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Abstract

The peripheral Foxp3\(^+\) Treg pool consists of naturally arising Treg (nTreg) and adaptive Treg cells (iTreg). It is well known that naive CD4\(^+\) T cells can be readily converted to Foxp3\(^+\) iTreg in vitro, and memory CD4\(^+\) T cells are resistant to conversion. In this study, we investigated the induction of Foxp3\(^+\) T cells from various CD4\(^+\) T-cell subsets in human peripheral blood. Though naive CD4\(^+\) T cells were readily converted to Foxp3\(^+\) T cells with TGF-\(\beta\) and IL-2 treatment in vitro, such Foxp3\(^+\) T cells did not express the memory marker CD45RO as do Foxp3\(^+\) T cells induced in the peripheral blood of Hepatitis B Virus (HBV) patients. Interestingly, a subset of human memory CD4\(^+\) T cells, defined as CD62L\(^+\) central memory T cells, could be induced by TGF-\(\beta\) to differentiate into Foxp3\(^+\) T cells. It is well known that Foxp3\(^+\) T cells derived from human CD4\(^+\)CD25\(^+\) T cells in vitro are lack suppressive functions. Our data about the suppressive functions of CD4\(^+\)CD62L\(^+\) central memory T cell-derived Foxp3\(^+\) T cells support this conception, and an epigenetic analysis of these cells showed a similar methylation pattern in the FOXP3 Treg-specific demethylated region as the naïve CD4\(^+\) T cell-derived Foxp3\(^+\) T cells. But further research showed that mouse CD4\(^+\) central memory T cells also could be induced to differentiate into Foxp3\(^+\) T cells, such Foxp3\(^+\) T cells could suppress the proliferation of effector T cells. Thus, our study identified CD4\(^+\)CD62L\(^+\) central memory T cells as a novel potential source of iTreg.

Introduction

Regulatory T cells play an important role in self-tolerance, acquired tolerance, and immunological homeostasis [1,2]. There are multiple types of immune regulatory T cells, including Tr1 cells, natural killer T cells, CD8\(^+\) T cells and CD4\(^+\)CD25\(^-\)Foxp3\(^+\) cells [3]. CD4\(^+\)CD25\(^-\)Foxp3\(^+\) cells (referred to as Treg) are the predominant regulatory T cells. Treg, which are defined by their expression of Foxp3, are broadly subdivided into nTreg and iTreg [4]. nTreg are generated by the interactions between thymic T cell receptors (TCRs) with a high affinity for MHC class II ligands in the thymus. These cells help to maintain tolerance to self-antigens to prevent autoimmunity and to regulate immune responses by raising activation thresholds. Induced Treg cells are potentially derived from various conditions outside the thymus, a phenomenon that has been supported by numerous in vivo studies [4].

Although it is clear that iTreg are converted from activated T effector cells in the periphery, the origin of activated T effector cells is unclear. Previous in vivo evidence has suggested that iTreg are derived from conventional CD4\(^+\)CD25\(^-\) T cells in the periphery [5-7]. Further in vitro studies supported this notion, as iTreg can be efficiently differentiated from purified CD4\(^+\)CD25\(^-\) T cells via TGF-\(\beta\) stimulation [8]. Recently, other studies reported that of the CD4\(^+\)CD25\(^+\) T cells, only naive CD4\(^+\) T cells (Tn) but not memory CD4\(^+\) T cells (Tm) are able to differentiate into iTreg in vitro in both mouse and human models [9-12]. It is generally accepted that iTreg are converted from activated naive CD4\(^+\)CD25\(^+\) T cells in vivo. Although naive CD4\(^+\)CD25\(^+\) T cells can be converted into iTreg by TGF-\(\beta\), the ability of memory CD4\(^+\)CD25\(^+\) T cells to differentiate into iTreg remains controversial. One report has shown that human Foxp3\(^+\) iTreg are derived from the rapid turnover of peripheral memory CD4\(^+\)Foxp3\(^+\) T cells in vivo, and another found that mouse Th2 memory T cells can be efficiently converted into Foxp3\(^+\) iTreg in vivo.
vitro using a differentiation protocol [13,14]. Additionally, human skin-derived memory Th cells can be converted into Foxp3+ iTreg with a suitable manipulation [15]. These studies revealed the possibility that memory CD4+CD25- T cells can differentiate into iTreg. As human memory CD4+CD25- T cells are not a uniform population (like naive CD4+CD25+ T cells) [16], various subsets of memory CD4+ T cells may have different capabilities for differentiating into iTreg. Thus, we cannot exclude the possibility that iTreg are converted from activated memory CD4+CD25- T cells in vivo. Because iTreg play essential roles in the development of immune tolerance to foreign antigens and tumour-derived neo-antigens [17,18], a better understanding of their origin and differentiation would provide important information regarding their therapeutic potential.

