. In fact, the only mutations in SIVmac239 that resulted in sensitivity to rhTrim5\(^{a}\) also resulted in sensitivity to rhTrim5\(^{b}\) (Figures 3, S2 and Table 1).
The substitutions that increased sensitivity to both alleles map to the $\beta$-hairpin of CA. Structurally, the $\beta$-hairpin is the most conserved retroviral surface feature and is present in structures from five different genera [22,23,24,25,26,27]. Thus, it appears that the $\beta$-hairpin is a retrovirus-associated molecular pattern by which Trim5$\alpha$ evolved to “recognize” retroviruses. In support of these hypotheses, we note that experimental evolution of a rhTrim5$\alpha$ TFP-resistant N-MLV in cell-culture selected for a single change in the $\beta$-hairpin of the MLV capsid [30]. When we superimposed the MLV and lentiviral CA structures, the identified resistance mutation in MLV overlaps with Y9, a residue we identified in the SIVmac239 $\beta$-hairpin that modulates recognition by rhTrim5$\alpha$ TFP (Figure S8).

In addition to substitutions in the $\beta$-hairpin that increased sensitivity to both rhTrim5$\alpha$Q and rhTrim5$\alpha$ TFP, there were twelve additional mutations specifically associated with rhTrim5$\alpha$ TFP restriction (Table 1). We interpret this to mean that the rhTrim5$\alpha$ TFP allele has retained the CA-recognition capacity of rhTrim5$\alpha$Q, but has evolved to interact with an additional target or targets in the lentiviral CA. These mutations map to surface features that distinguish primate lentivirus CAs from other retroviral CAs. Specifically, these substitutions ring a spatially clustered group of amino acids that are conserved across primate lentiviruses, altering this site at its periphery.

Interestingly, these mutations also overlap the binding sites of lentivirus-specific cellular cofactors, including CypA, NUP358 and CPSF6; notably, when these factors are fused to a Trim5 RBCC, the resulting fusion proteins function as restriction factors [18,65,66,80,81]. Primate lentiviruses have extended 4–5 loops that productively interact with at least two cellular cyclophilins, CypA and the CypA domain of a nuclear import factor, NUP358 [16,17,82]. In nature, these interactions have been independently exploited at least four times during primate evolution in the form of Trim5-CypA fusion proteins, two of which have been maintained in modern day lineages of owl monkeys and macaques [54,55,58,59,60,83,84,85]. SIVmac239 residue Ala86 corresponds to Gly89 in the HIV-1 CypA binding motif, while SIVmac239 Gln88 and Gln89 are previously identified sites of an adaptive change permitting SIVmac to resist rhTrim5CypA restriction [16,31]. We demonstrate that both of these sites influence rhTrim5$\alpha$ TFP restriction (Table 1). Resistance mutations to both rhTrim5$^{\text{CypA}}$ and rhTrim5$\beta$ TFP may explain why SIVmac239...
does not utilize Nup358, which is required by other primate lentiviruses for efficient nuclear import and optimal target site integration [17].

The conserved surface patch is an extension of the CPSF6 binding site, which is conserved among primate lentiviruses [18]. Our data suggest that this site is targeted by the rhTrim5a TFP PRYSPRY domain (Figure 5). We therefore propose that the targeting of this site is analogous to exploitation of the CypA binding site in the 4-3 loop by rhTrim5aWFQ, since rhTrim5aWFQ also exploits a critical, conserved CA interface that is necessary for its interaction with a host co-factor that facilitates lentiviral replication.

Recent structural determination of the rhesusTrim5a PRYSPRY domain shows the four discrete variable regions are arranged on the surface of a β-sandwich core [71,72]. Okamura et al. reported that the variable regions may make independent contributions to CA recognition [86]. Thus, differences in targeting by the rhTrim5aQ and rhTrim5aTFP proteins may reflect contributions from different regions of the PRYSPRY domain. For example, the TFP insertion in variable region 1 (V1) may directly confer specificity for the conserved face of lentiviral CAs, whereas the interactions of both rhTrim5aTFP and rhTrim5aQ with the β-hairpin may involve contributions from one or more of the other variable loops.

