Phase sensitive measurements of order parameters for ultracold atoms through two particles interferometry
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Nontrivial symmetry of order parameters is crucial in some of the most interesting quantum many-body states of ultracold atoms and condensed matter systems. Examples in cold atoms include \( p \)-wave Feshbach molecules and \( d \)-wave paired states of fermions that could be realized in optical lattices in the Hubbard regime. Identifying these states in experiments requires measurements of the relative phase of different components of the entangled pair wavefunction. We propose and discuss two schemes for such phase sensitive measurements, based on two-particle interference revealed in atom-atom or atomic density correlations. Our schemes can also be used for relative phase measurements for non-trivial particle-hole order parameters, such as \( d \)-density wave order.

During the last few years, a considerable progress has been achieved in creating analogues of strongly-correlated electron systems, using ultracold atoms in optical lattices (see refs. \[13\] for reviews). One of the most challenging problems, which could be addressed in the future experiments, is the search for \( d \)-wave pairing in the repulsive Hubbard model\[14\]. Realizations of other exotic states in cold-atom systems, such as \( d \)-density wave states\[15\], have been theoretically proposed. These states are characterized by order parameters with non-trivial angular dependence of the relative phase between the components of the entangled wavefunction. Hence, it is important to understand how tools of atomic physics can be used to perform tests of such quantum many-body states of ultracold atoms\[16\].

In this paper, we discuss a scheme for performing such phase sensitive measurements. It is based on the analysis of atom-atom correlations resulting from two-particle interference\[17\]. Our proposal builds on the theoretical ideas\[18\] of using noise-correlations in atomic density to characterize many-body states, and on the experimental demonstration to measure atom-atom correlations, or atomic density noise spectroscopy with ultracold atoms\[19–22\]. This method should provide an unambiguous evidence for non-trivial pairings, including \( p \) and \( d \)-wave states\[14, 23, 24\], as well as for non-trivial particle-hole correlations such as in a \( d \)-density wave state\[9, 15\]. It should also allow the direct observation of two particle coherence and nontrivial angular momentum of ultracold diatomic molecules. For example, for \( p \)-wave Feshbach molecules realized in JILA\[25\], our approach should distinguish between \( p_x + ip_y \) and \( p_x - ip_y \) states\[24\].

We start by considering a Feshbach molecule, which consists of a pair of atoms, and has the center of mass momentum equal to zero

\[
|\Psi_{\text{mol}}\rangle = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \psi(k) c_\uparrow^\dagger c_\downarrow^\dagger |0\rangle.
\]

The two atoms making up the molecule can be either bosons or fermions. For concreteness, in this paper we focus on the case of two fermions in different hyperfine states labeled by \( \sigma = |\uparrow\rangle \) and \( |\downarrow\rangle \) in analogy with states of a spin \( 1/2 \) particle. Here \( \psi(k) \) is the wavefunction of a molecule, and \( c_\sigma^\dagger \) is a creation operator of a fermion atom in the state
with momentum $\mathbf{k}$ and hyperfine state $\sigma$. The symmetry of $\psi(\mathbf{k})$ determines the nature of the paired state. We assume that the potential binding the two atoms is removed instantaneously and the released atoms subsequently evolve as free particles. Experimentally this can be achieved either by changing the magnetic field abruptly near a Feshbach resonance or by applying an RF pulse $[21, 25]$. The released pair of atoms are in a superposition of momentum $(\mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{k})$ pairs with amplitudes $\psi(\mathbf{k})$. Our goal is to find a method to measure the relative phases between different components of the atomic mirrors and beam splitters are based on Bragg diffraction, whose pattern and shape can reveal the existence of fundamental phase factors [27]. Note, however, that the precise value of $\Phi_I$ we find the following expressions for the coincidence counts of $n_1 = d_1^\dagger d_1$:

$$
\langle n_1 n_3 \rangle_c = |\psi|^2 \sin^2(2\beta) \cos^2 \left( \frac{\phi_q - \phi_p + \Phi_I}{2} \right),
$$

