Crystal structure of xenotropic murine leukaemia virus-related virus (XMRV) ribonuclease H
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INTRODUCTION

XMRV (xenotropic murine leukaemia virus-related virus) is a gamma-retrovirus, a genus of the retroviridae family [1]. As its name suggests, the XMRV genomic sequence is closely related to that of the MLVs (murine leukaemia viruses). XMRV was initially isolated from the tissues of prostate cancer patients [1] and subsequently found to be present in 6–27% of human prostate cancer cases [2]. Serological tests on prostate cancer patients have shown the presence of neutralizing antibodies to XMRV in plasma [3]. XMRV has also been detected in 9.9% of immunosuppressed transplant patients from Germany [4].

Mapping of XMRV integration sites has revealed a strong preference for structurally open transcription regulatory regions of chromosomes that are associated with cancer breakpoints, common fragile sites, microRNA and cancer-related genes [5]. However, the idea that XMRV also infects human populations has been met with skepticism. The replication of XMRV is highly inhibited by the human antiviral restriction factors APOBEC3 (apolipoprotein B mRNA editing enzyme catalytic polypeptide 3) and tetherin, suggesting that a replication-competent dose is not effectively achieved in humans [6–10]. Recently, Paprotka et al. [9] discovered two new murine endogenous pro-retroviruses: PreXMRV-1 and PreXMRV-2, in several strains of laboratory mice. PreXMRV-1 and PreXMRV-2 share 99.92% sequence identity with XMRV, and retroviral recombination between them can generate XMRV. On the basis of studies on the XMRV-producing human prostate cancer cell lines CWR22Rv1 and CWR-R1 and their progenitor tumour xenograft CRW22, they concluded that XMRV infections were caused by contamination during in vivo passaging in nude mice. However, the possibility of occasional XMRV infection in humans has not been completely ruled out.

Retroviral RTs (reverse transcriptases) are replicative polymerases that are essential for the replication and the survival of retroviruses during infection. RTs have two functional domains: a DNA polymerase domain that replicates DNA strands
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using either DNA or RNA as a template and an RNase H (retroviral ribonuclease H) domain that hydrolyses the RNA strand in an RNA/DNA hybrid. Despite their functional similarities, the general structures of RTs are diverse. Among the many retroviruses, HIV-1 and MoMLV (Moloney murine leukaemia virus) RTs have been most extensively studied biochemically and structurally [11]. The RT of HIV is a heterodimer of p66 and p51 subunits. The larger p66 contains five structural domains: fingers, palm, thumb, connection and RNase H domains. The p51 subunit is generated by proteolytic cleavage of p66 and lacks the RNase H domain. The p51 subunit is enzymatically inactive and serves a structural role in the heterodimeric RT complexes. The RT of MoMLV is a 75-kDa monomer that contains all five domains on a single polypeptide chain. XMRV is almost identical with MoMLV in terms of genome sequence, protein composition and morphology, suggesting that these two viruses might have a highly similar catalytic mechanism as well. Mutational inactivation of RNase H prevents replication of HIV [12]. Therefore RNase H has been considered an attractive target for anti-HIV drugs, and many such inhibitors have been extensively explored [13].

The three-dimensional structure of RNase H has been elucidated in several organisms, including retroviruses (HIV-1 and MoMLV), bacteria [Escherichia coli, Thermus thermophilus HB8 and Bacillus halodurans (Bh)] and eukaryotes (humans) [14–19]. These RNase Hs have been shown to share tertiary folding and a common catalytic mechanism. RNase H has a compact structure comprising a central β-sheet of mixed antiparallel and parallel β-strands surrounded by four or five helices on or beneath the β-sheet. The helices and strands are arranged as an αβα Rossmann-like fold. The catalytic core of RNase H consists of four conserved acidic residues that are engaged in binding bivalent cations crucial for catalysis. RNase Hs can be further grouped into two categories based on the presence or absence of a basic protraction motif [11,20]. RNase Hs from E. coli, human, MoMLV and XMRV contain a basic protraction motif, whereas HIV-1, Bh and avian sarcoma leukaemia virus do not. Deletion of the basic protraction markedly reduces the binding affinity of E. coli RNase H for RNA/DNA hybrids in association with a decrease in Mg2+ -dependent catalytic activity. Deletion of this region in MoMLV RNase H results in minimal catalytic activity and replication defects, indicating that the basic protraction contributes to important in vivo and in vitro functions [21,22]. Crystal structures of MoMLV RNase H [17] and XMRV RNase H [23] have been determined. Notably, however, the former structure lacked 11 residues that constitute the basic protraction motif and the His (histidine)-containing loop responsible for binding RNA/DNA hybrid and catalysis, and the latter also lacked the basic protraction motif. However, the crystal structure of XMRV RNase H that includes all residues has been determined very recently [24].

