Introduction

Within the past several years, there have been major advances in the treatment of metastatic melanoma, including the use of therapeutics that specifically target oncogenic mutations such as alterations in \textit{BRAF}. Mutations in \textit{BRAF} indeed occur in near half of melanoma cases.\textsuperscript{1,2} The treatment of patients with melanoma harboring \textit{BRAF} mutations results in a large proportion of objective responses, and several agents targeting mutant \textit{BRAF} are now approved for use in individuals with stage IV disease.\textsuperscript{3,4} However, despite dramatic initial responses, the majority of melanoma patients do not achieve a durable response upon treatment with \textit{BRAF} inhibitors (\textit{BRAFi}), with disease progression occurring within several months after the initiation of therapy (generally, 6 mo for \textit{BRAFi} monotherapy, 10 mo when \textit{BRAFi} are combined with MEK inhibitors).\textsuperscript{4,5} Alternative therapeutic strategies to achieve long-term clinical responses are therefore urgently needed.

Compelling evidence indicates that oncogenic \textit{BRAF} mutations contribute to the immune escape of malignant cells and that targeting this pathway may increase the immunogenicity of melanoma. The initial evidence in support of this notion came from in vitro studies demonstrating that the administration of a \textit{BRAFi} is associated with an augmentation in melanoma-associated antigens as well as with an increased reactivity of antigen-specific T cells.\textsuperscript{6} More recently, these findings were corroborated in melanoma patients treated with \textit{BRAFi}, who exhibited not only an increase in the clonality of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes in 7 of 8 patients receiving \textit{BRAFi}, with a statistically significant 21\% aggregate increase in clonality. Over 80\% of individual T-cell clones detected after initiation of \textit{BRAFi} treatment were new clones. Interestingly, the comparison of tumor infiltrates with clinical responses revealed that patients who had a high proportion of pre-existing dominant clones after the administration of \textit{BRAFi} responded better to therapy than patients who had a low proportion of such pre-existing dominant clones following \textit{BRAFi}. These data suggest that although the inhibition of \textit{BRAF} in melanoma patients results in tumor infiltration by new lymphocytes, the response to treatment appears to be related to the presence of a pre-existing population of tumor-infiltrating T-cell clones.
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Indeed, it is still unclear whether the BRAFi-dependent increase in tumor infiltration originates from the necrotic demise of malignant cells or rather reflects the elicitation of a primary immune response involving antigen-specific T cells. Based on the observations reported above, we hypothesized that the immune infiltrate associated with BRAFi would constitute a primary response, and that the administration of a BRAFi would increase the clonality of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs). However, the analysis of TILs by techniques such as flow cytometry in our patient population was prevented by the limited availability of tumor biopsies, especially after the initiation of BRAF-targeted therapy. To circumvent this limitation, we utilized a multiplex PCR strategy to amplify the CDR3 region of the T-cell receptor (TCR) β chain-coding gene, spanning the variable region formed by the junction of the V, D, and J segments and their associated non-templated insertions. The resulting 60-bp nucleotide sequence could be used as an identifier or “tag” for a particular clone across different samples. In 8 metastatic melanoma patients harboring BRAF mutations, we sequenced the CDR3 region in tumor biopsies obtained before treatment (day 0) and 10–14 d after the initiation of BRAF-targeted therapy. The goal of the present study was to better define the T-cell infiltrate elicited by BRAFi and hence allow for the development of therapeutic strategies that specifically harness BRAFi-induced tumor infiltration.

**Results**

We (and others) have previously shown that BRAF inhibition is associated with a significant increase in tumor-infiltrating CD8+ T cells within 10–14 d of treatment. In order to investigate the clonality of BRAFi-elicited TILs and gain insights into the antigen specificity of such a T-cell response, we sequenced the variable CDR3 region in serial biopsies collected before treatment and 10–14 d after the initiation of BRAF-targeted therapy from 8 metastatic melanoma patients harboring BRAF mutations. The age of these individuals ranged from 25 to 72 y and patients had multiple sites of disease (Table 1). All patients manifested a decrease in the size of targeted lesions after the administration of BRAF inhibitors, as assessed by radiographical methods. In particular, 5 patients achieved a partial response, 1 manifested a complete response and 2 exhibited stable disease (Fig. 1; Table 1).

