Coordinated transcriptional regulation of bone homeostasis by Ebf1 and Zfp521 in both mesenchymal and hematopoietic lineages
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Bone homeostasis is maintained by the coupled actions of hematopoietic bone-resorbing osteoclasts (OCs) and mesenchymal bone-forming osteoblasts (OBs). Here we identify early B cell factor 1 (Ebf1) and the transcriptional coregulator Zfp521 as components of the machinery that regulates bone homeostasis through coordinated effects in both lineages. Deletion of Zfp521 in OBs led to impaired bone formation and increased OB-dependent osteoclastogenesis (OC-genesis), and deletion in hematopoietic cells revealed a strong cell-autonomous role for Zfp521 in OC progenitors. In adult mice, the effects of Zfp521 were largely caused by repression of Ebf1, and the bone phenotype of Zfp521+/− mice was rescued in Zfp521−/−:Ebf1−/− mice. Zfp521 interacted with Ebf1 and repressed its transcriptional activity. Accordingly, deletion of Zfp521 led to increased Ebf1 activity in OBs and OCs. In vivo, Ebf1 overexpression in OBs resulted in suppressed bone formation, similar to the phenotype seen after OB-targeted deletion of Zfp521. Conversely, Ebf1 deletion led to cell-autonomous defects in both OB-dependent and cell-intrinsic OC-genesis, a phenotype opposite to that of the Zfp521 knockout. Thus, we have identified the interplay between Zfp521 and Ebf1 as a novel rheostat for bone homeostasis.

The mammalian skeleton is continuously remodelled. This process needs to be tightly regulated to maintain skeletal homeostasis while ensuring structural integrity and support of metabolic functions. The number and activity of bone-resorbing osteoclasts (OCs) and bone-forming osteoblasts (OBs) are balanced to ensure homeostasis of the postdevelopmental skeleton.

A complex network of endocrine and paracrine signals orchestrates bone remodeling by controlling mesenchymal and hematopoietic progenitor cell differentiation and/or the activity of the mature cells. These signals converge to control the expression and activity of specific transcription factors that modulate cellular functions by regulating the expression of their target genes (Karsenty et al., 2009). The activity of these transcription factors is controlled by association with different activator or repressor complexes, some
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Zfp521 favors bone formation in mature OBs. (A) Generation of null and conditional Zfp521 alleles. Zfp521 genomic region encoding exon 4 is shown. Restriction fragment sizes are indicated as well as the positions of the internal and the two flanking probes used for genotyping analysis (Roman numerals). The shaded area indicates the part of the genomic region included in the targeting vector, and the three different alleles are shown. "neo" is the result of the gene-targeting event. "cko" is the conditional knockout allele derived from the neo allele after Fpe-mediated excision of the PGK-neo cassette. One Frt site and two loxP sites remain in the locus. "ko" is the null allele derived from the "neo" or from the "cko" allele by Cre-mediated recombination between the two loxP sites. Only a single loxP site remains in the modified locus. Splicing of exon 3 to exon 5 generates a frameshift. loxP and Frt sites are indicated as closed and open triangles, respectively. Neo, PGK-em7-neomycin dual selection cassette for bacteria and embryonic stem cells; TK, thymidine kinase cassette for counter-selection in embryonic stem cells; X, XbaI; B, BamHI; N, NotI. The genomic region is not drawn to scale. (B) Results of a Southern blot analysis of BamHI-digested tail DNA, probed with the internal probe (III). (C) Northern blot analysis of whole-brain RNA from 3-wk-old mice using a full-length Zfp521 cDNA probe. The blot was rehybridized with a GAPDH probe as a control for RNA quality. wt, wild type; Δexon4, position of the residual mRNA after removal of exon 4. (D) Genotyping PCR showing deletion of Zfp521 allele in genomic DNA extracted from Zfp521+/− long bones cleaned of soft tissues and BM. (E) Von Kossa staining of tibia sections in 3-wk-old global Zfp521−/− mice and Zfp521+/+ littermate controls. (F) Histomorphometric analysis of samples in E (n = 5). (G) Trabecular BV (BV/TV) at distal femoral metaphysis and in second lumbar vertebra in 3-wk-old Zfp521−/− and control mice measured by μCT (n = 5). (H) Von Kossa staining of tibia sections in 6-wk-old Zfp521−/− mice and littermate controls. (I) Histomorphometric analysis of samples in H (n = 6). (J) Trabecular BV (BV/TV) at distal femoral metaphysis and in second lumbar vertebra in 6-wk-old Zfp521−/− and control mice measured by μCT (n = 5).
of which are cell lineage specific, whereas others are relevant in multiple cell types but specifically regulated (MacDonald et al., 2009).

The regulation of bone homeostasis during remodeling involves three essential components: (1) OB differentiation and bone matrix production, (2) OB-dependent regulation of osteoclastogenesis (OC-genesis) through the secretion of receptor activator of NF-kB ligand (RANKL) and osteoprotegerin (OPG; Boyle et al., 2003), and (3) cell-autonomous regulation of OC differentiation and bone resorption within hematopoietic OC precursors (Negishi-Koga and Takayanagi, 2009). Although much is known about the transcriptional program regulating OB and OC differentiation and function, our understanding of the coordinated regulation of these two lineages and bone remodeling as a whole is still only partial. For a single transcription factor to achieve such coordination, it would have to affect all the three components of bone remodeling to regulate bone formation and bone resorption in an opposite manner, i.e., increasing bone formation and reducing bone resorption to control bone mass. However, many transcription factors affect bone formation and resorption in parallel, not in an opposite manner. For instance, several AP1 transcription factors and Nfatc1 are positive regulators of OB function (Yang and Karsenty, 2004; Yang et al., 2004; Koga et al., 2005; Bozec et al., 2010) but enhance OC-genesis either indirectly via OBs (ATF4) or in OC precursors (Nfatc1). Thus, these factors act more as rheostats for bone turnover, increasing both bone formation and resorption, rather than a rheostat for bone mass, a critical consideration in the clinic. FoxO family transcription factors, PPARγ, and β-catenin all function both in mesenchymal and hematopoietic progenitors to regulate bone formation and resorption in an opposite manner, but even these key regulators do not affect all three components of bone remodeling (Glass et al., 2005; MacDonald et al., 2009; Wan, 2010; Almeida, 2011; Kousteni, 2011; Wei et al., 2011; Otero et al., 2012).

The transcriptional regulators Zfp521 and early B cell factor 1 (Ebf1), both first identified in the hematopoietic system (Warming et al., 2003; Lukin et al., 2008), have recently emerged as important players in bone biology. Zfp521 interacts with and suppresses Runx2 activity to regulate early skeletal development, whereas overexpression of Zfp521 in mature OBs promotes bone formation (Wu et al., 2009; Hesse et al., 2010). Conversely, deletion of Ebf1 in mice results in increased bone formation and increased BM adiposity (Hesselein et al., 2009).