The original insertion in V1 that gave rise to rhTrim5aTFP in modern macaques arose after the Cercopithecinae-Colobinae split, but prior to divergence of the Macaca and Papio lineages, providing an estimate for the time of insertion between 9.8 to 11.6 million years ago [79]. In contrast, the Trim5aCypA allele has only been found in Asian macaques, but not in Barbary macaques or any other old world primates [54,55,58,59,60,87], and may therefore have arisen less than 5–6 million years ago, after the lineage leading to Asian macaques (Macaca f.) diverged from the African lineages [79]. These dates, and the observation that rhTrim5aTFP and rhTrim5aCypA target lentiviral features of CA, constitute indirect but compelling evidence that viruses related to modern primate lentiviruses were infecting ancestral primates as far back as 12 million years ago, driving selection of Trim5 variants with enhanced capacity to restrict lentiviral replication. Recently, similar conclusions were independently obtained from a study of APOBEC3G variation in Old World monkeys [88]. Endogenous lentiviral sequences found in the genomes of European brown rabbits [89], Malagasy lemurs [90] and weasels [91,92] support the conclusion that lentiviruses were extant at this time, and structural studies indicate that the CA proteins of at least two of these (RELIK and pSVgml) were very similar to modern lentiviruses [93].

The natural history of African primate lentiviruses, and the species that harbor them, suggests lentiviruses were a driving force for the selection and maintenance of TFP-like Trim5a alleles during the last 12 million years. Based on these observations, we propose an evolutionary model in which different regions of the PRYSPRY can evolve independently to recognize different features of retroviral CAs (Figure 7). β-hairpin recognition was conserved between the ancestral Trim5aQ and the evolutionary derived rhTrim5aTFP allele. Therefore, it is likely that the region encompassing the Q/TFP polymorphism in variable loop 1 (V1) does not contribute to β-hairpin recognition. Instead, this region may be free to make additional contacts with the CA. Due to its dynamic and unstructured nature, V1 may readily tolerate mutations and insertions (such as the 6-nucleotide insertion) affording the molecule enhanced evolutionary plasticity [71,72]. The SIV-HIV16α mutant was restricted by rhTrim5aQ, implying that the rhTrim5aQ PRYSPRY could recognize one edge of the conserved surface patch (Figure 7A). The modern day presence of Trim5a orthologs with the 6-nucleotide insertion indicate that the insertion event conferred a selective advantage (likely against primate lentiviruses). The simplest explanation is that the insertion allowed V1 to make additional contacts or possibly even extend beyond helix 6 and further into the conserved surface patch. We have shown that the first and last positions of the rhesus TFP polymorphism have been under positive selection, indicative of continued refinement of its ability to recognize the conserved surface patch over evolutionary time.

This model is likely a snapshot of a larger evolutionary scenario in which an ancestral PRYSPRY domain may first have acquired the ability to recognize a highly conserved retroviral CA element (such as the β-hairpin). On top of this intrinsic recognition ability, modularity of Trim5a proteins allowed them to explore additional targets on the CA surface in response to pressures from specific viruses or viral families, perhaps by taking advantage of inherent plasticity within the variable loops (Figure 7B). Such a process, played out over the course of tens of millions of years of evolution, could help to explain both the collective breadth and species-specificity of modern primate Trim5a proteins.

Methods

Cell lines

Crandell-Rees Feline Kidney (CRFK) cells and Human Embryonic Kidney 293T/17 (HEK293T/17) cells were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA) and grown in DMEM/10% FBS. CRFK cell lines stably expressing N-terminally HA-tagged Trim5 orthologs were previously described [31]. Stable cell lines were maintained in DMEM/10% FBS supplemented with 0.5 mg/ml G418. All cultured cells were maintained at 37°C with 5% CO2.

Plasmids and mutagenesis

The SIVmac239-based retroviral vector pVIEGFP (gift from Hung Fan, University of California, Irvine, CA) was previously modified to contain a functional gag-pol ORF [31]. All single cycle chimeric viruses are in either the pVIEGFP-SIV or HIV-1NL4.3 pNL43DenvGFP background as indicated. To facilitate the rapid production of chimeric viruses, a capsid and gag shuttle vector system was engineered through DNA synthesis by GEEnart (Regensburg, Germany). Silent nucleotide changes within the capsid allowed for chimerization between capsids from either virus (Figure 9). All chimeric capsids with the exception of single amino acid point mutants were produced through gene synthesis by GEEnart (Regensburg, Germany) and then cloned into the proper viruses using our shuttle vector system. Single amino acid substitutions on the SIVmac239 surface were made using site directed mutagenesis. The S100R mutant was described in a previous publication [31].

