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Hog1 Controls Global Reallocation of RNA Pol II upon Osmotic Shock in Saccharomyces cerevisiae

Kristen E. Cook* and Erin K. O’Shea*†,‡,†
*Howard Hughes Medical Institute, †Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, and ‡Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Harvard University Faculty of Arts and Sciences Center for Systems Biology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

ABSTRACT When challenged with osmotic shock, Saccharomyces cerevisiae induces hundreds of genes, despite a concurrent reduction in overall transcriptional capacity. The stress-responsive MAP kinase Hog1 activates expression of specific genes through interactions with chromatin remodeling enzymes, transcription factors, and RNA polymerase II. However, it is not clear whether Hog1 is involved more globally in modulating the cell’s transcriptional program during stress, in addition to activating specific genes. Here we show that large-scale redistribution of RNA Pol II from housekeeping to stress genes requires Hog1. We demonstrate that decreased RNA Pol II occupancy is the default outcome for highly expressed genes upon stress and that Hog1 is partially required for this effect. We find that Hog1 and RNA Pol II colocalize to open reading frames that bypass global transcriptional repression. These activation targets are specified by promoter binding of two osmotic stress-responsive transcription factors. The combination of reduced global transcription with a gene-specific override mechanism allows cells to rapidly switch their transcriptional program in response to stress.

Saccharomyces cerevisiae responds to many environmental perturbations with large-scale changes to its transcriptional program (Gasch et al. 2000). For example, when yeast cells are subjected to osmotic shock, the resulting transcriptional response rapidly alters mRNA levels of over one third of the genome (O’Shea et al. 2000). Despite this global reduction, transcription of hundreds of genes increases, and the most strongly activated genes are induced over 100-fold within 20 min (Capaldi et al. 2008; O’Rourke and Herskowitz 2004). During the initial phase of the osmotic shock response, the global transcription rate drops by 50% (Romero-Santacreu et al. 2009) due to a general defect in transcription initiation in the first few minutes of stress (Proft and Struhl 2004). Despite this global reduction, transcription of hundreds of genes increases, and the most strongly activated genes are induced over 100-fold within 20 min (Capaldi et al. 2008; O’Rourke and Herskowitz 2004), suggesting that cells may have evolved a strategy to prioritize transcription of these genes in the face of harsh conditions.

Transcriptional activation of osmotic stress response genes is coordinated by the MAP kinase Hog1 (Capaldi et al. 2008; O’Rourke and Herskowitz 2004). Upon stress, Hog1 is localized to the nucleus (Ferrigno et al. 1998) where it regulates the action of several transcription factors (Alepuz et al. 2001; Proft et al. 2001; Rep et al. 2000) and chromatin-modifying enzymes (De Nadal et al. 2004; Mas et al. 2009). In addition, previous work strongly suggests that Hog1 interacts directly with RNA Pol II in stressed cells. This interaction occurs both in vitro (Alepuz et al. 2003), as purified Hog1 and RNA Pol II interact in the absence of DNA, and in vivo (Alepuz et al. 2001; 2003; Proft et al. 2006), even in cells lacking Hog1 kinase activity, or when stress-responsive transcription is blocked by deletion of stress responsive transcription factors (Alepuz et al. 2003). In stressed cells, Hog1 interacts with components specific to the RNA Pol II initiation complex (Alepuz et al. 2003) and is sufficient to recruit RNA Pol II when artificially tethered to a promoter (Alepuz et al. 2003). In addition to its role in transcription initiation, Hog1 interacts with the elongating RNA Pol II holoenzyme (Proft et al. 2006) and colocalizes with RNA Pol II in the open reading frames (ORF) of some genes (Pokholok et al. 2006; Proft et al. 2006). A similar behavior has been observed for another stress-induced yeast MAP kinase, Mpk1, which acts as a gene-specific elongation factor during heat shock by blocking attenuation (Kim and Levin 2011). Hog1 regulates chromatin state at specific promoters (De Nadal et al. 2004), promotes transcription initiation at specific genes (Alepuz et al. 2003), and may, like Mpk1 (Kim and Levin 2011), act as a gene-specific elongation factor, which has been suggested previously (Proft et al. 2006).
However, it is not clear whether Hog1 plays a role in coordinating the global changes in transcription that accompany osmotic stress (Miller et al. 2011; Profi and Struhl 2004; Romero-Santacreu et al. 2009), in addition to activating transcription of specific genes.