We show here that the interplay of Zfp521 and Ebf1 can coordinately regulate bone mass. Through its activity in both OBs and OCs, Zfp521 affects all three components of bone remodeling, positively affecting bone homeostasis. Furthermore, we show that the negative effects of Ebf1 on bone mass are endogenously repressed by the transcriptional modulator Zfp521 in both OBs and OCs, such that the latter exerts a positive and coordinated influence on bone homeostasis. We have therefore identified the interaction between Zfp521 and Ebf1 as a novel regulator of bone homeostasis that functions in both mesenchymal and hematopoietic cells to modulate bone formation and bone resorption in a coordinated manner, acting as a rheostat for bone homeostasis.

RESULTS

Germline deletion of Zfp521 decreases bone formation and increases bone resorption, leading to osteopenia

OB-targeted overexpression of Zfp521 leads to a high post-developmental bone mass with a high bone formation rate (BFR; Wu et al., 2009). Here we sought to determine whether Zfp521 was required for normal skeletal homeostasis by generating a germline deletion in mice (Fig. 1, A–D). The skeleton of Zfp521+/− mice developed normally, but these mice were runted and survived only 4–5 wk. We therefore analyzed their bones at 3 wk of age. Histomorphometric analysis showed that Zfp521+/− mice were osteopenic, with decreased mineral apposition rate (MAR; the activity of individual OBs) and BFR (BFR/bone volume [BV]; overall bone formation activity). Interestingly, this occurred despite increased OB numbers, suggesting that Zfp521 affects mostly OB function (Fig. 1, E and F; and Table S1). Low bone mass was confirmed by microcomputed tomography (μCT) analysis, and lower PINP levels in Zfp521+/− mice verified the decrease in bone formation (Fig. 1, G and M). These mice also showed an increased number of OCs and eroded surfaces (ESs; ES/bone surface [BS]), as well as elevated levels of serum CTX (C-terminal telopeptide of type I collagen), showing that germline deletion of Zfp521 not only decreases bone formation but also increases bone resorption (Fig. 1, F and M).

Conditional deletion of Zfp521 in mature OBs decreases bone formation but does not affect bone resorption

To determine whether the effects of Zfp521 on bone homeostasis were OB dependent, and to circumvent the early lethality of the full deletion, we generated conditional Zfp521 knockouts targeted to mature OBs using the human osteocalcin (hOC)–Cre mouse line (Zhang et al., 2002). Unlike Zfp521+/− mice, Zfp521hOC−/− mice grew normally, allowing us to perform analysis in more mature skeletons, at 6 and 12 wk. Similar to the global knockout, histomorphometric analysis revealed that deletion of Zfp521 in OBs resulted in decreased BV (Fig. 1, H, I, K, and L; and Tables S2 and S3). The osteopenic phenotype was also confirmed by μCT (Fig. 1 J). Bone formation was impaired, with both MAR and BFR/BV significantly decreased, although serum PINP (N-terminal propeptide of type I procollagen) was not significantly decreased (Fig. 1, I, L, and N).
Figure 2. **Zfp521 is required for OB maturation.** (A) Cryosections of 3-wk-old Zfp521−/− and control mice showing the distal femoral metaphysis were immunostained for Runx2 (green). Nuclear DAPI staining (dark blue) and colocalization with Runx2 (light blue) are shown. Higher magnification images of the marked areas show trabecular surfaces. Asterisks indicate the growth plate, and arrows indicate the trabecular bone. Bar graph shows the ratio of Runx2+/total cell number quantified in the primary spongiosa from confocal images (n = 3 mice/genotype). (B) An equal number of BM cells flushed from Zfp521−/− and control mice was plated on 6-well plates and cultured in osteogenic medium (OM) for 10 and 21 d. The cells were stained for ALP activity on day 10 to count the number of CFU-Fs and with Alizarin red for osteoblastic colonies (CFU-OB) on day 21. (C) Calvarial cells from Zfp521−/−, Zfp521hOC−/−, and respective control newborn mice were harvested and cultured on 6-well plates for 7 d in OM and stained for ALP activity.
Interestingly, and again similar to the germline deletion, the number of OBs was increased in 6-wk-old Zfp521<sup>hOC</sup>−/− mice, despite the decrease in BFR/BV (Fig. 1 I). This discrepancy was maintained at 12 wk, with the BFR continuing to be low and the number of OBs remaining as high as in controls (Fig. 1 L and Tables S2 and S3). Thus, the deletion of Zfp521 in mature OBs leads to a bone formation phenotype that is reversed compared with what we observed in mice overexpressing Zfp521, also under the control of the osteocalcin (Ocn) promoter (Wu et al., 2009). These findings demonstrate that Zfp521 has a cell-autonomous, nonredundant function in mature OBs in vivo to promote bone formation. However, and in contrast with germine deletion, there was no difference in OC number, ES, or serum CTX in Zfp521<sup>hOC</sup>−/− mice (Fig. 1, I, L, and N).

**Zfp521 is required for OB maturation and function**

The association of low MAR and BFR with an increase in OB numbers in Zfp521-deficient mice suggested that deletion of Zfp521 induces the accumulation of poorly functional OBs along trabecular surfaces and therefore that OB maturation could be altered in the absence of Zfp521. Consistent with this hypothesis, we detected more OBs at early stages of differentiation along Zfp521<sup>−/−</sup> trabeculae, using Runx2 as an osteoprogenitor marker (Fig. 2 A), and an increased number of alkaline phosphatase (ALP)–positive fibroblast CFUs (CFU-FS) in Zfp521<sup>−/−</sup> BM (Fig. 2 B). Interestingly, the number of Alizarin red–positive colonies (CFU-OB) was only modestly increased, with only the number of small nodules being significantly increased, which suggests that the Zfp521<sup>−/−</sup> progenitors are increased in numbers but are impaired in their OB function, i.e., their capacity to form large bone nodules.

In vitro, Zfp521<sup>−/−</sup> calvarial cells exhibited decreased ALP staining (Fig. 2 C) and mRNA expression (not depicted) at day 7. As expected, there was no detectable change in ALP in Zfp521<sup>hOC</sup>−/− cultures because hOC-Cre deleted Zfp521 in more mature cells after they became ALP positive (Fig. 2 C). The formation of mineralized bone nodules was comparably impaired in both Zfp521<sup>−/−</sup> and Zfp521<sup>hOC</sup>−/− cultures (Fig. 2 D). In vitro, hOC-Cre deleted Zfp521 only in the fraction of cells that became hOC-Cre positive, reducing the apparent expression of Zfp521 in the total cell pool at day 14 when Zfp521 expression is normally the highest (Fig. 2 E). The Zfp521<sup>−/−</sup> and Zfp521<sup>hOC</sup>−/− cultures shared nearly identical gene expression fingerprints, where the expression of early OB marker genes such as *Osteopontin* (*Opn*) was unchanged but the expression of the mature OB marker genes *Bone sialoprotein* (*Bsp*) and *Ocn* was markedly decreased, further demonstrating impaired OB maturation in the absence of Zfp521 (Fig. 2, F and G). This finding was confirmed in vivo by in situ hybridization for *Opn* and *Ocn* (Fig. 2 H).

