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Failure to Modulate Attentional Control in Advanced Aging Linked to White Matter Pathology
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Advanced aging is associated with reduced attentional control and less flexible information processing. Here, the origins of these cognitive effects were explored using a functional magnetic resonance imaging task that systematically varied demands to shift attention and inhibit irrelevant information across task blocks. Prefrontal and parietal regions previously implicated in attentional control were recruited by the task and most so for the most demanding task configurations. A subset of older individuals did not modulate activity in frontal and parietal regions in response to changing task requirements. Older adults who did not dynamically modulate activity underperformed their peers and scored more poorly on neuropsychological measures of executive function and speed of processing. Examining 2 markers of preclinical pathology in older adults revealed that white matter hyperintensities (WMHs), but not high amyloid burden, were associated with failure to modulate activity in response to changing task demands. In contrast, high amyloid burden was associated with alterations in default network activity. These results suggest failure to modulate frontal and parietal activity reflects a disruptive process in advanced aging associated with specific neuropathologic processes.
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Introduction

Older adults often perform poorly in situations where multiple goals and response rules must be maintained and coordinated (Craik and Byrd 1982; Verhaeghen and Cerella 2002). These impairments may reflect a failure of attentional control (also referred to as executive function) with diverse effects on multiple domains including perception, memory, and language (Park et al. 2002; Gazzaley et al. 2005; Kemper et al. 2009). Here, we explored age differences in recruitment of brain systems associated with attentional control and their relationship to behavior and markers of neuropathology (West 1996; Buckner 2004; Hedden and Gabrieli 2004).

Attentional control is associated with a network involving prefrontal and parietal association regions commonly active during tasks that place high demands on controlled processing (Duncan and Owen 2000; Miller and Cohen 2001; Badre and Wagner 2004). Paradoxically, older adults often exhibit activity increases relative to younger controls within frontal and parietal regions when performing tasks, a response that has been interpreted as representing compensation (Reuter-Lorenz et al. 2000; Cabeza 2002; Cabeza et al. 2002; Grady 2002; Persson et al. 2004, 2006; Gutchess et al. 2005; Velanov et al. 2007; Holtzer et al. 2009; Rahaj et al. 2010 although for opposing results, see Logan et al. 2002; Nicolson et al. 2002; Langenecker and Nielson 2003; Colcombe et al. 2005; Duverne et al. 2009).

Recent models further suggest that age-related increases in activity occur with lower task demands where older adults maintain relatively intact performance but that age-related decreases in activity occur with higher task demands (Reuter-Lorenz and Cappell 2008; Lustig et al. 2009). By this view, increased activity may reflect “neural scaffolding” (Park and Reuter-Lorenz 2009) in response to age-related insults such as atrophy, white matter disruption, or amyloid deposition. At lower levels of task demand, increased recruitment of available neural resources buttresses performance. Relative decreases emerge at high levels of task demand when available processing resources have reached their limit. To best probe age-associated differences, it is thus most informative to systematically vary difficulty and measure performance and the neural response at each level (Rypma et al. 2002; Mattay et al. 2006; Holtzer et al. 2009; Nagel et al. 2009; Schneider-Garces et al. 2009; Vallesi et al. 2011). An intriguing possibility is that an inability to modulate neural activity may itself be an important marker of disruption.

To explore this possibility, we collected data from an attentional control task that systematically varied task demands across separate blocks by requiring the inhibition of distracting stimuli, shifting between attentional foci, or both (Hedden and Gabrieli 2010). A large sample of clinically normal older adults was enrolled to allow detailed analysis of interindividual differences. We hypothesized that failure to modulate activity in frontal and parietal regions important to attentional control would relate to performance on executive function tasks and markers of neuropathology. Amyloid deposition and/or white matter abnormalities are present in a substantial proportion of clinically normal older adults. An open question is whether measures of white matter hyperintensities (WMHs), amyloid deposition, or both might affect attentional control.

Materials and Methods

Participants

Participants were 51 (49% females; aged 18-27 years, M = 21.7, standard deviation [SD] = 2.6) community-dwelling younger adults and 62 (58% females; aged 60-87 years, M = 73.2, SD = 6.4) community-dwelling...
For older adults only, composite measures of executive function, speed of processing, and episodic memory were constructed from the available neuropsychological variables as follows. First, z-scores were computed for each variable and variables for which lower scores indicated better performance were reverse scaled (by multiplying by −1). Second, confirmatory principle component analyses were conducted to construct separate composite constructs (components) for executive function, speed of processing, and episodic memory. For each analysis, 3 indicator variables were entered and only the first extracted component was used. Missing values (4.3% of the data) were replaced with the mean for that indicator variable. For executive function, the variables used (with unrotated component loadings in parentheses) were the z-score transformations of Digit-Symbol (0.75), pattern matching (0.84), and number matching (0.85). The component accounted for 66% of the variance among these 3 executive function variables. It is worth noting that the 2 highest-loading variables are both indicators of working memory, so this executive function composite could also be thought of as a working memory composite. For speed of processing, the variables used were the z-score transformations of Digit-Symbol (0.75), pattern matching (0.84), and number matching (0.85). The component accounted for 51% of the variance among the episodic memory variables. Factor scores representing each composite were computed for each individual participant.

**MRI Data Acquisition**

Participants underwent fMRI on a Siemens TrioTIM 3.0-T scanner (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) equipped with a 12-channel phased-array whole-head coil. Visual stimuli were generated using an Apple PowerBook G4 (Apple, Inc., Cupertino, CA) running Psychtoolbox (Brainard 1997; Pelli 1997) within Matlab (The Mathworks, Inc., Natick, MA) and projected onto a screen positioned at the head of the magnet bore and reflected onto a mirror affixed to the head coil. Head motion was restrained with a foam pillow and extendable padded head clamps. Earplugs were used to attenuate scanner noise. All participants wore MR-compatible glasses and lenses (participants not needing corrective lenses wore 0.0 dipter lenses). High-resolution 3D T1-weighted magnetization prepared rapid acquisition gradient echo (MP-RAGE) anatomical images were collected with the following parameters: time repetition (TR) 2500 ms, echo time (TE) 88 ms, flip angle (FA) 9°, 1 × 1 × 1.2 mm voxels. Functional data were acquired using a gradient-echo-echo-planar pulse sequence sensitive to blood oxygenation level-dependent (BOLD) contrast (Kwong et al. 1992; Ogawa et al. 1992) using the following parameters: TR = 2500 ms, TE = 30 ms, FA = 88°, 3.03 × 3.03 × 3 mm voxels. Thirty-nine transverse slices aligned to the AC-PC plane covered the whole brain. Functional images were acquired during the **RAVLT** test (RAVLT; Schmidt 1996) using a 30-min delayed interval (score indicates number of items recalled), pattern matching and number matching (Saltheouse 1996) which are measures of speed of processing (for both, score indicates the number of items completed in 45 s), verbal fluency using the letters F, A, and S (FAS: score indicates the average number of items generated; Spreen and Benton 1977), the Letter-Number Sequencing subtest of working memory from the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale (WAIS-III) (score indicates the maximum number of items correctly held and reordered in memory; Wechsler 2002), and a sequence memory task in which participants had to report the order of 7 tests they had previously completed (participants were provided with visual cues and a brief description of each task; error score indicates the sum of the absolute difference between each item’s correct placement and the participant’s placement in the sequence). In a separate session, older adults (but not younger adults) were assessed with the CDR Scale (Morris 1993), the Geriatric Depression Scale (Yesavage et al. 1985), category fluency (animal and vegetable), the Digit-Symbol, Logical Memory, and Backward Digit Span subtests from the WAIS-III (Wechsler 2002), and Trail Making A and B (Reitan 1955).

