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Abstract

We compare spot patterns generated by Turing mechanisms with those generated by replication cascades, in a model one-dimensional reaction-diffusion system. We determine the stability region of spot solutions in parameter space as a function of a natural control parameter (feed-rate) where degenerate patterns with different numbers of spots coexist for a fixed feed-rate. While it is possible to generate identical patterns via both mechanisms, we show that replication cascades lead to a wider choice of pattern profiles that can be selected through a tuning of the feed-rate, exploiting hysteresis and directionality effects of the different pattern pathways.

Introduction

Reaction-diffusion systems are well known to self-organize into a variety of spatio-temporal patterns including, spots, stripes, spirals, as well as spatio-temporal chaos and uniform oscillations [1–3]. Their existence in out-of-equilibrium states, connection to idealized chemical systems, and dependence on dimensional parameters, make them a good testbench for the study of general features of pattern generation and evolution. In particular, the dependence of these final states on the rate at which constituents are fed into the system (feed-rate) is of significant interest, since reaction-diffusion systems represent proxies for high-level biological systems that can exchange matter and energy with the environment [4]. Depending on the value of the feed-rate, the system may asymptote into one of many states and thus the feed-rate can be thought of playing the role of a natural control parameter.

While spatio-temporal patterns in reaction-diffusion systems (like replicating spots [5] and Turing patterns [6,7]) have been found and discussed extensively in the context of chemical systems [3,8], their phenomenology is ubiquitous. A well-studied example from physics is related to electrical current filament patterns in planar gas-discharge systems [9,10]. The system dynamics can be described by activator-inhibitor reaction-diffusion models and different mechanisms of spot array formation have been observed: division and self-completion. The relevant control parameter in this system is the feeding voltage. Another example that have attracted interest recently is found in the realm of fluid dynamics where “spots” of turbulent regions in pipe flow [11] and plane Couette flow [12] have been observed: On a laminar background, patches of localized turbulence, called puffs, emerge via finite-amplitude perturbations and also show splitting behavior. These systems have been recently mapped onto excitable reaction-diffusion systems [13], and subsequently, the Turing mechanism has been proposed to explain the periodic arrangement of puffs in [14], suggesting again a reaction-diffusion framework for the dynamics. The corresponding control parameter in this case is the Reynolds number of the flow.

While these examples show that similar phenomena appear in different systems, an even more intriguing feature is that patterns that look qualitatively similar can be generated by very different mechanisms in the same system. Consider the patterns shown in Figures 1(a,b), which are the result of numerical simulations of a typical bistable reaction-diffusion system in two spatial dimensions. While both figures represent stationary arrays of spots (increased concentrations of one or more chemical species relative to others), their evolutionary pathways are quite different. Figure 1(a) was generated by the Turing mechanism [13], i.e. from a uniform stationary state unstable under spatial perturbations, giving rise to a stationary, spatially periodic pattern. This is illustrated by a space-time diagram for a simulation in one space dimension in Fig. 1(c), where an initially uniform state almost simultaneously develops η spots as a result of the small random perturbation.

In contrast to the above, the pattern in Fig. 1(b) was generated by perturbing a different uniform steady state, creating a single spot, that after a slight increase in the feed-rate, undergoes a replication cascade of spots, eventually filling the space (again illustrated in Fig. 1(d) by a space-time diagram for a simulation in one space dimension). Thus, while the asymptotic state of the system looks similar in both cases, the initial conditions, the parameter regimes in which they occur, and the mechanisms by which they are generated are different.

In the face of this, it is of interest to investigate if there is an abrupt transition or a smooth continuation –as a function of the feed-rate– between the patterns, as one traverses from one limit to
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\[ \frac{\partial b}{\partial t} = -k_3a^2b - k_5b + F + D_b \frac{\partial^2 b}{\partial x^2}, \]  

(1b)

where \( a \) can be interpreted as the concentration of an activator \( A \) and \( b \) as the concentration of a substrate \( B \). There is an autocatalytic step for \( A \) at rate \( k_1 \), and decay reactions for \( A \) and \( B \) at rates \( k_2, k_3 \), while \( B \) is fed in to the system at a rate \( F \). The model is closely related to a class of well-studied reaction-diffusion systems such as the Sel’kov-Gray-Scott model [17–19] (see also Sec. S1 of file S1), the Gierer-Meinhardt model [20] and the Brusselator [21].