Thus, global and hOC-Cre–targeted Zfp521 deletions resulted in similar impairment of OB maturation and function, but germine deletion increased bone resorption, whereas OB-targeted deletion with the Ocn promoter did not. This discrepancy suggested that the regulation of OCs by Zfp521 may occur in cells other than OBs and/or at earlier stages of differentiation within the OB lineage, before efficient expression of Ocn. To address this question, we used two different but complementary approaches.

**Zfp521 affects OB-dependent OC-genesis when deleted early**

In vivo, we used osterix (*Osx*)–Cre mice to target Zfp521 deletion to early OBs (Rodda and McMahon, 2006). Similar to Zfp521<sup>−/−</sup> and Zfp521<sup>hOC</sup>−/− mice, Zfp521<sup>Osx</sup>−/− mice were osteopenic and exhibited impaired bone formation when compared with Cre–expressing Zfp521<sup>hOC</sup>+/+ control mice (Fig. 3 A and Table S4). However, like the Zfp521<sup>−/−</sup> mice, Zfp521<sup>Osx</sup>−/− mice exhibited increased number of OCs and ES, a change not observed in Zfp521<sup>hOC</sup>−/−. This result shows that Zfp521 controls OB-dependent OC-genesis in vivo in early cells within the OB lineage that express (or have expressed) Osx-Cre but in which the hOC promoter is not yet active (Fig. 3 B).

The main mechanism by which OBs regulate OC-genesis involves the secretion of RANKL and OPG. We therefore measured the production of these two cytokines in cells derived from Zfp521<sup>−/−</sup> mice. In vitro, OC production was strikingly increased in Zfp521 total BM cultures when stimulated with parathyroid hormone (PTH), a known inducer of endogenous Rankl expression in OBs (Fig. 3 C). Comparison of classical co-cultures of calvarial cells from control or Zfp521<sup>−/−</sup> mice mixed with nonadherent BM from wild-type or Zfp521<sup>−/−</sup> animals showed that Zfp521<sup>−/−</sup> calvarial cells were more efficient in supporting OC-genesis than control cells (Fig. 3 D), implicating Zfp521 in OB–dependent OC-genesis. In contrast, calvarial cells from control and Zfp521<sup>hOC</sup>−/− in which OC numbers were not altered in vivo, did not differ in their capacity to support OC-genesis (not depicted). This confirmed that Zfp521 acts at a defined stage within the OB lineage to control OC-genesis. Zfp521<sup>−/−</sup> calvarial cells expressed significantly more *Rankl* and less *Opg* mRNAs than control cells, resulting in a pronounced increase in the
However, these mix-and-match experiments also showed increased OC-genesis in Zfp521−/− BM even when these cells were cultured with control calvarial cells, i.e., in the presence of a normal RANKL/OPG ratio. This suggests that Zfp521 could also be involved in the regulation of OC-genesis through its activity within hematopoietic cells (Fig. 3 D).
Zfp521 deletion in hematopoietic cells also increases OC-genesis

Confirming this hypothesis, RANKL-induced differentiation of BM and spleen-derived Zfp521−/− OC progenitors was both more rapid and markedly increased (Fig. 4 A and not depicted, respectively). This was consistent across a range of RANKL concentrations (Fig. 4 A). To elucidate the mechanisms by which Zfp521 could function within the OC lineage, we compared transcriptional profiling of known regulators of OC-genesis downstream of RANKL in control and Zfp521−/− OC progenitors. The expression of Nfatc1 and of its target genes, Ctsk and Rank, was increased after RANKL stimulation in Zfp521−/− OCs derived from BM macrophages (BMMs; Fig. 4 B). Moreover, Zfp521 repressed Nfatc1 transcriptional activity in an in vitro Cathepsin K promoter–luciferase assay in Raw-267 cells (Fig. 4 C). In addition, the expression of Ccl9, an Ebf1 target gene which has been reported to enhance RANKL-stimulated OC-genesis (Okamatsu et al., 2004), was up-regulated at steady-state (not depicted) and was markedly increased 4 h after RANKL stimulation in Zfp521−/− cultures (Fig. 4 D). Blocking Ccl9 activity by neutralizing anti-Ccl9 antibody normalized OC-genesis in Zfp521−/− OC progenitor cells (Fig. 4 E). These data suggest that Zfp521 controls OC-genesis by two complementary mechanisms. First, Zfp521 regulates the autocrine expression of Ccl9 in OC progenitors, enhancing RANKL-stimulated OC-genesis. Second, Zfp521 controls the activity of RANK downstream signaling by inhibiting Nfatc1 transcriptional activity. Together, these two mechanisms contribute to the increased OC-genesis observed in vitro and in vivo when Zfp521 is absent within the hematopoietic lineage.

Thus, Zfp521 appears to regulate in a coordinated manner the three main aspects of bone homeostasis, i.e., bone formation, OB–dependent OC-genesis, and OC lineage–dependent OC-genesis, the net result being a net increase in bone mass.

We then turned to identifying the mechanism by which Zfp521 could mediate such a positive effect on the postdevelopmental skeleton.

Runx2 haploinsufficiency fails to rescue bone homeostasis in the Zfp521+/− postdevelopmental skeleton

We have previously shown that Zfp521 interacts with and represses Runx2 activity such that haploinsufficiency of Zfp521 rescues some aspects of the developmental bone phenotype of Runx2+/− mice (Hesse et al., 2010). We have also shown that this repressive activity of Zfp521 on Runx2 can prevent the negative influence of artificially increased Runx2 expression in OBs on adult skeleton (Hesse et al., 2010). Because in this model both proteins were artificially overexpressed, we sought to determine whether the interaction between Zfp521 and Runx2 was involved in the regulation of postdevelopmental bone homeostasis under more physiological conditions. For this purpose, we crossed Zfp521+/− with Runx2+/− mice and analyzed their bone density by μCT at the postdevelopmental stage of 6 wk. As shown in Fig. 5 A, deletion of one allele of Runx2, which induced a mild osteopenia by itself,
Ebf1 haploinsufficiency rescues bone homeostasis in the postdevelopmental Zfp521+/− skeleton

Several lines of evidence called our attention to the transcription factor Ebf1 as a possible candidate. First, Zfp521 has been previously shown to interact with and repress Ebf1 in B cells in vitro (Mega et al., 2011). Second, deletion of Ebf1 has been reported to increase bone formation and bone mass in vivo (Hesslein et al., 2009), and third, Ccl9, which we found markedly increased in BM cells in the absence of Zfp521, is a well-known target gene of Ebf1.

