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Optomechanics describes the radiation pressure interaction between an optical cavity mode and the motion of a macroscopic mechanical object as it appears, for example, in a Fabry-Pérot cavity with a moveable mirror [1]. First demonstrations of optomechanical (OM) laser cooling [2] have recently attracted significant interest and led to tremendous progress in the development of new fabrication methods and experimental techniques for controlling OM interactions at the quantum level. Apart from ground-state cooling [3,4], this includes the demonstration of slow light [5,6] and the coherent interconversion of optical and mechanical excitations [7,8]. These achievements pave the way for a new type of quantum light-matter interface and give rise to interesting perspectives for novel OM-based quantum technologies. As a solid-state approach, such an all-OM platform would benefit directly from advanced nanofabrication and scalable integrated photonic circuit techniques. At the same time, long mechanical lifetimes comparable to those of atomic systems allow us to combine optical nonlinearities with a stationary quantum memory for light.

In this work, we study strong OM coupling effects in multimode OM systems (OMSs) and describe how resonant or near-resonant interactions in this setting allow us to exploit the intrinsic nonlinearity of radiation pressure in an optimal way. Our approach is based on the resonant exchange of photons between two optical modes mediated by a single phonon. This resonance induces much stronger nonlinearities than achievable in single-mode OMSs, where nonlinear effects are suppressed by a large mechanical frequency [9–12]. Consequently, multimode OMSs provide a promising route for accessing the single-photon strong-coupling regime, where the coupling $g_0$ as well as mechanical frequency $\omega_m$ exceed the cavity decay rate $\kappa$ [11]. This regime is within reach of state-of-the-art nanoscale OM devices [4,13–15] or analogous cold atom OMSs [16,17], and here we discuss how strong OM interactions in a multimode setup can be used to generate single photons and perform controlled gate operations between photonic or mechanical qubits. Combined with very recently developed photon-phonon interfaces and quantum memories based on linearized OM coupling [7,8,18], our results provide a basis for efficient OM classical and quantum information processing with applications ranging from photon transistors to quantum repeaters and networks.

Model.—We consider a setup of two tunnel-coupled OMSs [18–22], shown schematically in Fig. 1, focusing on the OM crystal design [4,13] as a specific example. Each OMS $i = 1, 2$ is represented by an optical mode of frequency $\omega_c$ and a bosonic operator $c_i$, which is coupled via optical gradient forces to the motion of an isolated mechanical mode $b_i$ with vibrational frequency $\omega_m$. The Hamiltonian for this system is ($\hbar = 1$)

$$
H = \sum_i \frac{1}{2} \omega_c c_i^\dagger c_i + \frac{1}{2} \omega_m b_i^\dagger b_i + g_0 c_1^\dagger c_2 b_1 - g_0 c_2^\dagger c_1 b_2 + \text{h.c.}
$$

FIG. 1 (color online). (a) Setup of two tunnel-coupled OM crystal cavities (see Refs. [4,13] for more details). (b) Level diagram showing the lowest mechanical and optical excitations in a two-mode OMS. Resonant coupling ($\delta = 0$) occurs when the tunnel splitting $2J$ between the optical modes is comparable to the mechanical frequency $\omega_m$. (c) Different sets of strongly and weakly coupled optical modes and control laser fields can be used for nonlinear interactions ($\omega_i$, $\omega_a$, $\omega_d$) and purely linear photon storage and retrieval operations ($\omega'_i$, $\omega'_a$).
\[ H = \sum_{i=1,2} \omega_m^i b_i^\dagger b_i + \omega_c c_i^\dagger c_i + g_0 c_i^\dagger c_i (b_i + b_i^\dagger) \]
\[ -J(c_i^\dagger c_2 + c_1 c_2^\dagger) + \sum_{i=1,2} \Omega_i (c_i e^{i\omega_i t} + \text{H.c.}) \]

where \( J \) is the tunneling amplitude between the optical modes and \( g_0 \) denotes a single-photon OM coupling; \( \Omega_i \) are the local amplitudes of external control fields of frequency \( \omega_i \). We also consider an additional set of cavity modes and driving fields with frequencies \( \omega_i^c \) and \( \omega_i^d \), respectively. As indicated in Fig. 1(c), we assume these modes to be separated in frequency and used for cooling the mechanical modes [23,24] and linear photon storage and retrieval operations [7,8,25,26] only.