### Table 1: Demographic and neuropsychological characteristics of participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic measure</th>
<th>Younger Modulating (N=66)</th>
<th>Younger Nonmodulating (N=70)</th>
<th>Older Modulating (N=66)</th>
<th>Older Nonmodulating (N=70)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>5.1 ± 2.3</td>
<td>38 ± 7.5</td>
<td>24 ± 8.2</td>
<td>26 ± 8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>21.7 ± 2.6</td>
<td>72.7 ± 8.7</td>
<td>67 ± 9.3</td>
<td>74.3 ± 9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE</td>
<td>29.1 ± 0.9</td>
<td>29.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>29.1 ± 0.9</td>
<td>29.1 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDR sum of boxes</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geriatric Depression Scale</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>4.6 ± 3.8</td>
<td>4.2 ± 4.3</td>
<td>4.3 ± 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (years)</td>
<td>14.9 ± 1.8</td>
<td>16.0 ± 2.4</td>
<td>24 ± 7.2</td>
<td>17.2 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollingshead SES</td>
<td>23.2 ± 7.0</td>
<td>28.5 ± 8.2</td>
<td>82 ± 23.3</td>
<td>9.4 ± 23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Medications*</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>2.7 ± 2.3</td>
<td>2.3 ± 2.8</td>
<td>2.8 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Health conditions*</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.8 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAS</td>
<td>15.5 ± 3.8</td>
<td>15.9 ± 4.5</td>
<td>16.6 ± 3.8</td>
<td>3.8 ± 3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMNART estimated IQ</td>
<td>117.7 ± 4.7</td>
<td>121.1 ± 7.4</td>
<td>74 ± 11.9</td>
<td>8.2 ± 11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letter-Number Sequencing*</td>
<td>14.7 ± 2.8</td>
<td>11.1 ± 2.3</td>
<td>10.2 ± 2.1</td>
<td>1.7 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backward Digit Span</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>8.4 ± 1.9</td>
<td>7.4 ± 2.3</td>
<td>2.3 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail Making B-A</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>37.6 ± 14.0</td>
<td>15.4 ± 34.5</td>
<td>3.5 ± 34.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pattern matching*</td>
<td>20.9 ± 2.8</td>
<td>12.7 ± 2.8</td>
<td>28 ± 11.4</td>
<td>2.2 ± 11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number matching*</td>
<td>29.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>25.2 ± 3.5</td>
<td>23.9 ± 3.7</td>
<td>3.1 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit-Symbol</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>53.8 ± 11.0</td>
<td>50.0 ± 9.6</td>
<td>9.6 ± 9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAVLT (30 min recall)*</td>
<td>13.1 ± 2.1</td>
<td>10.0 ± 4.2</td>
<td>4.2 ± 9.3</td>
<td>3.4 ± 9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequence memory*</td>
<td>4.6 ± 3.7</td>
<td>7.8 ± 3.9</td>
<td>8.2 ± 4.5</td>
<td>4.5 ± 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logical Memory*</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>14.3 ± 3.9</td>
<td>15.0 ± 3.6</td>
<td>3.6 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive function composite*</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.85</td>
<td>−0.38 ± 0.11</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed of processing composite*</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>0.20 ± 1.04</td>
<td>−0.31 ± 0.87</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Episodic memory composite*</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>0.03 ± 1.00</td>
<td>−0.38 ± 1.05</td>
<td>1.02 ± 1.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; AMNART, American version of the National Adult Reading Test; RAVLT, Ray auditory-verbal learning test; FDR, false discovery rate.

*Significant difference between older modulating and nonmodulating groups, P < 0.05, FDR corrected. Higher Hollingshead SES scores indicate lower estimated SES. Some neuropsychological measures were administered in a separate session completed by the older adults only; data is therefore not available for the younger adults. Composite scores are in z-score units representing SD units away from the older group mean (M = 0).
performance of the attention task in 3 runs of 108 time points. Fluid attenuation inversion recovery (FLAIR) images for visualization of white matter lesions were collected with the following parameters: TR = 6000 ms, TE = 455 ms, TI = 2100 ms, 1 x 1 x 1 mm voxels.

**Task Description**

The global-local behavioral task used to measure attentional control consisted of hierarchical letter stimuli of the type described by Navon (1977) using methods similar to those implemented in a prior imaging study (Hedden and Gabrieli 2010). Stimuli consisted of large letters (H, S, and O) made up of small letters (H, S, and O) (Fig. 1). Small letters subtended a visual angle of approximately 0.8° x 0.6°; large letters subtended approximately 4.8° x 3.3°. Stimuli were presented in either green or blue on a black background. Participants were pretested both outside and inside the scanner to ensure that they could clearly see the stimuli, discern the colors, and perform the task as instructed. Participants were instructed to identify either the global (large letter) or the local (small letter) level on each trial, as cued by the color, and to respond with the appropriate response (either H or S). The letter O was never mapped to a response and was therefore used for neutral conditions. Neutral stimuli contained an O at the unattended level and an H or S at the attended level. Incongruent stimuli contained incompatible letters (H and S) at opposing levels. Congruent stimuli containing the same letter (either H or S) in both the global and the local levels were not used because results from a prior study (Hedden and Gabrieli 2010) found that inhibitory effects could be estimated from the contrast of incongruent versus neutral. Stimuli were organized into blocks of 10 trials, which could either involve shifting of attention or not. In nonshift blocks, participants were cued to constantly attend to either the global or the local level. In shift blocks, participants were cued by the stimulus color (blue or green) to predictably shift between attending to the global and local levels. Combining the neutral and incongruent stimuli with the nonshift and shift blocks resulted in 4 possible block types: neutral nonshift (NN), neutral shift (NS), incongruent nonshift (IN), and incongruent shift (IS). Two blocks of each condition were presented during each imaging run, for a total of 6 blocks per condition (60 trials per condition). On each trial, the stimulus was presented for 2000 ms, followed by an intertrial interval of 500 ms during which a fixation crosshair was displayed. Right-handed key press responses were collected using an MRI-compatible button box. A fixation crosshair was presented for a constant period of 20 s at the beginning, middle, and end of each imaging run to allow estimation of a rest baseline. Participants received extensive instruction, with 48 self-paced practice trials and 84 timed practice trials before entering the scanner, and an additional 48 timed practice trials immediately prior to the start of the task once inside the scanner.

