A physical sciences network characterization of non-tumorigenic and metastatic cells

The Physical Sciences - Oncology Centers Network*

To investigate the transition from non-cancerous to metastatic from a physical sciences perspective, the Physical Sciences–Oncology Centers (PS-OC) Network performed molecular and biophysical comparative studies of the non-tumorigenic MCF-10A and metastatic MDA-MB-231 breast epithelial cell lines, commonly used as models of cancer metastasis. Experiments were performed in 20 laboratories from 12 PS-OCs. Each laboratory was supplied with identical aliquots and common reagents and culture protocols. Analyses of these measurements revealed dramatic differences in their mechanics, migration, adhesion, oxygen response, and proteomic profiles. Model-based multi-omics approaches identified key differences between these cells’ regulatory networks involved in morphology and survival. These results provide a multifaceted description of cellular parameters of two widely used cell lines and demonstrate the value of the PS-OC Network approach for integration of diverse experimental observations to elucidate the phenotypes associated with cancer metastasis.

The conversion from a non-tumorigenic state to a metastatic one is of critical interest in cancer cell biology, as most deaths from cancer occur due to metastasis1. Typically, we think of the activation of metastasis as one of the hallmarks of cancer and as a highly regulated, multistep process defined by a loss of cell adhesion due to reduced expression of cell adhesion molecules such as E-cadherin, degradation of the extracellular matrix (ECM), conversion to a motile phenotype, vascular infiltration, exit and colonization to a new organ site (i.e., intra- and extravasation), dormancy, and re-activation. From a physical sciences perspective, metastasis can be viewed as a "phase" transition, albeit occurring far from thermodynamic equilibrium3. Though this transition has been the focus of much cancer biology research, there is still an incomplete understanding of this phase change, in particular, the physical biology of the metastatic state of a cell compared to its pre-malignant state. Understanding the physical forces that metastatic cells experience and overcome in their microenvironment may improve our ability to target this key step in tumor progression.

The newly formed Physical Sciences-Oncology Centers (PS-OC) Network, sponsored by and under the auspices of the Office of Physical Sciences-Oncology at the National Cancer Institute (OPSO/NCI), is a multi-disciplinary network of twelve research centers across the US formed, in part, to test the fundamental hypothesis that physical processes (e.g., mechanics, dynamics) play a critical role in cancer initiation and metastasis. The PS-OC Network brings analytic techniques and perspectives from the physical sciences to the interpretation of biological data and consists of physicists, engineers, mathematicians, chemists, cancer biologists, and computational scientists. The goal of the PS-OC Network is to better understand the physical and chemical forces that shape and govern the emergence and behavior of cancer at all length scales. The study described in this manuscript focused on physical changes associated with metastasis. A controlled set of comparative studies of two cell lines that are extensively used as cell models of cancer metastasis and straddle the metastatic transition was undertaken by the PS-OC Network.

The cell lines analyzed were the immortalized human breast epithelial cell line MCF-10A, representing a non-tumorigenic state, and the human metastatic breast cell line MDA-MB-231, representing a malignant state. Distinguishing features of the adherent, non-transformed, MCF-10A cells are their lack of tumorigenicity in nude mice, lack of anchorage-independent growth, and dependence on growth factors4. In contrast, MDA-MB-231 cells form highly malignant, invasive tumors in vivo, are resistant to chemotherapy drugs such as paclitaxel, exhibit anchorage-independent growth, and grow independently of growth factors. Although MCF-10A cells have wild-type p53 and MDA-MB-231 cells have mutant p53, both cell lines are negative for the estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)5,6.

To ensure that data generated across the multiple PS-OC laboratories could be integrated, culture guidelines, common culture reagents, and the two fully characterized, karyotyped cell lines were distributed to PS-OC laboratories. This minimized phenotypic and genotypic drift. After demonstration of growth uniformity, the
cells were evaluated by a battery of physical measurements, as outlined in Table 1, encompassing complementary physical, biochemical, and molecular assays, to establish a metastatic signature across multiple length scales, including the molecular, subcellular, cellular, and tumor length scales. Novel biophysical techniques interrogated classic phenotypic ‘hallmark’ properties of the two cell lines (e.g., morphology, motility, stress responses) and physical cell properties (e.g., shear rheology). A novel model-based regulatory network approach was used to generate hypotheses of linkages between molecular and physical signatures of the cell lines. By interrogating this one-of-a-kind dataset, this pilot study provides insight into intrinsic differences in the physical properties of metastatic cancer cells vs. their non-tumorigenic counterparts, while demonstrating the importance of the technologies employed from the physical sciences and the value of a network approach to the study of cancer biology.

**Results**

In order to generate integrated data across the PS-OC Network, the MCF-10A and MDA-MB-231 cell lines were characterized, expanded, and distributed to each PS-OC with common protocols and reagents to standardize culturing procedures for each cell line (Suppl. Fig. 1a). Each PS-OC expanded the cells and was required to submit predetermined annotations that included phase-contrast microscopic images at specified passage numbers, seeding densities, and culturing times to ensure phenotypic uniformity of starting microscopic images at specified passage numbers, seeding densities, and culturing times to ensure phenotypic uniformity of starting material (Suppl. Fig. 1b). Each of the laboratories then initiated experiments using different methodologies to explore cell morphology, motility and mechanics, stress responses and survival (drug and hypoxia), and molecular networks.

