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The cell fate determinant Llgl1 influences HSC fitness and prognosis in AML

Florian H. Heidel, Lars Bullinger, Patricia Arreba-Tutusaus, Zhu Wang, Julia Gaebel, Carsten Hirt, Dietger Niederwieser, Steven W. Lane, Konstanze Döhner, Valera Vasioukhin, Thomas Fischer, and Scott A. Armstrong

A unique characteristic of hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) is the ability to self-renew. Several genes and signaling pathways control the fine balance between self-renewal and differentiation in HSCs and potentially also in leukemia stem cells. Recently, studies have shed light on developmental molecules and evolutionarily conserved signals as regulators of stem cells in hematopoiesis and leukemia. In this study, we provide evidence that the cell fate determinant Llgl1 (lethal giant larvae homolog 1) plays an important role in regulation of HSCs. Loss of Llgl1 leads to an increase in HSC numbers that show increased repopulation capacity and competitive advantage after transplantation. This advantage increases upon serial transplantation or when stress is applied to HSCs. Llgl1 inactivation is cycling but neither exhaust nor induce leukemia in recipient mice. Llgl1 inactivation is associated with transcriptional repression of transcription factors such as KLF4 (Krüppel-like factor 4) and EGR1 (early-growth-response 1) that are known inhibitors of HSC self-renewal. Decreased Llgl1 expression in human acute myeloid leukemia (AML) cells is associated with inferior patient survival. Thus, inactivation of Llgl1 enhances HSC self-renewal and fitness and is associated with unfavorable outcome in human AML.

Evolutionarily conserved signaling pathways and cell fate determinants, such as RNA-binding proteins or polarity regulators, have been recently described as effectors in hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) biology (Wu et al., 2007; Hope et al., 2010; Ito et al., 2010; Kharas et al., 2010). However, only a few members of the polarity network have been investigated to date, and the exact role of these genes in HSCs and leukemia is not well understood. Several genes and signaling pathways control the fine balance between self-renewal and differentiation in HSCs and potentially also in leukemia stem cells (LSCs; Heidel et al., 2011). For example, knockdown of select polarity-associated genes leads to enhanced (Prox1) or decreased (Pard6a, Prkcz, and Msi2) repopulation potential of HSCs in vivo. Llgl1 (lethal giant larvae homolog 1) is a highly conserved gene, known to be involved in regulation of cell polarity, proliferation, and cell division. It connects the polarity nodes “Par complex” (Pard6, Pard3, and Prkcz/Prkci) and “Scribble complex” (Dlg, Scrib, and Llgl1), components of which have been shown to influence the repopulation capacity of HSCs (Humbert et al., 2006). This network has been reported to interact with several stem cell relevant pathways, such as canonical Wnt signaling, Notch signaling, and Hippo pathway signaling.

Abbreviations used: AML, acute myeloid leukemia; CN-AML, cytogenetically normal AML; GEP, gene expression profiling; GMP, granulocyte macrophage progenitor; HSC, hematopoietic stem cell; LMP, lymphoid-primed MPP; LSC, leukemia stem cell; LT-HSC, long-term HSC; MEP, megakaryocyte erythroid progenitor; MPD, myeloproliferative disease; MPP, multipotent progenitor; pIpC, poly-I–poly-C peptide; qRT-PCR, quantitative RT-PCR.
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RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Inactivation of Llgl1 increases HSC number and fitness in vivo

As disruption of evolutionarily conserved polarity regulators may impact HSC biology, we aimed to investigate the role of Llgl1 in steady-state hematopoiesis. We injected poly-I–poly-C peptide (pIpC) into Llgl1loxP/loxP Mx-Cre+ mice or the corresponding littermate controls (Llgl1+/loxP Mx-Cre+, Llgl1+/+ Mx-Cre+, or Llgl1loxP/loxP Mx-Cre- mice) to induce genetic deletion of Llgl1. Over the next 16 wk, we monitored peripheral blood counts and did not find any significant abnormalities after conditional deletion of Llgl1 except a mild lymphocytosis 4 wk after pIpC (Fig. 1 A). Efficient excision of Llgl1 was confirmed in the BM by PCR. However, immunophenotypic analysis of the BM 16 wk after pIpC treatment revealed a significant increase in long-term HSCs (LT-HSCs; lineage-, Sca-1+, Kit+ [LSK], CD34+, Flk2-). This increase was also found in the lineage-, Sca-1+, Kit+, CD34+, Flk2-, CD48- (SLAM+) population (Fig. 1, B and C), which is the most highly enriched LT-HSC population described so far (LT-HSC frequency 1:2; Kiel et al., 2005). When whole BM cells were transplanted into primary recipient mice in a competitive fashion at a ratio of 1:1 (and also 9:1 [not depicted]; control vs. test BM) on week 16, we found that Llgl1-/- cells competed significantly better than Llgl1+/+ controls as indicated by increased chimerism (Fig. 1 D). This data suggests a competitive advantage of ~10-fold when compared with Llgl1+/+ cells. The competitive advantage in peripheral blood chimerism increased in secondary (Fig. 1 E) and tertiary recipient mice (Fig. 1 F). This increase in chimerism was also detectable in total BM (Fig. 1, G and H, left) or HSC (CD34+ LSK cells; Fig. 1, G and H, right) populations. In tertiary recipients, the HSC pool was almost exclusively repopulated with cells from the Llgl1-/- mice, whereas in BM cells of the myeloid lineage, cells from Llgl1+/+ control mice almost disappeared (Fig. 1 I). This gain in self-renewal capacity could potentially lead to the development of leukemia; however, none of the mice developed leukemia during serial transplantation up to 12 mo after conditional deletion of Llgl1 (not depicted).

