Biomarkers of mucinous tumors of the ovary
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1. Introduction

Ovarian tumors are thought to arise from the surface epithelium of the ovary and are classified into four major histologic classes: serous, mucinous, endometrioid, clear cell and (reviewed in [1]). The molecular and cellular mechanisms of ovarian tumorigenesis remain unknown and are likely to vary between the different histotypes of ovarian cancer [2]. 12–15% of all ovarian neoplasms are mucinous ovarian tumors. The majority (75%) of mucinous tumors are benign, 15% are malignant and the remaining 10% of tumors are borderline or low malignant potential (LMP).

Mucinous ovarian tumors possess clinical characteristics that distinguish them from ovarian tumors of other histotypes. A proportion of mucinous ovarian tumors are believed to undergo a unique process of sequential development (Fig. 1); beginning as a benign cyst and progressing to an LMP tumor before developing into an adenocarcinoma [3,4]. This model of tumor progression is specific to the mucinous histotype of ovarian tumors (both low- and high-grade tumors) and differentiates them from ovarian tumors of other histological origins. It is supported in part by the observation of the co-existence of benign, LMP and malignant pathologies within the same tumor by electron microscopy and histological studies [3,5,6]. The presence of identical \textit{K-ras} mutations in these different pathologic regions of the tumor lends further support to the tumor progression model [7]. Hierarchical clustering and binary tree analysis of gene expression profiles of mucinous tumors of the ovary revealed that mucinous cystadenomas were distinct from the other mucinous samples while mucinous LMP and low-grade mucinous adenocarcinomas were nearly indistinguishable from each other [8]. When serous tumors were included in the analysis, the results demonstrated that while mucinous LMP and low-grade mucinous adenocarcinomas remained nearly indistinguishable, serous LMP and serous adenocarcinoma tumors were grouped in distinct branches [8]. These results add further support to the conceived model for mucinous tumor progression. This model suggests that mucinous tumors of the ovary differ from other histotypes in that they do not develop \textit{de novo} but rather develop from benign or LMP tumors, thus indicating the potential presence of biomarkers that may be unique to the mucinous histotype.

Patients with LMP mucinous tumors in general have a good prognosis after surgery since most of these tumors are diagnosed at an early stage and the 5-year cumulative survival rate for women with LMP is 97.0% (± 1.5%) [9]. However, women with advance stage mucinous adenocarcinoma tumors respond poorly to standard platinum-based chemotherapy compared to women with ovarian tumors of other histotypes and have a significantly reduced 5-year survival rate of 67.4% [9–13].

The diagnosis of mucinous carcinoma of the ovary is challenging. Many mucinous tumors have a mixed histology (such as a high frequency of intestinal differentiation) and are difficult to recognize with standard pathology (reviewed in [14]). It is believed that 7 to 17% of all ovarian tumors are metastatic rather than pri-
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Fig. 1. Mucinous tumor of the ovary containing increasing degrees aggressiveness (presented with permission of Samuel Mok).

mary [15] and the histologic features of metastatic mucinous ovarian carcinomas can be very similar to those of primary mucinous ovarian tumors [16]. Histopathology in combination with gross examination can be useful in distinguishing primary and metastatic mucinous tumors. Features including bilateral, microscopic surface involvement of epithelial cells, nodular pattern of growth, an infiltrative invasive pattern and growth in the ovarian hilus are favored in metastatic cases while size > 10 cm, a smooth tumor surface, benign or borderline areas, an expansile invasive pattern, microscopic cysts > 2 mm, complex papillary epithelial growth and intraluminal necrotic material are favored in primary tumors [17]. However, these guidelines are not completely reliable and may not be applicable to late-stage mucinous tumors of the ovary.

The clinical characteristics of mucinous ovarian tumors (better survival rates for patients with LMP mucinous ovarian tumors versus those with advanced mucinous adenocarcinoma, differential response to chemotherapy and similar histologies between primary and metastatic mucinous tumors of the ovary) necessitates the identification and validation of biomarkers that can improve specific detection, diagnosis and prognosis of mucinous tumors of the ovary. DNA microarrays permit simultaneous, comprehensive, genome-wide monitoring of gene expression. Gene expression profiling has been applied in cancer research to identify important genes or pathways that molecularly classify tumors, contribute to carcinogenesis, predict chemoresponse or serve as biomarkers of clinical characteristics including diagnosis and prognosis (reviewed in [18]). These studies have provided a basis for the development of personalized medicine (reviewed in [19]).