**Comparative cancer cell morphology.** Cancerous cells are often described as having an altered appearance and morphology; cancer pathologists and oncologists routinely use cell and nuclear morphology to stage cancer and propose treatments. Clinical studies have linked properties of tumor cell mass and patient survival to variations in individual cells and nuclear morphology. In particular, breast cancer cell line morphologies have been correlated to invasiveness and gene expression profile. In this study, morphological parameters of the MCF-10A and MDA-MB-231 cells, including cell and nuclear shape, nuclear disorder strength, membrane lipid raft abundance, and cell growth in response to matrix stiffness were characterized. To determine the two- (2D) and three-dimensional (3D) properties of the two cell lines, MCF-10A and MDA-MB-231 cells were grown as monolayers and imaged in 2D by differential interference contrast microscopy (Fig. 1a). The MDA-MB-231 cells had a higher width-to-length aspect ratio of 1:1.77 compared with the smaller, rounder MCF-10A cells’ width-to-length aspect ratio of 1:1.39 (Fig. 1a). Three-dimensional cellular and nuclear shapes were determined using single-cell optical computed tomography and volumetric image analysis. Representative pseudo-color renderings of 3D cell volumes are shown in Fig. 1b. Detached MDA-MB-231 cells exhibited consistent kidney-bean shaped nuclei with a relatively consistent extent of concavity, whereas nuclei of MCF-10A cells were more heterogeneous in shape. Nuclear sphericity parameters calculated from phase-contrast images were 1.42 and 1.39 for the MDA-MB-231 and MCF-10A cells, respectively, demonstrating the significantly non-spherical shapes of the nuclei of both cell types (Fig. 1b iii). This is contrary to the popular notion of suspended cells having spherical nuclei and is a novel observation of nuclear shape for these two otherwise well-characterized cell lines.

Partial wave spectroscopic (PWS) microscopy is a recently introduced high-resolution approach to characterize cancer cell morphology and the nanoscale architecture of the nucleus. PWS employs back-scattered light to quantify the spatial variations of the refractive index or macromolecular mass density. Measurements of macromolecular compaction are reported as the nuclear disorder strength, Ld, where an increase in the disorder strength of the cell nanoarchitecture is used as an indicator of early events in carcinogenesis. Nuclear regions of the MDA-MB-231 and MCF-10A cell lines were analyzed and Ld calculated for each cell line and normalized to MCF-10A values as baseline, as illustrated in Fig. 1c (PWS images are shown on the right and the bright field reflectance (BFR) images, from which PWS images were computed, are on the left). Metastatic MDA-MB-231 cells exhibited a statistically significant (p < 0.0004) 80% increase in nuclear disorder strength relative to the non-tumorigenic MCF-10A cells. This difference in nuclear Ld indicates that the

---

**Table 1: Cell physical parameters, methods and measurements**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell physical parameter</th>
<th>Technology name/ Physical method</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Morphology</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell and nuclear shape, volume</td>
<td>2D: Differential interference contrast microscopy</td>
<td>2D: Shape; length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3D: Optical computed tomography</td>
<td>3D: Volume; characteristic nuclear shape (nuclear sphericity parameter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear architecture</td>
<td>Partial wave spectroscopy</td>
<td>Nuclear disorder strength (Ld)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell growth as a function of matrix</td>
<td>Immuno-fluorescence; confocal microscopy</td>
<td>Cell proliferation; cell morphology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stiffness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell surface</td>
<td>Total internal reflection fluorescence; epifluorescence microscopy</td>
<td>CD44 expression patterns; lipid raft distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Motility and Mechanics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell motility</td>
<td>1D, 2D, and 3D motility assays</td>
<td>Speed; radial displacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell adhesion and rolling</td>
<td>HA MicroPatters; flow chamber adhesion assay</td>
<td>Cell binding to HA pattern; rolling velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical flexibility</td>
<td>Atomic force microscope-based nano-indentation</td>
<td>Elastic modulus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal fluidity</td>
<td>Ballistic injection nanorheology</td>
<td>Mean square displacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endogenous force generation</td>
<td>Traction force microscopy</td>
<td>Tension maps, force magnitudes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stress Response and Survival</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoxic conditions</td>
<td>Microscopic imaging of 3D cultures (intracellular Ca²⁺ and DNA)</td>
<td>2D Cell viability; 3D O₂ consumption; CEA expression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical stress</td>
<td>As above</td>
<td>Cell viability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** 2D: 2-dimensional; 3D: 3-dimensional; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; HA, hyaluronic acid.

Footnote: Additional PS-OC methods are noted in the results and given in the supplementary information.
Figure 1 | Comparative cell morphology. (a) Differential interference contrast (DIC) microscopy. (i, ii) Left: Volume rendering from DIC micrographs of each cell type (gray, H&E stained). Right: Same as left with EFM images of DAPI stained nuclei (blue) superposed. (iii) Aspect ratios of cell bodies (mean ± s.e.m.). (b) 3D cytometry. (i, ii) Pseudo-colored volume rendering of suspended and fixed H&E stained cells imaged by optical cell CT. (iii) Fluorescent area in m² calculated from TIRF images (mean ± s.e.m.). (c) Nuclear sphericity of the cell nuclei (mean ± s.e.m.). (d) Substrate stiffness. (i, ii) Left: Lipid raft distribution visualized by anti-CT-B antibodies using EFM. Right: raft distribution visualized by anti-CT-B antibodies using TIRF. (iii) Fluorescent area in m² calculated from TIRF images (mean ± s.e.m.). All scale bars are 5 µm. All p-values are indicated according to the Michelin guide scale (p < 0.001: ***; 0.001 < p ≤ 0.01: **; 0.01 < p ≤ 0.05: *; 0.05 < p: ns).

Metastatic and non-tumorigenic cells have very different nuclear architectures.