In the present paper, we report the crystal structure of XMRV RNase H at 2.6 Å (1 Å = 0.1 nm) resolution. Our structure includes all residues of the RNase H domain. Several key differences between the RNase H domains of HIV and XMRV provide an explanation for why the RNase Hs of XMRV and MoMLV possess intrinsic hydrolysis activities, whereas RNase H from HIV does not. Our structure also provides a framework for developing specific RNase H inhibitors for XMRV and other related retroviruses.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plasmid construction and protein purification**

A full-length clone of XMRV-VP62 RNase H (GenBank® accession no. BC008803) was sub-cloned into pET28a (residues 498–672). The XMRV-VP62 RNase H domain was expressed in the BL21 (DE3) strain of E. coli. Cells were grown at 295 K after induction with 0.1 mM IPTG (isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside) for 20 h. The cells were harvested and suspended in lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris/HCl (pH 7.5), 500 mM NaCl, 1 mM PMSF, 0.05 % (v/v) 2-mercaptoethanol and 5 % (v/v) glycerol. After lysing cells by sonication, the His-tagged XMRV-RNase H domain was purified using nickel-affinity chromatography, and the His-tag was subsequently removed by thrombin protease digestion. The XMRV-RNase H domain was further purified by SP-Sepharose FF ion exchange chromatography and gel filtration chromatography, and then equilibrated with buffer containing 20 mM Tris/HCl (pH 7.0), 0.2 M NaCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 2 mM DTT (dithiothreitol) and 10 % (v/v) glycerol. To prevent protein oxidation, 10 mM DTT was added and the protein was concentrated to 43 mg/ml for crystallization. Wild-type and mutant forms of full-length RT were prepared using similar methods.

**Crystallization and data collection**

Crystallization was performed at 291 K using the sitting-drop vapour diffusion method. Initial trials were carried out using commercial screening kits (Hampton Research). Optimal crystals were formed by mixing 1.8 μl of protein solution with an equal volume of reservoir solution containing 0.1 M Tris/HCl (pH 7.0), 0.05 M CdSO4 and 0.3 M sodium acetate and growing for 3 days at 277 K. X-ray diffraction data were collected on a Photon Factory Beamline 1A (Tsukuba) equipped with an ADSC quantum detector. For cryoprotection, the crystals were soaked in a reservoir solution containing 20 % (v/v) glycerol. The crystals diffraeted to 2.6 Å resolution and belonged to the space group P43212, 2, with unit cell parameters of a = b = 63.70 Å; c = 163.92 Å; and α = β = γ = 90°. The collected diffraction data were processed and scaled with Mosfim [25] and Scala [26]. Data collection statistics and refinements are shown in Table 1.

**Structure solution and model refinement**

The crystal structure of XMRV-RNase H was determined by the molecular replacement method using the MoMLV structure ([17], PDB code 2HB5; 85 % sequence identity to XMRV RNase H). The program Phaser [27] placed one molecule in the asymmetric
of XMRV to reaction buffer containing 50 mM Tris/HCl (pH 8.5), substrate and the desired amount of either wild-type or mutant RT et al. [30]. Briefly, the reaction was initiated by adding DNA/RNA was performed using the FRET protocol described by Parniak and-lysine-arginine motif (Asp524, Glu562, Asp583 and Asp653), which co-ordinates one magnesium ion required in RNA hydrolysis (Figures 1B and 1C). Since the binding of two metal ions occurs in the presence of RNA/DNA hybrid substrates [18,19], only one magnesium ion was determined from a direct fit of the data to the Michaelis–Menten equation.