$$
\langle n_1 n_4 \rangle_c = |\psi|^2 \left[ 1 - \sin^2(2\beta) \cos^2 \left( \frac{\phi_q - \phi_p + \Phi_I}{2} \right) \right],
$$

$$
\Phi_I = \theta_{q1} + \theta_{-q1} - \theta_{p1} - \theta_{-p1} + \chi_1 - \chi_1,
$$

and similarly for $\langle n_2 n_3 \rangle_c$ and $\langle n_2 n_4 \rangle_c$. The oscillatory behavior of the correlation as a function of $\Phi_I$ probes the coherence of pairing in the molecule. To vary $\Phi_I$, one can, for instance, change the phases $\chi_{q\sigma}$. Moreover, if we know the precise value of $\Phi_I$, such coincidence signals yield the relative phase $\phi_q - \phi_p$ between different molecular components. In the absence of precise knowledge of $\Phi_I$, the phase difference $\phi_q - \phi_p$ could be extracted through a scheme analogous to white light fringes in classical optics, whose pattern and shape can reveal the existence of fundamental phase factors [27]. Note, however, that the $\mathbf{k}$ dependence of the phase factors acquired during the propagation and the reflection may render these methods unreliable. Thus, we consider a second scheme which avoids such a problem.

**Scheme II.** In this alternative scheme, we apply a $\pi/2$ Bragg pulse at the very beginning of the expansion to mix atomic components with momenta $\mathbf{q}$ and $\mathbf{p}$, as well as $-\mathbf{q}$ and $-\mathbf{p}$. This realizes, in a single operation, reflections on the mirrors and mixing on the beam splitters. In scheme II, there is a common mode propagation after the Bragg pulse, and phases acquired during the expansion do not affect interference. Two atom interference is revealed by coincidence counts with point detectors just as in the previous scheme. The scheme can be generalized to many-body case by replacing coincident

---

**FIG. 1:** Illustrations of using two-atom interference to measure the relative phase between different components of molecules after dissociation. a) Scheme I: Free propagating atoms are reflected in mirrors and mixed in beam splitters denoted by $S$. Coincidences are counted between detectors on opposite sides, e.g. $D_1$ and $D_3$. b) Bragg pulses with wavevectors $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}$ and $-\mathbf{G}$ are used to exchange (atomic mirrors) or mix (atomic beam-splitters, $S$) components $\mathbf{q} \uparrow$ and $\mathbf{p} \uparrow$, as well as $-\mathbf{q} \downarrow$ and $-\mathbf{p} \downarrow (|\mathbf{q}| = |\mathbf{p}|)$. In scheme II, the Bragg pulse applied at the beginning of expansion carries out reflections on mirrors and mixings in beam splitters in a single operation.
counts between point detectors with density imaging and studying noise correlations between patterns registered on opposite sides (see below).

To discuss scheme II, we start again with the example of a dissociated Feshbach molecule, described by the wavefunction in Eq. (1). We consider the case in which the Bragg pulses for spin up and down atoms differ only in the phase, and such pulses are created by the potentials \( V(r) = 2V_0 \cos(Gr - \chi) \). Here we assume again a perfect Bragg diffraction. Detectors \( D_i \) \((i = 1, 2, 3, 4)\) detect atoms with momenta and spins \( \sigma \) \(\uparrow\), \( \sigma \) \(\downarrow\), \( -\sigma \) \(\downarrow\), \( -\sigma \) \(\uparrow\), respectively. The only difference between this scheme and scheme I is the absence of phase factors \( e^{i\phi_{\sigma\tau}} \). As a result, coincidence counts have forms similar to Eq.(3), with \( \Phi_{II} \) replaced by \( \Phi_{I} \).