To test the hypothesis that some of the effects we observed in bone in the absence of Zfp521 could be related to dysregulation of Ebf1 transcriptional activity, we crossed Zfp521+/− mice with Ebf1+/− heterozygous mice. Unlike haploinsufficiency of Runx2, deletion of one allele of Ebf1 was able to rescue the osteopenic phenotype of Zfp521+/− mice (Fig. 5, C and D). Furthermore, full histomorphometric analysis (Fig. 5, C and D; and Table S5) of these mice at 6 wk of age showed that both bone formation and bone resorption parameters were altered, and in an opposite manner: BFR was decreased and OC numbers (N.Oc/BS) and activity (ES/BS) were increased in Zfp521+/− mice, whereas the opposite was true in Ebf1+/− mice. Each and all of these parameters were normalized in the compound heterozygote mutants, strongly suggesting that the dysregulation of Ebf1 transcriptional activity was a critical contributor to the postdevelopmental alterations of bone homeostasis after deletion of Zfp521, affecting both bone formation and bone resorption.
Zfp521 contains a nucleosome remodeling and deacetylase (NuRD) complex–binding domain at its N terminus (Fig. 6 E; Matsubara et al., 2009), and deletion of the first 13 aa (Zfp521ΔN) abolished its interaction with several NuRD components (Fig. 6 F). In contrast, Ebf1 interacts with the last four zinc fingers of Zfp521 (ZF5–26), and deletion of these zinc fingers (Zfp521ΔC) reduced the binding of Zfp521 to Ebf1 (not depicted; Mega et al., 2011). On B cell–specific B29 promoter, the Zfp521ΔN mutant was significantly less efficient in repressing Ebf1, although Ebf1 did not lose all of its transcriptional activity (Fig. 6 G). Deletion of the last four zinc fingers also resulted in partial loss of suppression of Ebf1 activity.
activity on several other Ebf1 target promoters (Mega et al., 2011; Kang et al., 2012). Thus, it appears that the mechanisms by which Zfp521 interacts with and/or represses Ebf1 activity are promoter and possibly cellular context dependent.

OB-targeted overexpression of Ebf1 mimics the effects of conditional deletion of Zfp521 on bone formation

To further establish that increased Ebf1 activity contributed to the phenotype of Zfp521-deficient mice, we targeted overexpression of Ebf1 in mature OBs, hypothesizing that this could result in a bone phenotype similar to that of Zfp521−/− mice. To test this, we generated transgenic mice that overexpress Ebf1 in OBs using the rat collagen I 2.3-kb promoter (Woitge et al., 2001; Wu et al., 2009), leading to a
twofold overexpression of Ebf1 in long bones (Fig. 7 A). The bone phenotype of the Col1-Ebf1 mice was a phenocopy of Zfp521<sup>hOC−/−</sup> mice, with low BV and low MAR and mineralizing surface/BS leading to decreased BFR (Fig. 7, B and C; and Table S6). The Col1-Ebf1 phenotype was also the opposite of the Ebf1<sup>−/−</sup> mice (Hesslein et al., 2009), thereby confirming the negative role of Ebf1 on bone formation and that it is cell autonomous to OBs. As with Zfp521 deletion, the decrease in BFR occurred despite increased OB numbers, indicating that the function of individual OBs was impaired. Importantly, overexpression of Ebf1 targeted to mature OBs led to increased expression of the same Ebf1 target genes as in Zfp521-deleted OBs (Ccl9, Cxcl12, and PPARγ; Figs. 7 D and 6 D). Both Zfp521<sup>hOC−/−</sup> and Col-Ebf1 calvarial cells formed less mineralized bone nodules in vitro, whereas early OB differentiation and ALP activity were not affected (Fig. 7 E). Accordingly, Col-Ebf1 cells expressed lower levels of mature OB markers (Bgp and Ocn), indicating impaired maturation and function (Fig. 7 F).

Interestingly, gene expression profiling of calvarial cells isolated from Zfp521-deleted and Ebf1-overexpressing mice revealed that in all cases, expression of the Col1α1 gene was decreased (Fig. 7 G). In silico analysis of the Col1α1 promoter identified a putative Ebf1-binding site, and a chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay showed that Ebf1 did in fact occupy this promoter region (Fig. 7 H). Furthermore, although Zfp521 had no effect by itself, Ebf1 suppressed the activity of Coll 2.3-kb promoter-luciferase construct, and this suppression was relieved by coexpressing Zfp521 (Fig. 7 I). These data show that increased Ebf1 activity inhibits bone formation in vivo and in vitro, at least partly by directly suppressing type I collagen expression, a negative effect which is reversed by Zfp521. Thus, OB-targeted overexpression of Ebf1 recapitulated all the hallmarks of the phenotype of Zfp521<sup>hOC−/−</sup> mice in vivo and in vitro, suggesting that the mechanism by which Zfp521 deletion impairs OB maturation and bone formation involves the up-regulation of Ebf1 transcriptional activity.

**Ebf1 regulates OB-dependent and hematopoietic lineage–dependent OC–genesis**

To test whether Ebf1 also regulates OB-dependent OC–genesis, we performed BM cultures and mix-and-match experiments, now using Ebf1<sup>−/−</sup> cells. Stimulation of total BM from Ebf1<sup>−/−</sup> mice with PTH resulted in significantly decreased OC–genesis compared with controls (Fig. 8 A). Similarly, Ebf1<sup>−/−</sup> calvarial cells exhibited impaired support of OC–genesis from wild-type BM, demonstrating a role for Ebf1 in the regulation of OB-dependent OC–genesis (Fig. 8 B). Deletion of Ebf1 resulted in a threefold decrease in Rankl/Opg ratio, opposite to the Zfp521<sup>−/−</sup> phenotype (Fig. 8 C). In agreement with the mRNA data, Rankl protein levels were significantly decreased in the Ebf1<sup>−/−</sup> cultures (Fig. 8 D).