Here, we showed that human memory CD4+CD25- T cells could be efficiently differentiated into Foxp3+ T cells via TCR activation with TGF-β, but this phenomenon was restricted to CD62L+CCR7+ memory CD4+ T cells. Furthermore, we also found this phenomenon in mice and proved that such CD4+CD62L+ central memory T cell-derived Foxp3+ T cells were functional in suppressing the proliferation of T effector cells. Our data suggest that CD4+CD62L+ central memory T cells can be differentiated into functional Foxp3+ iTreg, at least in mice. These findings may have important implications for understanding the development of iTreg in vivo.

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

Healthy human peripheral blood was obtained from the Blood Centre of Anhui Province (Hefei, China). Peripheral blood was obtained from chronic HBV-infected and healthy women at the Centre for Disease Control and Prevention in Hefei, China. Ethical approval to use these samples was obtained from the Ethics Committee of the University of Science and Technology of China (Permit Number: USTCACUC1201011). In accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and the Institutional Review Board of the University of Science and Technology of China, all participants provided written informed consent, which was obtained before enrolment in the study. The study was carried out in strict accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. A GFP-Foxp3 fusion protein was created by introducing a bicistronic enhanced GFP reporter gene into the endogenous Foxp3 loci of B6 mice (foxp3iCre), as previously reported [19]. These knock-in mice were bred and maintained at Harvard Medical School in Boston. All protocols involving animal work were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee at Harvard Medical School (Permit Number: 004848). All surgery was performed under anaesthesia, and all efforts were made to minimize animal suffering.

Cell purification

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were prepared by centrifugation over Ficoll-Hypaque gradients and then stained with APC-Cy7-anti-CD4, PE-anti-CD25, FITC-anti-CD45RA, and APC-anti-CD45RO (or APC-Cy7-anti-CD4, PE-anti-CD25, FITC-anti-CD62L, APC-anti-CD45RO, PE-Cy7-anti-CCR7) for 30 min at 4°C. The CD45RA+CD45RO (naive) and CD45RA+CD45RO (memory) cells within the CD4+CD25+ family were gated and selectively sorted with a FACSAria flow cytometer. CD62L+CCR7+ naive, CD62L+CCR7+ memory, CD62L+CCR7+ memory, and CD62L-CCR7+ memory cells within the CD4+CD25+ family were obtained as above. For the isolation of naive, central memory, and effector memory CD4 T cells from Foxp3+ mice, mouse spleen cells were prepared and stained with PE-Cy5-anti-CD4, PE-Cy7-anti-CD44, and Pacific Blue-anti-CD62L for 20 min at 4°C. The CD44+CD62Lhigh cells (naive), CD44+CD62Lhigh cells (central memory) and CD44+CD62Llow cells (effector memory) within the CD4+ GFP (Foxp3) family were gated and selectively sorted. The purity of sorted cells was higher than 95%. The antibodies used above were all from BD Bioscience (San Jose, CA).

Conversion of CD4+Foxp3- T cells into Foxp3+ T cells in vitro

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were prepared by centrifugation over Ficoll-Hypaque gradients from healthy human peripheral blood. Naive, memory, central memory and effector memory human CD4+ T cells were sorted from the prepared PBMCs. The FACS-sorted human CD4 T cells were stimulated in vitro (5×104 cells/well) with plate-bound anti-CD3 (5 μg/ml; BD Bioscience) and soluble anti-CD28 (1 μg/ml; BD Bioscience) for 1–7 days in the presence of recombinant human TGF-β (5 ng/ml; R&D) and IL-2 (100 U/ml; Peptech) or not. The induction of Foxp3+ T cells in the CD4+ fraction was analysed by FACS based on the intracellular staining of the Foxp3 protein. FACS-sorted mouse naive, central memory, and effector memory CD4 T cells were stimulated in vitro (1×105 cells/well) with anti-CD3 (2 μg/ml; BD Pharmingen) and APCs (1×104 cells/well) in the presence of recombinant TGF-β (3 ng/ml; R&D) and IL-2 (100 U/ml; Peptech) for 3–5 days. APCs were obtained from syngeneic mice by depleting T cells from a population of spleen cells and then treating them with mitomycin C (50 μg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich) at 37°C for 20 min. The induction of Foxp3+ T cells in the CD4+ fraction was analysed by FACS based on the expression of GFP.

Flow cytometric analysis

For intracellular Foxp3 and CTLA-4 staining, cultured cells were resuspended and labelled with the fluorochrome-conjugated specific mAbs against surface markers for 30 min at 4°C. The cells were then fixed and permeabilised using the Fixation/Permeabilization intracellular staining kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol (eBioscience). After fixation and permeabilisation, cells were incubated with PerCP-cy5.5-anti-FOX3 (eBioscience) and PE-anti-CTLA-4 (BD Bioscience) Abs. Data were acquired with a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (BD Bioscience) and analysed with FlowJo software (Tree Star).
Suppression assays in vitro