We begin our analysis by first determining the uniform absorbing states of the model and then proceed to determine the specific instability associated with each state. Without loss of generality, the concentrations can be rescaled to \( a \rightarrow \sqrt{k_1}a \) and \( b \rightarrow \sqrt{k_2}b \); then the stationary uniform states are determined by setting the right-hand side of Eq. (1) to zero. Doing so, we obtain \((a_1, b_1) = (0, F/k_3)\), which we refer to as state 1. At a critical value of the feed-rate \( F_{SN} = 2k_2k_3^{1/2} \), we find that two more solutions are generated by a saddle-node bifurcation. The first is an unstable intermediate state 2 and the second is a stable state 3 given by \((a_3, b_3) = (F + \sqrt{F^2 - 4k_2^2k_3^3})2k_2(F - \sqrt{F^2 - 4k_2^2k_3^3})2k_2)\). In addition to this we find that the system undergoes a Hopf bifurcation at yet another critical value \( F_{FT} = k_3^{3/2} \sqrt{k_1 - k_3} \), whereby in the range \( F_{SN} \leq F \leq F_{FT} \), state 3 is potentially unstable with respect to temporal oscillations (for details see Sec. S2 of file S1).

Thus, the primary absorbing states of interest are 1 and 3. These turn out to display distinct forms of instability. At a critical feed-rate \( F = F_T \), state 3 is linearly unstable with respect to spatially inhomogeneous perturbations, leading to the formation of Turing patterns in the interval \( F_{SN} \leq F \leq F_T \) (see Sec. S3 of file S1). The characteristic wavelength of the pattern \( \lambda \) can be determined through a standard linear stability analysis, and this determines the total number of spots \( n \) that are present in the system through the simple relation \( L = n\lambda = 2\pi n/k \), where \( L \) is the system size and \( k \) the wavenumber (see Methods).

On the other hand, while state 1 is stable with respect to infinitesimally small perturbations, it is unstable to localized large-amplitude perturbations, that can induce the formation of a single spot. Using the technique of scale-separation, one can calculate the profiles of the spot solutions, along with the parameter regimes for which they exist. In a particular limit, where \((k_3D_a/k_2D_b)^{1/2} \ll 1\), we can define a critical feed-rate for the formation of single-spot solutions, such that spots exist for \( F \geq F_{SP} = 2\sqrt{3}(k_3D_a/k_2D_b)^{1/2} \) (details are shown in Sec. S4 of file S1). As \( F \) is further increased, the single spot becomes unstable with respect to a replication cascade (at a numerically determined critical feed-rate \( F_{REP} \) which eventually fills the system with a spot array, for related work for the Gray-Scott model see [22–30]).

It is essential to point out that the fundamental difference between the formation of spot arrays via the Turing mechanism or via replication cascades, is that the former results from an instability of state 3 to infinitesimally small perturbations with a characteristic wavelength, while the latter is the result of a localized large-amplitude perturbation to state 1.

The model and basic instabilities

Our model reaction-diffusion system (first introduced in [16]) is described by the differential equations

\[ \frac{\partial a}{\partial t} = k_1a^2b - k_2a + D_a \frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial x^2}, \]  

(1a)

the other. If there is a coexistence region, we want to investigate whether the asymptotic states of these patterns are identical and only the temporal evolution differ. Finally, since it may be desirable to select particular states of the system we seek to determine if it is possible to use the different mechanisms to smoothly engineer transitions between different states.

