Bone Mineral Density of the Spine in 11,898 Chinese Infants and Young Children: A Cross-Sectional Study
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Abstract

Background: Bone mineral density (BMD) increases progressively during childhood and adolescence and is affected by various genetic and environmental factors. The aim of this study was to establish reference values for lumbar BMD in healthy Chinese infants and young children and investigate its influencing factors.

Methods and Findings: Healthy children aged 0 to 3 years who underwent regular physical examinations at the Child Health Care Clinic of Hubei Maternal and Child Health Hospital (N = 11,898) were recruited for this study. We also chose 379 preterm infants aged 0 to 1 years to preliminarily explore the development of BMD in this special population. BMD (g/cm²) measurements of the lumbar spine (L2–L4) were carried out with dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry and a questionnaire was administered to full-term children’s parents to gather information on various nutritional and lifestyle factors as well as mothers’ nutritional supplement use during pregnancy. Lumbar BMD significantly increased with age among both boys and girls (p<0.05), with fastest growth observed during the first postnatal year. There was no significant difference in lumbar BMD between boys and girls of similar age (p>0.05), either among healthy reference children or preterm infants. However, BMD values in preterm infants were significantly lower than those in term infants 3 to 8 months old (p<0.05) after adjustment for gestational age. Multivariable linear regression analysis indicated significant positive associations between lumbar BMD of healthy children and the child’s age and current weight, mother’s weight gain during pregnancy, birth weight, children’s outdoor activity duration and children’s physical activity duration.

Conclusion: Our study provides reference values of lumbar BMD for healthy Chinese children aged 0 to 3 years and identifies several influencing factors.

Introduction

Bone mass gradually increases during childhood and adolescence, influenced by genetic, nutritional, and environmental factors. Achieving peak bone mineralization during early adulthood has been proven to decrease the risk of osteoporosis [1]. Since the main bone mineral is calcium, BMD can also serve as an indicator of calcium metabolism [2].

Presently, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) is preferentially recommended for the determination of BMD due to its rapid assessment and low radiation dose with high precision and accuracy [3–5] compared with other measurements. Several studies have established normal BMD data for children and adolescents, but they were restricted to particular regions and ethnicities and/or were often based on a relatively small sample size [6–7]. We therefore carried out a cross-sectional study to establish BMD reference values for the lumbar spine of healthy Chinese children aged 0 to 3 years and to evaluate the influence of age, sex, weight, height, birth weight, children’s physical activity and outdoor exercise durations and calcium and vitamin D supplementation on these values. Moreover, because the development of BMD in preterm infants is a growing focus for researchers and clinical practitioners, we also carried out a preliminary study to compare the BMD of preterm infants and healthy reference children during the first year of life.

Subjects and Methods

Study Subjects

We recruited 11,898 Chinese children ages 0 to 3 years (5306 girls and 6592 boys) from among healthy children attending the Child Health Care Clinic of Hubei Maternal and Child Health Hospital in Wuhan, China. Included were children who had been born full term (gestational age ≥37 weeks). Excluded were children with congenital or metabolic bone disease, as well as children with clinical evidence of chronic renal, hepatic, or gastrointestinal diseases. In addition, children with length or weight <10th or >90th percentile according to National Standard
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for Urban Children Growth and Development (2005) [8] were
excluded. Subjects were divided into 15 groups based on age.
Written informed consent was obtained from at least one parent,
and the study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Hubei
Maternal and Child Health Hospital.

As a comparison group, we selected 379 preterm infants
(gestational age 32–36 weeks; 205 boys and 174 girls) and
conducted DXA scan of these infants. Excluded were preterm
infants with chronic diseases and those prescribed medicines
with parents), mother’s weight gain and calcium supplementation
of term infants was obtained from

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of 11,898 healthy reference
Chinese children aged 0–3 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean ± SD or n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>6592 (55.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age at birth, weeks</td>
<td>39.6±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of Breastfeeding</td>
<td>10.066 (84.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at the examination, months</td>
<td>9.27±7.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>9.13±3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight-for-age Z scores</td>
<td>0.12±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, cm</td>
<td>70.0±11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height-for-age Z scores</td>
<td>0.09±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal pregnancy weight gain, kg</td>
<td>16.4±7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal pregnancy calcium supplement use</td>
<td>7,590 (63.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight, kg</td>
<td>3.23±0.469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth length, cm</td>
<td>49.6±5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily milk and/or breast milk intake, mL</td>
<td>750±251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor activity duration, h/d (in spring or autumn)</td>
<td>4.1±2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity duration, h/d</td>
<td>1.3±0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium supplement use</td>
<td>3.926 (33.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin D supplement use</td>
<td>11,303 (95.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0082098.t001

Length was measured to the nearest 0.1 centimeter with the baby
supine on the scale without shoes and to calculate the distance
from top head to the heel by using fixed head and moveable foot
board simultaneously. Weight for age z-scores and height for age
z-scores were calculated using the national child growth standards
[8].