RESULTS

Overall structure of the XMRV RNase H domain

The crystal structure of the RNase H domain from XMRV RT was solved by the molecular replacement method at a resolution of 2.6 Å. The final Rcryst and Rfree values were 0.239 and 0.260, respectively. The model was validated using MolProbity [31]. A Ramachandran plot showed that 96.1% of all residues were within the favoured regions and only one residue (Glu55) was in an outlier region. The all-atom contacts clash score was 25.84 (59th percentile) and the MolProbity score was 2.58 (58th percentile). Overall, the geometry and electron-density map were of good quality.

XMRV RNase H has a compact structure comprising a central β-sheet of five mixed antiparallel and parallel β-strands surrounded by four helices on one face and one helix on the other (Figure 1A). The helices and strands are arranged as an αβα Rossmann-like fold. A search for homologous structures using the Dali server [32] identified several members of the RNase H family, including MoMLV RNase H ([17]; PDB code 2HB5; z-score = 23.3), XMRV RNase H ([23]; PDB code 3P1G; z-score = 23.1), and human RNase H1 ([19]; PDB code 2QKB; z-score = 17.1). When we aligned the structure of XMRV RNase H with that of MoMLV RNase H, 134 out of 153 Cα atoms were superimposed with an rms (root-mean-square) deviation of 0.8 Å. The regions that could not be aligned were mainly found among residues 596–610 (basic protrusion; discussed below), residues 635–640 (His-containing loop) and residues near the N-terminus. Except for these regions, the XMRV-RNase H structure was nearly identical with that of MoMLV RNase H. Structural superposition showed that the location of His638 in XMRV RNase H was distinct from that of HIV-1 or E. coli [14,16]. This conserved His residue within the His-containing loop is necessary for proper binding to the nucleic acid template [33]. Since the side chain of His638 directly interacts with cadmium ion in our model, it is possible that this difference in conformation reflects a crystallization artefact.

Active site

Sequence alignment showed that the residues in the active site of RNase H are highly conserved among species. The active site of RNase H from XMRV contains a highly conserved DEDD motif (Asp524, Glu562, Asp583 and Asp653), which co-ordinates one magnesium ion required in RNA hydrolysis (Figures 1B and 1C). Since the binding of two metal ions occurs in the presence of RNA/DNA hybrid substrates [18,19], only one magnesium ion is shown in our structure. As shown in Figure 1(B), the

<p>| Table 1 Data collection and refinement statistics |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength (Å)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P4₁2₁2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell parameter (Å)</td>
<td>a = b = 63.70 Å, c = 163.92 Å, α = β = γ = 90°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (Å)</td>
<td>40-2.6 (2.60–2.74)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total reflections</td>
<td>73 798 (10 768)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique reflections</td>
<td>11 092 (1580)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completens (%)/redundancy</td>
<td>100.0 (100.0)/6.7 (6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refinement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of reflections</td>
<td>11 022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of atoms</td>
<td>1219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rcryst/Rfree</td>
<td>0.239/0.260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rms deviations from ideal geometry</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond angles (°)</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impropers (°)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dihedrals (°)</td>
<td>23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average B factors (Å²)</td>
<td>64.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The values in parentheses are for the highest resolution shell. †Rmerge = Σhkl I(hkl) / Σhkl I(hkl), where I is the intensity for the jth measurement of an equivalent reflection with the indices h,k,l.
Figure 1  Structure of the RNase H domain from XMRV