Human naïve CD4\(^+\) T cells, CD62L\(^-\)CCR7\(^-\) central memory CD4\(^+\) cells, and CD62L\(^-\)CCR7\(^-\) effector memory CD4\(^+\) cells were stimulated in vitro (5×10\(^4\) cells/well) for 5 days in the presence of TGF-β and IL-2. After stimulation, the cells were harvested, rested for 2 days in IL-2-containing culture medium and then washed three times with PBS prior to the suppression assay. These cells were used as suppressor cells. CD4\(^+\)CD25\(^-\) T cells, CD4\(^+\)CD25\(^{hi}\) Treg cells and CD3\(^+\) PBMCs were purified from healthy human PBMCs. CD4\(^+\)CD25\(^-\) T cells labelled with 5 mM CFSE (Invitrogen) were used as responder T cells (T\(_{res}\)), and irradiated CD3\(^+\) PBMCs (3000 rad) were used as antigen-presenting cells (APCs) in suppression assays. The labelled T cells (2.5×10\(^4\) cells/well) were co-cultured with varying numbers of CD4\(^+\)CD25\(^{hi}\) Treg or induced Foxp3\(^+\) T cells to achieve the desired Treg:T\(_{res}\) cell ratios. The cells were then stimulated in vitro either with or without APCs (5×10\(^5\) cells/well) prior to stimulation with 5 μg/mL anti-CD3 and with or without 1 μg/mL anti-CD28 in 96-well culture plates in supplemented RPMI medium for 5 days. Responder T cell proliferation with or without induced Foxp3\(^+\) T cells was assessed using flow cytometric CFSE dilution after 5 days.

CD4\(^+\)GFP (Foxp3\(^+\)) T cells were sorted from Foxp3\(^+\)GFP mice, labelled with CFSE and used as responder cells. The labelled T cells (1×10\(^6\) cells/well) were mixed with varying numbers of GFP\(^+\) (Foxp3\(^+\)) T cells to achieve the desired Treg:T effector cell ratios. CD4\(^+\)GFP\(^+\) (Foxp3\(^+\)) T cells were sorted from naive or central memory CD4\(^+\) T cells through the induction of Foxp3. The cell mixture was stimulated with syngeneic APCs (1×10\(^6\) cells/well) and anti-CD3 (1 μg/mL). The T cell-depleted spleen cells were briefly treated with mitomycin C and used as APCs. After 3 days, responder T cell proliferation with or without Foxp3\(^+\) T cells was assessed using flow cytometry based on the dilution of the CFSE dye.

FOX3 Treg-specific demethylated region (TSDR) DNA methylation analysis

Cells for this series of experiments were from normal male donor peripheral blood to avoid the problems with interpretation from X-chromosomal inactivation of one FOXP3 allele in the methylation analysis. FACS-sorted naïve and CD62L\(^-\) central memory CD4\(^+\) cells were stimulated for 5 days in the presence of IL-2 (100 U/ml) with or without TGF-β. Sorted CD4\(^+\)CD25\(^{hi}\) Tregs were stimulated for 5 days in the presence of IL-2 (300 U/ml) as a control. Genomic DNA of cultured cells was extracted using the EasyPure\(^\text{TM}\) Genomic DNA Kit (TransGen Biotech) according to the manufacturer’s protocol for cultured mammalian cells. Bisulfite conversion of genomic DNA was performed by the EZ DNA Methylation-Gold\(^\text{TM}\) Kit (ZYMO RESEARCH) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. DNA was then subjected to PCR with the following TSDR-primers (5’ to 3’ direction): forward – TGTTTGGGGGTAGAGGATT and reverse – TATACCCCACTAACCACAC [20]. PCR was performed in a final volume of 25 μl containing 1x PCR Buffer, 1.25 U Taq DNA polymerase (TaKaRa, LA Taq Hot Start Version), 200 mM dNTPs, 100 pmol each of forward and reverse primers, and 2 μl of bisulfite-treated genomic DNA at 95°C for 10 min and 40 cycles of 95°C for 1 min, 55°C for 45 sec and 72°C for 1 min with a final extension step of 10 min at 72°C. PCR products were purified using the Wizard SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System (Promega). The purified PCR products were then cloned into the pMD19-T vector (TaKaRa), and 15 individual clones from each sample were cycle sequenced by the ABI 3730 genetic analyzer (Applied Biosystems). DNA methylation analysis and diagram generation were performed by BiQ Analyzer [21].

Statistical analysis

GraphPad Prism 5.00 software (GraphPad) was used to compare the difference among different groups by using the unpaired t test, when values at p<0.05 were considered as significant.