BMD Assessment

BMD (g/cm²) measurements of the lumbar spine (L2–L4) were
carried out with dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (435A102,
Norland A CooperSurgical Company, USA) with software version
5.8, strictly following the manufacturer’s operating instructions.
Lumbar vertebrae L2 to L4 were chosen for measurement because

Table 2. BMD values of term children aged 0–3 years (g/cm²)
(Mean±SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (Months)</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Combined BMD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N BMD</td>
<td></td>
<td>N BMD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.405±0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>792</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.415±0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.432±0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.444±0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.452±0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>786</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.463±0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7–8</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.485±0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9–10</td>
<td>732</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.506±0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–12</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.513±0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13–15</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.535±0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16–18</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.549±0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19–21</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.559±0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22–24</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.575±0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–30</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.588±0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31–36</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.604±0.048</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0082098.t002

Table 3. BMD values of preterm infants at gestation-adjusted age of 3–12 months (g/cm²) (X±SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (Months)</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Combined BMD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N BMD</td>
<td></td>
<td>N BMD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.348±0.113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.366±0.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.391±0.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.421±0.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.438±0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.450±0.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.461±0.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.480±0.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.496±0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.510±0.051</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0082098.t003

Questionnaire Interview

We developed an original questionnaire which was adminis-
tered to full-term children’s parents by trained children health care
professionals through person to person interviews. This question-
naire was designed to gather information on birth length and
weight, duration of outdoor activities per day by the babies (as a
surrogate for duration of exposure to sunlight), duration of
physical activity by the babies per day (including playing with toys,
sitting, crawling, walking, running, and baby exercise performed
with parents), mother’s weight gain and calcium supplementation
during pregnancy. The questionnaire assessed the quality and
frequency of daily consumption of milk and/or breast milk during
the last week by children, and information on additional calcium
and vitamin D supplementation of term infants was obtained from
parents.

Anthropometric Measurements

Infant length and weight were measured in triplicate before
BMD examination. Subjects were weighed while wearing minimal
clothing on the digital scale (WS-RT-1D, Wuhan Kangwa Science
and Technology Limited Company China) to the nearest 0.01 kg.
previous studies indicate that the trabecular bone is more sensitive to mineral changes than the cortical bone [9–10]. All measurements were performed by a trained nurse at the Department of Child Health Care of Hubei Maternal and Child Health Hospital. Quality-control scans were performed daily using a standard spine phantom; precision (coefficient of variation on repeated scan analysis) using the phantom was 0.9%. All scans were performed with the child in a supine position and without sedation. Children were directly observed at all times by the person performing the scan. The scanning procedure was interrupted if any movement artifact was noted, and a repeat scan was performed when the child was pacified. Immobilization or swaddling was applied when necessary.

Statistical Analysis

The results are expressed as mean ± SD. Two-tailed t-tests were used to compare BMD in different age and sex groups, as well as between term and preterm children. Linear and multiple regression analyses (using the backwards elimination method) were used to identify factors associated with BMD. Differences were considered statistically significant at P<0.05. Data were analyzed using SPSS version 13.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Clinical Characteristics of Reference Children

Among 11,898 term infants studied, mean (SD) birth weight and gestational age were 3232 (469) g and 39.6 (1.3) weeks, respectively. Median age at time of DXA was 6.7 months (interquartile range [IQR]: 3.4 to 12.2 months). The mean weight and height were 9.13 (3.331) kg and 70 (11.1) cm, respectively. The mean weight-for-age z scores and length-for-age z scores for the term infants were 0.12 (0.07) and 0.09 (0.06), respectively. Among term infants, 84.6% were either being exclusively or partially breast-fed. Solid food was introduced at a median of 173 days (interquartile range 94 to 162 days). The clinical characteristics of reference children aged 0–3 years are represented in Table 1.

Lumbar BMD Differences between Healthy Reference Children and Preterm Infants

Mean BMD of the lumbar spine in boys and girls, term and gestational age-adjusted preterm, at different ages, are presented in Tables 2 and 3. The BMD of the lumbar spine (L2–L4) for term children increased significantly with age before 3 years among both boys and girls (p<0.05), with the most rapid increase during the first year after birth. The same trend was identified for gestational-age-adjusted preterm infants 3 to 12 months of age (Figure 1), and the BMD of gestational-age-adjusted preterm infants was significantly lower than that of term infants in the same age group before 9 month-old (Table 4).