**Treatment with BRAFi increases TIL clonality but does not alter the overall proportion of dominant clones**

The TIL clonality, a term used to quantify the diversity of clones and frequency of any given clone, was determined using a software provided by Adaptive Biotechnologies. The equation to calculate clonality is 1−(entropy)/log2(# of productive unique reads), where the entropy term takes into account the variation of clone frequencies. In this setting, a maximally diverse cell population in which every sequence is represented one time would be associated with a clonality score of 0, and a perfectly monoclonal population (a theoretical situation approached in some patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia) would obtain a clonality score of 1. Using the technique described above, we analyzed the clonality of our samples and observed a significant increase in TIL clonality upon BRAFi-based therapy in 7 out of 8 patients (Fig. 2A). Importantly, we wanted to determine if the increase in clonality correlated with response to treatment. To do this, we first took into consideration the dominant clones, i.e., the clones that make up the largest percentage of the total T-cell population, in patients under treatment with BRAFi. We sorted these clones by frequency, each clone being listed once, focused on the top 5% of them, and asked what percentage of the overall T-cell population these clones represented. We determined that the top 5% of clones represented between 40–60% of the overall T-cell population, but no correlation existed between these values and the response of melanoma patients to therapy. Similarly, we observed no correlation with disease outcome when we performed this analysis for the top 2.5%, 1%, or 0.5% of clones (Fig. 2B).

**BRAFi-based therapy results in new TIL clones in patients with metastatic melanoma**

Next, we wanted to see if the increase in clonality elicited by BRAFi in melanoma patients was associated with the infiltration of neoplastic lesion by novel TIL clones. To this aim, we analyzed the biopsies obtained from patients on BRAF inhibitors for the presence of clones that were absent from pre-treatment biopsies. Interestingly, ~80% of the individual clones detected in the biopsic samples from treated patients were shown to be new clones, suggesting that there is a considerable influx of T cells within the neoplastic lesions of melanoma patients treated with BRAFi (Fig. 3A).

**The clinical response to BRAFi is associated with a pre-existing population of TIL clones**

To further understand the role of TIL clones in therapeutic efficacy of BRAFi, we focused on the top 5%, 2.5%, 1%, or 0.5% dominant clones found in the biopsies of treated patients and examined whether these clones were present within neoplastic lesions before the initiation of therapy. We then correlated the percentage of pre-existing dominant clones with the clinical response to BRAFi. Two groups clearly emerged: patients with a high proportion of pre-existing dominant clones after the administration of BRAFi exhibited a good response, whereas patients who had a low proportion of such clones on treatment had poor disease outcome (Fig. 3B). Specifically, the 3 patients with the lowest proportion of pre-existing dominant clones exhibited the poorest responses of the whole cohort at all time points measured (Fig. 1 and 3B). Of note, there was no clear correlation between the proportion of pre-existing dominant clones upon BRAFi-based therapy and progression-free survival, though the number of patients analyzed was admittedly low. Overall, these data suggest that the response to BRAFi may correlate with the presence of pre-existing dominant T-cell clones, and perhaps also that pre-existing TIL populations may be more important than new TILs in the elicitation of therapeutically-relevant immune responses by BRAF-targeting therapies.

**Discussion**

The profound clinical response of metastatic melanoma patients to BRAFi demonstrates a significant advance in cancer therapeutics. Although transient, such a response is indeed associated with an increase in melanoma-associate antigens and TILs...
as well as with tumor microenvironment that supports the effects of therapy.7–10 Interestingly, 95.3% of patients manifest a decrease in disease burden upon treatment with BRAFi, but only 43% display an objective response.3 Although numerous mechanisms of resistance to BRAFi have been described (including BRAF mutations, splice isoforms, copy number alterations, fusions, and allele specific expression),12–23 differences in the immune response elicited by BRAF inhibition have not been well studied. A better understanding of the immunological mechanisms triggered by BRAFi could help us to explain the diversity in both maximal response to therapy and progression free survival of melanoma patients subjected to BRAF-targeting therapies, and may have significant translational implications.