Apart from the coherent dynamics described by Eq. (1), we include dissipation through cavity decay and mechanical damping and model the evolution of the system density operator \( \rho \) with a master equation (ME)

\[ \dot{\rho} = -i[H, \rho] + \kappa \mathcal{D}(c_i) \rho + \mathcal{L}_\gamma \rho, \]

where \( \mathcal{D}(c) \rho = 2 \kappa c \rho c^\dagger - \{c^\dagger c, \rho\} \), and \( \mathcal{L}_\gamma = \gamma \sum N_0 (N_m + 1) \mathcal{D}[b_i^\dagger] + \frac{\gamma}{2} N_i \mathcal{D}[b_i^\dagger b_i^\dagger] \). Here, \( \kappa \) is the optical field decay rate, \( \gamma = \omega_m/Q \) the mechanical damping rate for a quality factor \( Q \), and \( N_0 = (e^{\hbar \omega_m/k_B T} - 1)^{-1} \) the mechanical equilibrium occupation number for temperature \( T \). Below, we identify \( \Gamma_m = \frac{\gamma}{2} (3 N_m + \frac{1}{2}) \) as the characteristic decoherence rate for mechanical qubit states [27].

Resonant strong-coupling optomechanics.—We focus on the strong coupling regime \( \omega_m, g_0 \gg \kappa, \Gamma_m \), and our main goal is to show how the multimode OMS described by Eq. (1) can be used to implement controlled interactions between qubits encoded in photonic or phononic degrees of freedom. To illustrate this, we first consider a single mechanical resonator, \( b = b_1 \), \( \omega_m = \omega_m \). We introduce symmetric and antisymmetric optical modes \( c_{s,a} = (c_1 \pm c_2) / \sqrt{2} \) with eigenfrequencies \( \omega_{s,a} \) split by \( 2J \). Further, we assume that \( \omega_m \sim 2J \gg g_0, \kappa, [\delta] \), where \( \delta = 2J - \omega_m \) [see Fig. 1(b)]. This condition can be achieved in nanoscale OMSs, where \( \omega_m \sim \text{GHz} \) [4,13–15] and a matching tunnel splitting can be designed by appropriately adjusting the spacing between the cavities [13,19]. In this regime, we can make a rotating wave approximation with respect to the large frequency scale \( \omega_m \sim 2J \), and after changing into a frame rotating with \( \omega_L \), we obtain [19]

\[ H = -\Delta_s c_s^\dagger c_s - \Delta_a c_a^\dagger c_a + \omega_m b^\dagger b \]
\[ + \frac{g_0}{2} (c_a c_s^\dagger b^\dagger + c_s^\dagger c_a b) + H_\Omega(t) \]

where \( \Delta_{s,a} = \omega_L - \omega_{s,a} \) is the detuning of the driving field from the \( c_{s,a} \) mode, and \( H_\Omega(t) = \sum_{\eta=s,a} \Omega_\eta(t) c_\eta + \text{H.c.} \) accounts for the external driving fields with slowly varying amplitudes \( \Omega_{s,a}(t) = (\Omega_1(t) \pm \Omega_2(t))/\sqrt{2} \).

The two-mode OM coupling in Eq. (3) describes photon transitions between the energetically higher mode \( c_a \) and the lower mode \( c_s \), while simultaneously absorbing or emitting a phonon. For \( (\Delta_s - \Delta_a - \omega_m) = \delta = 0, \) this leads to a resonant interaction between states \( |n_s, n_a, n_m\rangle \) and \( |n_s - 1, n_a + 1, n_m + 1\rangle \), where \( n_s, n_a, \) and \( n_m \) label the occupation numbers of the two optical modes and the mechanical mode, respectively. In analogy to atomic cavity quantum electrodynamics (QED) [28], the nonlinear scaling of the corresponding transition amplitudes \( g^2 \sqrt{n_s(n_s + 1)(n_m + 1)} \) results in an anharmonic level diagram, as shown in Fig. 2(a). If \( g_0 \) exceeds the cavity linewidth \( \kappa \), one and two photon transitions can be spectrally resolved, indicating the onset of strong single-photon nonlinearities.