(A) Ribbon diagram. The boundaries of secondary structural elements are $\beta 1$ (519–529), $\beta 2$ (534–541), $\beta 3$ (547–551), $\alpha 1$ (558–573), $\beta 4$ (577–582), $\alpha 2$ (585–592), $\alpha 3$ (595–599), $\alpha 4$ (614–625), $\beta 5$ (629–633) and $\alpha 5$ (644–662). One magnesium ion (green) and four cadmium ions (pale yellow) are represented as spheres. (B) Active site. The active site of RNase H of XMRV (green) was superimposed with that of RNase H of MoMLV (cyan). The magnesium ion is represented as a sphere (orange, XMRV; magenta, MoMLV). (C) Structure-based sequence alignment. XMRV RNase H sequences are aligned with those of MoMLV, E. coli, human, HIV-1 and Bh. Sequence alignment is based on structural superposition with XMRV RNase H except for Bh; aligned sequences are shaded cyan. The secondary structural elements of XMRV RNase H are indicated above the sequences and those of the basic protrusion are shown in green. The DEDD motif, which is crucial for catalysis, is shown in red.

positions of crucial acidic residues and a magnesium ion are essentially the same as those in MoMLV. In RNase H of XMRV, the first three acidic residues (Asp$^{524}$, Glu$^{562}$ and Asp$^{583}$) interact with a magnesium ion. The structures of Bh and human RNase H in complex with substrates revealed that the magnesium ion stabilizes transition state intermediates during catalysis [18,19].

Basic protrusion motif

Previous models of RNase H from MoMLV (Lim et al. [17]; PDB code 2HB5) and XMRV (231; PDB code 3P1G) were obtained only after deleting the loop containing helix $\alpha 3$ (residues 593–603 for MoMLV; residues 595–605 for XMRV). Helix $\alpha 3$ (residues 595–599) occurs immediately after helix $\alpha 2$, and the following residues adopt a highly bent loop structure formed by several stabilizing hydrogen-bonding contacts (O in Gly$^{602}$–NZ in Lys$^{614}$, 3.1 Å; N in Thr$^{605}$–O in Arg$^{660}$, 2.9 Å; OG1 in Thr$^{605}$–N in R609, 3.0 Å) (Figures 2A and 2B). Except for the contact between Gly$^{602}$ and Lys$^{614}$, helix $\alpha 3$ and the following loop have no contact with the remaining part of the RNase H domain. Bound cadmium ions are not found in this region, implying that this region is in a native conformation.

Overall, the conformation of this protrusion in XMRV RNase H is highly similar to that of $E. coli$ (Figure 2B). It includes four arginine residues (Arg$^{599}$, Arg$^{600}$, Arg$^{601}$ and Arg$^{609}$) (Figures 1C and 2A), hence the name, basic protrusion. All these residues are exposed to solvent with no contact with other residues. A comparison of the structure of XMRV RNase H with that of the HIV-1 RT RNase H domain and human RNase H, shown with RNA/DNA hybrid substrates, showed a good alignment in both cases (Figure 3). We took advantage of this to identify the crucial residues responsible for binding to the RNA/DNA hybrid. The superposition showed that residues 606–612 of XMRV RNase H have the potential to make contact with the RNA/DNA hybrid...
molecule present in the HIV-1 RT model. It is approx. −6 to −9 base pairs away from the scissile phosphate position (between −1 and +1 nucleotides in the RNA strand). Notably, Arg⁶⁰⁹ and Lys⁶³⁵, in particular, make good contact with the substrate (Figure 3B). The side chains of three consecutive arginine residues point towards the connection domain. Therefore it is reasonable to infer that the basic protrusion motif may stabilize the RT structure and binding with an RNA/DNA hybrid, supporting proper enzymatic function. In HIV-1 RT lacking the basic protrusion motif, the residues Gly³⁵⁹, Ala³⁶⁰ and His³⁶¹ in the connection domain make alternative interactions with the corresponding region and compensate for the absence of a basic protrusion motif.