There is increasing evidence of a functional relationship between tissue rigidity and tumor progression; indeed, tumors are often stiffer than normal tissues. An investigation of whether cell matrix stiffness modulates cell growth and morphology was carried out with the two cell lines using ECM-crosslinked polyacrylamide gels of varying stiffness (Fig. 1d). The substrate stiffness was measured by shear rheology (the study of the flow of matter). When MCF-10A cells were grown for more than 15 days on a soft matrix (with substrate stiffness of either 75 Pa or 140 Pa), the cells formed well-differentiated, hollow, growth-arrested acini. When the cell matrix stiffness was increased to 6000 Pa, the stiffer substrate induced an increase in cell growth but cells formed poorly differentiated monolayers (Fig. 1d) with nearly 70% of cells highly proliferative as evidenced by Ki-67 positive-staining. Conversely, MDA-MB-231 cells exhibited similar morphology and growth rate regardless of substrate, suggesting that these cells have a significantly reduced mechano-sensitivity. This insensitivity to matrix stiffness may be beneficial during metastasis as metastatic cells encounter different substrates during the dissemination process, and the lack of sensitivity to matrix stiffness allows these cells to proliferate in a wide variety of environments.

The expression and distribution of cell surface glycoproteins are a major determinant of cellular response to the microenvironment. One of these glycoproteins, CD44, is a hyaluronic acid (HA) receptor involved in cell-cell adhesion and cell-matrix interactions, which are critical to the metastatic process. Variant isoforms have been associated with cancer metastasis, particularly in tumors originating from epithelia, including breast cancer. Investigation of the surface receptor expression on MCF-10A and MDA-MB-231 cells using epifluorescence microscopy (EFM) images (Fig. 1e) revealed that the macroscopic CD44 distributions of the two cell lines did not differ significantly (p > 0.75) (data not shown). This confirms a previous report using confocal microscopy, which showed a similar localization of CD44 on MDA-MB-231 cells. In contrast, total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) images of the same cells (Fig. 1e) indicated that CD44 was abundantly present at the point...
of contact between the cell membrane and the substrate surface in the MCF-10A but was observed at markedly lower levels (by a factor of ~4.5) in the MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. 1e iii). Interestingly, CD44 expression and distribution were not affected in either MCF-10A or MDA-MB-231 cells when cells were grown in 3D on substrates of differing stiffness. CD44 was mainly present at cell-cell junctions and was evenly distributed along the plasma membrane in both cell types (data not shown). When the cells were treated with a fluorescent probe specific to membrane lipid rafts, enhanced labeling of the MDA-MB-231 cells relative to MCF-10A cells was observed (Fig. 1f), suggesting the presence of lipid rafts only in the metastatic breast cancer cells. This finding is consistent with reports of elevated levels of cholesterol-rich lipid rafts in breast and prostate cancer cells compared to their normal counterparts36. Taken together, these experiments suggest that although the general topography of the two cell lines are similar, the surface presentation of the cell adhesion protein CD44 and formation of lipid raft domains containing additional cell surface receptors are significantly different.

Comparative cancer cell motility and mechanics. Cell migration. One of the distinguishing hallmarks of metastatic cells is their capacity to steer through multiple physical microenvironments such as the ECM of the stromal space and, following intravasation, along vasculature walls. Traditionally cell motility studies have been performed in 2D environments (i.e., flat substrates). Here, cell motility was evaluated in one-dimensional (1D), 2D, and 3D environments35-38. These multidimensional experiments revealed that regulation of cell speed and maximum displacement were critically dependent on the dimensionality of the environment. In a 1D environment where a cell is constrained to move only forward or backward (13 μm wide by 25 μm deep silicon-etched fibronectin-coated channels), MCF-10A cells traveled more than three times faster than MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. 2a ii). However, consistent with their metastatic potential, MDA-MB-231 cells traveled farther (based on radial displacement from their original position) than MCF-10A cells along the same 1D channels (Fig. 2a ii). In a 2D environment, MCF-10A cells moved in a circular or pin-wheel style of motility—the leading edge swung in an arc while the lagging edge often remained pinned in place (data not shown). MDA-MB-231 cells moved more linearly, though more slowly, and MCF-10A cells were found to travel farther than MDA-MB-231 cells on the 2D collagen matrix (Fig. 2a ii). MCF-10A cells also exhibited slightly faster migration in a wound healing assay (see Suppl. Fig. 5). MCF-10A cells also moved in a circular motion around the 3D void in which they were embedded, but MDA-MB-231 cells again traveled farther than MCF-10A cells when embedded inside 3D collagen matrices (data not shown). Thus, given the dimensional constraints of the cellular environment, the non-tumorigenic cells tended to move faster than the metastatic cells, but remained within a limited circular area, whereas MDA-MB-231 cell motility was linear and did not exhibit the same distance limitations.

ECM matrix components are known to potentiate breast tumor metastasis by enhancing cell invasion39, therefore, the functional relationship between cell motility and ECM molecules such as laminin (a primary ECM component of the basement membrane in breast tissue), hyaluronic acid (HA, an anionic non-sulfated glycosaminoglycan spatially distributed in the ECM), and cell surface adhesion molecule E-selectin were investigated. Traction force microscopy (TFM) was used to measure the pulling forces exerted by single cells on substrates with different concentrations of laminin. MDA-MB-231 cells generated more traction forces than MCF-10A cells at all tested laminin concentrations (0.1 μg/ml, 10 μg/ml, and 50 μg/ml) (Fig. 2b iii, intermediate concentration data not shown), suggesting that laminin interactions may play a role in promoting breast tumor cell aggressiveness. Cell adhesion to HA substrates and CD44 expression in the two cell types were compared by growing cells on micropatterned arrays with covalently linked HA (Fig. 2c). Immunofluorescence and flow cytometry experiments confirmed that MDA-MB-231 cells had approximately two-fold more CD44 expression than MCF-10A cells (Fig. 2e iii). Nevertheless, MCF-10A cells adhered preferentially to HA, whereas MDA-MB-231 cells showed no preference (Fig. 2c iii). The lack of HA adhesion preference of the metastatic cells is likely due to the lack of CD44 in the membrane. This is evident in the TIRF data (Fig. 1e iii). Whereas the EFM analysis (data not shown) shows 1:1 bulk CD44 levels, by TIRF, levels of CD44 are 2:1, a difference presumably due to the presence/absence of external HA in the environment.