In this study, we investigate the role of Hog1 in global transcriptional allocation of resources in the early stages of stress. In agreement with previous work (Miller et al. 2011; Romero-Santacreu et al. 2009), we observe a global reallocation of RNA Pol II upon osmotic shock, in which housekeeping genes lose polymerase occupancy as stress genes are activated. We demonstrate that this reallocation of RNA Pol II depends upon Hog1. We find that depletion of RNA Pol II is the default outcome for highly expressed genes in response to stress and that this depletion is less pronounced in the absence of Hog1. We find that RNA Pol II and Hog1 colocalize to the ORFs of the most highly induced genes during stress. Hog1 colocalizes with RNA Pol II specifically at a set of stress-responsive ORFs that are marked by stress-induction sites, allowing recruitment or assembly of a Hog1–RNA Pol II complex and prioritizing transcription of these genes during stress.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Strains**

All strains used in this study are in the W303 strain background (trp1 leu2 ura3 his3 can1 GAL1 +psi1), and are listed in supporting information, Table S1. Epitope tags and gene deletions were introduced by transformation with PCR products including auxotrophic or antibiotic markers flanked by the 40 base pairs (bp) of sequence found directly upstream and downstream from the gene, followed by selection on the appropriate medium (Longtine et al. 1998; Rothstein 1991). Strains with multiple gene manipulations were constructed by mating the single deletion strains and dissecting the resulting tetrads.

A Quickchange kit (Stratagene) was used to introduce amino acid substitutions to generate nonphosphorylatable Sko1 variants. First, 3HA-Sko1 was cloned out of genomic DNA into YCp50, where mutations were introduced. Mutant Sko1 was then reintroduced at the endogenous locus.

Stress-promoted LACZ constructs were derived from pCM173 plasmid (Gari et al. 1997), obtained from EUROSCARF. The region between the EcoRI site and the 5' end of LACZ was replaced with a promoter region of interest.

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation**

ChIP-seq and ChIP-qPCR experiments were conducted as described previously (Capaldi et al. 2008; Johnson et al. 2007; Robertson et al. 2007), and methods are described in detail in the supporting information. Briefly, cells were grown at 30° in YEPD (or SD for experiments requiring selection for a plasmid), with shaking, to OD600 of 0.6. For stress treatment, YEPD supplemented with KCl was added to a final concentration of 0.4 M; for mock-treated cells, the same volume of YEPD was added to cultures. Samples were harvested after 5 min of stress or mock treatment. ChIP against HA-tagged strains was performed with the monoclonal anti-HA antibody 12CA5, and for RNA Pol II ChIP, 1Y26 anti-Rpb3 (Neodone) antibody was used. For ChIP-seq, ~10 ng IP material was used to generate each library, following the Illumina protocol for their paired end DNA sample prep kit (v1). For ChIP-qPCR, samples were analyzed on an MX3000p qPCR machine (Stratagene) using primers that amplify a ~100 bp region surrounding the center of observed binding peaks (primer sequences are listed in Table S2). More detailed methods and analysis of ChIP data are presented in the supporting information. ChIP-seq results have been confirmed by qPCR at selected genes (PDC1, ILVS, TDH3, ADH1, PMA1, STLI, RTC3, HSF12). Raw data for all ChIP-seq experiments may be obtained from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under accession number GSE38208.

**Inducible LACZ**

YCP50 plasmid carrying P_TETO7-LACZ, cloned from pCM173 plasmid (Gari et al. 1997), obtained from EUROSCARF, was produced and transformed into a strain expressing rtTA from the MYO2 promoter, integrated at the URA3 locus (Kim and O’Shea 2008). Doxycycline concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 2 μg/mL−1 were tested to determine an appropriate working concentration that would drive RNA Pol II occupancy of the LACZ construct to a level similar to that of the most highly expressed endogenous genes. Based on approximately 5 hr of growth in rich medium at 30° after addition of doxycycline, 1.25 μg/ mL−1 doxycycline was determined to be an appropriate concentration of inducer. For the experiment, cells were grown to saturation overnight, and then diluted to OD600 0.1. At this point, doxycycline was added to induce LACZ expression.