**fMRI Data Analysis**

The first 4 volumes of each run were discarded to allow for $T_1$-equilibration effects and the acquired runs were concatenated, with effects of run modeled in the general linear model (GLM). Slice acquisition dependent time shifts were corrected per volume (SPM2, Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, London, UK). Then, rigid body translation and rotation were used to correct for head motion (Jenkinson et al. 2002; FMRIB, Oxford, UK) and atlas registration was achieved by computing affine transforms connecting the first volume of the functional run (Jenkinson and Smith 2001; FMRIB, Oxford, UK), with a $T_2$ EPI template based on a merged data set of younger and older adult brains (Buckner et al. 2000) that has been mapped to the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) atlas space (Evans et al. 1993). Motion correction and atlas transformation parameters were applied in a single step in which all data were resampled to 2-mm isotropic voxels. Data were spatially smoothed using a 6-mm full-width-at-half-maximum Gaussian kernel. The GLM was conducted in SPM2 and modeled each condition as a boxcar regressor convolved with a hemodynamic response function including temporal derivatives and included regressors for run effects and linear drift. Group-level analyses were conducted by selecting contrasts of interest for each participant and entering them in second-level random-effects $t$-tests (see Fig. 2). Surface mapping of the group-level results was conducted using CARET 5.6.12 (Van Essen et al. 2001). These exploratory analyses were primarily used to validate the independent, a priori selection of regions of interest (ROIs; see below) and to visualize the full regional topography of activity in each group.

**Hypothesis-Driven Analyses**

ROIs associated with attentional control were defined on an a priori basis using a previous data set of 17 younger adults (Hedden and Gabrieli 2010). Data from this previous study were used to identify prefrontal and parietal cortical regions from the incongruent shift versus neutral nonshift (IS > NN) contrast that also displayed significantly greater activity in the neutral shift condition than in the neutral nonshift condition (NS > NN) and significantly greater activity in the incongruent nonshift condition than in the neutral nonshift condition (IN > NN). Thirteen prefrontal and parietal ROIs were identified and carried forward for analysis in the current data set. One additional region, with MNI coordinates $x = -34$, $y = 26$, $z = 2$, that did not meet these criteria was also included because it is the left homologue of a region identified in right inferior frontal gyrus with coordinates $x = 34$, $y = 26$, $z = 2$. These 14 ROIs were defined using 6-mm radius spheres around the peak coordinates in MNI template space (for ROI placement, see Supplementary Fig. A and for peak coordinates, anatomical location, and Brodmann area label for each ROI, see Supplementary Fig. C). The average relationship among activity values across all conditions within these 14 regions was estimated using Cronbach’s alpha and was 0.97 for younger adults and 0.97 for older adults, suggesting that it was reasonable to combine ROIs into an aggregate measure. Activity values (beta values from each participant’s GLM) were extracted from each ROI for each condition and the mean activity value across all ROIs was computed for each participant. For each participant, the slope of the mean activity across conditions was calculated by fitting a regression line to the mean beta value from each condition (assuming an equivalent interval between all conditions, for discussion of this assumption, see Supplementary Methods). This slope of activity was treated as a continuous measure and used as the
primary dependent measure in regression analyses. In order to better visualize certain key effects from these main analyses, a supplementary subgroup classification was conducted on the older participants. Older participants who exhibited a monotonic or asymptotic increase across conditions (NN, NS, IN, IS) were classified as "modulating," indicating that their mean neural response in the defined ROIs was affected in the expected direction by the changing task conditions. Participants who exhibited a relatively flat or nonincreasing pattern across conditions were classified as "nonmodulating," indicating that their mean neural response in the defined ROIs was not sensitive to the changing task conditions. Specifically, this classification was done using the following algorithm. The mean, SD, and 95% confidence intervals of the slope of activity were computed across all participants. Because there were no significant differences between the distributions of the slope of activity measurement between younger and older adults (see Results), all participants were included as the reference group. Participants with an individual slope value greater than the group's lower bound of the 95% confidence interval (slope > 0.098) were classified as modulating, while participants with a slope value less than the lower bound of the 95% confidence interval (i.e., not significantly different from 0) were classified as nonmodulating. Note that if only older adults are used as the reference group, the lower bound of the 95% confidence interval is not significantly different (slope > 0.094) and applying this value does not significantly alter any reported results.

An additional set of 6 ROIs were selected to target the default network (Shulman et al. 1997; Raichle et al. 2001; Buckner et al. 2008). These regions were taken from a previous study using functional connectivity analyses and were defined from an independent sample of 48 younger adults (described in Hedden et al. 2009; Van Dijk et al. 2010). These 6 regions were used as ROIs by defining 6-mm radius spheres around the peak coordinates in MNI template space (for ROI placement, see Supplementary Fig. 4). The coordinates used were x=0, y=-53, z=26 in the posterior cingulate cortex, x=0, y=52, z=-6 in the medial prefrontal cortex, x=-22, y=-62, z=36 and x=46, y=-62, z=32 in the left and right lateral inferior parietal cortex, and x=-24, y=-22, z=-20 and x=24, y=-20, z=-22 in the hippocampal formation. The average relationship among activity values across all conditions within these 6 regions was estimated using Cronbach’s alpha and was 0.95 for younger adults and 0.86 for older adults, suggesting that it was reasonable to combine ROIs into an aggregate measure. Activity values (beta values from each participant’s GLM) were extracted from each ROI for each condition and the mean activity value across all ROIs was computed for each participant.

Amyloid Imaging Acquisition

Amyloid burden was measured with N-methyl-[11C]-2-(4- methylaminophenyl)-6-hydroxybenzothiazole (Pittsburgh Compound B; PiB), which binds to fibrillar amyloid and was prepared at Massachusetts General Hospital as described previously (Klunk et al. 2001, 2004; Mathis et al. 2002). Participants underwent PiB PET imaging as described previously (Gomperts et al. 2008; Hedden et al. 2009; Sperling et al. 2009). Briefly, data were acquired using a Siemens/CTI ECAT HR+ scanner (3D mode; 63 image planes; 15.2-cm axial field of view; 5.6-mm transaxial resolution and 2.4-mm slice interval; 69 frames: 12 × 15 s, 57 × 60 s). After a transmission scan, 8.5–15 mCi [11C]-PiB was injected as a bolus and followed immediately by a 60-min dynamic acquisition. PET data were reconstructed and attenuation corrected, and each frame was evaluated to verify adequate count statistics and absence of head motion.
Amyloid Imaging Analysis

The dynamic PET images were reconstructed with scatter correction using commercially available routines for 3D PET data. PET images (0–8 min of initial uptake) were registered and normalized to an MRI MNI template using SPM2. The distribution volume ratio (DVR) was employed based on the Logan graphical analysis technique (Logan et al. 1990) that has been fully validated for PiB imaging (Price et al. 2005). Time-activity curves were measured in each brain region under analysis (ROI or voxel) and in a reference region in cerebellar cortex known to contain low levels of fibrillar amyloid. This approach has been applied to numerous PiB studies (e.g., Lopresti et al. 2005; Price et al. 2005; Fagan et al. 2006; Johnson et al. 2007) and yields data that are similar to arterial blood input methods (Lopresti et al. 2005).