The comparative role of E-selectin in the cell migration of metastatic and non-malignant cells may play a critical role in the ability to adhere to vasculature walls for efficient extravasation to secondary organs40. For example, in trans-endothelial migration of circulating tumor cells (CTC), endothelial cell surface ligands increase the adhesive forces and hence the residence time and extravasation of CTCs from the circulatory system. Fluid shear forces due to blood flow can counteract these forces. Shear stresses from 1–4 dyn/cm² are typical of veins, whereas higher stresses ranging from 4–30 dyn/cm² can occur in arteries41. Here, flow-chamber experiments showed that MDA-MB-231 cells neither adhered nor rolled on either 5 μg/mm² or 10 μg/mm² E-selectin-coated surfaces over a range of physiologic wall shear stresses from 1–8 dyn/cm² (data not shown). In contrast, MCF-10A cells adhered and rolled on 5 μg/mm² E-selectin-coated surfaces over the entire range of stresses ranging from 1 to 8 dyn/cm² (Fig. 2d iii). These results differ from those of Zena et al18, who found that MDA-MB-231 cells were able to traverse a model endothelial monolayer in the absence of shear forces in a CD44- and E-selectin-dependent manner37. Given the expression of CD44 on MDA-MB-231 cells, the CD44/E-selectin binding interaction (affinity and/or expression) on the cell surface may be insufficient to increase the residence time in the presence of significant shear forces, and other ECM ligands or adhesion molecules may compensate in vivo. In spite of an apparent lack of HA and E-selectin binding by MDA-MB-231 cells, both MDA-MB-231 and MCF-10A cells exhibited an ECM deposition similar to that of Nuff fibroblast cells (data not shown), however the ECM structures appear to be distinct (Fig. 2e i).

Cell mechanics. Consistent with observations of amoeboid movement of invasive cancer cells, the ability of a cell to move through multiple tissue compartments, often via small portals, relies on amoeba-like deformability. The mechanical deformability of MCF-10A and MDA-MB-231 cells was measured by an atomic force microscope (AFM) aligned with a confocal fluorescence microscope lens (AFM-CLSM) for fluorescence lifetime imaging measurements (FLIM) (Fig. 2f)44. Cells stained with nuclear and nucleolar dyes were indented at distinct points over the lamella, nucleus, and nucleoli. The resulting force-indentation curves were fitted to a modified Hertz model in 100-nm intervals, yielding depth-dependent elastic moduli. At shallow indentation depths, the two cell lines had similar elastic moduli (~200 Pa). Cytoplasmic and nuclear stiffness of MDA-MB-231 cells increased only slightly with increasing indentation depth, whereas cytoplasmic and nuclear stiffness of MCF-10A cells both increased - though with different strain-hardening profiles - about four-fold to an elastic modulus of ~1.6 kPa. At indentation points over nucleoli, both cell lines showed stiffening with increasing depth, with MDA-MB-231 and MCF-10A cells rising to elastic moduli of ~1 kPa and ~1.5 kPa, respectively, at 0.8 μm. These findings suggest that mechanical loads are transduced through the cytoskeleton differently in the two cell lines. The decreased elastic modulus and increased deformability of MDA-MB-231 cells is consistent with their ability to traverse narrow matrices.