Two sample students’ t-tests were performed to compare the BMD of lumbar spine (L1–L4) for male and female term and preterm infants in the same age group (Table 2, 3). The results revealed that there was no significant difference in lumbar BMD between boys and girls of similar age (p>0.05), either among healthy reference children or preterm infants.

Factors Associated with Lumbar BMD in Healthy Reference Children

Univariate linear regression analysis was used to identify factors associated with the lumbar spine BMD of term children (Table 5). The results revealed positive associations of BMD with age, weight, and height at the time of the DXA examination, mother’s weight gain during pregnancy, daily milk and or breast milk intake, outdoor activity duration, physical activity duration, and supplementation of calcium and vitamin D. Lumbar spine BMD was inversely associated with both birth weight and mother’s calcium supplementation during pregnancy.

To identify independent predictors of lumbar spine BMD in term infants, a multiple linear regression model with the backward elimination method was applied (Table 6). Age and weight at the time of the DXA assessment, mother’s weight gain during pregnancy, birth weight, outdoor activity duration, and physical activity duration done by the child alone or with parents were all significantly associated with increased lumbar spine BMD.
Discussion

BMD is known to increase continuously until its peak during childhood and adolescence [11–14], and achieving peak levels is considered important for the prevention of osteoporosis and bone fracture in adulthood [14]. Although several previous studies have established normal reference BMD values for young children and adolescence, relatively little is known about BMD development during the first three years of life, and how prematurity affects bone mineralization in preterm infants. Few studies have been conducted on the BMD of the infants in large samples. Compared to other Asian populations, the BMD values in our study were higher than those in Japanese and Korean populations of the same age and sex [17–18], however, the trend that higher BMD was related to older age was the same. The difference in the BMD results might be due to the different DEXA instruments used in different studies and different nutritional status of the children.

To investigate the factors that may influence the BMD of healthy children, we analyzed the potential factors related to nutritional and lifestyle factors as well as mothers’ nutritional status during pregnancy. Consistent with our results, weight has been suggested to be a major influencing factor on BMD for healthy boys and girls [17–18]. In multiple regression analyses, we found that children’s weight was the strongest determinant of spinal BMD in children under 3 years of age. It is possible that the stress of higher weight stimulates the strengthening of the skeleton through greater bone mineralization. Though some other studies have failed to find a significant correlation between weight and BMD in Chinese children [19–20], these discrepancies may reflect differences in the children’s age and the bone area in which BMD was measured. Milk and other dairy products are rich in calcium and many high-quality proteins and essential microminerals. Not only can dairy products provide the calcium that is the major

these factors contribute to the development of BMD under 3 years remain largely unknown.

Our study revealed that the BMD of the lumbar spine increased significantly with age in the first 3 years among healthy term boys and girls, with the steepest increase during the first year. This trend was consistent with physical development of the child during this stage, suggesting rapid development of spinal bone mass. There was no significant difference in BMD between boys and girls of the same age before 3 years, similar to the findings of Nelson et al [15] and Haiqing et al [16], which may due to the absence of the effect of sex hormones or similar modes of nourishment and lifestyle for children 0 through 3 years. Our results indicate a similar trend for BMD in preterm infants aged 3 to 12 months, and our finding of significantly lower BMD each month compared with term infants indicates inadequate bone mineralization in preterm infants. Few studies have been conducted on the BMD of the infants in large samples. Compared to other Asian populations, the BMD values in our study were higher than those in Japanese and Korean populations of the same age and sex [17–18], however, the trend that higher BMD was related to older age was the same. The difference in the BMD results might be due to the different DEXA instruments used in different studies and different nutritional status of the children.

Table 4. Comparison of BMD values between term and gestational-age-adjusted preterm infants of 3–12 months (X± SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (Months)</th>
<th>Preterm(N)</th>
<th>Term(N)</th>
<th>T test</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.348±0.113(45)</td>
<td>0.432±0.041(3693)</td>
<td>-5.86</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.366±0.099(40)</td>
<td>0.444±0.047(619)</td>
<td>-4.95</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.391±0.097(39)</td>
<td>0.452±0.047(568)</td>
<td>-5.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.421±0.082(50)</td>
<td>0.463±0.044(1390)</td>
<td>-2.83</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.438±0.078(25)</td>
<td>0.472±0.032(394)</td>
<td>-3.21</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.450±0.102(35)</td>
<td>0.486±0.048(394)</td>
<td>-4.09</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.461±0.102(45)</td>
<td>0.492±0.045(650)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.480±0.082(32)</td>
<td>0.510±0.057(719)</td>
<td>-3.42</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.496±0.053(29)</td>
<td>0.520±0.051(400)</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.510±0.051(39)</td>
<td>0.528±0.049(379)</td>
<td>-1.51</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0082098.t004