Here, we analyzed the clonality of TILs in melanoma patients on BRAFi. Importantly, the patients included in this study include objective responders and non-responders, both groups demonstrating an increase in T-cell infiltrate. However, differences were seen between these groups of patients when we looked deeply into the origin of the T-cell clones that infiltrated neoplastic lesions in response to BRAFi.

T-cell clonality can be studied by observing changes in the variable V, D, J region of the CDR3-coding sequence within tumor biopsies.24,25 Our results confirms previous findings from Yazdi et al., who used laser-capture micro-dissection to isolate different TIL clusters and demonstrated that many T-cell clones with different TCR rearrangements may be detected within one primary malignant melanoma.26 The significant increase in aggregate clonality upon the administration of BRAFi suggests that other factors are involved in response to treatment. The kinetics of such an increase in clonality (after 10–14 d) is not surprising, as Buchholz et al. have shown that a spectrum of T-cell expansion kinetics exists, ranging from slow-dividing, long-lived T cells to fast-proliferating, short-lived cell subsets in
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Patients with metastatic melanoma harboring BRAF^V600E (confirmed by genotyping) were enrolled in clinical trials and treated with a BRAF inhibitor (BRAFi) alone or combined with a MEK inhibitor (BRAFi + MEKi). Abbreviations: B, bone; br, brain; CR, complete response; li, liver; lu, lung; n, nodal; PFS, progression-free survival; PR, partial response; sc, subcutaneous; SD, stable disease.
which a single T lymphocyte can lead to 70,000 descendants in infection models.27

In our small cohort of patients, there was a striking difference in the relationship between the maximal response to therapy and the presence of new dominant clones. This suggests that the response to BRAFi may rely on pre-existing TILs rather than on the infiltration of neoplastic lesions by new T-cell clones. The specificity of this clonal response requires further investigation. The increase in clonality observed herein may be suggestive of an antigen-specific response, though there are several obstacles to answering this question definitively. First, the amount of biopptic material (especially in the case of treated patients) is quite limited, implying that conventional methods to assess an antigen-specific response such as tetramer staining could not be performed. Moreover, use of technical platforms such as ELISPOT requires a step of in vitro stimulation, which may significantly alter the clonal composition of TILs. Finally, in line with the novelty of the TCR sequencing approach used in this manuscript, we could not rely on a data set describing the antigen specificity of each particular TCR. Such data sets, allowing for the determination of antigen specificity, may become available in the future, along with the diffusion of this technology.

The influence of the anatomical site of disease on TIL clonality is not well understood, and our data do not completely address this question. The majority of biopsies taken in the context of this study were from cutaneous or subcutaneous lesions, and only a few of them from other sites (e.g., lymph nodes). The precise characterization of TCR clonality in cutaneous vs. visceral sites of disease may provide further insights to this issue. Another interesting question is whether the diversity of TIL clonality is expected between distinct biopsy sites or whether it is due to BRAFi. Our data suggest that this diversity is due to BRAF inhibition, although to definitively answer this question one would need to obtain serial biopsies of the same and different lesions from the same patient (both before treatment and in the course of BRAF-targeting therapy), which would be challenging.

Many other questions arise with our data. What is the pre-existing TIL population and why is it present in some patients and not in others? Is it an expansion of such a pre-existing population or an activation of an already dominant yet exhausted population of T cells that mediate therapeutic responses to BRAFi? Is the presence of pre-existing TILs in the lesions of treated patients paralleled by a circulating biomarker that may represent an early indicator of response to therapy?