A CFP expressing HIV-1 derived lentiviral vector was created for abrogation assays. A CFP gene was introduced into using AgeI an Xhol sites into pNL-EGFP/CMV-WPREU3/6, a vector based on pNL-EGFP/CMV (which features the WPRE element for increased mRNA stability and a deleted U3 region for added safety).

Virus production

All single-cycle viruses were produced in HEK293T/17 cells by cotransfection of the appropriate viral plasmid and pSV-G (Clontech Laboratories, Mountain View, CA), using the GenJet transfection system (SignaGen; Ijamsville, MD). Culture supernatants containing the single-cycle, GFP/EGFP expressing, VSV-G-
pseudotyped virions were titered on untransfected CRFK cells; supernatant volumes resulting in approximately 25% GFP/EGFP+ CRFK cells were used for infectivity assays on the cell lines expressing the indicated Trim5α. Information regarding viral infectivity appears in Figure S2.

The CFP expressing HIV-1 lentiviral vector was made from 293T transfection of a 3:2:1 plasmid ratio of pNL-ECFP/CMV-WPREU3 [6], pCD/NL-BH*DDD [94] and pVSV-G (Clontech Laboratories, Mountain View, CA) (pNL-ECFP/CMV-WPREU3 and pCD/NL-BH*DDD were kindly provided by Dr. Jakob Reiser, Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center).

Infectivity assays
Stably expressing Trim5α CRFK cells were seeded at a concentration of 5×10⁴ cells per well in 12-well-plates and infected with the appropriate amount of VSV-G pseudotyped, single-cycle, GFP/EGFP expressing viruses. All infections were done in triplicate. After 2 days, expression of GFP/EGFP was analyzed by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) performed on a FACSCaliburTM flow cytometer (BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ), and data were analyzed using FlowJo software (Tree Star, Inc., Ashland, OR). Viral titers were determined using the appropriate p24 (HIV-1) or p27 (SIVmac) antigen capture kit from Advanced Bioscience Labs (Rockville, MD). Information regarding viral titers appears in Figure S2.

Protein expression and purification
A codon optimized N-terminal fragment of the SIVmac239 capsid corresponding to residues 1–144 was synthesized with a C-terminal factor Xa cleavage site and 6x-His Tag by GENEART (Regensburg, Germany). Using engineered XbaI and XhoI sites the N-terminal fragment was cloned into pET303 (Invitrogen) and expressed from BL21(DE3) E. coli cells. The SIVmac239 capsid was purified by Ni-NTA agarose (Qiagen) followed by gel filtration chromatography on a Superdex 200 column (GE Healthcare). The C-terminal 6x-His tag was removed by treatment with factor Xa (New England Biolabs),
re-purified by orthogonal Ni-NTA agarose chromatography and gel filtration chromatography.

**Crystallization**

Purified SIVmac239 capsid protein was crystallized by the hanging drop method over a reservoir solution containing 10% (w/v) PEG 2000 MME, 10 mM nickel chloride and 100 mM TRIS, pH 8.5 at 24 °C. Crystals were harvested from 0.2 ul drops and cryoprotected by addition of 10–15% PEG 400 or glycerol to the reservoir solution, then flash cooled in liquid nitrogen. Protein concentration ranged from 10–15 mg/ml.

**Structure determination and refinement**

We recorded diffraction data at beamline 24-ID-E at the Advanced Photon Source. Data sets from individual crystals were processed with HKL2000 [95]. Molecular replacement (MR) was carried out with PHASER [96] using the HIV-2 capsid as an initial search model. One molecule of SIVmac239 completes the asymmetric unit. Refinement was carried out using PHENIX [97,98] and all model modifications were done in COOT [99]. Initial rigid body refinement followed by simulated annealing and positional refinement was done. The 4–5 loop (residues 83–97) was initially removed from the model and rebuilt into modest density. There was no clear density for residue proline 88 and it was omitted from the structure. The model was further refined by additional cycles of positional and B-factor refinement, followed by TLS. The quality of the data was assessed using MolProbity [100]. Data collection and refinement statistics can be found in Table S1. Coordinates and diffraction data have been submitted to the PDB, accession number: PDB:4HTW.