As Zfp521 and Ebf1 had divergent effects on the expression of Rankl, we analyzed the effects of the two proteins on the regulation of this promoter. A search of the proximal promoter and distal enhancer regions revealed an Ebf1 consensus sequence in the distal enhancer region, previously shown to be required for PTH–induced activation (Fig. 8 E; Fu et al., 2002, 2006; Kitazawa and Kitazawa, 2002). ChIP analysis showed that both Zfp521 and Ebf1 could bind the distal enhancer but not the proximal promoter (Fig. 8 E and not depicted). Endogenous Zfp521 occupied the distal enhancer at steady-state but was rapidly displaced by treatment of the cells with PTH, which led to a robust (30-fold) increase in Rankl expression (Fig. 8 F). With time, Zfp521 returned to the enhancer region, followed by a decrease in Rankl expression. Collectively, our data demonstrate that Zfp521 and Ebf1 regulate Rankl expression in an opposite manner in cells of the OB lineage by binding to its distal enhancer region.

Zfp521 and Ebf1 were both expressed in OC progenitors, and their expression decreased identically upon induction of differentiation with RANKL (Fig. 8, G and H). We therefore tested whether the interaction between Zfp521 and Ebf1 also had cell–autonomous effects in OC progenitors. In contrast with the accelerated OC–genesis of BM and spleen–derived Zfp521<sup>−/−</sup> OC progenitors, spleen cells and BMMs derived from Ebf1<sup>−/−</sup> mice required longer time and higher concentration of RANKL to form OCs, confirmed by decreased expression of OC marker genes in a time course experiment (Fig. 8, I and J; and not depicted, respectively). These in vitro findings were therefore consistent with histomorphometric analysis of bone resorption in both Zfp521<sup>−/−</sup> and Ebf1<sup>−/−</sup> mice (Fig. 5 D). Thus, in addition to their role within OBs in regulating bone formation and the RANKL/OPG ratio, the interplay of these molecules in OC precursors is also an important cell–autonomous determinant of OC differentiation, most likely through regulation of Ccl9 expression, an Ebf1 target gene reported to enhance RANKL–stimulated OC–genesis (Okamatsu et al., 2004).

**DISCUSSION**

Bone mass is under complex regulation by endocrine and paracrine signals, which modulate bone formation via OB differentiation and function, and bone resorption through both OB–dependent and hematopoietic lineage–autonomous mechanisms. We found that alterations in the balance between Ebf1 and the 30–zinc finger transcriptional coregulator Zfp521 affects in a coordinated manner the regulation of all three aspects of bone homeostasis, i.e., bone formation and both OB–dependent and OC precursor–intrinsic OC–genesis. Thus, the interaction between Ebf1 and Zfp521 in both the mesenchymal and the hematopoietic lineages acts as a rheostat for bone homeostasis that coordinates the activities of OBs and OCs to regulate bone mass.

**Zfp521 represses Ebf1 to maintain bone homeostasis**

Zfp521 interacts with Ebf1 and suppresses its transcriptional activity, such that deletion of Zfp521 enhanced Ebf1 target gene expression in cells of both the OB and the OC lineages. In OBs, high Ebf1 activity results in impaired bone formation. Targeted overexpression of Ebf1 in mature OBs in vivo recapitulated the low bone formation phenotype of Zfp521<sup>hOC−/−</sup> mice.

**Table S6**
Figure 8.  

Ebf1 and Zfp521 regulate OB-dependent and hematopoietic lineage–dependent OC–genesis. (A) Total BM cells from Ebf1−/− and control mice were plated on 48-well plates, stimulated with 10 nM hPTH(1–34), and stained for TRAP. Number of TRAP+ multinucleated cells (MNCs) per well is shown. (B) Calvarial cells from control and Ebf1−/− mice were co-cultured with nonadherent BM cells as indicated in 24-well plates, stimulated with vitD3 and PGE2, and stained for TRAP. Bar graphs indicate the number of TRAP+ multinucleated cells per well. (C) Rankl and Opg mRNA expression and Rankl/Opg ratio measured by qRT-PCR in the co-culture experiment in B. (D) RANKL protein levels were measured with RANKL ELISA in medium samples from control and Ebf1−/− calvarial cells cultured in 12-well plates and stimulated with vitD3 and PGE2 as in co-culture experiments (n = 3). (E) ChIP with anti-V5 antibody for V5-Zfp521 and anti-Flag antibody for Flag-Ebf1 in MC3T3-E1 cells overexpressing the tagged proteins. IgG was used as control. The PCR-amplified promoter area contained a putative Ebf1 consensus site in the active distal promoter region. (F) The time courses of PTH-induced Rankl mRNA expression and displacement and rebinding of endogenous Zfp521 from the Rankl distal promoter region after stimulation by 10 nM PTH were compared. Zfp521 binding to the promoter was analyzed in a ChIP assay using the anti-Zfp521 antibody. IgG was used as control. (G) Expression of Zfp521 mRNA by qRT-PCR in OC progenitors cultured with 20 ng/ml M-CSF for 2 d and then stimulated with 100 ng/ml RANKL for the indicated times. (H) Expression of Ebf1 mRNA by qRT-PCR in OC progenitors cultured as in G. (I) Control and Ebf1−/− spleen cells were stimulated with 20 ng/ml M-CSF and then with increasing doses of RANKL for 5 d, stained for TRAP activity, and quantified. Bar, 100 μm. (J) Expression of Nfatc1, Ctsk, and Rank mRNAs by qRT-PCR in Ebf1−/− spleen cell–derived OCs cultured with 20 ng/ml M-CSF and then M-CSF + 100 ng/ml RANKL for the indicated times. All data are mean ± SD. Similar cell number and mRNA data were obtained from three independent experiments with four to six replicates per condition. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001.
Further demonstrating this relationship, the impaired bone formation and low bone mass of Zfp521−/− mice was rescued in Zfp521+/−:Ebf1+/− double heterozygous mice. Importantly, although Zfp521 can repress Runx2 and some of the early skeletal defects in Runx2−/− mice are rescued in Zfp521+/−: Runx2−/− pups (Wu et al., 2009; Hesse et al., 2010), Runx2 haploinsufficiency did not rescue the Zfp521−/− low bone mass phenotype. The lack of rescue of osteogenic phenotype in Zfp521+/−:Runx2+/− may be at least in part caused by the low endogenous Runx2 levels in mature OBs and in the post-developmental skeleton (Maruyama et al., 2007). Thus, in addition to its interaction with Runx2 to regulate early OB lineage differentiation at developmental stages (Wu et al., 2009; Hesse et al., 2010), Zfp521 also interacts with and controls the activity of Ebf1 to regulate OB maturation and bone formation, the latter being predominant in the mature skeleton.