**Hog1-occupied ORFs**

We determined Hog1 enrichment at each ORF by summing Hog1 ChIP reads that align anywhere in the ORF, subtracting reads obtained in a mock IP, and dividing by reads from sequenced input material. We used three criteria to designate Hog1 ORF occupied genes: Hog1 enrichment; ratio of Hog1 ChIP signal in the presence and absence of stress; and length-normalized Hog1 ChIP signal within the ORF. Cutoff for each criterion was three standard deviations above the genome-wide median. To estimate the false-positive rate, we applied these same criteria to Hog1 ChIP-seq data in the absence of stress (when Hog1 is largely excluded from the nucleus); we identify only one gene that passes all three criteria. We excluded the 5% of genes with the lowest number of input reads to avoid variation in signal due to low alignability. Where two ORFs overlap, we use the nonoverlapping portions to calculate separate enrichment values. Nineteen genes on our list overlap with those identified previously by a lower resolution ChIP-chip assay (Pokholok et al. 2006).

**ChIP-seq peak identification**

Initial identification of peaks was performed using an implementation of the PeakSeq method (Rozowsky et al. 2009) written by Xu Zhou (Zhou and O’Shea 2011). This list of peaks was screened by additional criteria: for one hundred consecutive bases, peaks must be two input standard deviations above the input value at that location and above the overall median input value. This screened list of peaks was then sorted by enrichment over input, and the one hundred highest enrichment peaks were selected for analysis. For this purpose, enrichment was defined as the ratio of IP reads to input reads, with reads summed over the 50 base pairs at the center of each binding peak. Complete lists of all peaks found using PeakSeq are available from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under accession number GSE38208.

**Identification of Sko1 and Hot1 candidate motifs**

We conducted a bioinformatic search of the genomic regions enriched in each ChIP-seq experiment using the MEME (Bailey and Elkan 1994) and MAST (Bailey and Gribskov 1998) tools of the web-hosted MEME software suite (Bailey et al. 2009). We selected 50 bp regions surrounding the maximum height position of each peak for analysis. During motif discovery, we specified the parameter that each sequence should
contain one occurrence of the putative binding motif. We scanned
peaks that are bound by Hot1 in stress, bound by Sko1 with higher
enrichment pre-stress, and bound by Sko1 with higher enrichment in
stress. For discovery of the stress-induced Sko1 binding motif, peaks
bound by Sko1 in the absence of stress were used as a set of counter-
examples in a discriminatory search. Matches to the discovered Sko1
and Hot1 motifs in the regulatory regions surrounding Hog1-occupied
ORFs are listed in File S2 Binding data and motifs found for Sko1 and
Hot1 are in general agreement with previous measurements made by
ChIP-chip under similar conditions (Capaldi et al. 2008).

RESULTS

Global reallocation of RNA Pol II during osmotic shock

To investigate allocation of transcriptional resources during the early
stages of the S. cerevisiae osmotic stress response, we measured RNA
Pol II location genome-wide by chromatin immunoprecipitation read-
out by high-throughput sequencing (ChIP-seq) of Rpb3, the third largest
subunit of RNA Pol II. We assume that RNA Pol II ChIP signal is
proportional to the instantaneous transcription rate, as has been dem-

Figure 1  Reallocation of RNA Pol II upon osmotic shock.