**Sequence analysis**

Trim5α sequences were identified by BLAST search of the non-redundant nucleotide database, aligned in Geneious Pro v.5.5.4 using the Translation Align option. The alignment was adjusted manually, converted back to nucleotide and the best-fit tree identified with MrBayes. dN/dS analysis was performed with CODEML in v.4.4 of PAML. (Table S2) [101].

**Supporting Information**

Figure S1 Amino acid alignment of chimeric viruses. Amino acid sequences of chimeric viruses used in this manuscript are aligned to SIVmac239. Black lettering indicates unique SIV-mac239 amino acids. Red lettering indicates unique HIV-1L4.3 amino acids. Gray dots indicate conserved positions between SIVmac239 and HIV-1L4.3. Hyphens were inserted to preserve the alignment in cases of insertions/deletions. Numbered rows correspond to the following viruses: 1. SIV-HIVinterve, 2. SIV-HIVsurface, 3. SIV-HIVsurface25, 4. SIV-HIVinterve25, 5. SIV-HIVsurface25, 6. SIV-HIVsurface25. Notably there is little or no deviation between the apparent infectivities of SIVmac239, HIV-SIVsurface and HIV-SIVsurface25. Notably there is little or no deviation between the apparent infectivities of SIVmac239, HIV-SIVsurface and HIV-SIVsurface25 on control cells and on mamu1 expressing cells at every concentration of virus tested. There is a very large difference between the apparent infectivity of HIV-1L4.3 on control cells and mamu1 (rhTrim5α<sup>TPP</sup>) cells. (V) Graphs I-IV graphed together. Importantly, despite the attenuation of HIV-SIVsurface and HIV-SIVsurface25 their curves fall inside the saturating curve for HIV-1L4.3 on mamu1 cells. (B) Two color abrogation assays were conducted under identical conditions to those in Table 1 and Figures 1 and 3. Cells were harvested at 30 hours post infection. Identical amounts of HIV-1, SIVmac239-S100R, HIV-SIVsurface and HIV-SIVsurface25 to those used in Figure 3 and Table 1 were used. Additionally, the same concentration (ng of capsid) as the most attenuated mutant, HIV-SIVsurface, was used for the two rhTrim5α restricted viruses HIV-1L4.3 and SIVmac239 S100R. Cells were co-infected with a fixed concentration of a HIV-1 CFP reporter virus. Values for GFP and CFP positive cells are separated into two columns (‘‘GFP’’ and ‘‘CFP’’) for ease of viewing, but the values are from the same co-infection. Under all conditions an enhancement of infectivity for the CFP reporter virus on restrictive cells mamu1 and mamu1 (rhTrim5α<sup>TPP</sup> and rhTrim5α<sup>L</sup>) was not observed. Therefore, despite high concentrations of virus, our experimental conditions did not saturate Trim5α. Bar graphs represent the average of 3 independent infections. Error bars indicate the S.E.M. (TIF)