Derepression of Runx2 activity may nevertheless also contribute to the phenotype observed after deletion of Zfp521. We have previously shown that supraphysiologically levels of Zfp521 suppress early OB differentiation in vitro but also promote bone formation in vivo (Wu et al., 2009). Our findings may now help explain this apparent contradiction. Deletion of Zfp521 increased the number of Runx2-positive cells as well as the number of CFU-Fs and CFU-OBs, confirming that Zfp521 tends to repress early OB differentiation, and this most likely through repression of Runx2. Yet these accumulating early OBs exhibit impaired capacity to progress to full maturity and to form bone in the absence of Zfp521, suggesting that Runx2-positive cells cannot differentiate further without Zfp521. Our results suggest that this late stage effect on OBs is caused by derepression of Ebf1. We therefore propose that in the regulation of the OB lineage, Runx2 is predominant at early stages and Ebf1 at later stages. By repressing both, Zfp521 would decrease early differentiation but favor late maturation of OBs and bone formation. As a matter of fact, Zfp521 expression kinetics with increased expression at later stages during OB differentiation would indeed favor the latter, consistent with its recently proposed positive role during neuronal differentiation (Wu et al., 2009; Kamiya et al., 2011).

**Zfp521 and Ebf1 regulate bone resorption in both hematopoietic and mesenchymal cells**

Bone resorption is a critical component of bone remodeling and of the regulation of bone homeostasis. Deletion of Zfp521 led to an increase in OC numbers and serum CTX as the result of effects in both OBs and OCs. In OBs, Ebf1 stimulated the expression of Rankl and Zfp521 had opposite effects. ChIP analysis showed that both Ebf1 and Zfp521 associate directly with the Rankl promoter to reciprocally regulate its transcription. In contrast, Ebf2 has been reported to regulate OC-genesis through OPG and not RANKL expression in OBs (Kieslinger et al., 2005). Recent studies have suggested that the osteocytes may be the major source of RANKL in adult bone, whereas OBs and hypertrophic chondrocytes would be an important source only during growth (Nakashima et al., 2011; Xiong et al., 2011). Interestingly, we found that although deletion of Zfp521 in early OBs (Osx-Cre) affects OC-genesis, deletion of Zfp521 (hOC-Cre) or targeted overexpression of Ebf1 (ColII.3-Ebf1) in more mature cells does not. We cannot however exclude the possibility that some of these regulatory events could also take place in matrix-embedded cells.

Most importantly, our study shows for the first time that besides its opposing function in OBs, Ebf1 and Zfp521 also act cell-autonomously within the monocyte-macrophage lineage to regulate OC-genesis. Zfp521 acts within OC progenitors to inhibit their differentiation, whereas Ebf1 is required for normal differentiation. These data are consistent with the hypothesis that increased Zfp521 expression is involved in the maintenance of undifferentiated hematopoietic cell phenotypes (Warming et al., 2003; Bond et al., 2004; Hentges et al., 2005). Again confirming the opposition between Zfp521 and Ebf1, but now in hematopoietic cells, OC numbers were decreased after deletion of one Ebf1 allele, increased after deletion of one allele of Zfp521, and normalized in the double heterozygous mutants. Notably, the osteoclastogenic potential of Ebf1−/− progenitors in vitro was also clearly decreased, establishing firmly that Ebf1 promotes OC-genesis within hematopoietic cells.

What is the mechanism by which the interaction between Zfp521 and Ebf1 regulates OC-genesis? Besides the opposing roles of Zfp521 and Ebf1 in the regulation of the Rankl promoter in OBs, the Ebf1–Zfp521 interaction also affected OCs directly. We show that the up-regulation of OC-genesis in Zfp521−/− mice occurred by at least two separate but synergistic mechanisms. First, deletion of Zfp521 resulted in increased expression of the Ebf1 target gene Ccl9 (Lagergren et al., 2007). Ccl9 and its receptor Ccr1 have been reported to be the main chemokine ligand receptor pair expressed in OCs, enhancing RANKL-induced OC-genesis (Lean et al., 2002; Okamatsu et al., 2004). Consistent with Ccl9 playing a critical role in our model, blocking Ccl9 with a neutralizing antibody was sufficient to normalize OC-genesis in Zfp521−/− cultures. However, in this experiment, Zfp521−/− OCs still showed enhanced OC-genesis compared with controls, suggesting that an additional mechanism was involved. Indeed, we found that Zfp521 inhibits Nfatc1 transcriptional activity in OCs such that the expression of several known Nfatc1 target genes was increased after Zfp521 deletion. Thus, Zfp521 represses OC-genesis not only by altering the RANKL/OPG ratio in cells of the OB lineage but also by suppressing RANK signaling at several levels. It inhibits an Ebf1-dependent Ccl9 autocrine loop in OC precursors, decreasing their sensitivity to RANKL, and suppresses Nfatc1 activity, a critical downstream signaling effector of RANK.

**Zfp521 as a transcriptional modulator**

What is the molecular mechanism by which Zfp521 represses Ebf1? Zfp521 is a component of transcriptional complexes, but it lacks any classical transactivation domains. Instead it contains clusters of C2H2 Krüppel-like zinc fingers, suggesting that it could act as a platform to assemble transcriptional complexes. Indeed, Zfp521 interacts with its N terminus with
components of the NuRD complex and binds to Ebf1 via its C-terminal zinc fingers, but whether NuRD was required for transcriptional repression by Zfp521 remained unresolved. We and others have previously found that the Zfp521–NuRD interaction is dispensable for the repression of Runx2 (Correa et al., 2010; Hesse et al., 2010) or of some Ebf1–responsive promoters (Mega et al., 2011; Kang et al., 2012). However, the NuRD-binding domain is required for the efficient repression of GATA-1 activity by Zfp521 during erythroid differentiation (Matsubara et al., 2009). Thus, Zfp521 might exert its repressive action on transcription through several mechanisms, one of which requires the recruitment of NuRD.

Indeed, we show here that on a B cell–specific B29 promoter, deletion of the N-terminal NuRD-binding domain partially impaired the ability of Zfp521ΔN to repress Ebf1, which was further reduced in the Zfp521ΔNΔC mutant, although it still retained some repressive function. In contrast, on the Ccl9 promoter, the NuRD interaction was required for efficient repression of Ebf1, whereas the C-terminal Ebf1-binding domain was dispensable. These results confirm that several mechanisms are involved in the repressive function of Zfp521 on gene transcription and suggest that (a) the requirement of NuRD interaction for Zfp521 to repress target genes of the same transcription factor, here Ebf1, or of different transcription factors, such as Runx2 or GATA1, may be promoter specific and (b) direct interaction of Zfp521 to Ebf1 (or to other target factor) may not be required for efficient repression. The latter could be mediated by an intermediate, such as the NuRD complex itself. More studies will be required to unravel the molecular mechanisms by which Zfp521 represses transcription in these different contexts.