Conformations of the basic protrusion in XMRV and human RNase Hs are somewhat different (Figure 3C). In the human RNase H structure [19], residues 231–236 dive deep into the groove of the RNA/DNA template, and Ser²³² (that corresponds to Ser⁶⁰⁶ of XMRV RNase H) and Thr²³³ make direct contact. Compared with the basic protrusion motif of XMRV RNase H, these residues must move ~5–8 Å into the template in a twisting manner, suggesting a dramatic conformational change upon substrate binding. Further, hydrophobic interactions also
Effects of mutations in RNase H on catalytic activity

In order to confirm the functional importance of residues suggested to interact with RNA/DNA hybrid based on the crystal structure, we individually mutated the identified residues and tested catalytic activity. We created three groups of XMRV RNase H mutants based on the structural information on XMRV RNase H (Figure 4). These groups are designed to show how three motifs, the His-containing loop, hydrophilic contacts in the basic protrusion and hydrophobic contacts in the basic protrusion, affect RT catalytic activity (Figure 4, Table 2). All mutations except for Val593 involved alanine substitution to exclude the effects of side chain. Val593 was replaced with the charged residue aspartate to test whether hydrophilic contact is important. Initial studies showed that the catalytic activity of the RNase H domain alone is too low to allow the determination of kinetic parameters. Therefore we inserted these mutations into full-length XMRV RT enzymes. All mutant RT enzymes were successfully overexpressed in E. coli and their purities were determined to be greater than 90% by SDS/PAGE (results not shown). Catalytic activity was determined using fluorescein-labelled RNA/DNA duplex as a substrate [30]. Kinetic constants of wild-type and mutant enzymes are summarized in Table 2.

The H638A mutation resulted in a significant decrease in $k_{cat}/K_m$, whereas the N642A mutation resulted in an increase in $k_{cat}/K_m$, explaining how the conformation observed in this structure is influenced by crystallization and demonstrating that His638 in the His-binding loop is responsible for template binding.

The basic protrusion of XMRV RNase H contains five positively charged residues, three of which are consecutive arginine residues (Arg599–Arg600–Arg601). To test whether these positively charged residues affect catalytic activity, we individually mutated each residue to alanine and tested the effects on kinetic parameters. Replacement of either Arg600 or Arg601 with alanine resulted in a marked increase in $K_m$ value, suggesting that mutations of these residues weakened the binding affinity of the enzyme for substrate. In contrast, substitution of alanine for Arg599 had little or no effect. Collectively, these results suggest that Arg600 and Arg601 are important for substrate binding and enzymatic activity, whereas Arg599 is not. These results are in good agreement with the superposition of RNase H of XMRV with the RT of HIV-1 (Figure 3B), in which the side chain of Arg599 is directed towards helix $\alpha_3$ of XMRV RNase H, whereas those of Arg600 and Arg601 are directed towards thumb and connection domains of the RT. Although the superposition of RNase H of XMRV with HIV-1 RT showed good potential contact between the side chain of Arg609 and the phosphate backbone of the RNA template, the R609A mutation had no influence on catalysis. In contrast, mutation of S606 to alanine completely abolished catalytic activity. The side chain of Ser606 has close contact with the DNA backbone and appears to be important for binding substrate in the crystal structure of human RNase H [19]. These results demonstrate that XMRV RNase H and human RNase H share a common recognition mechanism and further indicate that the basic protrusion of both undergoes a dramatic conformational change upon substrate binding.

In human RNase H complexed with an RNA/DNA hybrid, Ser233, Trp221 and Trp225 form a channel and are involved in the interaction with the DNA substrate [19]. Sequence and structural alignments show that these residues correspond to Ser606, Val593 and Tyr598, respectively, in XMRV RNase H. A mutational analysis of hydrophobic residues on helix $\alpha_3$ in the basic protrusion motif showed that substitution of alanine for Y598A or replacement of Val593 with aspartate (altered charge) resulted in complete abolition of catalytic activity. This suggests that the hydrophobic pocket formed by Tyr598 and Val593 is also crucial for substrate binding. These findings are also in agreement with the information obtained from the structure of human RNase H and RNA/DNA hybrid in complex [19].