This phenotype might be explained by improved homing of Llgl1 deleted cells or by transplantation of increased HSC numbers. Therefore, we transplanted “undeleted” (CD45.1) BM cells derived from Llgl1loxP/loxP Mx-Cre+ mice or Llgl1loxP/loxP Mx-Cre- mice competitively (1:1) into C57BL/6 recipients and assured equal engraftment levels after 4 wk (Fig. 2 A). This ensured that even without differences in homing or cell numbers, Llgl1-/- cells would still compete better in this experiment. Mice were then treated with pIpC to inactivate Llgl1. In this setting, a competitive advantage for Llgl1-/- BM cells could still be detected and increased after transplantation into secondary recipient mice (Fig. 2 A).

To assess whether HSC frequency contributed to the competitive advantage, we performed limiting dilution experiments using whole BM cells shortly after deletion of Llgl1. BM of Llgl1-/- mice and Llgl1+/+ littermates (50,000, 10,000, 5,000, and 2,500 cells) were injected into lethally irradiated (11 Gy) C57BL/6 recipients along with 500,000 (CD45.1/2 double positive) competitor cells from age-matched controls. BM chimerism was analyzed at week 14. Calculating the stem cell frequencies, the Llgl1 WT cohort showed a frequency of 1/28,346 (95% CI 0.0000146 to 0.0000855) and the Llgl1 KO cohort 1/18,156 (95% CI 0.0000253 to 0.0001198; Fig. 2 B). This indicates that cell numbers (through expansion of HSCs) do contribute to the increase in chimerism, at least to some extent. Besides that, homing of LSK cells, as detected 16 h after injection into lethally irradiated mice, was not different between Llgl1-/- cells compared with Llgl1+/+ controls (Fig. 2 C). Therefore, a potential difference in homing capacity cannot fully explain the enhanced repopulation capacity of Llgl1-/- HSCs.

Next, we aimed to investigate distinct stressors of HSCs to determine the extent to which Llgl1 loss appears to be protective for HSCs. Ex vivo culture of HSCs is known to decrease stem cell numbers, viability, and repopulation capacity (Perry et al., 2011). Augmentation of ex vivo culture is therefore an attractive therapeutic approach in transplantation biology. Stress was applied by ex vivo culture of Llgl1-/- or Llgl1+/+ BM cells for 5 d. Transplantation of these cells into primary recipient mice at limiting dilutions revealed a significantly increased percentage of repopulating Llgl1-/- donor cells in the peripheral blood compared with
status might occur after Llgl1 deletion. In most cases where more HSCs are found to be actively cycling, this ultimately leads to exhaustion of the HSC pool. However, two recent gene KO models have been published showing increased (Rathinam et al., 2011) or unchanged (Park, C.S., et al. 2011. American Society of Hematology Annual Meeting and Exhibition. Abstr. 861.) cell cycle activity in HSCs while conferring enhanced fitness and competitive advantage to stem cells. Genetic inactivation of the E3 ubiquitin ligase Itch led to increased cell cycle activity of HSCs through activation of Notch signaling without affecting the long-term repopulation activity of HSCs (Rathinam et al., 2011). Deletion of the transcription factor Klf4 (Krüppel-like factor 4) did not affect WT cells (Fig. 2 D) 4 wk after transplantation. Analysis of BM and stem cell fractions displayed a similar degree of enhanced repopulation capacity 16 wk after transplantation (Fig. 2 E). These experiments confirm enhanced function of Llgl1−/− HSCs that appears to increase after stress and eventually leads to radioprotection of lethally irradiated recipient mice. Survival was increased for Llgl1−/− versus Llgl1+/+ at levels of \(10^5\) cells (100 vs. 71.4%) and \(10^4\) cells (100 vs. 33.3%; Fig. 2 F).