An OM single-photon source.—As a potential first application of the nonlinear OM interaction, we discuss the use of the OMS as a single-photon source, characterized by a vanishing equal-time two-photon correlation function \( g^{(2)}(0) \). In Fig. 2(b), we plot the excitation spectrum \( g^{(2)}(0) = g^{(2)}(0) = (c_a^\dagger c_a c_s c_a^\dagger c_s^\dagger c_s) / (c_a^\dagger c_a c_s c_a^\dagger c_s^\dagger c_s) \) for the case where only the \( c_s \) mode is weakly driven. Around the single-photon resonances \( \Delta_s = \pm g_0 / 2 \), we observe strong antibunching \( g^{(2)}(0) < 1 \) as a clear sign of nonclassical photon statistics. To quantify this effect, we assume that \( \Gamma_m \ll \kappa \), which allows us to treat the subspaces connected to different \( |n_m\rangle \) separately. For weak driving fields \( \Delta_s = \kappa, \) the system dynamics can then be restricted to the six states \( |0_s, 0_a, n_m\rangle, |1_s, 0_a, n_m\rangle, |0_s, 1_a, n_m + 1\rangle, |1_s, 1_a, n_m + 1\rangle, |0_s, 2_a, n_m + 2\rangle, \) and \( |0_s, 2_a, n_m + 2\rangle \), and we calculate the relevant occupation probabilities \( p_{1,0,n_m} \) and \( p_{2,0,n_m} \) leading to order in \( \Delta_s \) [29]. We obtain

\[ p_{1,0,n_m} = \left| \frac{4 \Omega_m d}{X_n} \right|^2, \quad p_{2,0,n_m} = 8 \left| \frac{\Omega_m^2 (8 d^2 - g_0^2)}{(X_n (2X_n - g_0^2))} \right|^2, \]

FIG. 2 (color online). (a) Energy level diagram of a resonantly coupled OMS, \( \delta = 2J - \omega_m = 0, \) and for a single mechanical mode in the ground state. (b) Excitation spectrum and \( g^{(2)}(0) \) for a weak coherent field exciting the \( c_s \) mode, where \( g_0 / \kappa = 8 \) and \( n_0 = \Omega_s^2 / \kappa^2 \). (c) Minimal value of \( g^{(2)}(0) \) as a function of the OM coupling strength \( g_0 \) and for different values of \( N_0 \). The analytical results (solid lines) given in the text are in good agreement with the exact numerics (circles). The dashed line shows the asymptotic scaling \( \sim 8 \kappa^2 / g_0^2 \) at zero temperature.
where \( d = \Delta_n - i\kappa \) and \( X_n = d^2 + g_0^2(n + 1) \). By taking the appropriate thermal averages \( \langle n_a \rangle = \sum \xi_n a_p a_{1,0,n}^* \) and \( g^{(2)}(0) = 2\sum \xi_n a_p a_{2,0,n}^* / \langle n_a \rangle^2 \), where \( \xi_n = (1 - e^{-\beta_{om}}) e^{-\beta_{om}a_n} \) and \( \beta^{-1} = k_b T \), the two-photon correlation function can be evaluated for arbitrary temperatures \( T \).

In Fig. 2(c) we plot the minimal value of \( g^{(2)}(0) \) as a function of the coupling strength \( g_0 \) and for different \( N_{th} \). As the OM coupling increases, we find that for \( T = 0 \) the minimum of the correlation functions scales as \( \min_{\Delta} \left( g^{(2)}(0) \right) \approx 8 \kappa^2 / g_0^2 \). This demonstrates an improved scaling over off-resonant photon blockade effects in single-mode OMSs, where for a large \( \omega_m \) only a small reduction \( g^{(2)}(0) \approx 1 - g_0^2 / (\kappa \omega_m) \) can be obtained [11]. Since the positions of single- and two-photon resonances depend explicitly on the mechanical state \( \langle n_m \rangle \), a finite temperature degrades the quality of the single-photon source. Nevertheless, with increasing coupling strength, the antibunching effect becomes surprisingly robust, and when combined with cooling cycles to achieve \( \langle n_m \rangle \sim 1 \) [4], allows the operation of OM single-photon sources even at environmental temperatures of a few Kelvin.