**Many-body state analysis.** We now apply scheme II to a BCS state of fermions \(|\Phi| = \prod_k (u_k + v_k c_{k\uparrow} c_{k\downarrow})|0\rangle\). This BCS wavefunction is general and can describe weakly-coupled BCS paired states as well as a condensate of tightly-bound molecules. Here, we consider the generic diffraction pulse that can mix states whose momenta are separated by any integer multiple of \( G \). The effect of the mixing pulse is described by the transformation of particle creation operators: \( c_{k\sigma} \rightarrow \tilde{c}_{k\sigma} = \sum_m \phi_m(k) e^{-i m \chi} \tilde{c}_{k + m \downarrow} \tilde{c}_{k\downarrow} \rightarrow \tilde{c}_{G\downarrow} \). The scattering amplitudes \( \phi_m(k) \) are controlled by the diffraction pulse amplitude \( V_0 \) and its duration \( \tau \). We assume that before the mixing pulse, only states with momenta \( \pm k \) and \( \pm (k - G) \), which are close to the Fermi surface, have finite probabilities to be occupied, while states with momenta \( \pm (k - mG) \) for \( m \neq 0, 1 \), which are far from the Fermi surface, are empty. The mixing pulse then induces the interference between particles with momenta \( \pm k \) and \( \pm (k - G) \).

The signature of non-trivial pairing of the BCS wavefunction shows up in the angular dependence of the pairing \( \phi_k \) in \( \langle n_k \rangle = \langle |\phi_k| e^{|\phi_k|} \rangle \). In order to probe the relative phase \( \Delta \phi = \phi_k - \phi_{k - G} \) between pairs with momenta \( k \) and \( k - G \), we consider the following density noise correlation after the interference:

\[
\langle \delta n_k \delta n_{k - G} \rangle = \langle n_k \rangle \langle n_{k - G} \rangle - \langle n_k \rangle \langle n_{k - G} \rangle \left| \phi_k e^{-i \pi \phi_k} \right|^{10} \left| \phi_{k - G} e^{-i \pi \phi_{k - G}} \right|^{10} - \left| \langle u_k \rangle^2 - |\langle u_{k - G} \rangle^2 \right| \times \left( |\langle v_k \rangle|^2 |\langle k\uparrow \rangle|^2 |\langle \alpha_{\uparrow\downarrow} \rangle| - |\langle u_{k - G} \rangle|^2 |\langle \alpha_{\downarrow\uparrow} \rangle|^2 |\langle \alpha_{\uparrow\downarrow} \rangle|^2 \right) \tag{4}
\]

In analogy with the case of a Feshbach molecule, the first line in the RHS of Eq.(4) contains an interference term which depends on the relative phase \( \Delta \phi \) as well as on \( \Phi_{II} = \chi - \chi_\downarrow \).

**Space- and time-resolved single atom detection** permits direct measurements of atom-atom correlations for specific momenta, corresponding to Eq.(1). Alternatively, one may look for noise correlation in absorption images after time of flight. In this case, absorption imaging, as well as finite resolution of detectors, result in the integration of the atomic density. In order to take into account these effects, we have integrated Eq.(4) over ranges of momenta as shown in Fig.2a. We present in Fig.2b the numerical result of this integration, which displays noise correlation in integrated density vs. the phase difference \( \chi - \chi_\downarrow \) of the diffraction pulses. Here we took the integration range to be \( |\Delta k_x| = |G|/10 \), \( |\Delta k_z| = |G|/10 \), \( |\Delta \chi_\downarrow| = |G|/10 \) and the pairing gap to be \( \Delta \approx 0.1 E_F \). The diffraction pulse amplitude is set to \( V_0/2E_R = 2 \) where \( E_R = |G|^2/8m \) is the recoil energy, and its duration is chosen to have the maximum oscillation of the signal. We assume that the integration range is sufficiently small that the phases of the Cooper pairs \( \phi_k \) and \( \phi_{k - G} \) are constant in the integration range.