2. Gene expression profiling for identification of mucinous-specific biomarkers

Gene expression profiling has been utilized to identify potential tumor biomarkers that can be used in clinical applications (including detection, diagnosis and prognosis) in a variety of tumor types including prostate [20–23], colon [24], breast [25,26] and ovarian cancer [27–31]. More specifically, gene expression profiling has been applied to identify genes that differentiate between different histotypes of ovarian cancer as well as genes expressed in specific subtypes that may play a role in their particular clinicopathology.

In a small study, Ono et al. compared gene expression patterns in ovarian tumor samples versus their corresponding non-cancerous ovarian tissue as well as genes that were differentially expressed between serous and mucinous tumors [32]. The authors analyzed gene expression profiles of five serous and four mucinous ovarian adenocarcinomas and patient-matched normal ovarian tissue using a custom-made cDNA microarrays consisting of 9,121 genes. The study revealed 115 differentially expressed genes between mucinous and serous tumors [32]. Creatinine kinase B, myosin heavy polypeptide 11 and A28-RGS14p, a p53 target gene and regulator of G protein signaling, were expressed at higher levels in mucinous tumors [32]. Overexpression of A28-RGS14p has previously been shown to inhibit G protein-coupled receptor activation of the mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling pathway in various carcinoma cell lines [33]. Thus, A28-RGS14 may play a role in the progression of mucinous tumors, presumably through regulation of cellular signaling in response to growth factors acting through G protein-coupled receptor pathways.
In a larger study, Marquez et al. investigated the molecular alterations contributing to differences between the histotypes of ovarian cancer [34]. The authors utilized the Affymetrix Human Genome U95 platform to compare gene expression profiles of 50 total epithelial ovarian cancer samples, including 9 mucinous tumors, with profiles of normal ovarian surface epithelium taken directly from patients. Hierarchical clustering of all samples clearly distinguished most of the mucinous samples from the rest of the tumors and, interestingly, they were clustered in a branch with normal samples [34]. Further analysis of genes whose expression was differentially expressed by at least 2-fold between the histotypes and differed from normal ovarian surface epithelium revealed 46 genes differentially expressed in mucinous tumors. Based on quantitative real-time PCR validation, the most specific biomarkers for mucinous tumors versus ovarian tumors of other histotypes were TFF1 (involved in immune response, cellular defense, mucosal maintenance and repair), AGR2, LGALS4 and CEACAM6 (all involved in extracellular matrix formation and cell adhesion) and CTSE (involved in metabolism and proteolysis). The AGR2 gene is an estrogen-responsive gene whose role in mucinous ovarian tumorigenesis is currently unclear but has been shown to be over-expressed in prostate cancer [35] and is involved in breast cancer metastasis [36]. Schwartz et al. reported that TFF1 gene and protein expression is specifically up-regulated in mucinous tumors [37]. TFF1 is a secreted peptide that belongs to the trefoil factor family and its gene expression is induced by estrogen and FGF2. It regulates several signal transduction pathways including the PI3-kinase/Akt pathway, the MAPK pathway, and other pathways involved in cell progression and transformation (reviewed by [38]). With the exception of CTSE, these genes have been identified as mucinous-specific ovarian tumor biomarkers by other studies discussed in this review.

Heinzelmann-Schwarz et al. studied the genetic basis of mucinous ovarian carcinomas and identified potential markers that distinguish mucinous tumors from ovarian tumors of other histotypes [39]. The authors utilized a customized Affymetrix oligonucleotide microarray to profile gene expression from 3 mucinous ovarian tumors, 4 mucinous borderline tumors, 8 endometrioid ovarian tumors, 31 serous ovarian tumors and 4 normal ovary samples [39]. Forty genes were identified as up-regulated and 4 genes were identified as down-regulated in mucinous ovarian tumors compared to normal ovarian tissues. Of the 40 genes up-regulated in mucinous ovarian tumors, 13 genes were unique to mucinous and not found to be differentially expressed in ovarian tumors of other histotypes. These potential mucinous-specific biomarkers include LGALS4, MUC13, MUCDHL, CEACAM5, CALML4, ARHGPAP27, NME1, BCLP, CDN17 and TFF1 [39]. The authors demonstrate that mucinous ovarian tumors over-express genes associated with mucin production and intestinal function. This study identified genes that were also found in other studies such as TFF1, which has been shown in previous studies to be up-regulated in other mucinous adenocarcinomas, including the study by Marquez et al. discussed above [34]. Other genes identified as specifically up-regulated in mucinous tumors both in this study as well as in the study by Marquez et al. include CEACAM6, a member of the carcinoembryonic antigen family shown to be over-expressed in many different cancers including ovarian, breast and colon cancer [40], and LGALS4, an intestinal-type cell adhesion molecule shown to be over-expressed in several other epithelial cancers including intestinal, breast and liver [41,42]. LGALS4 is a potential candidate for an early mucinous biomarker, due to its lack of expression in normal ovary and its high expression in mucinous cysts and LMP tumors. LGALS4 is not a secreted protein and therefore its clinical relevance as a diagnostic biomarker may be limited; however the protein contains an extracellular component that may be useful for detection and measurement [39,42]. CEACAM6 is a membrane-associated protein that interacts with other members of the CEA family and integrin receptors to promote cellular adhesion [43]. However, because it has been shown to be over-expressed in multiple tumors, its use as a mucinous-specific diagnostic biomarker may be limited and has not been extensively investigated.