**Single-phonon single-photon transistor.**—Given the ability to generate single photons, Fig. 3 illustrates a basic scheme for using the same resonant OMS to implement a two-qubit gate [30]. First, we assume that the state of a control photon is mapped onto a mechanical superposition state \( \alpha |0_m \rangle + \beta |1_m \rangle \). This can be achieved with conventional cooling, followed by photon-phonon conversion techniques using linearized OM interactions with an auxiliary mode \( \omega_i \) [see Fig. 1(c)]. Next, a single target photon of central frequency \( \approx \omega_s \) is sent through interferometric setup as described in Fig. 3. If the mechanical mode is in the state \( |0_m \rangle \), the incoming photon couples to a single resonant state \( |0_m, 1, 0_m \rangle \) [see Fig. 1(b)], such that it enters the cavity and picks up a phase before being reflected. Instead, if the mechanical resonator is in the state \( |1_m \rangle \), the resonant coupling between \( |1_m, 1, 0_m \rangle \) and \( |1_m, 0_m, 0_m \rangle \) splits the cavity resonance, and for \( g_0 \gg \kappa \), the photon is reflected without a phase shift. Under ideal conditions, the final result is an entangled state

\[
|\psi\rangle = \alpha |0_m, 1_A, 0_B \rangle + \beta |1_m, 0_A, 1_B \rangle, \tag{5}
\]

where \( A \) and \( B \) are the two ports of the interferometer. This state can be converted back into an entangled state between the initial control and target photon.

Assuming that the storage and retrieval of the control photon can be achieved with high fidelity, the error for producing the entangled state (5) with \( \alpha = \beta = 1/\sqrt{2} \) is approximately given by

\[
e = \frac{4\kappa^2}{g_0^2} + \frac{1}{(\tau_p \kappa)^2} + \tau_p \Gamma_m, \tag{6}
\]

where \( \tau_p \) is the duration of the single-photon pulse. The individual contributions in Eq. (6) arise from an imperfect photon reflection, the finite spectral width of the photon pulse, and mechanical decoherence. A minimal error is achieved for \( \tau_p^{-1} = \sqrt{\kappa \Gamma_m} \), where we obtain \( \epsilon = \max\{4\kappa^2 / g_0^2, \sqrt{\Gamma_m / \kappa^2}\} \). Assuming an OM crystal device with \( \omega_m / (2\pi) = 4 \text{ GHz} \) and \( Q = 10^5 \) as discussed in Ref. [4], but with an improved OM coupling \( g_0 / (2\pi) = 50 \text{ MHz} \) and a lower decay rate \( \kappa / (2\pi) = 5 \text{ MHz} \), we obtain gate errors \( \epsilon = 0.1 \) for environmental temperatures around \( T = 100 \text{ mK} \).

**Phonon-phonon interactions.**—Finally, we consider the possibility of performing a controlled gate operation between two qubits stored in long-lived mechanical modes. Our approach is depicted in Fig. 4(a), and combines the long coherence times of an OM quantum memory [7,8,25,26] with the practical utility of exploiting interactions between stationary phononic qubits. We focus on the limit \( \Gamma_m \ll \kappa \), and assume that optical (e.g., path encoded) qubits are first mapped onto long-lived states \( |0_m \rangle \) and \( |1_m \rangle \) of two or more mechanical modes. We then employ the

![FIG. 3 (color online). A single-phonon single-photon transistor.](image)

![FIG. 4 (color online). (a) OM quantum memory, where "path-encoded" photonic qubits are stored in long-lived mechanical states using tunable linearized OM interactions \( \sim \Omega(t) \). Deterministic gate operation between stationary qubits are implemented by a controlled phonon-phonon interaction \( \sim \Omega_s(t) \) as described in the text. (b) The total error \( \epsilon_p \) for implementing a controlled phase gate between two phononic qubits is minimized with respect to \( \Delta_s \) and plotted as a function of \( \kappa \) and \( \Gamma_m \) (see text). The parameters for this plot are \( g_0 / (2\pi) = 50 \text{ MHz}, \gamma / (2\pi) = 4 \text{ kHz}, \alpha = 1, \) and \( g_0 / \delta = 1/3 \).](image)
OM coupling to generate nonlinear interactions between the phonons only.