The oscillatory behavior of the integrated noise correlations \( \langle \delta N_{\uparrow\downarrow}, \delta N_{\downarrow\uparrow} \rangle \) as a function of \( \chi - \chi_\downarrow \) (See Fig 2b) should provide an unambiguous proof of the Cooper pair coherence. Moreover, the value of the correlation at \( \chi - \chi_\downarrow = 0 \) yields information about the phase difference \( \Delta \phi = \phi_k - \phi_{k - G} \), which is the quantity we are interested in. The value of the correlation at \( \chi - \chi_\downarrow = 0 \) also depends on the scattering amplitudes \( \phi_m(k) \), and thus on \( V_0 \). In Fig.3 we present the integrated noise correlation signal \( \langle \delta N_{\uparrow\downarrow}, \delta N_{\downarrow\uparrow} \rangle \) at \( \chi - \chi_\downarrow = 0 \) as a function of \( V_0^2 \tau \) for three different values of \( \Delta \phi \), and find striking differences. We conclude that it should be possible to discriminate between \( \Delta \phi = 0 \) and \( \Delta \phi = \pi \) even when full 3D resolution is not available.

In discussions so far, we assumed that the BCS pairs or molecules are at rest before dissociation. When molecules
In Fig.4b, two Bragg pulses couple the order parameter of correlation functions to obtain the information on this case, one can combine two different measurements can be generalized to provide an unambiguous phase has a non-trivial angular dependence. Our scheme above to ∆φ = φk - φk - Q = 0, π/2 and π, respectively. Green(dash-dotted line) and Red(dashed line) curves correspond to ∆φ = φk - φk - Q = 0, π/2 and π, respectively.

FIG. 4: a) Illustration of the sign of the CDW amplitude ψph(k) = ⟨ψϕ(κk+Q′) for d-wave CDW state. b), c) Phase sensitive detection of the symmetry of the d-wave CDW state in TOF experiments. For b), two pulses which transfer momenta G and G′ are applied at the beginning of expansion. In c), a single pulse with momentum transfer G is applied. All the couplings through the Bragg pulses are indicated by solid arrows. Here Q is the wave vector of CDW.

are cold but not condensed, there is a spread in the center of mass momenta determined by the temperature. Even in this case, there is still a perfect coherence between different parts of the wavefunction of each molecule, yielding a two-body interference. However, the average of these interference terms over the center of mass momenta of individual molecules could potentially result in the washing out of noise correlations. We expect this suppression to be moderate as long as the average thermal momenta of molecules is smaller than the characteristic momenta of expanding atoms.

Systems with particle-hole correlations. There are several types of many-body states characterized by correlations in the particle-hole channel, such as charge and spin density wave states (CDW and SDW). The most exotic of them have a finite angular momentum. This means that we have ⟨ψϕ(κk+Q′)⟩ = ψϕ(k), where ψϕ(k) has a non-trivial angular dependence. Our scheme above can be generalized to provide an unambiguous phase sensitive detection of such states as well. To be concrete, let us consider a 2D system near half-filling. In this case, one can combine two different measurements of correlation functions to obtain the information on the order parameter ψϕ(k), as shown in Fig.4 and c. In Fig.4c, two Bragg pulses couple k and k′ + Q, as well as k′ and k + Q. Here, the correlation function ⟨δnkδn(k′)⟩ contains an interference term proportional to ψϕ(k)ψϕ(k′). In Fig.4c, a Bragg pulse couples k and k′, and the correlation function ⟨δnkδn(k+Q)⟩ contains the term ψϕ(k)ψϕ(k′). When combined, these information should not only provide evidence of the angular dependence of CDW, but also allow one to distinguish site and band centered density wave states.

Conclusion. In this paper, we have proposed a new method for performing phase sensitive measurements of non-trivial order parameters in systems of ultra-cold atoms, with a view toward studying open problems in strongly correlated systems. Note that in contrast to scheme I, which was introduced in analogy to a scheme first introduced in Photon Quantum Optics, scheme II is specific to Quantum Atom Optics, and takes advantage of the unique features of cold atom systems.
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