In this article, we explore these questions in a model reaction-diffusion system that displays both replication cascades and Turing instabilities. In the spirit of simplicity, tractability and clarity, we focus on a medium with only one spatial dimension and investigate the formation of patterns as a function of the feed-rate \( F \). Therefore, we do not consider other pathways for the generation of spot solutions, such as transverse instabilities of stripe solutions (requiring at least two spatial dimensions). We find that, while the mechanisms driving the formation of spot arrays are discernibly separated in different regimes of \( F \), the patterns are essentially indistinguishable in intermediate regimes. Nevertheless, we find degeneracies, hysteresis and directionality effects that can be exploited for the purposes of pattern selection, via the tuning of the feed-rate.

Results

The model and basic instabilities

Our model reaction-diffusion system (first introduced in [16]) is described by the differential equations

\[ \frac{\partial a}{\partial t} = k_1a^2b - k_2a + D_a \frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial x^2}, \]  

(1a)

Figure 1. Stable stationary spot arrays in the reaction-diffusion system (1) generated by (a) Turing instability, (b) replication cascade. Two space dimensions are considered, with system size \( L_x = L_y = 100 \) and periodic boundary conditions. Typical formation pathways for the Turing case (c) and the replication scenario (d) are shown in the space-time diagrams for simulations in one-dimensional space with \( L = 150 \). In (a–d), the variable \( a \) is displayed in color code: red, respectively white denote large values. Parameters: (a) \( F = 3.00 \); (b) \( F = 2.20 \); (c) \( F = 3.00 \), displayed time interval 200, (d) \( F = 2.49 \), displayed time interval 3000. Other parameters as in Fig. 2. A pattern profile for both variables \( a \) and \( b \) will be shown in Fig. 4(b). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0077337.g001
Turing patterns and localized spot patterns

We next investigate the differences between these two pattern formation mechanisms through the aid of numerical simulations, where we initialize the system in a variety of different initial states and examine the corresponding asymptotic states. To compare the generated patterns, we need to choose a suitable metric to distinguish them. In principle, there are many quantities one can measure, however, as Fig. 1 suggests, a particularly simple choice would be to simply count the number of spots \(n\) that are generated in the asymptotic state of the evolution of the system.

Consider the plot in Fig. 2, where we show the number of spots \(n\) as a function of the feed-rate \(F\) in the asymptotic state of the simulation (for the numerical details of the simulations, see Methods). We start with a single spot induced on the background of state \(1\) in the region \(F \geq F_{sp}(\text{that supports stable spots})\) and gradually increase \(F\) in small increments of \(dF\). Doing so, we eventually reach a critical \(F_{rep}\) where the spot splits into two spots (replicates). The 2-spot solution may again be unstable, and the splitting process is repeated. This is the situation if we start with a single spot as initial condition. However, in the region \(F \geq F_{sp}\), we can also directly create a \(n\)-spot array with \(n > 1\) by inducing multiple large amplitude perturbations in different spatial locations of the system. The size of each spot of course is finite (being determined by the diffusion coefficients \(D_{a,b}\)) and consequently there is a maximum number of spots \(n_{max}\) that can be supported in a finite medium. Thus in the region \(F_{sp} \leq F \leq F_{rep}\) we can initialize a wide range of spot arrays within the bounds \(1 \leq n \leq n_{max}\) and by the same procedure of incrementing \(F\), determine the values of \(F\) at which the spot array replicates. The resulting curve is displayed in Fig. 2 as the lower boundary of the stability area. These values of \(F\) for each \(n\) represent a generalization of the critical feed-rate \(F_{rep}\) for \(n > 1\). This curve, clearly also implies that the curve corresponds to the minimum number of stable spots \(n_{min}\) that can be supported by the system for fixed \(F > F_{rep}\), and we thus label this curve \(n_{min}(F)\).