(A) Dynamics of depletion and recruitment of RNA Pol II in
stress were measured by a time course of ChIP-QPCR
experiments. Cells in log growth were subjected to
osmotic shock by addition of 0.4 M KCl to the growth
medium, and samples were collected at the indicated time
points. Housekeeping genes with high RNA Pol II occu-
pancy pre-stress are quickly depleted of Pol II upon stress
and begin recovering within 10 min (average behavior of
PDC1, TDH3, ILV5). Stress-induced genes recruit polymer-
ase upon stress treatment and then rapidly return to low
RNA Pol II occupancy (average behavior of RTC3 and
STL1). Data shown are the averages of biological replicate
experiments. (B) RNA Pol II occupancy was measured by
ChIP-sequencing of Rpb3, the third largest subunit of RNA
Pol II, in control (mock-treated with YEPD) cells and in cells
subjected to 5 min of osmotic shock induced by addition
of 0.4 M KCl to the growth medium for 5 min. Histograms
show the distribution of RNA Pol II occupancy across all
yeast ORFs in each condition; RNA Pol II occupancy is
measured at each ORF in reads per kilobase per million
(RPKM), after subtracting the input value. Regions of over-
lap between two or more ORFs were excluded from analy-
sis. Inset plots show the 95th percentile for RNA Pol II
occupancy in each condition; the 95th percentile is indi-
cated by a dashed line in the main plot. (C) Scatter plot
showing RNA Pol II occupancy during rapid growth in
YEPD (x-axis) vs. osmotic shock in 0.4 M KCl for 5 min
(y-axis). The number of reads that align to each ORF is
normalized to RPKM. Each point represents one gene,
and points are color-coded to show ORFs that are occu-
pied by Hog1 in response to stress (red points). (D) Distinct
sets of genes are top RNA Pol II targets in the presence
and absence of stress. RNA Pol II occupancy in rapid
growth (gray plots) and after osmotic shock for 5 min
in 0.4M KCl (black plots). Values plotted are the number of
reads that align at each point along the chromosome, and
each ChIP-seq dataset is scaled to one million reads.
Arrows indicate the position and direction of each open
reading frame. The five highest occupancy ORFs during
osmotic shock are shown. (E) The five highest occupancy
ORFs during rapid growth in YEPD are plotted, as in (D).
RNA Pol II is depleted from a heterologous gene upon osmotic shock

Why are high expression genes depleted of RNA Pol II upon stress, while stress genes are simultaneously induced to a very high level? Perhaps depletion of RNA Pol II is the default response to stress, due in part to the physical effects of stress (Proft and Struhl 2004). To ask if stress-induced depletion of RNA Pol II from highly expressed genes requires specific cis-elements, we drove the expression of an exogenous, plasmid-encoded gene (LACZ) with an exogenous induction system (Tet-On) and asked if this gene would lose RNA Pol II occupancy in response to stress. We then measured RNA Pol II occupancy in the presence or absence of osmotic shock (5 min in 0.4 M KCl) at LACZ and at two control genes (Figure 2A). As expected, RNA Pol II is depleted from the housekeeping gene ADH1 upon stress, and recruited to the stress-induced gene RTC3. Induced LACZ behaves like the endogenous housekeeping gene control: RNA Pol II is highly enriched at LACZ before stress, and is depleted from LACZ upon stress. This result suggests that the default response to stress is depletion of RNA Pol II, and that the coding or regulatory regions of highly transcribed stress-induced genes contain cis-elements that enable them to bypass this effect.

Hog1 controls redistribution of RNA Pol II upon stress

In cells lacking Hog1, the decrease in total transcription that occurs within minutes of stress exposure is initially less pronounced (Romero-Santacreu et al. 2009), suggesting a possible role for Hog1 in global transcriptional regulation. To ask if Hog1 is required for depletion of RNA Pol II from highly expressed genes upon stress, we tested the effect of osmotic shock on expression of our heterologous LACZ expression system in a strain lacking Hog1 (Figure 2A, red bars). In Δhog1 cells, a greater proportion of RNA Pol II is retained at the housekeeping gene ADH1 upon stress treatment, and as expected (Capaldi et al. 2008), there is a defect in Pol II recruitment to the Hog1 regulated stress-responsive gene RTC3. As in wild-type cells, the heterologous LACZ reporter behaves like the endogenous housekeeping gene control, showing less severe depletion of RNA Pol II upon stress in the absence of Hog1 than in wild-type cells. Thus, depletion of RNA Pol II from genes upon stress is partially dependent on Hog1.