Each individual’s mean image was examined and an index of PiB presence in cortical regions was calculated using the dynamic data via Logan graphical modeling within a large aggregate cortical ROI consisting of frontal, lateral parietal and temporal, and retrosplenial cortices (the FLR region). PiB retention in the FLR region is substantial in patients with diagnosed Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and has been used as a summary measure of PiB retention in previous studies (Johnson et al. 2007; Gomperts et al. 2008; Hedden et al. 2009). In the current sample of 49 clinically normal older adults who underwent amyloid imaging, PiB DVR values in the FLR region were highly correlated with global PiB retention ($r = 0.99$) and with ROIs located in the precuneus ($r = 0.89$), posterior cingulate ($r = 0.90$), and frontal cortices ($r = 0.98$), supporting the use of FLR as an aggregate measure.

In an effort to ensure that partial-volume effects did not account for the results and to examine the impact of more specific regional PiB deposition, we regressed average cortical thickness within each cortical region derived from a FreeSurfer parcellation of coregistered MRI data (see Supplementary Methods) on PiB DVR (Becker et al. 2010). We then examined the average partial-volume corrected DVR values from each FreeSurfer ROI for relationships to the dependent variables of interest. Regions selected from the frontal cortex were the frontal pole, superior frontal, rostral and caudal middle frontal, pars opercularis, pars triangularis, pars orbitalis, lateral and medial orbito-frontal, and rostral and caudal anterior cingulate; from the parietal cortex regions selected were superior parietal, inferior parietal, supramarginal, precuneus, posterior cingulate, and the isthmus of the cingulate (Desikan et al. 2006). The average correlation of these region-specific partial-volume corrected DVR values with the uncorrected FLR DVR used in the main analyses was $r = 0.73$, $P < 0.001$ (ranging from $r = 0.55$ in the pars orbitalis to $r = 0.85$ in the pars triangularis). As the results reveal, the conclusions are unchanged when region-specific partial-volume corrected DVR values are used.

On the basis of observed PiB DVR values in the FLR region, participants were classified into 2 groups: 21 PiB positive (PiB+) individuals, who displayed extensive increased PiB retention (defined as greater than FLR DVR $> 1.15$) and 28 PiB negative (PiB−) individuals, who displayed insubstantial PiB retention (FLR DVR $< 1.15$). This PiB cutoff value was selected a priori to be comparable to previous reports (Johnson et al. 2007; Gomperts et al. 2008; Hedden et al. 2009) and to ensure that individuals classified as PiB− were well outside the range of PiB values observed in AD patients (Hedden et al. 2009). PiB retention (defined as FLR DVR) was treated as a continuous variable to ensure that the selection of a cutoff value did not dictate the results. Additionally, the classification of PiB− and PiB+ was also used as a variable of interest to examine the potential for effects of amyloid only in those with elevated retention. Individuals classified as PiB+ were not significantly older ($M = 73.9$, SD = 7.5, 61–87) than those classified as PiB− ($M = 72.3$, SD = 6.1, 60–84) and there was not a significant correlation between age and PiB retention ($r = 0.22$, $P = 0.13$); nonetheless, all reported results involving PiB controlled for age.

WMH Analyses

WMHs were identified from each individual’s FLAIR image for 54 of the older adults using an automated fuzzy-connected algorithm (see Supplementary Fig. B) that has been previously validated against a visual grading system (Wu et al. 2006). This automated WMH segmentation method involves 1) automatic identification of WMH seeds based on the intensity histogram of the FLAIR image, 2) using a fuzzy-connected algorithm to segment the WMH clusters, 3) iteratively updating the set of seeds, and 4) combining the WMH clusters into a final WMH segmentation. The histogram of the skullstripped (BET; Smith 2002) FLAIR image was used to define a threshold, which was set to be the mean ± 2.5 SDs. This method of WMH segmentation enables different thresholds for seed growing at each WMH cluster and avoids a single cutoff threshold for the whole volume. From the resulting WMH segmentation, we extracted the total WMH volume in mm$^3$ within a mask defined by the Johns Hopkins University White Matter Atlas (Wakana et al. 2004), which was reverse normalized to the native space of each individual’s FLAIR image. Because the automated algorithm is designed to detect regions of relative hyperintensity, this can lead to false detections, particularly in individuals with little or no areas of true hyperintensity (as in younger adults). Assumptions built into the algorithm, such as the threshold applied and the regions included in the mask, may introduce systematic bias; however, the classification and ordering of individuals by the resulting WMH estimates appear to be robust to changes in these assumptions. Because of the potential for bias, the resulting WMH images were manually checked to ensure that false detections did not substantially contribute to a participant’s WMH volume estimate.

Younger adults had virtually no detectable regions of WMH, while the older adults had substantial WMH volumes ($M = 24.12$, SD = 3384). Within the older adult group, it was clear that a large proportion of the sample had relatively small WMH volumes, although still detectable, while a subset of the sample had substantially elevated WMH volumes. In keeping with prior findings that a threshold level of WMH may be required before influences of this pathology are observed (e.g., Boone et al. 1992), we used an iterative outlier elimination process (e.g., Alzénstein et al. 2008) to identify that portion of the sample exhibiting relatively low WMH volumes (participants with WMH volumes $< 2500$ mm$^3$) were selected through this process, which required 3 iterations, and displayed volumes of $M = 742$, SD = 484). We then applied a threshold of 3 times the SD above the mean of this subset as our criterion for high WMH volumes, resulting in a threshold of 2194 mm$^3$, with 16 older individuals above this threshold (including all of those identified through the iterative elimination process) and 38 below this threshold. This threshold corresponded to a natural breakpoint in the data, as no participants exhibited WMH volumes between 1763 and 2306 mm$^3$. Participants above this threshold were classified as high in WMH volume (WMH+), while those below the threshold were classified as low in WMH volume (WMH−). Subsequent analyses revealed that results are not meaningfully dependent upon this choice of threshold, although applying a more conservative (i.e., higher) threshold value tends to result in stronger effects for the results described, whereas applying a more liberal (i.e., lower) threshold value tends to result in weaker effects (effects are still qualitatively apparent at thresholds down to 922 mm$^3$). Although we report raw WMH volumes for transparency, we also examined the WMH as a percentage of estimated intracranial volume (computed from FreeSurfer parcellation, see Supplementary Methods) and as log-transformed values because of the nonnormal distribution of WMH values. Neither of these transformations had any significant impact on the reported results. WMH volume was treated as a continuous variable to ensure that the selection of a cutoff value did not dictate the results. Additionally, the classification of WMH− and WMH+ was used as a variable of interest to examine the potential for effects of WMHs only in those with elevated burden. Individuals classified as WMH+ were older ($M = 76.1$, SD = 5.5, 69–87) than those classified as WMH− ($M = 71.5$, SD = 6.5, 60–85) and there was a significant correlation between age and WMH volume ($r = 0.35$, $P = 0.01$); for this reason, all reported results involving WMH controlled for age. There was also an association between WMH volume and a summary measure of vascular risk ($r = 0.31$, $P < 0.05$); however, this correlation was not significant when controlling for age ($r = 0.20$, $P = 0.15$); additional details are reported in the Supplementary Materials.