The specific function of Zfp521 could go as far as reversing its role from a repressor to an activator of transcription, as suggested by Kamiya et al. (2011) in the regulation of neuronal differentiation or by Hentges et al. (2005) in murine lymphomas. Based on their data in lymphoma cells, Hentges et al. (2005) actually propose that on B cell–related Ebf1 target genes, Zfp521 could even enhance Ebf1 activity, whereas on other promoters Zfp521 would be a repressor. Whether this activation would be direct or indirect through Zfp521 binding to and inhibiting repressors remains to be explored. Supporting this latter hypothesis, we found here that Zfp521 enhances Col1a1 expression, a key target gene for bone formation in mature OBs, but this occurs through the relief of the Ebf1–mediated suppression of Col1a1. Collectively, these data suggest that Zfp521 regulates the transcriptional program in different cell types through interactions with a specific subset of transcription factors, recruiting specific transcriptional complexes to modulate cell differentiation and function.

In summary, by repressing Ebf1, Zfp521 exerts a cell-autonomous positive influence on OB maturation and bone formation and a negative influence on OB-dependent and on OC precursor cell-autonomous regulation of OC-genesis and bone resorption. Collectively, our results demonstrate that one single transcription factor, Ebf1, and its regulation by one single repressor, Zfp521, affect in a coordinated manner three key components of bone remodeling to regulate bone homeostasis. Thus, the interplay of these two factors acts as a rheostat to regulate bone homeostasis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Generation of conditional knockout construct for Zfp521. The DNA construct for simultaneous generation of a null and a conditional allele for Zfp521 was made using recombineering, essentially as described previously (Liu et al., 2003; Warming et al., 2006). First, a retrieval vector was prepared by three-way ligation of mini-homology arms into pBlight-TK (a plasmid backbone containing the thymidine kinase from HSV) for counter-selection in embryonic stem cells using Ganciclovir (Warming et al., 2006). The homology arms were amplified from a mouse BAC containing the Zfp521 genomic region using the primers listed below. The BAC (CITB 454L20) was identified by screening a 129-based BAC library (CJ7 embryonic stem cell DNA, CITB, Research Genetics/Invitrogen), and BAC DNA was prepared using the BAC Nucleobond kit (Takara Bio Inc., BD). All primers were from Integrated DNA Technologies, and all PCR reactions were performed using Expand High Fidelity (Roche). 5′ retrieval F, 5′-AATAAAGGATCCGTGCTCAGAGCATGATAG-3′; 5′ retrieval R, 5′-AAATTAAAGTGCCTGGCCAGGTCATTTAA-3′; 5′ retrieval F, 5′-AAAAGCTTGGCCTGCTCAGAGCTAAAGGACTTGTCACA-3′; and 3′ retrieval R, 5′-AAATAAACCCGGCGCCGGTCAAGAGCCTGTACA-3′. Cloning sites were BamHI, HindIII, and NotI.

The Zfp521 BAC was transferred into DY380 cells. Next, heat-shocked and electroporated DY380/Zfp521 cells were electroporated with HindIII-linearized retrieval vector to subclone 13.7 kb of genomic Zfp521 DNA, to give rise to pZfp521. For further manipulation of pZfp521, two mini-targeting vectors for recombineering in Eosenthi coli were prepared essentially as described previously (Liu et al., 2003). The following primers were used to amplify mini-homology arms, using Zfp521 BAC DNA as template: 5′ F1, 5′-AATAAAGTGCCTGGCTCAGAGCATGATAG-3′; 5′ R1, 5′-AAATTAAAGTGCCTGGCCAGGTCATTTAA-3′; 5′ F2, 5′-AAAAGCTTGGCCTGCTCAGAGCTAAAGGACTTGTCACA-3′; 5′ F3, 5′-AAATAAACCCGGCGCCGGTCAAGAGCCTGTACA-3′; 3′ F1, 3′-AAATAAACCCGGCGCCGGTCAAGAGCCTGTACA-3′; 3′ R1, 3′-AAATAAACCCGGCGCCGGTCAAGAGCCTGTACA-3′; 3′ R2, 3′-AAATAAACCCGGCGCCGGTCAAGAGCCTGTACA-3′; 3′ F2, 3′-AAATAAACCCGGCGCCGGTCAAGAGCCTGTACA-3′; and 3′ R3, 3′-AAATAAACCCGGCGCCGGTCAAGAGCCTGTACA-3′. The BAC was digested with BglII + NotI, 5′ F1 + 3′ R1 with SalI and EcoRI, and 5′ F2 + 3′ R2 was digested with BglII + NotI, 5′ F1 + 3′ R1 with BglII and EcoRI, and 5′ F2 + 3′ R2 with BglII + NotI. An EcoRI–BamH I loxP–PGK-erm–Neo-loxP fragment was isolated from PL452, and an EcoRI–BamH I Frt–PGK–erm–Neo–Frt–loxP fragment was isolated from PL451 (Liu et al., 2003). A Sall–NotI pBluescript backbone fragment was prepared from PL452 as well.

A 5′ MTV (5′ mini-targeting vector) was made by a four-way ligation of the two 5′ PCR products, the EcoRI–BamH I loxP–Neo–loxP fragment from PL452, and the Sall–NotI backbone. Likewise, a 3′ MTV was made by a four-way ligation of the two 3′ PCR products, the EcoRI–BamH I Frt–PGK–erm–Neo–Frt–loxP fragment, and the Sall–NotI backbone.

Next, using recombineering, a floxed neo cassette was inserted upstream of Zfp521 exon 4: A NotI + Sall digested fragment from the 5′ MTV containing the floxed neo cassette flanked by mini-homology arms was co-electroporated with pZfp521 into heat-shocked and electroporated DY380 cells to give rise to pZfp521–5′neo. Then, pZfp521–5′neo was electroporated into Cre-induced EL380 cells to remove the neo cassette and leave behind a single loxp site, to give rise to pZfp521–5′loxP. Finally, a NotI + Sall–digested fragment from 3′ MTV, containing the floxed neo cassette with a single loxP site flanked by mini-homology arms, was co-electroporated with pZfp521–5′loxP into heat-shocked and electroporated DY380 cells to give rise to pZfp521–5′CKO. This targeting vector contains the genomic Zfp521 region with one loxP site and an engineered Xbal site for genotyping upstream of exon 4 and a loxP–frt–neo–fert cassette along with an engineered BamH I site for genotyping downstream of exon 4. The pZfp521–5′CKO targeting vector was linearized using NotI and electroporated into CJ7 embryonic stem cells (129 background)}
using standard methods. G418 and FlA U double-resistant embryonic stem cell clones were selected and analyzed using Southern blot hybridization on XbaI (S\(^{\ast}\))- and BamHI (3\(^{\ast}\))-digested genomic DNA. 7/47 clones were targeted (15%), and one of them had the single 5\(^{\ast}\) loxP site (1/47 = 2%). The correctly targeted embryonic stem cell clone was injected into C57BL/6 blastocysts according to standard methods to give rise to chimeras.