To test the influence of Hog1 on the global reallocation of RNA Pol II that we observe in stress (Figure 1C), we measured RNA Pol II occupancy genome-wide in the presence and absence of stress in a Δhog1 strain. In the absence of Hog1, we no longer observe redistribution of RNA Pol II upon stress (Figure 2B and Figure S2). Thus, the decrease in the overall transcription rate affects all genes similarly in Δhog1 cells, while wild-type cells actively prioritize transcription of stress-responsive genes over housekeeping genes.

Under osmotic shock conditions, Hog1 is found in a complex with RNA Pol II (Alepuz et al. 2003; Proft et al. 2006) and is present in some ORFs during elongation (Pokholok et al. 2006; Proft et al. 2006). To investigate the relationship between high RNA Pol II occupancy and the presence of Hog1 in ORFs during stress, we determined Hog1 localization genome-wide by ChIP-seq in the presence and absence of osmotic stress. We identified 28 ORFs that are enriched for Hog1 during osmotic shock (listed in File S1). These findings are in general agreement with previous work (Pascual-Alhuri et al. 2006; Pokholok et al. 2006; Figure S3), but by using a higher resolution technique, we are able to more clearly differentiate between Hog1 present in regulatory regions vs. coding regions. We find that Hog1 occupies the ORFs that show the highest increase in RNA Pol II occupancy upon stress (Figure 1C, red points), while Hog1 is not present in ORFs that

Figure 2: Reallocation of RNA Pol II upon osmotic shock is Hog1-dependent. (A) RNA Pol II is depleted from a heterologous gene upon stress. The TET-ON system was used to drive expression of PTEO1-LACZ, encoded on a plasmid, to a high level in wild-type cells or in a strain lacking Hog1. Induced cells were then subjected to osmotic shock (as described in A). RNA Pol II enrichment over a featureless (subtelomeric) region was measured at LACZ and at two control genes: ADH1, which shows high RNA Pol II occupancy in the absence of stress, and RTC3, which shows stress-induced RNA Pol II occupancy. Values plotted are relative RNA Pol II enrichment values for each gene. Error bars show the standard deviation of three biological replicates. (B) Scatter plot showing RNA Pol II occupancy in a Δhog1 strain during rapid growth in YEPD (x-axis) vs. osmotic shock in 0.4 M KCl for 5 min (y-axis). Each point represents one gene. The number of reads that align to each ORF are normalized to reads per kilobases per million (RPKM). show a decrease in RNA Pol II occupancy in response to stress or in the ORFs of HOG pathway-activated genes that show only modest induction upon stress. Hog1-occupied ORFs show higher RNA Pol II occupancy (median value of 17.1-fold enrichment over input) than HOG pathway-activated genes that are not occupied by Hog1 (median value of 2.4-fold enrichment over input).

Promoter regions are sufficient to direct Hog1 to ORFs

Previous work demonstrates that for at least one Hog1-occupied ORF, the region downstream of the ORF is sufficient to induce Hog1 ORF occupancy (Proft et al. 2006). However, it is not clear which cis-elements confer this ability, or whether specific transcription factors mediate Hog1 recognition of these cis-elements. Hog1 localizes to promoter regions of some target genes (Alepuz et al. 2001, 2003; Capaldi et al. 2008; Proft and Struhl 2002) as well as ORFs, but the relationship between these two events is unclear, and Hog1 recruitment to ORFs is not thought to be associated with any particular transcription factor (Proft et al. 2006). We find that Hog1 presence in ORFs correlates strongly with Hog1 promoter binding. Hog1 shows enrichment (defined as mock-subtracted Hog1 ChIP signal divided by input for the region 1000 bp upstream of each ORF) greater than three standard deviations above the genome-wide median in the promoters of 26 of the 28 occupied ORFs (example genes shown in Figure S3A), compared with 5 of the 231 promoters of HOG pathway-regulated ORFs that are not occupied by Hog1. We also observe Hog1 ChIP enrichment greater than three standard deviations above the genome-wide median in the 3’ regions (50-500 bp downstream) of 18 of the 28 ORFs that are occupied by Hog1, compared with 4 out of 231 of the HOG-pathway regulated ORFs that are not occupied by Hog1.