Cytoplasmic viscoelasticity is also an important feature of amoeboid movement. Subcellular viscoelasticity was investigated by ballistic injection nanorheology (BIN; movement of nanoparticles in a
Figure 2 | Motility and mechanics. (a) Three substrates used to monitor cell motility: 2D collagen-coated glass substrate, 3D collagen matrix, and 1D fibronectin-coated microchannels (13 μm wide, 25 μm deep) etched in silicon. Motion tracking based on time-lapse imaging. (i) Schematic. (ii) Tracking data. (iii) Speed (μm/min) and maximum invasion distance (mean ± s.e.m.). (b) TFM quantified traction stresses exerted by cells on 5kPa 2D polyacrylamide substrate mimicking mammary tumor stiffness by measuring displacement of embedded fluorescent polystyrene beads. Phase image followed by fluorescent images of bead field under stressed and unstressed (post-trypsinization) conditions. Bead displacement yields magnitude (|T|) and distribution of traction stresses42. (i) Schematic. (ii) Tension maps (Left); phase images (Right); MCF-10A (Top); MDA-MB-231 (Bottom). Scale bars: 50 μm. (iii) Force magnitudes exerted by cells at different surface laminin concentrations (mean ± s.e.m.). (c) Microprinted Covalent HA array. (i) Schematic. (ii) CD44 expressing MCF-10A and MDA-MB-231 cells (red) attached to FL-HA micro-patterned substrates (green) after 24h culture. Scale bars: 50 μm. (iii) Cells attached to HA squares (mean ± s.e.m.). (d) Cells rolling on E-selectin surfaces. (i) Schematic. (ii) Phase images. Scale bars: 50 μm. (iii) Rolling velocities and numbers of MCF-10A cells captured on surface under physiological wall shear stresses (mean ± s.e.m.). (e) (i) SEM reveals distinct ECM structures deposited by MCF-10A (Left; scale bar: 4 μm) compared to MDA-MB-231 (Right; Scale bar: 5 μm). (ii) IF imaging of cells stained with fluorescein-tagged HA demonstrate expression of HA in both MCF-10A and MDA-MB-231. Scale bars: 50 μm. (iii) Flow cytometry shows higher CD44 expression in MDA-MB-231 compared to MCF-10A (left: histogram; right: quantified MFI). (f) AFM probe aligned with confocal fluorescence lifetime microscope scans points of interest over cytoplasm, nuclei, and nucleoli. Force-indentation curves used to calculate elastic moduli. (i) Schematic. (ii) Curves (middle) and corresponding images (top, bottom). Scale bars: 10 μm (top); 2 μm (middle, horizontal), 0.2nN (middle, vertical); 4 μm (bottom). (iii) Depth-dependent elastic moduli (mean ± s.e.m.). (g) Fluorescent nanoparticles injected into cells and trajectory monitored over time. (i) Schematic. (ii) Cell monitored in real time. Inset: nanoparticle trajectory. Scale bars: 10 μm (main); 0.2 μm (inset). (iii) MSD values over cumulative time (mean ± s.e.m.). All p-values indicated by Michelin guide scale (p ≤ 0.001: ***; 0.001 < p ≤ 0.01: **; 0.01 < p ≤ 0.05: *; 0.05 < p: ns).
viscoelastic material). Fluorescently labeled, 100 nm diameter microspheres were ballistically injected into the cytoplasm and, after overnight incubation, their random intracellular displacement was followed over time (Fig. 2g). Mean squared displacement (MSD) of the microspheres was obtained from the 20 s trajectory of each microsphere with 30 ms temporal resolution. Consistent with the above AFM results, the ensemble-averaged MSD of microspheres in MDA-MB-231 cells was greater than that of MCF-10A cells, indicating that the MDA-MB-231 cytoskeleton was substantially softer than that of MCF-10A cells.

**Comparative cancer cell stress response and survival.** Preferential survival under stressful conditions is a characteristic of metastatic cancer cells; therefore, the two model cell lines were subjected to external stresses characteristic of the tumor microenvironment, such as hypoxia (in 2D and 3D) and low pH. Cell viability, recovery, oxygen consumption (of single cells and populations), and expression of the surface biomarker carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) were measured.

**Hypoxia.** Within the primary tumor, oxygen availability can vary dramatically due to location within the tumor and its vascularity; oxygen availability also varies temporally (e.g., due to clots). The effects of hypoxia on cell viability, growth and recovery, and oxygen consumption were tested in a 2D environment as well as in a pathologically relevant 3D culture environment. In a hypoxic (1% O₂) 2D environment, populations of both cell lines experienced relatively small decreases in viability over a span of three days (Fig. 3a, top). In a 3D culture environment, viability of both cell lines was apparently more sensitive to hypoxia (Fig. 3a, bottom). The normalized MCF-10A cell viability under ambient and hypoxic conditions at day 6 were 100 (± 20) % and 40 (± 10) %, respectively. The normalized MDA-MB-231 cell viability under ambient and hypoxic conditions at day 6 were 150 (± 30) % and 76 (± 20) %, respectively. The apparent differences of viability in hypoxic 2D and 3D environments require further study but may involve oxygen permeability (surface vs. bulk effects in 3D), dead cells remaining caught in 3D scaffolding, or changes in cell adhesion. O₂ media concentrations were also measured in the 3D cultures and the normalized bulk oxygen consumption rate at day 6 was determined for both cell lines (Fig. 3b, left). Under ambient conditions, MCF-10A and MDA-MB-231 cells exhibited similar O₂ consumption rates; however, their response to hypoxic (1% O₂) treatment differed with no changes in MCF-10A O₂ consumption, whereas MDA-MB-231 cells dramatically reduced O₂ consumption (four-fold) when cultured in hypoxia (Fig. 3b, left). The observed reduction of O₂ consumption by MDA-MB-231 cells may be due to an increased plasticity or adaptability related to their tumorigenic potential. An alternative explanation may be the preexistence of variant MDA-MB-231 cells in the population with reduced O₂ metabolism that are positively selected for under hypoxic stress. To examine these possibilities, oxygen consumption rates of single cells isolated in hermetically sealed chambers were measured in normoxic (17% O₂) conditions (Fig. 3b, right). In these measurements, MDA-MB-231 cells had a lower mean OCR but comparable heterogeneity (mean: 2.1 fmol/min, CV: 0.72) than the MCF-10A cells (mean: 4.1 fmol/min, CV: 0.82). The histogram suggests a glycolytic subpopulation of the metastatic cells may become dominant in hypoxic conditions, but further studies are required. This hypothesis is sketched out in Fig. 3c. In addition, measurements of the expression of selected cell surface proteins thought to be involved in metastasis, such as CEA (Fig. 3d), PCLP, and CD44 (Suppl. Fig. 2d), as a function of a normal or hypoxic environment showed that MDA-MB-231 cells increased expression of CEA in response to hypoxic conditions. Future investigations may clarify the effect of hypoxic conditions on the expression of these proteins.