Results

The surface expression of CD45RO distinguishes between human induced CD4\(^+\)Foxp3\(^+\) T cells populations in vitro and in vivo

Human CD4\(^+\)Foxp3\(^+\) T cells are phenotypically heterogeneous and include the CD45RO\(^+\) and CD45RA\(^-\) T cell subtypes [22,23]. To examine the phenotype of CD4\(^+\)Foxp3\(^+\) T cells induced in vivo, PBMCs from chronic HBV-infected women in the inactive (IN) phase (n=38) and from healthy women (n=22) were analysed by flow cytometry, detailed information on the patient’s ages are shown in Table S1. We first gated on CD4\(^+\) cells from the PBMCs (Figure 1A) and found that the proportion of CD4\(^+\)Foxp3\(^+\) T cells was significantly higher in chronic HBV-infected women than in the healthy controls (3.271±0.1394 vs. 2.055±0.1200; P<0.0001) (Figure 1B). We also observed a significantly higher proportion of CD45RO\(^+\)Foxp3\(^+\) T cells in the PBMCs of chronic HBV-infected women compared to the healthy controls (2.257±0.1111 vs. 1.130±0.08875; P<0.0001) (Figure 1B). We further examined whether CD4\(^+\)Foxp3\(^+\) T cells had the same CD45RO expression as CD4\(^+\)Foxp3\(^+\) T cells in vivo. We found that the induced Foxp3\(^+\) T cells showed no CD45RA expression (2.547±0.1510 vs. 1.034±0.07025; P<0.0001) (Figure 1B). We then gated on CD4\(^+\)Foxp3\(^+\) T cells from the PBMCs (Figure 1C) and further confirmed a significantly higher proportion of CD45RO\(^+\) cells in the CD4\(^+\)Foxp3\(^+\) cells of chronic HBV-infected women compared to the healthy controls (67.49±1.117 vs. 50.55±0.1200; P<0.0001) (Figure 1D). These results suggested that the induced Foxp3\(^+\) T cells in the peripheral blood of HBV patients expressed the memory marker CD45RO.

We further examined whether CD4\(^+\)Foxp3\(^+\) T cells induced in vitro had the same CD45RO expression as CD4\(^+\)Foxp3\(^+\) T cells induced in vivo. We purified CD4\(^+\) naïve T cells from human PBMCs and induced CD4\(^+\)Foxp3\(^+\) by T-cell receptor (TCR) activation in vitro. We found that the CD4\(^+\) naïve T cells that were activated either by TCR stimulation alone or together with TGF-β and IL-2 rarely co-expressed CD45RO and Foxp3 (Figure 1E). When we analysed the expression of CD45RA, we found that naïve CD4\(^+\) T cells showed no CD45RA expression under stimulation in vitro (Figure S1). The above results collectively show that CD4\(^+\)Foxp3\(^+\) T cells generated in vitro through TCR stimulation express different levels of CD45RO than in vivo chronic virus-infection-induced CD4\(^+\)Foxp3\(^+\) T cells, which suggests that CD4\(^+\)Foxp3\(^+\) T cells
induced in vivo might not all be derived from CD4+ naive T cells.

**A small portion of human CD4+ memory T cells can differentiate into Foxp3+ T cells by TGF-β stimulation**

CD4+ naive (Tn) and memory (Tm) T cells from healthy human PBMCs were sorted using flow cytometry and investigated for their in vitro induction of Foxp3 in the presence or absence of TGF-β/IL-2 (Figure 2A). Consistent with previous studies in human and mouse CD4+ T cells, we showed that TGF-β-mediated Foxp3 expression was significantly higher in CD4+ naive T cells than in CD4+ memory T cells. However, a small undefined population of CD4+ memory T cells was induced to express Foxp3 (Figure 2B).

Treg express several inhibitory molecules, such as CTLA-4, PD-1, and PD-L1, which are potentially necessary for their suppressive functions [24-26]. We analysed the expression of these molecules by flow cytometry before and after stimulation with TGF-β. Prior to stimulation, the expression levels of membrane CTLA-4 and PD-L1 were not significantly different...
between CD4+ naive and memory T cells. However, PD-1 was expressed in CD4+ memory but not naive T cells. In addition, both CD4+ naive and memory T cells expressed intracellular CTLA-4, but the expression level was significantly higher in memory T cells (Figure 2C,E). After stimulation, the CD4+ memory T cells cultured with TGF-β expressed higher levels of membrane CTLA-4 than CD4+ naive T cells. The total cytosolic and membrane CTLA-4 expression levels were similar between the cell populations. The expression levels of PD-L1 and PD-1 were also similar between the two cell populations (Figure 2D,F).

The above results showed that, although CD4+ memory T cells were resistant to TGF-β-mediated Foxp3 expression, they expressed higher levels of membrane CTLA-4 than CD4+ naive T cells. The high level of membrane CTLA-4 expression in memory CD4+ T cells that is observed upon Foxp3 induction

Figure 2. Small portion of human CD4+ memory T cells can be converted to Foxp3+ T cells in vitro. (A) CD4+ naive (Tn) and memory (Tm) T cells were sorted and activated with plate-bound anti-CD3 (5 μg/ml) and soluble anti-CD28 (1 μg/ml) in the presence or absence of TGF-β (5 ng/ml) and IL-2 (100 UI/ml). Foxp3 expression was analysed by flow cytometry at different time points as indicated. (B) The average percentages of Foxp3 expression in CD4+ naive and memory T cells on day 5 after TGF-β and IL-2 induction. (C) and (E) Flow cytometric analysis of CTLA-4 (extracellular and total), PD-1, and PD-L1 expression in total, freshly sorted, naive (Tn) or memory (Tm) CD4+ T cells. (D) and (F) Sorted CD4+ naive (Tn) or memory (Tm) T cells were activated for 5 days as described above, and the total population was analysed using flow cytometry for the expression of CTLA-4 (extracellular and total), PD-1, and PD-L1.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0077322.g002
and the fact that some CD4+ memory T cells can be induced to express Foxp3 suggest that a special subset of CD4+ memory T cells might have the ability to differentiate into Foxp3+ T cells. Thus, we next explored the ability of different subsets of CD4+ memory T cells to differentiate into Foxp3-expressing T cells.