Table 5. Univariate linear regression analysis of individual factors associated with BMD in healthy term Chinese children ages 0–3 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Parameter values</th>
<th>T test</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>-0.0014</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (months)</td>
<td>0.0073</td>
<td>128.00</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current weight (kilograms)</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>173.00</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current height (centimeters)</td>
<td>0.0054</td>
<td>131.27</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal pregnancy weight gain (kilograms)</td>
<td>0.0097</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal pregnancy calcium supplement use</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>7.32</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight (kilograms)</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>13.67</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth height (centimeters)</td>
<td>-0.00077</td>
<td>-1.10</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily milk and/or breast milk intake (milliliters)</td>
<td>-0.00005</td>
<td>-26.49</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor activity duration (hours/day)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>46.92</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity duration (hours/day)</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>141.93</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium supplement use</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>10.25</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin D supplement use</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>90.72</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Gender: 0 was assigned for Male and 1 for Female. (2) Maternal pregnancy weight gain: 1 was assigned for pregnancy weight gain being less than 10 kg; 2 for 10 to 15 kg of weight gain and 3 for more than 15 kg of weight gain; (3) Pregnancy calcium supplement use: 0 was assigned for No and 1 for Yes

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0082098.t005
component of skeleton, but the vitamin D in milk may facilitate
the absorption of calcium [10]. Several previous studies have
found that milk intake was closely related to BMD [21–22].
However, our results did not reveal a positive correlation between
daily milk consumption and spinal BMD. This may be due in part
to the inaccurate reporting of the intake of milk and other dairy
products.

Nutrition during pregnancy was also positively related to spinal
BMD in term infants in our study, consistent with previous
findings [23]. Better nutrition during pregnancy can help establish
a solid foundation for the progress of BMD post partum.

In the present study, we found no independent effect of vitamin
D supplementation on BMD. This may be due to the fact that it is
now a routine in China to supplement the diets of infants.
However, we found that spinal BMD was positively correlated
with duration of children’s outdoor activity. Ultraviolet irradiation
in sunlight can trigger a series of biological reactions within the
human body that lead to the production of functional endogenous
1,25-dihydroxy vitamin D3 [24–25]. Taha et al [26] found that a
group of ultra-orthodox Jewish children in the US had higher
bone fracture rates and lower spinal BMD, which may due to less
exposure to sunlight.

Several studies have indicated that exercise influences BMD
at different ages [27–29]. Consistent with previous studies, we also
found a positive association between physical activity duration and
lumbar spine BMD. There is a rapid growth in bone mass during
infancy and adolescence. Adequate exercise can prompt the
increase of bone mass and BMD through several pathways. One is
that the local stress loads generated from muscle contraction
during exercise can strengthen the activities of osteoblast cells and
osteogenesis [30–31]. In addition, exercise can increase levels of
IGF-1, which is actively involved in bone formation [32–35].
Thus, caregivers should provide infants with appropriate amounts
and quality of exercise to help enhance BMD.

There are also some limitations about our study. First, we only
chose the lumbar spine (L2–L4) for the BMD measurement, which
may not be representative of other skeleton, and the relationship
of BMD between lumbar spine and total body skeleton among this
study population should also be investigated. Second, although
longer physical activity duration was found to be correlated with
higher lumbar spine BMD values in our study, how the differences
in intensity and types of physical activities contribute to the
development of lumbar spine BMD needs to be clarified. Finally,
although we identified a significantly lower BMD among preterm
infants in this study, further research with more detailed
classifications of preterm infants and more background information
about these infants is needed to confirm our findings.

Conclusion

Infancy and adolescence are the critical stages for normal
accumulation of bone mass and BMD. Through the present study,
we have established normal reference values for spinal BMD
among healthy Chinese children aged 0 to 3 years, which can be
used as a tool for the assessment and follow-up of Chinese children
at risk for low-bone mineralization. The main factors that
influence the development of BMD in healthy children include
children’s body weight, maternal weight gain during pregnancy,
and sufficient outdoor activity and exercise.
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Table 6. Multiple linear regression analysis of influencing factors of BMD in healthy children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Parameter values</th>
<th>T test</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Standard regression coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>−0.0032</td>
<td>−1.58</td>
<td>0.1052</td>
<td>−0.2535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (months)</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.1442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current weight (kilograms)</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>0.2639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy weight gain (kilograms)</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
<td>0.0646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight (kilograms)</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>0.0486</td>
<td>0.0354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor activity duration (hours/day)</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
<td>0.0542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity duration (hours/day)</td>
<td>0.0129</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>0.0156</td>
<td>0.0956</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Gender: 0 was assigned for Male and 1 for Female. (2) Pregnancy weight gain: 1 was assigned for pregnancy weight gain being less than 10 kg, 2 for 10 to 15 kg of weight gain, and 3 for more than 15 kg of weight gain.
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