Wamunyokoli et al. have conducted the largest profiling study to date on mucinous tumors of the ovary. They analyzed multiple mucinous specimens of differing histologic grade, all of which have been microdissected. The study sought to uncover potential markers that distinguish between the various mucinous histological subtypes [8]. Gene expression profiles of 25 mucinous tumors were compared to gene expression profiles of 40 serous tumors and 10 normal ovarian surface epithelial samples using the Affymetrix Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 platform. Unsupervised hierarchical clustering of all samples revealed 2 arms; normal ovarian surface epithelial samples and serous LMP tumors clustered in different branches on a single arm.
while serous adenocarcinomas and mucinous tumors clustered in different branches on the second arm, with high-grade mucinous adenocarcinomas forming a distinct branch. These results are suggestive of a more aggressive phenotype associated with mucinous tumors and suggest the existence of a subset of genes that are co-regulated in high-grade serous adenocarcinomas and mucinous tumors. A list of genes whose expression is unique to the mucinous phenotype was extracted by comparing genes that are differentially expressed in mucinous or serous tumors versus normal ovarian surface epithelium and selecting only those genes that are up-regulated in mucinous tumors. From this list of genes, potential biomarkers with clinical relevance were identified based on whether they were secreted or membrane-bound. The potential biomarkers identified for mucinous tumors were AGR2, FAM3B, MUC13, IER3, ADD3 and SLC12A2.

Further analyses were performed to identify genes that may contribute to mucinous ovarian tumor progression and reveal potential markers that distinguish between the various mucinous histological subtypes. NET1, ERBB3, H63, TSPAN8, ANXA2, GLTSCR2 and CCAR1 were identified as up-regulated in both LMP and adenocarcinoma mucinous tumors but not in cystadenoma; TPT1, CTNNA and TACSTD1 were up-regulated in LMP tumors only and TEMEM50A, RAC1 and CTTN were up-regulated in adenocarcinoma tumors only. Some of these genes have been demonstrated in previous studies to be related to tumor progression. Over-expression of NET1 in NIH3T3 cells results in increased tumorigenicity [44] and ERBB3 promotes growths and invasiveness in lung adenocarcinoma [45]. RAC1 is a rhoGTPase that has been shown to be involved in ovarian tumor migration and progression [46]. Therefore, these genes may play a role in transformation of mucinous tumors. This study was successful in identifying several potential novel markers that may be useful in distinguishing mucinous ovarian tumors from ovarian tumors of other histotypes as well as distinguishing between the various mucinous subtypes.

3. Protein expression analysis for identification of mucinous-specific biomarkers

Gene expression profiling can be used successfully to identify potential tumor biomarkers. However, it is clear that the most clinically relevant biomarkers are those that are secreted during development and in early stage of tumor growth. Recent studies have applied such techniques as immunohistochemistry and proteomic techniques to identify putative ovarian cancer biomarkers (reviewed in [15]). While the number of these studies is limited, they reveal meaningful information regarding epithelial ovarian carcinogenesis progression, diagnosis and prognosis.