Results

Older Adults Exhibit Increased Activity in a Widespread Set of Regions Related to Attentional Control

Based on the results of a previous study (Hedden and Gabrieli 2010), a priori ROIs in frontal and parietal cortices were examined for age-related differences (Supplementary Fig. A).
Although independently identified, these ROIs corresponded closely to the topography of activity in the current data set where increases in activity in prefrontal and parietal cortices were clearly visible among the older adults compared with younger adults, as can be seen in Figure 2. Activity (beta values from the GLM) relative to baseline (fixation) was computed for each condition (neutral nonshift, neutral shift, incongruent nonshift, and incongruent shift) in the global-local task for each ROI and subjected to an Age Group (2) × Condition (4) analysis of variance (ANOVA). In each ROI, older adults exhibited significantly greater activity than did younger adults (see Supplementary Fig. C). Activity between regions was highly related (Cronbach’s alpha = 0.97), allowing the computation of an aggregate ROI response (mean of all ROIs). This aggregate ROI also exhibited a main effect of age group, with greater activity in older than in younger adults, $F_{1,111} = 48.08, P < 0.001$ (see Fig. 3). In the aggregate ROI, both younger and older adults exhibited an increase in activity as the difficulty of the task condition increased, $F_{3,109} = 61.29, P < 0.001$, although there was no interaction of age group and task condition. These results confirm the predominant finding in the literature that older adults exhibit increased activity in task-related regions, especially those in the prefrontal and parietal cortices, during the performance of attentionally demanding tasks (e.g., Grady et al. 1998; Reuter-Lorenz et al. 2000; Cabeza et al. 2002; Logan et al. 2002; Velanova et al. 2007; for a recent review, see Spreng et al. 2010).

A Subset of Older Individuals Fail to Modulate Activity in Response to Task Demands

We structured the global-local task conditions to require participants to dynamically allocate attention as task demands changed across conditions. We hypothesized that such dynamic allocation of attention would be associated with increasing levels of activity as task demands increased and that failures of dynamic allocation of attention could be observed in some individuals through a lack of modulation of activity in response to changing task conditions. To examine this issue, we computed individual estimates of the slope of activity in the aggregate ROI across task conditions. Older individuals were classified into groups with modulating and nonmodulating activity profiles on the basis of this slope (see Materials and Methods). A modulating activity profile indicates a clear increase in activity across task conditions, whereas a nonmodulating profile indicates little to no increase across conditions. Note that the slope of activity indicates only the extent of the linear pattern of the increase across conditions and does not represent the overall magnitude of activity (detailed above). Twenty-four of the older adults (38.7%) were classified as having nonmodulating activity profiles. The group differences in activity profiles for the aggregate ROI are depicted in Figure 3. Notably, in both younger and older groups, the slope measurement exhibited a normal distribution (Supplementary Fig. D); for this reason, our primary analyses treat slope as a continuous measure. There were no age differences in the slope measurement itself (younger: $M = 0.11, SD = 0.08$, older: $M = 0.12, SD = 0.10$) and both age groups displayed equivalent distributions on this measurement (Kolmogorov-Smirnov $z = 0.70$, $P = 0.71$). Of critical interest to our hypotheses was whether lower slopes of activity among older adults would be associated with poorer performance and with increased white matter or amyloid neuropathology.

Older Adults Failing to Modulate Activity Perform Poorly as Task Demands Increase

We hypothesized that older individuals with nonmodulating activity profiles were failing to dynamically allocate attention and would therefore demonstrate poorer performance in the more demanding conditions than individuals with modulating profiles. To test this hypothesis, we entered accuracy from each condition into a Group (3) × Condition (4) ANOVA. Supporting our hypothesis, there was a Group × Condition interaction, $F_{6,218} = 8.52, P < 0.001$, such that older adults with nonmodulating activity profiles exhibited poorer accuracy as task demands increased than did older adults with modulating profiles, and both older groups were less accurate than younger adults as task demands increased (Fig. 4). A planned comparison indicated a significant interaction of Group × Condition when comparing only the older groups, $F_{3,180} = 4.66, P < 0.01$. There was no significant difference between modulating and nonmodulating older adults in the least demanding condition (NN: $t_{60} = 1.84, P = 0.07$), but this difference was significant in all other conditions (smallest $t_{60} = 2.82, P < 0.01$). Because the slope measure used to classify older individuals into groups with modulating and nonmodulating profiles displayed a normal distribution (rather than a bimodal distribution showing 2 clear groups), we examined the correlation between the slope of activity across conditions and performance in each condition. Among older adults, a significant correlation between slope of activity and accuracy was not observed in the least demanding condition (NN: $r = 0.21, P = 0.10$) but was observed in all conditions in which some degree of attentional allocation was required (NS: $r = 0.37, P = 0.003$; IN: $r = 0.40, P = 0.001$; IS: $r = 0.27, P = 0.04$). The observed relationships suggest that older adults who fail to modulate activity across conditions are those most susceptible to performance decrements in individual conditions. In contrast, for younger adults, there

![Figure 3. Activity in each condition for younger adults and for modulating and nonmodulating older adults averaged across all ROIs. Modulating individuals show an increase in activity across task conditions, whereas nonmodulating individuals show no increase in activity across conditions. Older adults (both modulating and nonmodulating) exhibited increased magnitude of activity relative to younger adults.](image-url)
was no significant correlation between slope of activity and accuracy in any individual condition (NN: \( r = 0.15, P = 0.30 \); NS: \( r = 0.10, P = 0.51 \); IN: \( r = -0.08, P = 0.56 \); IS: \( r = -0.19, P = 0.19 \)). For additional analyses regarding the relationship of the slope of activity and performance, see the Supplementary Materials and the analyses exploring the potential influences of WMH and amyloid pathology detailed below.

**Failure to Modulate Activity Is Related to Poorer Executive Performance on Independent Neuropsychological Tasks**

We examined the relationship between modulation of activity during the global-local task and performance on an independent neuropsychological battery that measured executive function, speed of processing, and episodic memory. Composite measures of each neuropsychological construct were computed (see Materials and Methods) and differences between modulating and nonmodulating older adults were examined in planned \( t \)-tests ( \( M \) and SD displayed in Table 1). Older adults with a modulating activity profile performed significantly better than those with a nonmodulating profile on the executive function composite, \( t_{60} = 2.51, P = 0.02 \) and the speed of processing composite, \( t_{60} = 1.99, P = 0.05 \) but not on the memory composite, \( t_{60} = 0.30, P = 0.77 \). The mean difference between modulating and nonmodulating older adults on the executive function composite represents approximately a 0.6 SD advantage in performance for individuals with a modulating activity profile. However, these results were not significant when treating slope of activity as a continuous measure (executive function: \( P = 0.13 \), speed: \( P = 0.25 \), episodic memory: \( P = 0.78 \)).