**Figure 3 | Comparative cell stress responses.** (a) Viability under hypoxia in 2D and 3D. Top: (2D) Cells grown in wells (triplicate) for 3 days in 1% O₂. Viability was determined every 24 h and imaged with an inverted microscope. Cell viability (mean ± s.e.m.) normalized to day 1 samples. Bottom: (3D) DNA content per scaffold (normalized to day 1 samples) over 6 days growth in normoxic (17% O₂) and hypoxic (1% O₂) conditions in 3D culture (alginate discs); (b) Oxygen consumption rates. Left: bulk OCR (normalized to DNA content, mean ± s.e.m.) of cells after 6 days growth in normoxic (17% O₂) or hypoxic (1% O₂) conditions in 3D culture (alginate discs); Right: histogram of OCR of single cells measured in hermetically sealed chambers (17% O₂). (c) Schematic of a hypothetical model in which phenotypic diversity of MDA-MB-231 cells is relatively enhanced with respect to MCF-10A due to enhanced population recovery after hypoxia-induced cell death. (d) Carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA). (E) Mean fluorescence intensity minus isotype (MFI) of cells grown in 17% or 1% O₂ (mean ± s.e.m.). (f) pH-induced stress. Percentages of viable cells grown in media with pH 6.8 (mean ± s.e.m.). (f) Paclitaxel-induced stress. Percentages of viable cells after 24, 48, and 72 h incubation with various concentrations of paclitaxel (mean ± s.e.m.). All p-values are indicated according to the Michelin guide scale (p ≤ 0.001: ***; 0.001 < p ≤ 0.01: **; 0.01 < p ≤ 0.05: *; p < 0.05: ns).
Chemical stress. Changes in the interstitial chemistry of the microenvironment can also have a profound impact on cancer cell response and metastasis. Low interstitial pH is typical in the tumor microenvironment due to increased lactic acid secondary to anaerobiosis within anoxic tumors. When cells were grown under acidic conditions, pH 6.8 vs. neutral pH 7.4, and cell proliferation was monitored at fixed time points (microscopic imaging of calcein-AM and ethidium homodimer-1 staining every 24 h for 72 h), MCF-10A viability dropped to 70% of control by 24 h and remained there through 72 h (Fig. 3e), whereas viability of the metastatic cell line was not significantly affected. Additionally, viability of the cell lines was measured after 24 h, 48 h, and 72 h exposure to a range of doses of the mitotic inhibitor paclitaxel (Fig. 3f). Although a clear dose-dependent decrease in viability was observed in both cell lines, the MDA-MB-231 cells were considerably less sensitive to the drug than the MCF-10A cells.

Molecular network signatures for morphology, motility, and stress. The goals of our studies were to broaden the set of features that differentiate between metastatic and non-tumorigenic cells to include physical/mechanical properties and to generate hypotheses about how molecular-scale factors (e.g., protein and transcript level changes) impact or are impacted by these properties. The following molecular network analysis attempts to identify putative molecular origins of the data from Fig. 1 and Fig. 2. Notably, in addition to the transcriptomic data, proteomics datasets from each cell line were collected both unperturbed and perturbed by various antagonists that could potentially alter parameters such as stress response (e.g. paclitaxel) and motility and morphology (ROCK Inhibitor Y-27632). This allowed us to further refine our estimates of definition of genes from the network that were putatively connected to particular phenotypic characteristics. To connect the molecular and the biophysical, we proceeded in three steps: 1) we identified relevant transcriptomic data (224 experimental conditions from the Gene Expression Omnibus) for the two cell lines and generated quantitative differential proteomics data (see Methods); 2) we derived a computational model of cellular regulation; and 3) we identified subsets of that model that are likely related to physical properties of the cells.

Our transcriptional regulatory network model (Suppl. Fig. 3) contains 1866 genes, 220 of which encode transcription factors (TFs) and highlights factors that differentiate between metastatic and premalignant cell types (see Methods). In this model, each gene is represented as a ‘node’. If a gene’s abundance is regulated by another gene, this is denoted with an ‘edge’ between those genes. In Fig. 4a, we show the transcription factor subset. Yellow nodes or edges indicate specificity to MCF-10A cells, whereas blue nodes or edges are more specific to MDA-MB-231 cells. This network shows a substantial bias towards MDA-MB-231 specific nodes and edges.

We interrogated our derived total network (Suppl. Fig. 3) to identify sub-networks whose regulation was both highly differentiated between cell types and likely connected to physical cell properties (morphology, motility, and stress). Proteomics measurements were overlaid onto these networks.

In Figs. 4b–d, we show some of the 1-hop sub-networks (i.e., one degree of separation between genes) selected from the millions of 1-hop sub-networks identified. These particular networks contain one or more interesting features: connections are specific to one cell line; the genes are of interest to the PS-OC community and/or implicated in cell biophysical properties; and/or the genes correspond to differentiating proteomics data.

As noted above, there were numerous morphologic differences between our cells, including factors like width-to-length ratio and nuclear disorder factors. Notably, for the morphology sub-network (Fig. 4b), we observe strong connections among FBN1 (encoding fibrillin, a major extracellular microfibril in connective tissues), ZEB1 (encoding a zinc finger transcription factor that represses T-lymphocyte-specific IL2 gene), and TWIST1 (encoding a basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor). The inferred network connects these genes, whose products are known to impact the morphology of cells. FBN1 is of particular interest because it has a large number of MDA-MB-231-specific edges. It is a connective protein that provides structural support for surrounding tissue. The large number of specific edges suggests that FBN1 plays a role in the deformability of the MDA-MB-231 cells. The network analysis further infers that FBN1 has regulatory interactions with gene products involved in cellular differentiation, adhesion, structure, and integrity, such as TGFβ3 (encoding transforming growth factor β3), MMP2 (encoding matrix metalloproteinase-2), LOX (encoding lysyl oxidase), and ACTA2 (encoding smooth muscle aortic α-actin). TGFβ3, MMP-2, and LOX have previously been shown to be involved in tumor growth and metastasis27,28, whereas to our knowledge ACTA2 has not.