We were interested in whether promoter regions contain information sufficient to direct Hog1 to ORFs. To address this question, we placed a stress gene promoter upstream of LACZ on a plasmid and tested for the presence of Hog1 in the LACZ ORF. We find that the
promoters of the stress genes **GPD1** and **RHR2** are sufficient to recruit both RNA Pol II and **Hog1** to the **LACZ** ORF in a stress-specific manner (Figure 3B). This result, combined with the observation that the region downstream of **STL1** is necessary and sufficient to recruit **Hog1** to the **STL1** ORF (Proft et al. 2006), suggests that *cis*-regulatory elements contained within these 3' and 5' regions are capable of targeting genes for transcription by a complex that includes **Hog1**.

**ORFs occupied by Hog1 are marked by the presence of Hog1, Sko1, and Hot1 in their regulatory regions**

Although **Hog1** lacks a DNA binding domain, it is able to associate with promoters through physical interactions with its cognate transcription factors Sko1 (Proft and Struhl 2002) and Hot1 (Alepuz et al. 2001, 2003). Of the 28 ORFs occupied by Hog1, 21 require Sko1 and/or Hot1 for activation in response to osmotic shock (Capaldi et al. 2008). We hypothesized that these factors may be able to recruit Hog1, and thus the presence of these transcription factors at promoters would correlate with Hog1 recruitment to promoters and ORFs. To obtain a high-resolution map of Sko1 and Hot1 binding within the regulatory regions surrounding Hog1 occupied ORFs, we measured Sko1 and Hot1 binding by ChIP-seq in the presence and absence of stress. At promoters where Hog1 is present, Sko1 and Hot1 colocalize with Hog1 (Figure 3A). Sko1 is present in the promoters of 27 of the 28 Hog1-occupied ORFs, and Hot1 binds within 50 bp of Sko1 at 14 of these promoters. At each of these promoters, the observed transcription factor binding peaks overlap with Hog1 promoter peaks.

Using a bioinformatics approach, we identified sequence elements that are overrepresented at sites of Hot1 and Sko1 recruitment in response to stress (Figure 4A). We find that Hog1 colocalizes with Sko1 and Hot1 at overrepresented sites in the promoters, and in some cases, downstream regions of the ORFs that it occupies (Figure 3A, white triangles). These predicted Sko1 and Hot1 binding sites are present in regulatory regions that are sufficient to induce Hog1 ORF occupancy (Figure 3A, highlighted regions). Hog1 recruitment to these regulatory regions depends upon the presence of Sko1 and Hot1; Hog1 is not recruited to promoters, ORFs, or downstream regions in the absence of these two factors (Figures 3A and 4B).

**Hog1 is required for stress-induced Sko1 and Hot1 binding**

Although Hog1 phosphorylates Hot1 upon stress, this phosphorylation is not required for Hot1 activity (Alepuz et al. 2003). Instead, a physical interaction between Hot1 and Hog1 is required for promoter recognition, and it is Hog1 that recruits the polymerase (Alepuz et al. 2003). Sko1 binds constitutively to some genomic locations, while Sko1 binding at other sites is induced by stress (Capaldi et al. 2008; Ni et al. 2009). While Hog1 is not required for Sko1 binding in the absence of stress, we hypothesize that Hog1 may play a similar role in directing stress-induced binding of Hot1 and Sko1, given that Hog1 colocalizes with Sko1 at stress-induced binding sites (Figure 3A), and Sko1 is not recruited to these sites upon stress in a Δhog1 strain (Figure 4C). Hog1 phosphorylates Sko1 in response to stress (Proft and Struhl 2002), but we find that this phosphorylation is not required for stress-induced Sko1 binding (Figure 4C), suggesting that Hog1 regulates Sko1 binding as well as Hot1 binding through a sustained physical interaction rather than via phosphorylation. Though Hog1 lacks a DNA binding domain, it partners with Sko1 and Hot1 to
recognize specific cis-regulatory elements at the ORFs that it occupies during stress. Hog1 interacts directly with RNA Pol II during stress (Pascual-Ahuir et al. 2006), and is capable of inducing transcription when tethered to a promoter (Alepuz et al. 2003). Based on these observations, we suspect that Hog1 recruits RNA Pol II to the set of genes with nearby Sko1 and Hot1 binding sites and that Hog1 is loaded onto these ORFs along with RNA Pol II during transcription initiation.