In an effort to classify different histotypes of ovarian epithelial tumors and identify surrogate biomarkers indicative of ovarian tumors, An et al. utilized 2-dimensional PAGE proteomics to create distance trees based on variations of protein expression patterns within and between 12 ovarian epithelial tumors including 4 serous, 5 mucinous and 3 endometrioid tumors [47]. The results of the distance map trees demonstrated that while the tumor samples were separate from normal ovary, mucinous carcinomas exhibited protein expression patterns most closely related to normal ovarian samples. The most aggressive mucinous tumor types were separate from the LMP tumors, yet more similar to each other than to other ovarian epithelial tumor histotypes [47]. Six potential biomarkers (NM23-H1, annexin 1, protein phosphatase-1, ferritin light chain, proteasome α -6 and NAGK) were identified whose expressions were increased by at least 2-fold in ovarian tumor samples compared to normal ovary tissues. These protein products were not specific for the mucinous histotype and thus may only be useful as general biomarkers for the diagnosis of ovarian cancer. Nonetheless, the findings of this study suggest that proteomic profiling can be a valuable tool for the future identification of potential biomarkers that are unique to the mucinous histotype.

4. Identification of biomarkers to distinguish between primary and metastatic mucinous tumors

Primary ovarian mucinous tumors are difficult to diagnose as they are often confused with metastatic mucinous cancer resulting from other origins. As a result of their mixed histology, clinicians and pathologists must also rely on other clinical features including bilaterality, tumor dissemination pattern as well as cytology/histology to reliably ascertain the origin of the tumor [14,17,48,49]. As a result, several immunohistochemical studies have been performed to identify biomarkers that can aid in distinguishing between primary and metastatic mucinous tumors.
A study published by Ji et al. sought to identify biomarkers that can differentiate between primary and metastatic mucinous ovarian carcinomas. The authors examined the immunohistochemical expression of cytokeratins CK7 and CK20, the nuclear transcription factor DPC4 (also known as SMAD4) and the gastric mucin MUC5AC in 57 primary ovarian mucinous tumors (41 LMP and 16 malignant) and 46 metastatic mucinous tumors of the ovary [50]. The findings demonstrated that almost all (98–100%) primary ovarian mucinous tumors expressed CK7, DPC4 and MUC5AC while a smaller portion (68%) expressed CK20. Some of these markers were also expressed in a majority of metastatic tumors of the ovary (i.e. 100% of pancreatic cancer cases expressed CK7 and 100% of colorectal cancers expressed CK20), suggesting that these markers alone may not be useful in distinguishing between primary ovarian mucinous carcinoma and metastatic mucinous carcinomas. Some of the examined biomarkers were expressed to a lesser extent in metastatic tumors of the ovary. Only 33% of colorectal carcinomas expressed CK7 and/or MUC5AC and only 54% of pancreatic carcinomas expressed DPC4. The results of the study suggest that combined expression of both CK7 and CK20 is the most appropriate to distinguish between primary mucinous tumors of the ovary and metastatic colorectal tumors while loss of DPC4 expression can distinguish between primary mucinous tumors of the ovary and metastatic pancreatic carcinomas [50].

It has been reported that approximately 40% of metastatic tumors of the ovary originate from colorectal cancer [16]. Because the development of colon cancer relies on Wnt signaling through β-catenin [51], Chou et al. sought to determine whether β-catenin expression could also be used to differentiate between ovarian mucinous carcinoma and metastatic colorectal adenocarcinoma [52]. The study analyzed expression of β-catenin, along with CK7, CK20 and CEA in 43 primary ovarian mucinous carcinomas and 23 metastatic colorectal adenocarcinomas by immunohistochemistry [52]. Expression of β-catenin was detected in most (83%) of metastatic colorectal adenocarcinomas and only 9% of primary ovarian mucinous carcinomas. Consistent with the Ji study [50], CK7 was expressed in a majority (79%) of mucinous ovarian tumors and only 23% of colorectal samples while CK20 was expressed in most colorectal adenocarcinomas (87%) and only 44% of mucinous ovarian tumors expressed the protein. In addition, CEA was found to be expressed in 70% of mucinous carcinomas, similar to gene expression profile studies [34,39]. However, CEA was also expressed in 95% of colorectal carcinomas, suggesting that while it may be able to differentiate mucinous tumors of the ovary from other ovarian histotypes, it does not serve as an appropriate biomarker to distinguish between primary and metastatic mucinous tumors. The results of this study support the conclusion that CK7, CK20 and β-catenin expression may be used to distinguish between primary mucinous tumors of the ovary and metastatic colorectal tumors [52].