An analysis of motility network signatures in MCF-10A and MDA-MB-231 cells identified ITGβ4 (encoding integrin β4) as a central node (Fig. 4c). Integrin β4 is a member of the integrin family necessary for cell-matrix and cell-cell adhesion and for motility29,30. Our model suggests that it is a potential regulator of many gene products involved in adhesion, migration, and invasion. This particular network also contains a large number of differentially abundant gene products based on proteomics, most notably SERPINB5 (encoding serpin peptidase inhibitor, clade B, member 5), which is upregulated in the non-malignant MCF-10A cells and is known to act as a tumor suppressor and block the metastatic properties of mammary tumors, further suggesting a role in differential regulation.

In the survival network, we focused initially on HIF1α (hypoxia-inducible factor-α), as it encodes a transcription factor known to be involved in cell response to hypoxia31. We focused on this gene because it is over-expressed in the metastatic cells able to survive in areas of low O2 within a tumor (Fig. 4d). Products of genes in this regulatory network ultimately affect the survival of a cell through proliferation or apoptosis. Our model suggests that e-Met has regulatory relationships with both HIF1α and ITGβ4 in the transcription factor regulatory network, consistent with the reports from Schelter et al.32 and Giancotti33 respectively. In addition, we suggest that HIF1α regulates LOX, which has been shown to be critical for hypoxia-induced metastasis34–36.

Discussion

This trans PS-OC laboratory network study demonstrates that cell lines can be cultured with genotypic and phenotypic uniformity across geographically and technologically disparate institutions to be used in comparative studies. The results from each laboratory can be pooled with confidence, given the known uniformity in cell maintenance and thus the phenotypic and genotypic make-up of the cells. This is in contrast to typical comparisons with results from literature that are fraught with the pitfalls inherent in the use of different experimental conditions and materials. In the present work, the physical differences between a non-tumorigenic cell line (MCF-10A) and a metastatic cell line (MDA-MB-231) that are both extensively used in research were characterized. The integrated results generate a composite and multifaceted picture (detailed in Table 2) that is more complete than one or a few experimental approaches would have provided. In proposing to develop a laboratory network approach to study the physical properties of cancer cells, there was concern about the inherent mutant genotype of the cell lines as well as phenotypic plasticity due to variant culturing conditions, which could result in differing cell lineages and phenotypes potentially confounding interpretation and integration of results across the twelve PS-OCs. Consequently, considerable work was done to ensure that the two cell lines, culture media, and growth conditions were standardized and that cell growth and overall morphology were documented at regular intervals for quality control. Subsequently,
each lab employed their own unique procedures for cell characterization as provided in Table 1 and the supplemental information. Integration of the results across the study highlights the similarities and differences between the cell types. For example, the apparent phenotypic plasticity of the metastatic MDA-MB-231 cells compared with the non-tumorigenic MCF-10A cells is illustrated at the 20 nm scale, at which an increase in nuclear disorder strength indicative of more variable nuclear heterochromatin structure was seen in

Figure 4 | Comparative molecular signatures for morphology, motility, and stress. (a) The largest connected subnetwork of transcription factors from the master network (Suppl. Fig. 3) with nodes colored to provide a "summary" of the entire network. Node size shows the number of edges (connecting lines) in the master network that were above a cutoff for specificity to either cell line. Larger nodes have more cell-line-specific edges; the largest, IKZF1, has 67 edges above the threshold. Node color is determined by the ratio of above-cutoff edges specific to MCF-10A vs. MDA-MB-231, with yellow denoting more MCF-10A edges and blue more MDA-MB-231 edges. Nodes with many edges specific to one cell line or the other are therefore large and brightly colored, such as IKZF1 or COPS2. (b-d) One-hop networks from transcription factor regulators (∆) to their targets (○). Each gene is represented as a 'node'. If a gene’s abundance is regulated by another gene, this is denoted with an 'edge' between those genes. Color of an edge indicates the specificity of that regulatory relationship to either MCF-10A cells (yellow) or MDA-MB-231 cells (blue). Relationships that are equally present in both cell types are demarked grey. Node border color indicates differential proteomics results. Yellow border nodes are upregulated in MCF-10A cells. Blue border nodes are upregulated in MDA-MB-231 cells. Grey bordered nodes were quantified and found to be equivalent in both cell types. (b) Morphology network. The 1-hop morphology network from FBN1 and TWIST1, LOX and LOXL1, both putatively regulated by FBN1. Both FBN1 and TWIST1 are putatively regulated by ZEB1. Also shown are the large number of MDA-MB-231 edges from FBN1 and a fairly even distribution of edges from ZEB1. (c) Motility network. The 1-hop network from ITGB4. ITGB4 is itself a gene of interest and is inferred to regulate EGFR and several laminins. (d) Stress response network. The 1-hop network from HIF1A, a transcription factor and gene of interest. It is putatively regulated by MET (upper triangle), which is also inferred to regulate ITGB4. HIF1A putatively regulates two more genes of interest, LOX (also a putative target of FBN1 and SATB2).
MDA-MB-231 cells, and at the μm scale, at which the cellular deformability of the metastatic cells was found to be four-fold more elastic than the non-tumorigenic cells as measured by AFM (Table 2). These results are consistent with the role of the cytoskeleton in malignancy. The cytoskeleton functions not only as the cell backbone but also plays an active role in cell division, differentiation and signal transduction; modifications in the cytoskeleton have been associated with early carcinogenesis. Measured values for *L*₄ have been found to be elevated with higher neoplastic behavior in genetically altered but microscopically indistinguishable human colon cancer cell lines. One benefit of this kind of trans-network study is the ability to look at relationships between observations. For example, the AFM data presented suggests that metastatic cells are softer. Interestingly, the TFM data suggests that metastatic cells are able to exert increased force. This compound observation is both phenotypically intuitive and surprising.