CD4+CD62L- central memory T cells can differentiate into Foxp3+ T cells with TGF-β treatment

Human memory T cells can be divided into central memory and effector memory cells based on CCR7 expression. Thus, we sorted human CD4+ memory T cells into CCR7- (central memory, Tcm) and CCR7+ (effector memory, Tem) T cells and compared their responsiveness to TGF-β stimulation. The results showed that the differentiation efficiency of central memory T cells was higher than that of effector memory T cells (Figure 3A). Although the CD4+ central memory T cells were induced into Foxp3+ T cells more efficiently than the effector memory T cells, the rate of differentiation was still lower than that of CD4+ naive T cells. This led us to perform a more detailed surface marker analysis of the CD4+ central memory T cell pool. This analysis identified a group of cells that were doubly positive for CD62L and CCR7 and had a similar surface expression phenotype to CD4+ naive T cells (Figure 3B). Because CD4+CD45RO- T cells express a high level of CD45RA (Figure S2), we considered CD4+CD45RO- T cells to be CD4+CD45RA- naive T cells. We then isolated these groups of CD4+ memory T cells based on CD62L and CCR7 expression and examined their response to TGF-β induction. As expected, the differentiation efficiency of CD4+CD62L- central memory T cells was close to that of CD4+ naive T cells, while the other types of CD4+ memory T cells differentiated at low rates (Figure 3, C and D).

Foxp3+ T cells derived from human CD4+CD62L- central memory cells in vitro do not exhibit apparent suppressive functions

We next examined whether Foxp3+ T cells derived from different subsets of human CD4+ T cells were functionally similar to Treg. We used induction procedures to differentiate Foxp3+ T cells from naive CD4+ T cells, CD62L+CCR7+ memory CD4+ T cells, and CD62L-CCR7- memory CD4+ T cells before further evaluating their suppressive function by co-culture with varying ratios of responder CD4+CD25+ T cells. The CFSE proliferation study showed that the Foxp3+ T cells induced from the CD62L+CCR7+ memory cells did not exhibit the expected suppressive functions, as they were unable to suppress the responder CD4+CD25+ T cells even when stimulated at 1:1 (Foxp3+ T cells/effector cell) ratio (Figure 4A). The Foxp3+ T cells derived from naive CD4+ T cells displayed weak suppressive functions similar to the Foxp3+ T cells derived from CD62L+CCR7+ memory cells, which is consistent with a previous study showing that Foxp3+ T cells induced from naive CD4+ T cells by TGF-β and IL-2 treatment did not exhibit suppressive capabilities [12]. In addition, the Foxp3+ T cells induced from CD62L-CCR7+ memory CD4+ T cells did not exhibit any suppressive functions and even somewhat enhanced responder cell proliferation. We obtained the same results using another assay system in which APCs plus anti-CD3 were used to stimulate the responder CD4+CD25+ T cells (Figure S3).

Foxp3+ T cells derived from human CD4+CD62L- central memory cells in vitro showed high methylation levels of FOX3 TSDR

In Treg, the FOXP3 Treg-specific demethylated region (TSDR) is fully demethylated, and demethylation correlates with sustained Foxp3 expression. It was previously demonstrated that Foxp3+ T cells derived from human CD4+ naive T cells have poor suppressive functions, and this is likely because the TGF-β-mediated Foxp3 expression in these cells does not epigenetically alter the methylation status of the FOXP3 TSDR [20,27,28]. To examine whether the weak suppressive functions of the Foxp3+ T cells derived from human CD4+CD62L- central memory cells correlated with a high methylation status of the FOXP3 TSDR, we induced Foxp3+ T cells from FACs-sorted human CD4+ naive and CD4+CD62L- central memory T cells in vitro. Sorted CD4+CD25(high) Tregs, CD4+ naive and CD4+CD62L- central memory T cells were stimulated in vitro as controls. The methylation statuses of 15 CpG sites in the TSDR sequences were analysed (Figure 4B-C). The analysis revealed higher levels of TSDR methylation in the CD4+CD62L- central memory cell-derived Foxp3+ T cells than in the sorted Tregs, although these sites had less TSDR methylation than CD4+ naive T cell-derived Foxp3+ T cells. The TSDR methylation status in the control experimental groups (sorted CD4+CD25(high) Tregs, CD4+ naive and CD4+CD62L- central memory cells) was consistent with a previous report [20].