At the set of genes that show stress-induced recruitment of Sko1, Hot1, and Hog1 to their promoters and/or downstream regions, Hog1 enrichment in ORFs is largely proportional to RNA Pol II occupancy (Figure 5A), consistent with previous reports that Hog1 is part of the elongating RNA Pol II holoenzyme (Proft et al. 2006). This relationship between gene expression and Hog1 presence during elongation is specific to stress and does not occur in control conditions (Figure 5B). Taken together, these observations suggest that genes marked by the presence of Hog1, Sko1, and Hot1 in their regulatory regions are selectively transcribed by a Hog1–RNA Pol II complex during stress.

**DISCUSSION**

Hog1 and RNA Pol II were known to colocalize at some stress-responsive genes during stress (Pokholok et al. 2006; Proft et al. 2006), and Hog1 has been shown to associate with components of the elongating RNA Pol II holoenzyme under stress conditions (Proft et al. 2006), but the role of this Hog1–RNA Pol II complex in the stress response was not clear. Our results suggest that this complex marks and specifies the most highly induced genes during stress. In response to stress, cells experience a rapid drop in their overall transcription rate. This stress-induced global reduction of transcription may have two causes: (1) a general effect of the physical changes that accompany stress (Proft and Struhl 2004); and (2) a Hog1-dependent signal that increases this effect. Given that Hog1 interacts with the polymerase upon stress (Alepuz et al. 2003; Pokholok et al. 2006; Proft et al. 2006), we suggest that the entry of Hog1 into the nucleus upon stress may serve as this signal. In this model, RNA Pol II either in complex with Hog1 or modified by Hog1 via phosphorylation has reduced affinity for non-stress-responsive genes, allowing Hog1 to briefly hijack some fraction of RNA Pol II to carry out a Hog1-specified transcription program. If high levels of nuclear Hog1 are capable of globally altering transcription, we would expect spurious activation of Hog1 to be detrimental. In fact, overexpression of Hog1, which results in increased levels of nuclear Hog1, is lethal (Wurgler-Murphy et al. 1997), and genetic perturbations of upstream signaling that result in constitutive activation of the Hog1 pathway are not viable (Maeda et al. 1994, 1995).

The entry of Hog1 into the nucleus upon stress allows two Hog1 cognate transcription factors, Sko1 and Hot1, to bind with Hog1 at cis-elements contained in the regulatory regions of stress responsive
genes, targeting the Hog1–RNA Pol II complex to these genes. The RNA Pol II–Hog1 complex transcribes highly expressed, stress-induced genes, while avoiding housekeeping genes that were previously highly expressed. The selective targeting of this complex suggests a mechanism by which a set of genes could be exempted from the transient global transcriptional repression that accompanies stress.

The function of polymerase eviction from highly expressed metabolic genes, e.g., PDC1, in the early stages of the osmotic stress response may be to reallocate transcriptional resources rather than to modify the expression level of the RNA Pol II–depleted genes. Depletion of RNA Pol II from highly expressed ORFs often does not lead to reduced mRNA levels, as many of the transcripts produced by Pol II depleted genes are in fact stabilized during the stress response (Miller et al. 2011; Romero-Santacreu et al. 2009). Global depletion of RNA Pol II, coupled with a gene-specific override mechanism, may constitute a strategy that allows the cell to rapidly switch its transcriptional program.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Q. Justman, J. Markson, and M. Rust for critical reading of the manuscript; members of the O’Shea and Denic laboratories for helpful discussions; and C. Daly and J. Zhang at the FAS center for Systems Biology for assistance with sequencing. This work was supported by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

LITERATURE CITED


Rep, M., K. Krantz, J. M. Thevelein, and S. Hohmann, 2000 The transcriptional response of Saccharomyces cerevisiae to osmotic shock. Hot1p and Msn2p/Msn4p are required for the induction of subsets of high...


Communicating editor: A. Gasch