**Llgl1 protects quiescence of LT-HSCs**

As loss of Llgl1 was associated with an increase of LT-HSC numbers in vivo, we assessed whether changes in cell cycle status might occur after Llgl1 deletion. In most cases where more HSCs are found to be actively cycling, this ultimately leads to exhaustion of the HSC pool. However, two recent gene KO models have been published showing increased (Rathinam et al., 2011) or unchanged (Park, C.S., et al. 2011. American Society of Hematology Annual Meeting and Exhibition. Abstr. 861.) cell cycle activity in HSCs while conferring enhanced fitness and competitive advantage to stem cells. Genetic inactivation of the E3 ubiquitin ligase Itch led to increased cell cycle activity of HSCs through activation of Notch signaling without affecting the long-term repopulation activity of HSCs (Rathinam et al., 2011). Deletion of the transcription factor Klf4 (Krüppel-like factor 4) did not

Figure 1. Loss of Llgl1 increases the amount and competitive advantage of HSCs. (A) Peripheral blood counts after conditional deletion of Llgl1 during steady-state hematopoiesis. (B and C) Numbers of HSCs (CD34lo, Flk2− LSK and CD34lo, Flk2− CD48− SLAM+ LSK) 16 wk after deletion. (D and G) Llgl1−/− cells competed better against WT competitor cells (Llgl1+/+ littermate controls) in peripheral blood (D)– and BM-HSC chimerism (G; two independent cohorts; \(n > 4\) per cohort). (E, F, H, and I) Increase of competitive advantage over time throughout serial transplantations in total BM and HSCs of secondary (E and H) and tertiary (F and I) recipient mice (secondary and tertiary recipients received total unfractionated BM from primary recipient mice). (E and H) Horizontal lines represent the mean of each group. Error bars indicate the standard deviation. *, \(P < 0.05\); **, \(P < 0.01\); ***, \(P < 0.001\).
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Llgl1 inactivation leads to transcriptional repression of KLF4 and EGR1 (early-growth-response 1)

To identify potential downstream effectors of Llgl1 loss, we performed gene expression profiling (GEP) on HSCs (Flk2

- LSK) 10 wk after conditional deletion of Llgl1 in steady-state hematopoiesis. The top 50 deregulated genes are displayed in Fig. 4 A. Several of the genes that change expression in the absence of Llgl1 have already been implicated in stem cell biology. The most prominent effectors are Klf4 and Egr1 (Fig. 4 A). Loss of Klf4 leads to increased stem cell numbers, self-renewal capacity, and survival of stressed HSCs (Park, C.S., et al. 2011. American Society of Hematology Annual Meeting and Exposition. Abstr. 861.). This advantage increases over serial transplantations. Moreover, KLF4 has recently been shown to be a repressed target in CDX2-induced AML development (Faber et al., 2012). Egr-1 revealed significant increase of HSCs in the BM of the respective KO mice (Min et al., 2008). However, enhanced cycling and proliferation of Egr1

- /- HSCs eventually led to exhaustion of the HSC pool. In our model, Llgl1

- /- HSCs do not exhaust although more HSCs are in the cell cycle. This may be caused by compensatory effects, for example, via up-regulation of other target genes such as Hox genes (e.g., Pbx3; Fig. 4 A), tyrosine kinases (e.g., Yes, associated with Hippo-signaling), or other enzymes (e.g., the oxidosqualene cyclase Lss) that are known mediators of stem cell self-renewal and protection (Faber et al., 2009; Mejia-Pous et al., 2011; Tamm et al., 2011; Li et al., 2012).
Loss of Lgl1 is involved in disease development and influences prognosis of human AML

Decreased Lgl1 expression has been previously shown to be associated with increased metastatic potential, malignant phenotype, or inferior survival in a variety of solid tumors (Ohali et al., 2004; Schimanski et al., 2005; Kuphal et al., 2006). Given that Lgl1 restrains self-renewal in HSCs and that leukemia is characterized by aberrant activation of self-renewal, we assessed for a role in human AML. First, we asked whether the gene expression signature revealed by genetic inactivation of Lgl1 (Lgl1<sup>−/−</sup> signature) in HSCs was associated with any genetic subtypes of primary AML. Indeed, clustering based on the Lgl1<sup>−/−</sup> signature (Fig. 4 B) grouped AML cases into subgroups significantly associated with cytogenetic abnormalities (Fig. 4, B and C). Especially core-binding factor leukemias (t(8;21) and inv(16)) or t(15;17) clustered into specific subgroups. Moreover, the Lgl1<sup>−/−</sup> signature was most strongly correlated with group 7 based on hierarchical clustering. This group contained mostly cytogenetically normal leukemias (Fig. 4, B and C). Interestingly, this group (enriched for the Lgl1<sup>−/−</sup> signature) also correlated with decreased survival as compared with the other leukemia subgroups (Fig. 4 D).