Mouse CD4+CD62L- central memory T cells readily convert to functional Foxp3+ regulatory T cells

Foxp3+ T cells can be induced from murine naive CD4+ T cells by TGF-β and IL-2 and simultaneously obtain suppressive functions, which is different from human naive CD4+ T cells. This indicates that other unknown factors participate in the conversion of human Foxp3+ T cells to Foxp3+ iTreg [12]. We did not observe any suppressive activity of Foxp3+ T cells derived from CD4+CD62L- central memory cells, likely for the same reason. Although it has been reported that mouse CD4+Foxp3 effector memory T cells (CD44(high)CD62L-) are resistant to TGF-β-induced Foxp3 expression [10], it remains unknown whether mouse CD4+Foxp3 central memory cells (CD44(high)CD62L-) exhibit the same property. To confirm this phenomenon, we sorted murine naive (CD44(low)CD62L-), central memory (CD44(high)CD62L-), and effector memory (CD44(high)CD62L-) cells from the CD4+GFP (Foxp3+ T cell) pool of Foxp3(high) mice by FACs. We then stimulated the cells in vitro with syngeneic APCs and soluble anti-CD3 in the presence of TGF-β and IL-2 and analysed the induction of Foxp3+ T cells by flow cytometry at different time points. As expected, mouse CD4+ naive T cells could be readily converted to Foxp3+ (GFP+) T cells (approximately 93%) after 5 days of culture. Similarly, the mouse CD4+ central memory T cells readily converted to Foxp3+ (GFP+) T cells (approximately 39%), although at a lower rate than the CD4+ naive T cells. In addition, CD4+ effector memory T cells were completely resistant to TGF-β-induced
conversion, as previously reported (Figure 5A) [10]. To investigate the function of the induced Foxp3+ T cells, we first sorted GFP+ (Foxp3+) T cells by FACS within the pools of mouse CD4+ naive or central memory T cells. After returning them to culture, the CFSE-labelled responder T cells were mixed with varying numbers of sorted GFP+ (Foxp3+) T cells to achieve the desired Foxp3+ T cells/T effector cell ratios. An analysis of responder T cell proliferation with Foxp3+ T cells showed that the Foxp3+ T cells derived from mouse CD4+ central memory T cells had clear suppressive function as compared to the CD4+ naive T cell-derived Foxp3+ T cells, they were really iTreg (Figure 5B).

Discussion

Adaptive Treg cells (iTreg) are known to be involved in immune tolerance to foreign antigens and tumour-derived neo-antigens. Multiple reports have demonstrated roles for iTreg in mucosal immune tolerance, preventing the clearance of viruses and other microorganisms and obstructing the elimination of...
tumours [4,29-33]. However, the detailed mechanisms underlying their origin and differentiation are unknown. Although CD4+Foxp3− naive T cells can be converted to iTreg by TGF-β, the ability of CD4+ memory T cells to differentiate into iTreg remains controversial. Some studies have reported that human skin-derived CD4+ memory T cells and mouse antigen-specific Th2 memory cells can be successfully converted to CD4+Foxp3+ T cells in vitro [14,15], and our data support this observation.

In the peripheral blood of chronic HBV-infected patients, the percentage of CD4+Foxp3+ T cells was significantly higher than in healthy controls, and the increased numbers of CD4+Foxp3+ T cells displayed the CD45RO+ memory cell phenotype. In addition, although the in vitro differentiation efficiency of CD4+ memory T cells was significantly lower than that of the CD4+ naive T cells, there was a small subset of cells in the CD4+ memory T cell pool that could be converted to CD4+Foxp3+ T cells. These data, combined with those of previous reports, led us to hypothesise that a subset of CD4+ memory T cells might serve as the origin of some CD4+Foxp3+ T cells in vivo. In this study, we report a CD62L+CCR7+ subset of cells in the human CD4+ memory T cell pool that efficiently differentiated into CD4+Foxp3+ T cells by TCR stimulation with TGF-β and IL-2 in vitro. We purified the indicated T-cell subsets from human PBMCs and examined their in vitro differentiation efficiency by flow cytometry analysis. Although the CD4+ memory T cells had

Figure 4. Suppressive functions and methylation status of Foxp3+ T cells derived from human CD4+CD62L+ central memory cells. *Treg* indicates sorted CD4+CD25high T cells, *Foxp3+ Tn*, *Foxp3+ T cm* and *Foxp3+ T em* indicate in vitro TGF-β-induced Foxp3+ cells from naive, CD62L+ T cm and CD62L− T cm CD4+ cells, respectively.

(A) CFSE-labelled allogeneic CD4+CD25− T cells (2.5×10⁴) cultured alone or mixed with different ratios of suppressor cells (1:0, 1:1 and 2:1) were activated with plate-bound anti-CD3 (5 μg/ml) and soluble anti-CD28 (1 μg/ml) in 96-well plates for 5 days. The suppressor cells were derived from naive, CD62L+ T cm and CD62L− T cm cells that were induced to differentiate by the addition of TGF-β. The results are one representative of three independent experiments.