Controlling for age, WMH volume (largest relationship with executive function, \( P = 0.25 \)) and PIB retention (largest relationship with memory, \( P = 0.17 \)) were not significantly related to these composite measures. When entered as controlling variables, neither WMH volume nor PIB retention significantly accounted for the effects of modulation of activity on the composite neuropsychological measures.

**Modulation of Activity, Rather than Magnitude of Activity, Explains Decreases in Performance**

An alternative hypothesis is that the generally higher absolute magnitudes of activity exhibited by older adults may be indicative of compensatory recruitment of attentional control. It is apparent from Figures 2 and 3 that older adults with modulating and nonmodulating activity profiles have equivalent magnitudes of activity in the least demanding task condition (neutral nonshift) but that older adults with modulating profiles exhibit increased activity with greater task demands. Therefore, older adults with modulating profiles ( \( M = 1.13, SD = 0.51 \) ) will also exhibit a higher average magnitude of activity across task conditions than older individuals with nonmodulating profiles ( \( M = 0.87, SD = 0.36 \) ), \( t_{60} = 2.17, P = 0.03 \). To assess whether the average magnitude of activity fully accounted for the relationship between the slope of activity and task performance, we included the average magnitude of activity in all subsequent regression models. Significant effects of slope of activity therefore indicate effects above and beyond those accounted for by the magnitude of activity. The mean magnitude of BOLD-measured activity did not account for the effects attributable to the slope of accuracy. As an additional test of this alternative hypothesis, we used a median split to divide the older participants into those with relatively greater and lesser average magnitudes of activity. These 2 groups did not differ on any measure of accuracy nor on any of the independent neuropsychological composites.

**Amyloid and WMHs Represent Distinct Neural Pathologies**

WMH volume was not correlated with amyloid burden (entering both measures as continuous variables), \( r = 0.02, P = 0.88 \). If WMH volume and amyloid burden are log transformed to account for skewness in the distributions, the correlation remains nonsignificant, \( r = 0.05, P = 0.73 \). These results are not altered when age is partialled. Very few individuals displayed both elevated amyloid burden and elevated WMH volumes (Fig. 5). A chi-square test indicated that fewer individuals than would be expected by chance had both elevated amyloid burden and elevated WMH burden (\( \chi^2 = 8.55, P < 0.05 \)).

**WMH Volume, But Not Amyloid Burden, Is Associated with Failures to Modulate Activity**

Based on previously found associations between changes in white matter and cognition including executive function (Boone et al. 1992; DeCarli et al. 1995; De Groot et al. 2000, 2002; Gunning-Dixon and Raz 2000; O’Sullivan et al. 2001; Kennedy and Raz 2009; Zahr et al. 2009), we hypothesized that older adults with evidence of elevated WMH would be most likely to exhibit failures of dynamic allocation of attention. In contrast, we hypothesized that amyloid burden would be unrelated to failures of dynamic allocation of attention. Although amyloid retention is present in the prefrontal cortex, this latter hypothesis arose from our theoretical orientation that multiple pathologies occur during the aging process and have consequences preferential to certain systems (Buckner 2004; Hedden and Gabrieli 2004), while acknowledging the possibility for disruption of more remote systems to occur through multisystem interactions.

![Figure 4. Accuracy in each condition for younger adults and for modulating and nonmodulating older adults. Modulating older individuals showed better relative performance across task conditions, whereas nonmodulating older individuals showed a steeper decrease in performance across conditions. Both modulating and nonmodulating older adults showed decreased performance across task conditions relative to younger adults.](image-url)
Previous associations between amyloid and longitudinal memory declines (Villemagne et al. 2008), hippocampal atrophy (Mormino et al. 2009), and disruption of activity in the default network (Sperling et al. 2009) and its connectivity with the hippocampus (Hedden et al. 2009; Sheline et al. 2010), all suggested that amyloid may primarily influence memory-related systems, whereas attention-related systems may be relatively spared by amyloid pathology.

Using a multiple regression model, we examined the relationship of white matter and amyloid pathologies to the slope of activation among older adults. Because not all individuals had data for WMH volume (n = 54) and amyloid burden (n = 49), we excluded participants with missing data pairwise to maximize the available data for each analysis. Listwise exclusion did not significantly alter the beta coefficient or model fit estimates. Slope of activation was entered as the dependent variable, and an initial regression of age and average magnitude of activation was conducted to estimate the effects of these potential confounding variables (Table 2, Model 1). A second model added both WMH volume and WMH group (entered as a dummy variable with WMH− as 0, WMH+ as 1) to investigate the effects of WMH pathology and to account for the possibility that such effects occur primarily among older individuals with very elevated levels of WMH. This model resulted in a significant change in $R^2$ relative to the first model, and the individual coefficients for both WMH volume and WMH group were significant (Table 2, Model 2). However, both WMH volume and WMH group variables must be entered to observe these significant effects, suggesting that the effect is carried primarily by those with elevated WMH volumes (WMH+). This result is visualized in Figure 6A.

A third model added amyloid retention (PiB FLR) and amyloid group (entered as a dummy variable with PiB− as 0, PiB+ as 1) but did not result in a significant change in $R^2$ and neither amyloid variable had a significant coefficient (Table 2, Model 3). This result is visualized in Figure 6B. Note that changing the order of entry so that the amyloid variables were entered before the WMH variables did not significantly alter the results. Because FLR DVR is a relatively nonspecific measurement of amyloid burden, we also assessed alternatives to this third model replacing PiB FLR with partial-volume corrected PiB DVR from individual FreeSurfer-defined regions in the frontal and parietal cortices (see Materials and Methods). No individual region was associated with a significant change in $R^2$ or with a significant beta coefficient (smallest $P_{\text{Bonf}} = 0.08$, $P_{\text{Bonf}}^2 = 0.12$), and when all amyloid variables were entered simultaneously, no significant results were found ($P_{\text{Bonf}}^2 = 0.32$). These results suggest that amyloid does not have a significant influence on the modulation of activity in these attention-related regions, although it is important to note that these null findings are necessarily limited by the power afforded by our sample size and the sensitivity of our measures.