One of the unanticipated findings was that motility of metastatic MDA-MB-231 cells is profoundly different than that of MCF-10A cells. MDA-MB-231 cells, in spite of exhibiting a slower overall speed, traveled farther and more linearly in the more pathologically relevant 3D motility assay than MCF-10A cells, which move in a circular manner. Previously, motility measurements for these cells were performed in a 2D environment; in this milieu, MCF-10A cells traveled farther than MDA-MB-231 cells. Motility was further explored through measurements of adhesion and rolling on matrices with different surface ligands. The MDA-MB-231 cell surface is very different from that of MCF-10A cells, and these differences impact interactions with the microenvironment. CD44 distribution on the two cell lines differs; MCF-10A cells exhibit abundant CD44 at the cell-substrate interface, whereas the MDA-MB-231 cells had less detectable CD44 at the cell-substrate interface. In addition, lipid rafts were detectable on the metastatic cells but not on the MCF-10A cells. These results suggest that although the general topography of the two cell types is similar, the surface presentation of the cell adhesion protein CD44 and formation of lipid raft domains containing additional cell surface receptors differ. These results are consistent with previous findings of elevated levels of cholesterol-rich lipid rafts in breast and prostate cancer cells compared with their normal counterparts.

The metastatic cells exhibited less adhesion and rolling on E-selectin and HA surfaces, consistent with the lower expression of CD44 detected at the substrate/membrane contact areas in TIRF images of the cells. The labeling experiments support the idea that the general topographies of the two cell lines are similar. The exclusion of CD44 from the near-surface contact area in MDA-MB-231 cells or lack of proper post-translational CD44 modification may explain their lack of binding to the HA micro-patterned surface. These results differed substantially from those of Afify et al. who found that CD44 was required for breast cancer cell adhesion since anti-CD44 antibodies disrupted cell adhesion to HA-coated wells. In their study, Afify et al. only investigated the adhesion of two breast cancer cell lines: an MDA-MB-468 cell line that expresses high levels of CD44, and a T47D cell line with low to negligible expression of CD44.
MDA-MB-231 cells were shown to express CD44, but at a lower level, and their adhesion was not examined. In the Afify et al. analysis, HA was presented singularly, whereas in our studies HA was presented adjacent to PEGylated regions. Some of the present authors have previously shown that adhesion preference to HA-presenting region depends on the presentation of adjacent molecules.

When subjected to stresses such as low O2 and acidosis, both MDA-MB-231 and MCF-10A cells exhibited reduced viability as compared with culture under ambient O2 and physiological pH. MDA-MB-231 cell numbers were maintained to a greater degree than MCF-10A, illustrative of the metabolic flexibility exhibited by metastatic cells compared to the non-tumorigenic cell line. MCF-10A cells tolerated low O2 better in 2D as compared with 3D culture, with total cell numbers dropping by more than 50% after six days of culture in 3D. This finding was unexpected, and may point to different mechanisms of stress response mediated by culture dimensionality. In hypoxic conditions, the four-fold reduction in O2 consumption by the 3D-cultured MDA-MB-231 cells, in contrast to the unchanged MCF-10A consumption, may be due either to increased phenotypic plasticity or to the preexistence of an emergent subpopulation with reduced O2 metabolism that survive under hypoxic stress. These results demonstrate that it is important to monitor not only the whole cell population but also single cells. The single cell studies of oxygen consumption support the idea that a subset of MDA-MB-231 cells restored the population after these microenvironmental stress-caused evolutionary bottlenecks hypothesis that is also consistent with the results of the viability studies. Taken together, these results confirm and extend prior observations of the inherent capacity of metastatic cells to respond to microenviron-mental stressors of low O2 partial pressure and acidic pH.

Proteomic network analysis of MCF-10A and MDA-MB-231 cells confirmed changes in cell morphology and motility and identified genes that are involved in multiple metastatic hallmark features. For example, LOX, which is regulated in the morphology and survival networks by FBN1 and HIF1α, respectively, is involved in extracellular matrix remodeling and is a hypoxia-responsive gene that represses E-cadherin, leading to cellular transformation and invasion. Through a combination of regulatory network inferences and proteomic studies, we interrogated the molecular origins of several classic phenotypic “hallmark” properties of tumor progression and metastasis.

Clearly, an important next step is to bring the biophysical assays presented in this paper to the in vivo realm. Some of these assays could be modified and readily extended to the in vivo case, such as quantitative single-cell migration, traction force microscopy, and particle tracking microrheology. Other measurements cannot be readily conducted in vivo, such as 3D cytometry.

In sum, the results of experiments comparing parameters of cell lines chosen to model the non-tumorigenic and metastatic states generated internally consistent results. The metastatic cells were more physically flexible and viable under a wider range of stresses than the non-tumorigenic cells. In addition, cell surface protein expression was related to the motility parameters measured. This pilot study validates the laboratory network approach and the use of physical sciences techniques to investigate cancer cell biology.

Methods

Cell lines. Cells were grown with standardized media and culture conditions described by Guise et al. for MCF-10A cells and Debnath et al. for MDA-MB-231 cells. Additional specific cell growth conditions for specific assays are provided in the supplementary information (SI Methods).

Cell morphology assays. Specific assay conditions are provided in SI Methods.

Cell motility and mechanics assays. Specific assay conditions are provided in SI Methods.

Cell stress response and survival assays. Specific assay conditions are provided in SI Methods.

Gene and protein expression and analysis. Specific assay conditions are provided in SI Methods.
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