DISCUSSION

The structural basis of gamma-retroviral RT function has not been fully elucidated. Although the structure of MoMLV RT has been determined [34], this structural information is largely confined to fingers, palm and thumb domains; thus, it is difficult to envision the overall architecture of XMRV RT at the moment. Considering the approx. 37% sequence homology between
HIV-1 and XMRV RTs, the only valid option is to make speculations based on the superposition of HIV-1 and XMRV RTs. Employing this superposition approach using both human RNase H and HIV-1 RT, we obtained important insights into the roles of the basic protrusion motif. First, the basic protrusion is very mobile and moves ~6–8 Å towards the major groove upon template binding (Figure 3C). Mutational studies confirmed that the distant Ser606 participates in binding, whereas the presumably adjacent Arg601 did not participate in contacting the RNA/DNA template. The basic protrusion also stabilizes connection and palm domains within the RT protein. Although Arg600 and Arg609 do not. The way in which the basic protrusion motif moves might be a combination of translation and rotation during substrate recognition. The basic protrusion also stabilizes connection and palm domains within the RT protein. Although Arg600 and Arg609 did not participate in contacting the RNA/DNA template, mutations of these residues resulted in a marked decrease in catalytic activity. The superposition with HIV-1 RT suggests that these residues point towards and bind with the connection and thumb domains and thereby help establish the proper architecture of the entire RT enzyme. The thumb domain in HIV-1 RT is known to be highly mobile, depending on its presence as an apo form, a holo form in complex with a DNA duplex or a holo form in complex with a polymerase-directed inhibitor [14,35,36]. Thus, the basic protrusion may play an additional role in polymerase activity through interaction with the thumb domain. This observation is also supported by the fact that the presence of the basic protrusion motif not only improves catalytic activity and specificity of RNase H in vitro, but also enhances retroviral replication in vivo [21,22]. Unlike heterodimeric HIV-1 RT, both XMRV and MoMLV RTs are monomeric enzymes approx. 110 residues longer than HIV-1 RT [11]. Except for an approx. 30-residue insertion at the N-terminus that appears to be dispensable for proper function [37], the distinct differences lie in the approx. 30-residue insertion between connection and RNase H domains, and the presence of a basic protrusion motif within RNase H. Although these regions are completely disordered in the crystal structure of MoMLV RT, a linker-scanning analysis of the region between connection and RNase H domains (residues 475–502) demonstrated that this region is essential for retroviral replication [38]. In HIV-1, the p51 domain rarely contacts the RNA/DNA template and plays a role in the stabilization and proper positioning of the p66 domain relative to the RNA/DNA hybrid. These two additional motifs in monomeric RT (approx. 30-residue insertion and basic protrusion) might replace the role of the p51 domain in heterodimeric RT. However, additional structural and biological studies are required to support this speculation.

In summary, we describe the structure of the entire gammaretroviral RNase H domain that includes the basic protrusion motif. The structural investigations and mutational analyses allowed us to gain insights into the roles of individual residues. The basic protrusion motif is folded into a short helix and an immediately adjacent highly bent loop that exhibits little interaction with the body of the catalytic domain. Substrate recognition by the basic protrusion motif involves both shape complementarity and specific interactions between residues within the motif. The structure described here should aid in understanding the detailed roles of the RNase H domain and the entire RT of gammaretroviruses.

### Table 2 Activity assays of full-length wild-type and mutant RNase Hs from XMRV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location of mutation</th>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>$K_m$ (nM)</th>
<th>$K_{cat}$ (min⁻¹)</th>
<th>$K_{cat}/K_m$ (min⁻¹ µM⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild-type</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.01</td>
<td>11.41 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histidine-containing loop</td>
<td>H638A</td>
<td>72.2 ± 28.23</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.02</td>
<td>3.92 ± 1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N642A</td>
<td>20.7 ± 4.4</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.12</td>
<td>24.06 ± 0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic protrusion</td>
<td>R599A</td>
<td>22.0 ± 5.2</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.04</td>
<td>18.11 ± 4.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R600A</td>
<td>50.1 ± 7.4</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R601A</td>
<td>177.6 ± 26.7</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R599A,R600A</td>
<td>36.8 ± 10.5</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.12</td>
<td>7.07 ± 1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R600A,R601A</td>
<td>111.7 ± 44.9</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.59</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R599A,R600A,R601A</td>
<td>64.5 ± 26.9</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R609A</td>
<td>19.9 ± 4.2</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.04</td>
<td>16.45 ± 3.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S606A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrophobic pocket</td>
<td>V593D</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y598A</td>
<td>84.5 ± 15.7</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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