(B) Electrophoresis of PCR (BSP) products sequenced with bisulphite. Treg indicates sorted CD4+CD25high T cells, Tn indicates sorted CD4+ naive T cells, CD62L+ T cm indicates sorted CD4+CD62L+ central memory T cells, Foxp3+ Tn indicates in vitro TGF-β-induced Foxp3+ cells from CD4+ naive T cells, and Foxp3+ T cm indicates in vitro TGF-β-induced Foxp3+ cells from CD4+CD62L+ central memory T cells.

(C) Analysis of FOXP3 TSDR methylation in cultured cells. Each line represents a clone, and every dot represents a CpG site in the FOXP TSDR. A solid black dot indicates a methylated CpG site, while a hollow dot indicates a demethylated CpG site.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0077322.g004
Figure 5. Induction of functional Foxp3+ T cells from mouse CD4+CD62L+ central memory T cells. (A) FACS-sorted mouse CD4 naive T cells (Tn), CD4 central memory T cells (T_{cm}) and CD4 effector memory T cells (T_{em}) were stimulated in vitro (1×10^5 cells/well) with anti-CD3 (2 μg/ml) and APCs (1×10^5 cells/well) in the presence of TGF-β (3 ng/ml) and IL-2 (100 U/ml) for 3–5 days. The induction of Foxp3 in the CD4+ fraction was analysed by FACS based on GFP expression. (B) CD4+ GFP- (Foxp3-) T cells were sorted from Foxp3^{ab} mice, labelled with CFSE and used as responder cells. The labelled T cells (1×10^5 cells/well) were mixed with varying numbers of GFP+ (Foxp3+) T cells to achieve the desired Foxp3+ T cells/T effector cell ratios. GFP+ (Foxp3+) T cells were sorted from CD4+ naive or central memory T cells through the induction of Foxp3. 'iTreg' indicates in vitro TGF-β-induced GFP+ cells from CD4+ naive T cells, and 'iTreg_{cm}' indicates in vitro TGF-β-induced GFP+ cells from CD4+CD62L+ central memory T cells. The cell mixture was stimulated with syngeneic APCs (1×10^5 cells/well) and soluble anti-CD3 (1 μg/ml). Responder T cell proliferation with or without Foxp3+ T cells was assessed by CFSE dilution after 3 days of culture. The results are one representative of three independent experiments.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0077322.g005
very low overall rates of differentiation into CD4+Foxp3+ T cells in vitro, the CD4+ central memory T cells had a slightly higher efficiency. We further identified a group of CD62L+ cells in the central memory pool with an in vitro differentiation efficiency that approached that of CD4+ naive T cells. However, an epigenetic analysis showed that the CD4+Foxp3+ T cells derived from CD62L+ central memory cells were more methylated at the FOXP3 TSDR compared to the sorted Treg, which could explain why these CD4+Foxp3+ T cells did not exhibit suppressive functions. Additionally, we confirmed that mouse CD62L+ central memory cells could readily convert to Foxp3+ iTreg, and those iTreg had clear suppressive functions. These new findings suggest that a particular subset of CD4+ memory T cells, defined as the CD62L+ central memory cells, have the capacity to convert to CD4+Foxp3+ T cells.

In the case of HBV, higher levels of Treg have been described [34]. It is well known that not all human Foxp3+ cells are Treg, particularly under conditions of chronic cell activation, such as a viral infection, where there may be a higher proportion of effector T cells that transiently upregulate Foxp3 [35,36]. However, the frequency of total CD4+CD25+ T cells was not significantly different between chronic HBV-infected patients and healthy controls [37]. In addition, HBV-infected patients in the inactive (IN) phase have low HBV DNA levels (<2000 IU/ml) and normal ALT levels with minimal or absent hepatic inflammation [38,39]. This indicates that CD4+ T cells are not activated in chronic HBV-infected patients in the inactive (IN) phase. Thus, the incremental CD4+Foxp3+ T cells in the PBMCs of chronic HBV-infected patients in our study can be considered to be induced Foxp3+ T cells. The increased number of CD45RO+CD4+Foxp3+ T cells in chronic HBV-infected patients may represent HBV-induced CD4+Foxp3+ T cells because the CD4+ T cells were not activated in vivo.