To confirm this potential relevance for disease biology and prognosis in cytogenetically normal AML (CN-AML), we first screened for differences in Lgl1 expression levels using a recently published GEP dataset. This dataset described the coexistence of lymphoid-primed MPP (LMPP)-like and GMP-like LSCs in human AML (Goardon et al., 2011). Of interest, the top differentially expressed cell fate determinate was Lgl1, and its loss was associated with both LMPP-like and GMP-like LSC signatures when compared with their normal counterparts (Fig. 4 E and not depicted). As we found the Lgl1<sup>−/−</sup> signature to be prognostically relevant in a group of predominantly CN-AML, we asked whether repression of Lgl1 itself could be associated with outcome. Therefore, we investigated two independent patient cohorts treated for CN-AML in two different AML study groups by GEP and quantitative PCR, respectively. We analyzed 83 patients below the age of 60 with CN-AML (AMLSG study group) using gene expression analysis. Here, we dichotomized the Lgl1 expression data and compared survival of the high expressing (upper 50%) with the low expressing (lower 50%) cohort. This analysis revealed significantly decreased overall survival in the low expressing group (**, P = 0.0092; Fig. 4 G). This is an important indicator for Lgl1 playing a role in disease biology of CN-AML. Multivariable analysis using a COX regression model proved Lgl1 expression to be of prognostic relevance independent of known prognostic factors such as FLT3-ITD, NPM1, or CEBPa mutation status. To confirm the GEP data, we analyzed Lgl1 expression by quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) and found a wide range of expression throughout CN-AML samples (Fig. 4 F).

Figure 3. Lgl1 protects quiescence of LT-HSCs. (A and B) HSC cell cycle in steady-state hematopoiesis after genetic inactivation of Lgl1. (B) Distribution of cell cycle phases in HSCs (34<sup>lo</sup> LSK), MPPs, GMPs, or MEPs (2 cohorts, n = 6) after genetic inactivation of Lgl1. Error bars indicate the standard deviation. *, P < 0.05. (C) Short-term BrdU incorporation confirms stem cell cycling in vivo.
In summary, we provide evidence that decreased Llgl1 expression is associated with a poor prognosis in human AML. Conditional deletion of Llgl1 in vivo leads to expansion of LT-HSCs, increased fitness, and competitive advantage of HSCs. These data support a role for stem cell–associated properties as critical for human leukemia development and treatment response.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Primary patient samples and human leukemia cell lines. For gene expression analysis (GEP), 83 primary AML patient samples with normal karyotype (CN-AML) were obtained within the AML trials (AMLSG07-04) of the German–Austrian AMLSG study group. Samples for qRT-PCR analysis of Llgl1 expression were obtained within the AML trials (OSHO-2003) of the East German Study Group (OSHO) including the Hematology Tumor Bank Magdeburg (HTM) and approved by the respective local ethics committee (Ethics Committee of the Otto-von-Guericke University Magdeburg and Ethics Committee of the University of Ulm). Human leukemia cell lines were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection or DSMZ.

Llgl1 expression analysis. Llgl1 and ABL as endogenous control for each sample were analyzed in triplicate on the same 96-well plate using a 7300HT real-time PCR machine (Applied Biosystems). Expression levels were determined by SYBR-green using the following primers: Llgl_Q-fw, Llgl_Q-rv, and ABL_Q-rv.