**Production of mice.** After germline transmission of the targeted neo allele, heterozygous neo mice were crossed to β-actin Fp mice to remove the neo cassette and produce mice carrying the fl/+ allele. Heterozygous fl/+ mice were then either intercrossed to produce homozygous fl/fl mice or crossed to β-actin Cre mice to produce heterozygous Zfp521\(^{-/-}\) mice. Heterozygous Zfp521\(^{-/-}\) mice were then intercrossed to produce homozygous Zfp521\(^{-/-}\) mice.

**Experimental animals.** Oss-Cre and hOC-Cre transgenic mice have been previously described (Zhang et al., 2002; Rodda and McMahon, 2006). Experimental mice were produced by heterozygous matings. Zfp521\(^{-/-}\) mice were maintained on C57BL background (over 10 backcrosses). Homozygous deletion of Zfp521 on C57BL/6 background was lethal during the first 24 h. To generate Zfp521\(^{-/-}\) animals, we backcrossed Zfp521\(^{-/-}\) mice once to 129/Sv, and then these F1 heterozygous mice on mixed background were intercrossed to produce control and Zfp521\(^{-/-}\) animals. These animals survived until weaning and some up to 4–5 wk of age. Runx2\(^{-/-}\) mice have been previously described (Otto et al., 1997) and were maintained on pure C57BL background (over 10 backcrosses). Zfp521\(^{-/-}\)-Runx2\(^{-/-}\) mice were produced by heterozygous matings, and wild-type littermates were used as controls.

Wild-type littermates were used as controls for Zfp521\(^{-/-}\) mice. Either Oss-Cre; Zfp521\(^{-/-}\) or hOC-Cre; Zfp521\(^{-/-}\) littermates served as controls in experiments examining the effects of the conditional deletions, and these animals were maintained on mixed 129/Sv-C57BL background. ColII.3-Ebf1 transgenic mice were generated by pronuclear injection of a construct containing the 2.3-kb fragment of the rat ColIa1 promoter (Woiteg et al., 2001) linked to mouse Ebf1 cDNA. Mice were generated and maintained on pure C57BL background and genotyped by transgene-specific primers. Ebf1\(^{-/-}\) mice were provided by J. Hagma (National Jewish Health, Denver, CO) and R. Groscchedl (Max Planck Institute of Immunobiology and Epigenetics, Freiburg, Germany; Lin and Grosschedl, 1995), maintained on C57BL background, and crossed with Zfp521\(^{-/-}\) mice to produce the experimental animals. Primer sequences for genotyping are provided per request. All procedures involving animals were approved by the Harvard Medical Area Standing Committee on Animals and conform to the relevant regulatory standards.

**Histology, histomorphometry, μCT, serum markers, in situ hybridization, and immunohistochemistry.** Bone histomorphometry was performed on secondary sponges 400 μm under the growth plate as described previously (Sabatkos et al., 2000). Five to eight animals were analyzed per group. μCT was performed with Scanco CT-35 for femurs and with SkyScan 1072 for vertebrae. 3D structural analysis was performed with software provided by the supplier. Serum samples were analyzed for PINP and CTX using Rat/Mouse PINP and RatLaps EIA assays (IDS) at Pharmatest Ltd. In situ hybridization was performed on decalcified, paraffin-embedded sections using \(^{35}\)SUTP-labeled riboprobes for \(\alpha\)-Ct and \(\alpha\)-N by replacing the methionine 1181 by a premature stop (in bold) using Multi Site–directed Mutagenesis kit (Agilent Technologies) with primer 5’-AGGCCCAAGTTGAACCCCTAGGCCAGAATCCA-GTCCCCCTCCAGTCCG-3’.

**Antibodies.** The house–generated Zfp521 antibody α-Zfp521 (369) was previously described (Wu et al., 2009). α-Zfp521, monoclonal anti-αCt clone 12CA5 (Roche), and anti-Flag clone M2 (Sigma-Aldrich) were used for immunoprecipitation and Western blotting as indicated. Goat anti–mouse and anti–rabbit HRP were used as a secondary antibodies, and HRP activity was detected with Amersham ECL Detection reagents (GE Healthcare).

**ChIP.** ChIP was performed using the Chromatin Immunoprecipitation Assay kit (EMD Millipore) according to the manufacturer’s protocol with slight modifications. In brief, calvarial cells or MC3T3-E1 cells were fixed in 1% formaldehyde for 15 min at room temperature. The cross-linking was stopped with 0.1 M glycine. After chromatin shearing, antibodies were added to samples and incubated overnight. Magnetic Dynabeads Protein A beads (Invitrogen) were used to harvest bound protein–chromatin complexes. Extracted chromatin was subjected to PCR using promoter-specific primer sequences: RANKL promoter CNSIA-F, 5’-GGTCAGAAGGGGCTC-GACCT-3’; and CNSIA-R, 5’-GCAGTGTGATAAACAGAGA-3’; and Col1a1 promoter Col1a1-F3, 5’-TGGCTCCCCCTCCTCCGAG-3’; and Col1a1-R3, 5’-TCTAGACCCTAGACATG-3’.

**Measurement of gene expression.** Total RNA was isolated using RNeasy mini kit (QiAGEN), cDNA was prepared with SuperScript VILO kit (Invitrogen), and quantitative real-time PCR was performed using iQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad Laboratories). The data were normalized using GAPDH as internal control. Primer sequences are provided per request.
We thank Dr. Beate Lanske and Dr. Despina Sitara for help with the in situ hybridizations and Lynn Neff for expert help in immunohistochemistry. We also thank Dr. Francesca Goti for discussions and her help with the manuscript. Dr. Thomas Clemens is acknowledged for providing the hOC-Cre mice.

This work was supported in part by National Institutes of Health–National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases grants to R. Baron (AR048218 and AR57769). Additional support was provided by the Academy of Finland (Projects 10945 and 120106 to R. Kiviranta), the Gideon and Sevgi Roda Foundation from the International Bone and Mineral Society (to R. Kiviranta and E. Hesse), the Finnish Cultural Foundation (to R. Kiviranta), the Emil Aaltonen Foundation (to R. Kiviranta), and the Sigrid Juselius Foundation (to R. Kiviranta). The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Submitted: 2 June 2012
Accepted: 15 March 2013

REFERENCES

Preparation of the supplemental material: We thank Dr. Paul Frame for discussions and helpful comments. Dr. Thomas Clemens is acknowledged for providing the hOC-Cre mice.


Yang, X., and G. Karsenty. 2004. ATF4, the osteoblast accumulation of which is determined post-translationally, can induce osteoblast-specific gene expression in non-osteoblastic cells. J. Biol. Chem. 279:47109–47114. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M410010200