We next turn our attention to the Turing regime \((FSN \leq F \leq FT)\) and the spot patterns found there. The onset of the Turing instability is of special interest: by inducing a small-amplitude perturbation around state \(3\) at \(F = FT\), we obtain a native Turing pattern of \(n_T = 22\) spots (denoted in Fig. 2 with a black square) in very good agreement with the theoretical value predicted by linear stability analysis (see Sec. S3 and Eq. (89) of file S1). Away from \(FT\), the analysis provides us with a continuous band of unstable wavelengths. Extensive simulations show that in the entire Turing regime \((FSN \leq F \leq FT)\), small random perturbations of state \(3\) lead on average to a spot pattern with \(n_T\) spots (marked by the solid curve extending from \(n_T = 22\) at \(F = FT\) in Fig. 2), as predicted by linear stability analysis using the most unstable wavelength. This is in agreement with similar findings for the Gray-Scott model [31], confirming that patterns in this regime and initialized in this way are indeed bona fide Turing patterns.

Comparing the replication mechanism with the Turing mechanism, we recognize that the former provides an elegant way to access a number of spots that are different from \(n_T\) (the native Turing pattern) within the Turing regime. This is done by first initializing a \(n\)-spot pattern for \(F_{sp} \leq F \leq F_{SN}\) (outside the Turing regime), and then gradually increasing \(F\) until we are within the Turing regime. In this way we can select a wide range of \(n\) within the bounds \(n_{min} \leq n \leq n_{max}\) that differ from \(n_T\). We note that Turing patterns with \(n \neq n_T\) can also be generated by expanding fronts generated by perturbing state \(1\) in the Turing regime (see Sec. S5 of file S1), however this is not the focus of this article.

Furthermore, starting from any stable \(n\)-spot array, we are free to reverse the procedure and decrease \(F\) in increments of \(dF\). We find that after a particular value of \(F\) is reached, \(n\) now decreases. By continuing this process and repeating it for all \(n\), we obtain the upper curve in Fig. 2 that gives the maximum number of stable spots \(n_{max}\) that can be sustained for a given \(F\). The area enclosed by the curves \(n_{min}\) and \(n_{max}\) thus marks the stability region of \(n\)-spot arrays as a function of the feed-rate \(F\). We immediately see from the figure that degenerate \(n\)-spot arrays exist for a large range of \(F\), where the arrays can in principle be generated by different mechanisms.

Taken together, these results allow us to interpret \(n_{max}\) as a disappearance boundary where a \(n\) spot solution goes to a new value \(n' < n\), and \(n_{min}\) as a splitting boundary where \(n' > n\). In general, in an infinite system, \(n\) spots split into \(2n\) spots, however in a finite system this is constrained by its size. Therefore even in the region that supports replication, for large enough \(n\), some of the spots in the array splits while other do not. The specific value of \(n'\) is sensitive to small perturbations, in particular at the moment the splitting or disappearance takes place.

Clearly, as we can create many different initial conditions, many different splitting or disappearance pathways exist. As an illustrative example we show one where a single spot is initialized on the background of state \(1\). By increasing \(F\), the solution reaches the boundary \(n_{min}\) and splitting occurs. The resulting two spots are also unstable, and finally an \(8\)-spot array is formed. By further increasing \(F\), the array splits into 16 spots. Then it maintains stability for a wide range as \(F\) is increased further, well into the Turing regime, until it splits as it encounters \(n_{min}\) again. This evolution is shown via the red path in Fig. 3(a). If we now decrease \(F\), the boundary \(n_{max}\) is encountered twice, and finally the number of spots decreases to 1 again (shown as a blue path in Fig. 3(a)). This is an example of a hysteresis curve connected to the degeneracy of the \(n\)-spot arrays. Another example is shown by the green path in Fig. 3(a), where we cycle the spot-array solution between 10 and 20 spots. To illustrate how this cycle looks in a real simulation, in Fig. 3(b) we show a space-time diagram for the variable \(a\) along the green path. We start from a 10-spot solution for \(F = 2.60\), increase \(F\) in small
steps until splitting to a 20-spot solution is observed. Then, we decrease $F$ while preserving the 20-spot solution (hysteresis) until finally disappearance of spots takes place and the 10-spot solution is recovered.