Of note, we have previously observed a deleterious effect of MEK inhibition on T lymphocytes in vitro, raising the concern that MEK inhibitors may alter T-cell function in patients.6 However, we observed no significant difference in the absolute number of CD8+ TILs between patients receiving BRAFi alone or a BRAFi plus a MEK inhibitor,7 although functional studies on these cells were not performed. These preliminary data suggest that MEK inhibition does not significantly impact T-cell function in patients. In line with our findings, Shindo et al. demonstrated that MEK inhibitors selectively suppress the allo-reactivity of naïve T cells in the course of graft-vs.-host-disease without a significant effect on the memory T-cell population.28 This suggests that MEK inhibitors may have a less pronounced

Figure 2. BRAF inhibitors increase the clonality of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes. (A) Changes in clonality of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) 10–14 d after the initiation of BRAF inhibitors are shown as fold change as compared with pre-treatment values, as a box and whiskers plot (n = 8 patients). The bottom and top of the box represent the 25th and 75th percentile, respectively, while the bar indicates the median value. (B) Percentage of the total TIL population occupied by the 5%, 2.5%, 1%, and 0.5% dominant TIL clones. Patient samples are sorted along the X-axis based on their maximal response to treatment. CR, complete response; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease.
effect on memory T cells than on their naïve counterparts. CD8+ TILs are expected to comprise a significant fraction of antigen-experienced memory cells, and may therefore be rather insensitive to MEK inhibition. To date, this hypothesis has not been tested.

Additional translational implications of our findings relate to recent advances in the treatment of melanoma patients with immune checkpoint inhibitors, such as monoclonal antibodies specific for cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated protein 4 (CTLA4), programmed cell death 1 (PD1), and PD-L1 (best known as PD-L1).29-31 The characterization of TIL populations along the lines of our study may provide insights into the propensity of patients to respond to this form of immunotherapy. In addition, this approach may provide a rationale for combination immune checkpoint blockers and BRAFi.

This is the first report to study the clonality of the T-cell infiltrate in melanoma patients treated with BRAFi and its correlation with disease outcome. Given the small number of patients involved in this study, we look forward to other groups testing our conclusions in independent patient cohorts, a work that is currently underway.

**Materials and Methods**

**Patient samples**

Metastatic melanoma patients harboring the BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutation (confirmed by genotyping) were enrolled in a clinical trial at Massachusetts General Hospital and treated with a BRAFi alone (vemurafenib) or combined with a MEK inhibitor (dabrafenib + trametinib) (Table 1). Tumor biopsies were obtained before treatment (day 0) and 10–14 d after treatment initiation. Formalin-fixed tissues were analyzed to confirm the presence of viable tumor cells upon hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining.
Tissues were immediately processed for genomic DNA extraction. Explicit consent on the procedure was obtained as per the institution review board-approved protocol.

Preparation of genomic DNA

Clinical samples were received in the form of tumor tissue. For DNA purification, tissue was digested overnight at 55°C in extraction buffer (10 mM Tris pH 8.0, 25 mM EDTA, 0.5% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 100 mM NaCl) supplemented with 20 mg/mL proteinase K. Residual debris were removed by the addition of 80 μL of Protein Precipitation Solution (Promega #A795A) and centrifugation. Genomic DNA was precipitated by adding an equal volume of isopropanol. The DNA pellet was washed with 70% ethanol, air-dried and re-dissolved in sterile water.

CDR3 sequencing and clonality

CDR3 regions were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq sequencer with at least 5-fold coverage by ImmunoSEQ™ sequencing (Adaptive Biotechnologies). The sequencing assay relies on a multiplex PCR reaction with forward primers annealing to each V segment and reverse primers annealing to each J segment, resulting in the selective amplification of rearranged VDJ segments from each cell in a format compatible with sequencing. Clonality scores were calculated for our patient samples using a software by Adaptive Technologies, clonality being defined as 1-(entropy)/log2(# of productive unique sequences), where the entropy term takes into account of the varying clone frequency. Clonality values are given through the ImmunoSeq Analyzer software, according to which a maximally diverse population is associated with a clonality score of 0 and a perfectly monoclonal population with a clonality score of 1. Analyzing the clones as a percent of total was performed to control for the number of unique reads in each specific patient sample.

Statistics

Statistical analyses were performed using the GraphPad Prism software or the R statistical package.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

References