We consider nonlinear interactions between two mechanical modes $b_1$ and $b_2$ described by Eq. (1), detuned from resonance such that $g_0 < \langle |(2J - \omega_m^i)| \rangle$ and direct transitions between photons and phonons are suppressed. To obtain effective phonon-phonon interactions, we first diagonalize $H$ to second order in $\xi_i = g_0/(2J - \omega_m^i)$ with the transformation $H \rightarrow e^{i \xi_i H_{\phi}} / \xi_i$, where $S = \frac{1}{2} \times (c_s^\dagger c_s^\dagger \xi_i b_1^2 - \xi_i b_1 b_2^2 - H.E.C)$. This yields $H = H_0 + H_s + H_\Omega(t)$, where $H_0 = -\Delta_s c_s^\dagger c_s - \Delta_c c_c^\dagger c_c + \sum \omega_m^i b_i^2 b_i$, $H_s = \frac{g_0^4}{4} (c_s^\dagger c_s + 1) c_s^\dagger c_a (\xi_1 + \xi_2) + (c_s^\dagger c_a - c_s^\dagger c_s) \mathcal{N}_b$, and we have neglected small corrections to the driving Hamiltonian $H_\Omega(t)$. The phonon operator in Eq. (7) is given by $\mathcal{N}_b = \xi_1 b_1^2 b_1 + \xi_2 b_2^2 b_2 - (\xi_1 + \xi_2) (b_1^2 b_2 + b_2^2 b_1)/2$. For simplicity, we focus on symmetric detuning, $\omega_m^i = 2J - \Delta$, where $\mathcal{N}_b = \frac{g_0^4}{2} (b_1^2 b_1 - b_2^2 b_2)$. The transformation also modifies the dissipative terms in Eq. (2); most importantly, we find an optically induced decay channel for the mechanical modes, $L_x \rightarrow L_x - \kappa g_0^2 (4\delta^2) D[c_s(b_1 + b_2)]$. We assume that only the $c_s$ mode is weakly driven by a slowly varying control field $\Omega_s(t)$. In this case, the $c_s$ mode remains unpopulated and we neglect it. Next, we shift the driven mode, $c_s \rightarrow \alpha c_s$, by the classical amplitude $\alpha$, yielding an effective ME for $c_s$, $b_1$, and $b_2$. Finally, we adiabatically eliminate the $c_s$ mode, valid in the limit $|\alpha| \sim O(1)$ and $(g_0^2 |\alpha|/4\delta) \ll |\Delta_x + i\kappa|$, to obtain an effective master equation for the mechanical modes (see Supplemental Material [31]),

$$\dot{\rho}_m = -i[H_m + \Lambda(b_1^2 b_1 - b_2^2 b_2)^2, \rho_m] + L_x \rho_m + \Gamma_{\phi} D(b_1^2 b_1 - b_2^2 b_2) \rho_m + \frac{\gamma'}{2} \sum_i D(b_i) \rho_m.$$

Here, $\gamma' = |\alpha|^2 g_0^2 (2\delta^2)$, and the phonon-phonon interaction and the phonon dephasing rate are given by

$$\Lambda = \frac{g_0^4 |\alpha|^2 \Delta_x}{16\delta^2 (\Delta_x^2 + \kappa^2)}, \quad \Gamma_{\phi} = \frac{g_0^4 |\alpha|^2 \kappa}{16\delta^2 (\Delta_x^2 + \kappa^2)}.$$

The effective Hamiltonian in Eq. (8) describes a phonon nonlinearity with tunable strength $\Lambda(t) \sim |\alpha(t)|^2$. The relevant cross coupling is given by

$$H_{\text{int}} \approx 2\Lambda b_1^2 b_1 b_2^2 b_2,$$

and when acting for a time $t_g = \pi/(2\Lambda)$, this Hamiltonian implements a controlled-phase gate between two qubits encoded in states $\ket{0_m}$ and $\ket{1_m}$. During this time, phonons experience intrinsic and optical induced decoherence, as seen in Eq. (8). In Fig. 4, we plot the resulting gate error $\epsilon_g = 1 - \langle \psi_0 | \rho_m(t_g) | \psi_0 \rangle$ for an initial state $|\psi_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(\ket{0_m} + \ket{1_m})^\otimes 2$ optimized with respect to $\Delta_x$. Using the total decoherence rate of this state, $\Gamma_{\text{decoh}} = 2\Gamma_{m} + \Gamma_{\phi} + \gamma'/2$, we find that $\epsilon_g \propto \Gamma_{\text{decoh}}/\Lambda$ is minimized for $|\Delta_x| \approx g_0/2$ where $\epsilon_g \approx 4(\kappa/g_0)$. While this scaling with $g_0$ is weaker than for a gate based on photon reflection [see Eq. (6)], the ability to perform a gate between stationary qubits represents an important advantage of this approach.

**Conclusions.**—We have described single-photon and single-phonon nonlinear effects in strongly coupled multimode OMSs. We have shown how induced nonlinearities or near resonance can be used for controlled quantum gate operations between flying optical or stationary phononic qubits. Our results provide a realistic route toward the quantum nonlinear regime of OMSs and a framework for future OM information processing applications.
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[27] $\Gamma_m$ corresponds to the initial decoherence rate of a phonon superposition $(|0_m\rangle + |1_m\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$.