The hysteresis effect clearly has the consequence of a preferred directionality in the system for inducing a replication pathway. Replication cascades proceed only via an increase in the feed-rate and for $F_{\text{rep}} \leq F \leq F_T$. Conversely, the formation of a Turing pattern appears for a fixed $F_{\text{SN}} \leq F \leq F_T$ and for a particular class of initial conditions.

**Pattern profiles of $n$-spot solutions**

While measuring $n$ has been fruitful in determining the stability region of the solutions, it does not provide any detailed information about the spatial distribution of the pattern. Do the spot arrays created by Turing instability and spot arrays created by replications show any differences? Clearly, as one changes $F$ smoothly, the distribution of the concentration will vary, even as $n$ remains constant. A simple way of determining this is to measure the profile of the spots, which is the spatial range between its maximum and minimum concentrations. A visual illustration of this definition is provided in Fig. 4(a) inset.

To investigate this, we initialize a pattern with $n_T = 22$ spots at the Turing boundary $F_T$ and examine the change in profile as we decrease $F$. In Fig. 4(a), we plot the profile of $b$ in function of $F$. In the same figure we mark the existence of state 3 by the dashed vertical boundary $F_{\text{SN}}$ as well as the steady-state value $b_3$ by a solid blue curve (note that state 3 exists only for $F > F_{\text{SN}}$). We find that close to $F_T$ the amplitude of the pattern (marked by the vertical solid lines) is small, and the concentration of $b$ oscillates symmetrically around state 3, in line with what is expected at $F_T$ (see inset). However, as we move away from $F_T$, the amplitude increases and the profile shifts in phase space. At some point the pattern ceases to oscillate around state 3, and eventually decouples from state 3, continuing to persist even below $F_{\text{SN}}$. This decoupling occurs without any qualitative change as the pattern crosses the boundary. The implication of this is that for $F < F_{\text{SN}}$ the persistent spot pattern can be interpreted as a continuation of a Turing pattern, although it is independent of state 3 (unlike a near-threshold Turing pattern) and its Turing analysis can be applied. In fact, spot arrays with same $n$, but created either through the Turing mechanism or replication cascades show no quantitative or qualitative difference, implying that arrays created by the two mechanisms are practically indistinguishable in the intermediate regime.

We next examine the change in profile as we vary $F$ between the stability boundaries $n_{\text{max}}$ and $n_{\text{min}}$ for an array with $n = 14$ spots (note that for the 22-spot solution we do not reach the $n_{\text{min}}$ curve). In Fig. 4(b) we represent the result in a diagram of the space of the concentrations $a$ and $b$. Again we see that the pattern changes continuously as $F$ is varied, from the blue curve for $F = 2.1$ to the red curve for $F = 3.42$. For the former, a chemical pattern (inset) reveals that it is sharply peaked and that a spot has a small extension. If one perturbs the system by further decreasing $F$ by a small amount, the number of spots decreases.

Turning our attention to the other boundary $n_{\text{max}}$, an examination of the profiles there reveals the existence of degenerate values of $a$ for fixed $b$ (marked in red). This implies that within the spot, a small dip in the center is formed, as visualized in the inset (lower left). Now, as one increases $F$ by a small amount, the spot pattern eventually splits along this dip.

**Discussion**

In conclusion, using a simple reaction-diffusion model, we have identified the stability region for $n$-spot solutions in the parameter space spanned by a natural control parameter (the feed-rate $F$). In general, for a given $F$, we find multistability of spot solutions, with a range of spot numbers $n$, bounded by numerically determined curves $n_{\text{min}}$ and $n_{\text{max}}$.

Spot arrays in the reaction-diffusion system (1) can be created in very different ways, with two distinct limiting behaviors (single-spot solution and native Turing pattern). These arrays are indistinguishable in intermediate regimes (the asymptotic states for fixed $F$ and $n$ are identical) where both generative mechanisms coexist. This means that either mechanism can be used to generate the same pattern. Therefore, to discriminate between the pattern formation mechanisms is to some degree artificial, as these can only be distinguished during their transient phases. However, due to the different transients in each case, the initial conditions determine the pattern evolution and the final number of spots in a non-trivial manner: While small random perturbations create typical Turing patterns with $n$ coinciding on average with $n_T$, through an appropriate tuning of $F$, we gain access to a wider range of $n$ via replication cascades. As we have shown, one can make use of the hysteresis feature of the system to generate periodic cycles of spot replication and destruction.