Figure 4. Llgl1 acts upstream of transcriptional activators of self-renewal capacity, and its repression is associated with leukemia development and an adverse prognosis in CN-AML. (A) GEP on HSCs (Flk2−/LSK) after conditional deletion of Llgl1 in steady-state hematopoiesis (14-wk-old mice, 10 wk after plpC administration). The top 25 up- or down-regulated genes (by fold change) are displayed. (B) Hierarchical clustering of 436 AML cases along with the Llgl1−/− signature. hc groups, hierarchical cluster–defined groups. (C) Clustering based on the overlap for the top 200 genes reveals a significant grouping into karyotype-associated groups (P < 0.001). The Llgl1−/− signature clusters with a CN-AML predominated subgroup. (D) The Llgl1−/− signature–associated subgroup is associated with inferior outcome (P = 0.0089). (E) GEP during development of LMPP and GMP into their malignant counterparts reveals Llgl1 to be the top down-regulated cell fate determinant. Expression of Llgl1 is reduced in LMPP-AML compared with LMPP and in GMP-AML compared with GMP. (F) Primary AML samples show a high variability in Llgl1 expression. AML patient samples reveal a decreased expression in AML when compared with the MPD cases or normal granulocytes. Error bars indicate the standard deviation. (G) Low expression of Llgl1 as measured by Affymetrix gene arrays was associated with decreased survival in 83 karyotypic normal AML (CN-AML) patients below the age of 60 yr (AMLSG). (H) This finding could be confirmed in an independent CN-AML cohort (n = 80; OSHO study group) by qRT-PCR (all patient samples measured in triplicate).
We cultured whole BM cells of (CD45.1)− for survival analysis. Analysis was performed using FlowJo software (Tree Star). A Fix & Perm kit (clone E13-161.7). were used for lineage staining. An APC-Cy7– or Qdot605-labeled streptavidin (GK1.5), CD8 (53-6.7), TER119, and IL7Ra (A7R34; all from BioLegend) previously described (Krivtsov et al., 2009). Biotinylated antibodies against (PharmLyse; BD). Unless otherwise stated, the following antibodies were BM, or spleen cells were resuspended in PBS/1% FBS after erythrocyte lysis for peripheral blood chimerism at week 4, 8, 12, and 16 after transplantation. washes and resuspended in HSC expansion media. On day 6, different dilutions (10⁶, 2.5 × 10⁵, 10⁴, and 10³) were transplanted into lethally irradiated CD45.2 recipient mice. Recipients were monitored for survival and analyzed for peripheral blood chimerism at week 4, 8, 12, and 16 after transplantation. HSC and whole BM chimerism was determined at week 16. Flow cytometry. For immunophenotype analysis, peripheral blood cells, BM, or spleen cells were resuspended in PBS/1% FBS after erythrocyte lysis (PharmLyse; BD). Unless otherwise stated, the following antibodies were used. Sorting and analysis of LSK cells or Sca-1+ cells were performed as previously described (Krivtsov et al., 2009). Biotinylated antibodies against Gr-1 (RB6-8C5), B220 (RA3-6B2), CD19 (6D5), CD3 (145-2C11), CD4 (GK1.5), CD8 (53-6.7), TER119, and IL7Ra (A7R34; all from BioLegend) were used for lineage staining. An APC-Cy7– or Qdot605-labeled streptavidin antibody (BD) was used for secondary staining together with an APC–anti-KIT (clone 2B8) and a PE-Cy7– or Pacific Blue–anti-Sca-1 antibody (clone E13-161.7).

Cells were analyzed using an LSRII or FACSCanto II (BD) cytometer. Analysis was performed using FlowJo software (Tree Star). A Fix & Perm kit (Invitrogen) was used for cell cycle analysis with Ki-67 according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

In vivo BrdU incorporation assay. Llgl1−/− or Llgl1+/+ mice were injected i.p. with 180 µl BrdU/PBS solution (10 mg/ml; BrdU kit; BD). 18 h after injection, BM cells were harvested and stained with lineage and secondary antibodies as indicated above.

RNA amplification and gene expression array. RNA was isolated from 10⁴ sorted HSCs (Lineage+; Kit+, Sca–, Flk2+) using TRIzol (Invitrogen). RNA was amplified using the Ovation Pico WTA system (Nugen) and labeled using the Encore Biotin Module (Nugen). 5 µg of amplified and labeled DNA was hybridized to Affymetrix 430 2.A mouse microarrays.

Statistics and analysis of gene expression data. For survival analysis, Kaplan–Meier curves were plotted using Prism version 5.00. Differences between survival distributions were analyzed using the log rank test. Statistical analyses were performed using the Student’s t test (normal distribution) or Mann–Whitney U test (when normal distribution was not given). P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant (P < 0.05 indicated as *, P < 0.01 indicated as **, and P < 0.001 indicated as ***). Published gene expression datasets (GSE16432 [Wilson et al., 2009] and GSE15434 [Kohllmann et al., 2010]) were downloaded from GEO. Primary gene expression data were provided by Goardon et al. (2011). Gene expression data were normalized and analyzed as previously reported (Lück et al., 2011).
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