Because Foxp3 expression is not induced during the activation of mouse CD4+CD25+ non-regulatory T cells, Foxp3 is considered to be the definitive marker of mouse nTreg, iTreg generated in the periphery in vivo, and iTreg generated in vitro in the presence of TGF-β [40]. In contrast, several studies have claimed that this is not the case in humans. They suggest that human CD4+CD25+ T effector cells activated through TCR stimulation alone with anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 show transiently upregulated Foxp3 expression and demonstrate that this Foxp3 expression correlates with neither anergy nor suppressive function [35,41-45]. In addition to confirming these previous studies, our results shown in Figure 2A and Figure 3A reveal new aspects involving Foxp3 expression. Consistent with previous studies, upon stimulation with anti-CD3 and anti-CD28, the memory CD4+CD25+ T cells in our study transiently upregulated their expression of Foxp3, and a dramatic increase in the percentage of Foxp3+ cells was observed, with up to 18% of the memory CD4+ T cells expressing Foxp3 on day 3 (Figure 2A). The proportion of Foxp3+ T cells then progressively diminished over time to 7% by day 7. Interestingly, we found that naive CD4+CD25+ T cells did not always transiently upregulate Foxp3 expression upon TCR stimulation alone with anti-CD3 and anti-CD28. Previous studies based on human CD4+CD25+ T cells did not reveal this phenomenon, i.e., that the transient upregulation of Foxp3 expression through TCR stimulation alone without TGF-β is restricted to memory CD4+CD25+ T cells. Furthermore, memory (Tm), central memory (Tcm), and effector memory (Tem) CD4+CD25+ T cells stimulated in the presence of TGF-β also showed an increase in Foxp3 expression compared with those stimulated without TGF-β (Figure 2A and Figure 3A). Although the effect of TGF-β was not as strong in naive CD4+CD4+CD25+ T cells, these results still suggest that some memory CD4+CD25+ T cells respond to TGF-β-mediated Foxp3 expression.

Previous results have shown that the methylation status of the FOXP3 TSDR is associated with the development of stable Treg properties, and rare demethylation has been observed in activated human conventional CD4+ T cells or in CD4+ naive T cell-derived CD4+Foxp3+ T cells [20,27,46-48]. Our current data clearly show that TGF-β-induced CD4+Foxp3+ T cells derived from CD62L+ central memory cells exhibited high methylation of CpG sites in the FOXP3 TSDR sequence. These results may explain why the CD4+Foxp3+ T cells derived from the CD62L+ central memory cells did not exhibit clear suppressive functions (Figure 4A). It remains unclear why the FOXP3 TSDR was not demethylated in these CD4+Foxp3+ T cells, but we can speculate that the demethylation of the FOXP3 TSDR during the formation of Treg is accomplished through a far more complicated epigenomic regulatory network and involves the coordination of multiple extracellular signals.

Our data indicate that CD62L+ central memory cells can efficiently differentiate into CD4+Foxp3+ T cells. However, the detailed mechanism of this differentiation is still unclear and requires further study. We hypothesise that it is related to the intrinsic constraints of the cells but not due to their similarity to the CD4+ naive T-cell phenotype. Human CD4+ memory T cells are not a uniform population, and they can be divided into the two functionally distinct subsets of CCR7– central memory and CCR7+ effector memory T cells [49]. There are significant differences between these two subsets of cells, as illustrated by their heterogeneity and effector functions, among other factors [50,51]. Of note, CD4+ central memory and effector memory cells have different capacities to produce cytokines.

Upon activation, CD4+ effector memory cells secrete high levels of IL-4 and IFN-γ, which are not produced by activated CD4+ central memory cells or CD4+ naive T cells [49]. Additionally, it is widely accepted that IL-4 and IFN-γ are the major cytokines involved in the inhibition of TGF-β-induced Foxp3 expression in CD4+CD25+ T cells [9-11,52]. Therefore, differences in cytokine secretion could potentially explain why CD4+ central memory T cells can better differentiate into Foxp3+ T cells.

In conclusion, we propose for the first time that CD4+CD62L+ central memory T cells can be induced to express Foxp3 by TGF-β both in human and mouse, and obtained functional Foxp3+ iTreg from mouse CD4+CD62L+ central memory T cells. Our data suggested the possibility that iTreg might be derived from activated CD4+CD62L+ central memory T cells in vivo, at least in mouse. Thus, our study provides novel information on the source of iTreg. Unfortunately, it seemed likely that we failed to obtain functional Foxp3+ T cells from human CD4+CD62L+ central memory T cells in this study. But considering the induced Treg cells used for the assay were not
purified FOXP3 expression T cells, they were a mixed population of Foxp3+ T cells and activated T effector cells, insufficient FOXP3 expression T cells added might lead to the results we observed, their suppressive functions might be obscured by mixed activated T effector cells. Our further research confirmed this, induced Foxp3+ cells from naive and CD62L- central memory cells exhibited some suppressive functions when the ratio (T_{eff}/cells/Foxp3+ T cells) was increased (data not show), though their suppressive functions were not apparent compared to that of Tregs directly isolated from PBMCs. These findings suggested that such human induced Foxp3+ cells might have suppressive functions. We will further explore the suppressive functions of human Foxp3+ cells in our ongoing research.

Supporting Information

Figure S1. Expression of CD45RA in the samples shown in Figure 1E. Naive CD4+ T cells were sorted from adult peripheral blood using FACS, and the cells were activated with plate-bound anti-CD3 (5 μg/ml) and soluble anti-CD28 (1 μg/ml) in the absence or presence of TGF-β (5 ng/ml) and IL-2 (100 U/μl) for 5 days. The cells were then collected and analysed by flow cytometry to evaluate the expression of Foxp3 and CD45RA.

(TIF)

Figure S2. Expression of CD45RA and CD45RO in human CD4+ T cells. Analysis of the CD45RA and CD45RO expression on freshly isolated PBMCs FACS gated for CD4+ T cells.

(TIF)
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