Despite the simple and specific chemical nature of our model, we expect the qualitative result to hold for similar non-chemical systems and in general for those complex scenarios whose dynamics (possibly in reduced form) can be described by reaction-diffusion models such as certain fluid systems [11–14]. There, cycles of spot replication and destruction could be used to engineer transitions between out-of-equilibrium states. For exam-
ple, splitting of turbulent stripes is dominant for large Reynolds numbers in plane Couette flow, while for low Reynolds numbers stripe decay is favored [12]. While the specifics in that system are different from our model, analogous to the role played by the feed-rate, we hypothesize that it could be possible to control the number of stripes through switching of the Reynolds number.

As perspectives for future work we mention the possibility to engineer the system by modulating the feed-rate in time, using a self-generated signal (feedback) that can use the splitting/disappearance pathways [32]. Furthermore, transitions between spot arrays with different $n$ can be also induced by application of noise. However, the realization of these ideas goes beyond the scope of this article.

In the spirit of simplicity, tractability and clarity, we have focused on a medium with one spatial dimension. Obviously, the dynamics of localized spots and Turing patterns is much richer in two space dimensions. However, we expect that the main result of this study holds qualitatively also for two-dimensional spot arrays.

Methods

The numerical simulations of Eq. (1) were conducted in a one-dimensional space of size $L=200$ with periodic boundary conditions which ensures that there no spots attached to the boundary (varying $L$ as well as using no-flux boundary conditions have not shown to produce major changes). A spatial grid with $\Delta x=0.5$ was used along with a Euler routine for time integration and a 3-point stencil for the diffusion operator. In order for increased accuracy for patterns close to instabilities and for validation purposes, a 4th-order Runge-Kutta scheme was employed along with a smaller grid resolution $\Delta x=0.4$ and a 5-point stencil. The two-dimensional simulations shown in Fig. 1(a,b) are only for the purpose of illustration; they correspond to simulations with $\Delta x=\Delta y=0.5$ and a 5-point stencil for the diffusion operator.

We are not interested in oscillatory behavior and therefore choose $k_2=1.2$ and $k_3=1.5$ in order to be far from the Hopf bifurcation curve (compare Fig. S1 of file S1). In order to observe localized spot and Turing patterns, sufficiently strong substrate diffusion is necessary, and we set $D_a=1$ and $D_b=50$ accordingly. Although for one-dimensional localized patterns, the notation “spike” is used in the literature, we apply the more general notation “spots.”

To obtain the limiting curves in Fig. 2, spot solutions are initialized for different $n$ in the region $F<F_{SN}$. The asymptotic state of a simulation is determined at $T=2000$, although transients usually have died out after $T\approx 10^2$ (if $n$ changes within the simulation) or $T\approx 10^3$ (if $n$ does not change within the simulation). Following this, $F$ is increased in increments of 0.05, and the simulation is allowed to run again until the asymptotic state is reached. This procedure is repeated until splitting is observed. In the same way, $F$ can be either increased further or decreased until spots split again or disappear. This iterative process has been exhaustively performed for all possible $n$ to determine the stability area.

We note that the numerical results come with inherent imprecisions, in particular for large $F$ where the amplitude of the Turing pattern vanishes and for small $F$ where the spot pattern disappears. Finite simulation time may mistake a transient for an asymptotic state. Also, the finite size of the medium (together with the periodic boundary conditions) implies that the range of $n$ (which is a positive integer number) is limited. However, simulations for larger system size and no-flux boundary conditions have not revealed qualitatively new behavior, though of course $n$ increases and the curves in Fig. 2 are extensive in system size.
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