### White Matter Burden Mediates the Association between Modulation of Activity and Performance

Using a similar approach, we examined the relationship of slope of activity to task performance. Based on the above results, we hypothesized that white matter burden would mediate the relationship between modulation of activity and task performance. As a single measure of task performance, we computed the slope of the accuracy measurements across conditions (using the same assumption of equal demand intervals applied during computation of the activity slopes) and entered slope of accuracy as the dependent variable in a series of multiple regression models (Table 3). An initial regression of age and average magnitude of activation was conducted to estimate the effects of these potential confounding variables (Table 3, Model 1). A second model added the slope of activity as an explanatory variable and resulted in a significant change in $R^2$ relative to the first model (Table 3, Model 2; Supplementary Fig. E). To test the potential mediating influence of white matter burden on the relationship between modulation of activity and task performance, we examined a third model that entered WMH volume and WMH group in addition to the variables in the first model (Table 3, Model 3). Although the WMH variables were not significantly related to the slope of accuracy, we retained them in the model to test our hypothesis that white matter burden would partially mediate the relationship between slope of activity and slope of accuracy. We tested a fourth model by adding the slope of activity, which did not result in a significant change in $R^2$ relative to the third model, indicating that white matter burden partially mediates the relationship between modulation of activity and task performance (Table 3, Model 4). Estimations

---

**Table 2**

Regression analyses: slope of activity as dependent variable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model/variable</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>$\beta_{\text{std}}$</th>
<th>$P_{\beta}$</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>$\Delta R^2$</th>
<th>$P_{\Delta R^2}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.840</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average magnitude</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>WMH volume</td>
<td>$-1.2^{\pm 5}$</td>
<td>$-0.468$</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WMH group</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.471</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>PiB FLR</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.763</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PiB group</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>0.450</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Results of each model are relative to the previous model. Each subsequent model contains variables in the previous model plus the listed variables. Variables were entered in the listed order. $\beta_{\text{std}}$ = standardized beta coefficient.
from the change in model fit ($\Delta R^2$) indicated that the WMH variables account for 33% of the variance in the relationship between slope of activity and slope of accuracy. To ensure that this mediating influence was specific to white matter burden, we also tested models that entered amyloid variables (PiB FLR and PiB group) prior to slope of activity (Table 3, Models 5 and 6). Adding slope of activity resulted in a significant change in $R^2$ (Table 3, Model 6), indicating that amyloid burden did not influence the relationship between modulation of activity and task performance. Estimations from the change in model fit ($\Delta R^2$) indicated that amyloid accounted for 0% of the variance in the relationship between slope of activity and slope of accuracy.

Amloid Burden, But Not WMH Volume, Is Associated with Atypical Activity in the Default Network

In an effort to investigate whether amyloid deposition was related to alterations in default network function within the context of an attentional control task, we examined activity in primary regions of the default network (Shulman et al. 1997; Raichle et al. 2001; Buckner et al. 2008) across conditions. Prior work has demonstrated that amyloid burden is associated with altered activity patterns in the default network during memory encoding tasks (Sperling et al. 2009; Vannini et al. 2011), disruption of resting functional connectivity within this network (Hedden et al. 2009; Sheline et al. 2010), and subtle differences in cortical thickness (Dickerson et al. 2009; Becker et al. 2010). We therefore examined activity in the default network, with the expectation that amyloid might be related to disruption of this activity, whereas WMH would not be so related. We focused on the magnitude of activity as our primary measure because prior studies have shown an influence of amyloid on magnitude of default activity (Sperling et al. 2009; Vannini et al. 2011).

Defining the network from previous studies (Hedden et al. 2009; Van Dijk et al. 2010), a priori ROIs (Supplementary Fig. A) were examined for differences related to amyloid and, separately, WMHs. Activity between regions was highly related (Cronbach’s alpha = 0.90), allowing the computation of an aggregate ROI response (mean of all ROIs). Activity (beta values from the GLM relative to baseline (fixation)) was computed for each condition (neutral nonshift, neutral shift, incongruent nonshift, and incongruent shift) in the global–local task for the aggregate ROI and subjected to a PiB group $(2) \times$ WMH group $(2) \times$ Condition $(4)$ ANOVA. This aggregate ROI exhibited a main effect of PiB group, with greater activity in PiB+ than in PiB- individuals, $F(1,40) = 4.84$, $P < 0.05$ (Fig. 7A), indicating task-related impairment of activity in those with high amyloid burden. The main effect of WMH group was not significant, $F(1,40) = 0.64$, $P = 0.43$ (Fig. 7B). There was a main effect of condition, $F(3,120) = 3.47$, $P < 0.05$, but no other effects were significant. These results are unchanged if age is entered as a covariate in the model. The slope of activity in the default network across conditions (computed in the same manner as in the above analyses) did not differ between the PiB+ ($M = 0.03$, $\sigma = 0.05$) and PiB- ($M = 0.02$, $\sigma = 0.04$) groups. In the total group, there was a significant main effect of WMH volume, $F(1,40) = 6.26$, $P = 0.02$, indicating that volume accounted for 17% of the variance in the relationship between slope of activity and slope of accuracy.
We provide evidence that a subset of older adults do not modulate BOLD-measured activity within an attentional control network in response to changing task demands, which we interpret as a failure of dynamic allocation of attention. Failure to modulate activity was associated with increased white matter burden but not with increased amyloid burden. Those older adults who failed to modulate activity displayed poor performance both on the measured MRI task and on an independent composite of executive function. Furthermore, performance decrements observed in older individuals failing to modulate activity did not appear to relate to the absolute magnitude of activity. Older adults as a group exhibited increased magnitudes of BOLD response relative to younger controls, consistent with several prior studies (e.g., Reuter-Lorenz et al. 2000; Cabeza et al. 2002). However, controlling for average magnitude of activity did not affect the relationship between modulation of activity and performance. These results suggest that patterns of reduced activity modulation in response to changing task demands may be a consequence of age-related dysfunction related to neuropathology and behavior.

Some Older Adults Fail to Modulate Activity with Changing Task Demands

As task demands are augmented to include attentional shifting and inhibition, increased activity is typically observed in a network of prefrontal and parietal regions associated with attentional control (e.g., Schneider-Garces et al. 2009; Hedden and Gabrieli 2010). We observed that a subset of older adults failed to display such modulation in response to changes in task demands. The task did not provide any explicit indication that task difficulty was changing so that participants had to track the stimulus history in order to dynamically adjust the level of attentional control exerted. An age-related failure to modulate activity in response to the changes in task demand may therefore indicate an inability to dynamically allocate attentional control in response to changes or an indication that the individual’s attentional control is maximally invoked even in the least demanding condition.

To the extent that the best-performing older adults were also those who continued to exhibit increased magnitudes of activity relative to the young across all levels of task demand, the current data are consistent with models that propose increased activity among older adults is compensatory in nature (Cabeza 2002; Reuter-Lorenz and Cappell 2008; Cappell et al. 2010). However, the results suggest a more nuanced explanation. Older adults with nonmodulating activity profiles also displayed increased activity compared with the young in the intermediate conditions accompanied by severely reduced performance. Furthermore, controlling for average magnitude of activity did not significantly alter the relationship between modulation of activity and performance. These results suggest that increased magnitude of activity in a given condition (either within group or between groups) is not always a marker of a productive compensatory response. Failure to modulate activity in response to changing task demands may be indicative of dysfunction, even when associated with a pattern of high activity across conditions. It remains possible that age-related differences in vascular reactivity (D’Esposito et al. 2003; Kannurpatti et al. 2010) may account for observed age differences in magnitude of activity, but such accounts do

**Discussion**

**Some Older Adults Fail to Modulate Activity with Changing Task Demands**

As task demands are augmented to include attentional shifting and inhibition, increased activity is typically observed in a network of prefrontal and parietal regions associated with attentional control (e.g., Schneider-Garces et al. 2009; Hedden and Gabrieli 2010). We observed that a subset of older adults failed to display such modulation in response to changes in task demands. The task did not provide any explicit indication that task difficulty was changing so that participants had to track the stimulus history in order to dynamically adjust the level of attentional control exerted. An age-related failure to modulate activity in response to the changes in task demand may therefore indicate an inability to dynamically allocate attentional control in response to changes or an indication that the individual’s attentional control is maximally invoked even in the least demanding condition.