Another gene that has been studied as a potential biomarker for metastatic mucinous tumors is CDX2, a transcription factor expressed in most colorectal carcinomas that is involved in intestinal development, proliferation and differentiation [53,54]. Several studies have examined its expression in primary mucinous tumors of the ovary, with inconsistent results (reviewed in [14]). Some have suggested that expression of CDX2 in mucinous tumors of the ovary is dependent on cell type (endocervical or intestinal) [55,56]. A few studies have been performed that have analyzed expression of CDX2 in coordination with other, better-established mucinous ovarian tumor biomarkers including CK7, CK20 and β-catenin in an effort to increase their sensitivity. Most studies detect CDX2 expression in all colorectal carcinomas examined; however expression of CDX2 in mucinous tumors of the ovary varies in the different studies. One study examined the expression of CDX2, CK7 and CK20 by immunohistochemistry and CDX2 was detected in 100% of mucinous tumors of the ovary [57]. Other immunohistochemical studies detected CDX2 in only 40% [58] and 21% of mucinous tumors of the ovary [56]. It appears that CDX2 alone is not a useful biomarker for distinguishing between primary and metastatic mucinous tumors of the ovary.

5. Challenges of applications of biomarkers to clinical issues of mucinous tumors of the ovary

Gene expression profiling and proteomic approaches have been demonstrated to be useful techniques for the identification of mucinous-specific biomarkers. Several biomarkers identified by gene expression profiling (including TFF1, AGR2, LGALS4, CEACAM5, CEACAM6 and MUC13) are specifically present in mucinous tumors of the ovary and could be useful in distinguishing mucinous ovarian cancers from ovarian tumors of other histotypes. With the exception of AGR2, these markers are also expressed to different degrees in tumors of other origins, particularly those from the gas-
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biomarker</th>
<th>Gene/Protein</th>
<th>Ovarian cancer histotypes</th>
<th>Other mucinous carcinomas</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TFF1 (Trefoil Factor Family 1)</td>
<td>Gene, Protein</td>
<td>Up-regulated in mucinous</td>
<td>Expressed in colorectal carcinomas [38]</td>
<td>[34,37,39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGR2 (Anterior Gradient 2 homolog)</td>
<td>Gene</td>
<td>Up-regulated in mucinous</td>
<td>Down-regulated in colorectal carcinomas versus normal [59]</td>
<td>[8,34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGALS4 (Galectin 4)</td>
<td>Gene, Protein</td>
<td>Up-regulated in mucinous</td>
<td>Expressed in colorectal carcinomas [41]</td>
<td>[34,39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEACAM5</td>
<td>Gene</td>
<td>Up-regulated in mucinous</td>
<td>Expressed in 95% colorectal carcinomas [52]</td>
<td>[34,39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEACAM6 (Carcinoembryonic antigen-related cell adhesion molecule)</td>
<td>Gene, Protein</td>
<td>Up-regulated in mucinous</td>
<td>Expressed in colorectal carcinomas [60]</td>
<td>[8,39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUC13 (Mucin 13)</td>
<td>Gene</td>
<td>Up-regulated in mucinous</td>
<td>Expressed in colorectal carcinomas [60]</td>
<td>[8,39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK7 (Cytokeratin 7)</td>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>Expressed in most mucinous (79–100%)</td>
<td>Expressed in some colorectal carcinomas (23–33%)</td>
<td>[50,52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK20 (Cytokeratin 20)</td>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>Expressed in some mucinous (44–68%)</td>
<td>Expressed in most colorectal carcinomas (87–100%)</td>
<td>[50,52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDX2</td>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>Inconsistent expression in mucinous</td>
<td>Expressed in all colorectal carcinomas</td>
<td>[56–58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPC4</td>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>Expressed in all mucinous (100%)</td>
<td>Expressed in 89% colorectal and 54% pancreatic carcinomas</td>
<td>[50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-catenin</td>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>Expressed in only 9% mucinous</td>
<td>Expressed in 83% colorectal carcinomas</td>
<td>[52]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Conclusions

Similarities between primary and metastatic mucinous tumors of the ovary make them difficult to accurately diagnosis. The identification of biomarkers that can distinguish primary from metastatic mucinous tumors and/or are unique to mucinous tumors of the ovary could be used in combination with histologic features of the tumor to significantly improve early diagnosis, and consequently improve patient prognosis.

Gene expression profiling and proteomic analysis are valuable tools for molecular characterization of various mucinous tumors of the ovary and the identification of mucinous-specific biomarkers (summarized in Table 1). All potential markers will need extensive validation before they can be used clinically to improve detection and diagnosis.
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