Figure S3 Surface feature chimeras do not abrogate Trim5α activity. Two independent saturation controls were done to insure that attenuated viruses did not abrogate Trim5α activity. (A) Titration curves on CRFK-Neo control cells (Black lines I-IV) and mamu1 (rhTrim5α<sup>TPP</sup>) expression cells (red lines I–IV) were carried out. Data points are the average of 3 infections. Error bars indicate the S.E.M. 50,000 cells were seeded in a 24 well plate in 0.5 ml of media. Infections were carried out in 0.2 ml media and harvested for FACS 40 hours post infection. (I) SIVmac239. (II) HIV-1L4.3. (III) HIV-SIVsurface. (IV) HIV-SIVsurface<sub>25</sub>. Notably there is little or no deviation between the apparent infectivities of SIVmac239, HIV-SIVsurface and HIV-SIVsurface<sub>25</sub> on control cells and on mamu1 expressing cells at every concentration of virus tested. There is a very large difference between the apparent infectivity of HIV-1L4.3 on control cells and mamu1 (rhTrim5α<sup>TPP</sup>) cells. (V) Graphs I-IV graphed together. Importantly, despite the attenuation of HIV-SIVsurface and HIV-SIVsurface<sub>25</sub> their curves fall inside the saturating curve for HIV-1L4.3 on mamu1 cells. (B) Two color abrogation assays were conducted under identical conditions to those in Table 1 and Figures 1 and 3. Cells were harvested at 30 hours post infection. Identical amounts of HIV-1, SIVmac239-S100R, HIV-SIVsurface and HIV-SIVsurface<sub>25</sub> to those used in Figure 3 and Table 1 were used. Additionally, the same concentration (ng of capsid) as the most attenuated mutant, HIV-SIVsurface, was used for the two rhTrim5α restricted viruses HIV-1L4.3 and SIVmac239 S100R. Cells were co-infected with a fixed concentration of a HIV-1 CFP reporter virus. Values for GFP and CFP positive cells are separated into two columns (‘‘GFP’’ and ‘‘CFP’’) for ease of viewing, but the values are from the same co-infection. Under all conditions an enhancement of infectivity for the CFP reporter virus on restrictive cells mamu1 and mamu1 (rhTrim5α<sup>TPP</sup> and rhTrim5α<sup>L</sup>) was not observed. Therefore, despite high concentrations of virus, our experimental conditions did not saturate Trim5α. Bar graphs represent the average of 3 independent infections. Error bars indicate the S.E.M. (TIF)

Figure S4 B-factor Analysis of SIVmac239 structure. (A) Average B-factor plot of each residue included in the final model. The β-hairpin and 4–5 loop are delineated as reference points. (B) Visual “heat-map” of average B-factors. Residue 88 was removed from the structure due to lack of clear density and is indicated by the dashed red line. Images created in PyMol. (TIF)

Figure S5 Electron Density Maps of Key Regions in the SIVmac239 structure. (A) the β-hairpin, residues 1–14. (B) the CypA binding loop, residues 83–100—residue 88 has been removed from the structure as there was no clear electron density (C) the “conserved patch” residues 95–116 (D) isolated residues 94–106 and (E) 106–116. All images are 2Fo-Fc maps and are contoured at 1.5σ throughout for consistency. Structure factors and the final model have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank accession 4HTW. All images created in PyMol. (TIF)

Figure S6 Mutations modulating Trim5α sensitivity mapped to the HIV-1 hexamer. Mutations from Table 1 mapped to the HIV-1 hexamer structure 3GV2. Restriction data for mutant viruses tested against the rhesus Trim5α<sup>TPP</sup> allele
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and the rhesus Trim5αQ allele mamu4 (B). Positions that were mutated on the capsid surface and were <2.5 fold more sensitive to Trim5α restriction than SIVmac239 are shown in gray spheres Orange spheres show the location of mutations associated with 2.5–5 fold gains in sensitivity to rhesus Trim5α. Red spheres indicate positions associated with >5 fold gains in sensitivity to rhesus Trim5α. Images created in PyMol (TIF)

Figure S7  Amino acid alignment of divergent primate lentiviruses. Primate lentiviruses from eleven different lineages are aligned corresponding to the published database found in the Los Alamos Sequence database. Accession numbers: SIVmac239- M33262, HIV-1-K03455, SIVcol-AF301156, SIV-loho-AF075269, SIVagm-U58991, SIVgsn-AF468658, SIVwrc-AM745105, SIVdml-AJ15932, SIVmand2-AJ159322, SIVdeb-AZ52385, SIVtal-AJ182197 (TIF)

Figure S8 Structural comparison between SIVmac239 and MLVs with differential restriction by rhesus Trim5α. (A) β-hairpin of N-Tropic MLV (PDB: 1U7K) with residue L10 shown in sticks and spheres (B) β-hairpin of the N-MLV L10W mutant (PDB:2Y4Z) that is rhesus Trim5α resistant, 10W shown in sticks and spheres. (C) β-hairpin of HIV-1 (PDB:2X2D) with M10 shown in sticks and spheres. (D) SIVmac239 β-hairpin Y9 shown in sticks and spheres. (E) Structural alignment of rhesus Trim5α sensitive N-MLV with HIV-1. (F) Structural alignment of the rhesus Trim5α resistant N-MLV L10W with SIVmac239. Images created in PyMol (TIF)
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