To the extent that the best-performing older adults were also those who continued to exhibit increased magnitudes of activity relative to the young across all levels of task demand, the current data are consistent with models that propose increased activity among older adults is compensatory in nature (Cabeza 2002; Reuter-Lorenz and Cappell 2008; Cappell et al. 2010). However, the results suggest a more nuanced explanation. Older adults with nonmodulating activity profiles also displayed increased activity compared with the young in the intermediate conditions accompanied by severely reduced performance. Furthermore, controlling for average magnitude of activity did not significantly alter the relationship between modulation of activity and performance. These results suggest that increased magnitude of activity in a given condition (either within group or between groups) is not always a marker of a productive compensatory response. Failure to modulate activity in response to changing task demands may be indicative of dysfunction, even when associated with a pattern of high activity across conditions. It remains possible that age-related differences in vascular reactivity (D’Esposito et al. 2003; Kannurpatti et al. 2010) may account for observed age differences in magnitude of activity, but such accounts do...
not explain the observed patterns involving modulation of activity across task conditions.

**Amyloid and White Matter Burden Represent Distinct Pathologies in Clinically Normal Older Adults**

We measured WMH volume in FLAIR images as a marker of white matter burden (Boone et al. 1992; DeCarli et al. 1995, 2005; De Groot et al. 2000, 2002; Tullberg et al. 2004) and amyloid retention as a pathological marker consistent with preclinical AD (Klunk et al. 2004). We observed no correlation between WMHs and amyloid deposition. Although many older adults exhibited elevated levels for only one of these pathologies, only 4 individuals (9%) in our sample displayed both. Although these results are suggestive of independent etiologies for white matter and amyloid pathologies in clinically normal adults, these data could result from our highly selective screening criteria especially if cooccurring pathologies increase the likelihood of an individual exhibiting clinical symptoms of dementia (DeCarli et al. 1996; Wu et al. 2002; Burns et al. 2005; Head et al. 2005). White matter pathology often accompanies amyloid plaques in advanced AD (Brun and Englund 1986), cerebral amyloid angiopathy provides a link between amyloidosis and vascular infarcts that may result in elevated WMH burden (Johnson et al. 2007; Dierksen et al. 2010), and in vitro data indicate that oligomeric amyloid beta inhibits myelin sheet formation (Horiuchi et al. 2010). Given such results, we cannot rule out the possibility that a relation between these pathologies becomes evident at later stages of disease progression.

**Modulation of Activity in Attentional Control Systems Is Associated with Elevated WMHs But Not Amyloid Burden**

We observed no significant relationship between amyloid burden and failure to modulate activity. In contrast, we hypothesized that elevated levels of WMHs would be associated with failure to modulate activity in prefrontal–parietal attentional control systems (Tullberg et al. 2004; Nordahl et al. 2006; Venkatraman et al. 2010). Consistent with this prediction, we observed a relationship between elevated WMH volume and failures to modulate activity in attentional control systems, although this relationship was present only among older adults with high levels of WMHs. This relationship should be interpreted cautiously, as some older adults with low levels of WMHs also displayed failures to modulate activity. Hence, it appears that elevated levels of WMHs may be one marker of neural pathology associated with failures of dynamic allocation of attention but do not occur in all individuals with such failures. One possibility is that there are multiple causes of such failures, including those related to synaptic dysfunction and neurotransmitter depletion (e.g., dopamine), as suggested by previous associations with executive function during aging (Erixon-Lindroth et al. 2005; Nagel et al. 2008).

**Poor Executive Performance Accompanies Failures to Modulate Activity**

Older adults who failed to modulate activity in response to changing task demands performed more poorly on the measured MRI task and on independent composite measures of executive function and speed of processing. This failure to modulate activity may represent an inability of some older adults to flexibly allocate attention to optimize performance or to dynamically track changes in the task structure, which likely detracts from performance to a greater extent as task demands increase. Although modulating older adults performed more poorly than younger adults, modulation of activity among the older adults was associated with improved performance. This relationship was preserved when controlling for the average magnitude of activity, again suggesting that modulation rather than magnitude is an indicator of neural integrity.

**Impaired Default Network Activity Is Associated with Amyloid Burden But Not WMHs**

Relative to those with low amyloid burden, older adults with substantial amyloid burden showed atypical activity in regions associated with the default network that typically exhibit task-related reductions of activity (Shulman et al. 1997; Mazoyer et al. 2001; for review, see Buckner et al. 2008 and Raichle et al. 2001). In contrast, there were no differences between those with low and high WMH volumes. Together with the finding that WMH volume, but not amyloid, was related to the slope of activity in an attentional control network, these results tentatively suggest a dissociation between these 2 neuro-pathologies, with white matter pathology disproportionately affecting modulation of an attentional control network and amyloid pathology disproportionately affecting default network activity. Amyloid may preferentially target regions within the default network because of their activity or metabolic properties, in particular the posterior cingulate extending into the precuneus (Buckner et al. 2005, 2009; Vlassenko et al. 2010). Although these results support previous links between amyloid burden and disruption of the default network (Hedden et al. 2009; Sperling et al. 2009; Sheline et al. 2010; Vannini et al. 2011), our study has several limitations that preclude a strong interpretation on this point. Specifically, our study was not designed to target memory processes, and we did not find a significant association between amyloid and memory performance. Further, our ability to examine interactions between amyloid and WMHs was limited by the low number of participants with evidence of high levels of both pathologies. Nonetheless, we discuss these preliminary observations that suggest a dissociation to motivate future explorations.

**Conclusion**

In summary, a subset of older adults failed to modulate activity in response to changing task demands, a phenomenon we hypothesize to reflect an inability to dynamically allocate attention. This lack of modulation was associated with poorer executive performance and increased WMH volumes among those older adults exhibiting extensive white matter abnormalities but was not related to elevated amyloid burden. Conversely, amyloid burden, but not white matter abnormalities, was associated with evidence of impaired default network activity. These results, in addition to the rarity of co-occurrence between amyloid and white matter pathology among our sample of clinically normal adults, suggest that age-related cognitive failures may arise from multiple distinct pathologies. The observed age differences in failures of attentional allocation were not accounted for by the average magnitude of activity, which was higher among older than younger adults. Age-related failures of dynamic allocation of attention may be an early consequence of disrupted neural integrity within prefrontal–parietal networks.
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