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Abstract

Objective To synthesise what is known about how celebrities influence people’s decisions on health.

Design Meta-narrative analysis of economics, marketing, psychology, and sociology literatures.


Inclusion criteria Studies discussing mechanisms of celebrities’ influence on people in any context.

Results Economics literature shows that celebrity endorsements act as signals of credibility that differentiate products or ideas from competitors and can catalyse herd behaviour. Marketing studies show that celebrities transfer their desirable attributes to products and use their success to boost their perceived credibility. Psychology shows that people are classically conditioned to react positively to the advice of celebrities, experience cognitive dissonance if they do not, and are influenced by congruencies with their self conceptions. Sociology helps explain the spread of celebrity medical advice as a contagion that diffuses through social networks and people’s desire to acquire celebrities’ social capital.

Conclusions The influence of celebrity status is a deeply rooted process that can be harnessed for good or abused for harm. A better understanding of celebrity can empower health professionals to take this phenomenon seriously and use patient encounters to educate the public about sources of health information and their trustworthiness. Public health authorities can use these insights to implement regulations and restrictions on celebrity endorsements and design counter marketing initiatives—perhaps even partnering with celebrities—to discredit bogus medical advice while promoting evidence based practices.

Introduction

Celebrities frequently give medical advice and people often follow it. Whether motivated by good intentions or financial rewards, celebrities can generate much publicity for health campaigns by virtue of their visibility, public interest, and perceived newsworthiness. When journalist Katie Couric televised her colonoscopy on NBC’s Today Show in 2000, colorectal cancer screenings by 400 American endoscopists
increased by 21% the next month.\textsuperscript{1} Following actor-singer Kylie Minogue’s diagnosis of breast cancer, bookings for mammograms rose by 40% in four Australian states.\textsuperscript{2} Twice as many screenings for cervical cancer were conducted in England during March 2009 compared with the same month one year earlier, corresponding to reality TV star Jade Goody’s death from the disease.\textsuperscript{3}

Many celebrities have mobilised their influence for good. Actor Michael J Fox’s foundation has raised over $350$m ($215$m; €260m) for research into Parkinson’s disease,\textsuperscript{4} whereas singer Sir Elton John’s charity has raised more than $300$m towards research into HIV/AIDS.\textsuperscript{5} But the messages espoused by celebrities can also conflict with those recommended by health professionals, public health authorities, and the best available research evidence. British television presenter Sir Michael Parkinson\textsuperscript{6} promoted an unsupported self diagnosis technique for prostate cancer based on his own experiences: “The test is if you can peer against a wall from two feet, you haven’t got it.”\textsuperscript{7} Having breast cancer at age 36, actor Christina Applegate promoted magnetic resonance imaging for early detection; yet the US National Cancer Institute does not endorse such investigations for those at average risk of breast cancer.\textsuperscript{8} Actor Suzanne Somers advocates her own brand of medicine, including bioidentical hormones to reverse aging and proteolytic enzyme therapy for pancreatic cancer, despite her therapies lacking evidence of effectiveness.\textsuperscript{9,9} People are trusting celebrities with their health. While celebrities sometimes encourage healthy behaviours of proven benefit, at other times they spread misinformation and harmful practices. The potential years of life lost and wasted healthcare dollars from all the useless products and bogus treatments that celebrities sometimes promote at the expense of evidence based practices, make this phenomenon a critical challenge worthy of serious address.

In this meta-narrative analysis we synthesised insights from systematic searches of the economics, marketing, psychology, and sociology literatures, and additional targeted searches, to explain how celebrities gain credibility as medical advisers and how the public falls under their influence when making important decisions about health.

**Methods**

We searched the electronic databases BusinessSource Complete (1886-), Communication & Mass Media Complete (1915-), Humanities Abstracts (1984-), ProQuest Political Science (1985-), PsycINFO (1806-), PubMed (1966-), and Sociology Abstracts (1952-). Included studies discussed mechanisms of celebrity influence on people in any context and were reviewed to inform discipline specific narratives accounting for celebrities’ substantial influence as medical advisers. In crafting each narrative we prioritised empirical evidence and established theories from each discipline. One researcher (CT) implemented the literature searches and assessed the studies for inclusion or exclusion. (See supplementary file for the full search protocol and results.)

**Results**

Our searches of the economics, marketing, psychology, and sociology literatures revealed multiple narratives about the mechanisms through which celebrities may influence people’s health decisions (box). The most compelling narratives are presented below.

**Narratives from economics**

_Celebrity endorsements as signals_—When celebrities endorse a product or idea, they differentiate it from others. According to signalling theory, signals are markers that convey key information about an object or individual and aid decisions.\textsuperscript{10} Consumers of health information may find decision making difficult when health professionals, friends, family, and online resources contradict each other. To help in this task, people naturally look for signals that indicate one source as being more credible and effective than another.\textsuperscript{11} Owing to the vaunted status of celebrities in society, their endorsements act as signals of superiority that distinguish the endorsed item from competitors, encouraging people to change their health behaviours accordingly.

_Celebrities leading the herd_—Celebrities are often early leaders of herd behaviours, whereby people naturally tend to make decisions based on what others have done in similar situations.\textsuperscript{11,12} Wanting to follow in their favourite celebrities’ footsteps, many will ignore their personal information and imitate the celebrity health choices they observe.\textsuperscript{12} This behaviour initiates an informational cascade: the celebrity’s decisions are passed to others, who make the same choices.\textsuperscript{12} As the number of followers increases, the herding effect lengthens and strengthens, spreading from person to person and changing health behaviours along the way.\textsuperscript{12} For instance, actor Angelina Jolie’s\textsuperscript{13} preventive double mastectomy after testing positive for the BRCA1 gene mutation led to a heightened interest in genetic testing.\textsuperscript{13} However, since BRCA mutations are rare, testing is only recommended for women with a high risk or family history of breast cancer.\textsuperscript{14} Jolie’s announcement may have catalysed a herd seeking the test, including many for whom it is neither appropriate nor cost effective.

**Narratives from marketing**

_Meaning transfer from celebrities to consumers_—Celebrities may be successful medical advisers because consumers see in them attributes they respect and want to emulate. This desire stems from a process marketing researchers call meaning transfer. For many people, celebrities represent important social or cultural meanings that become associated with ideas or products they endorse.\textsuperscript{15} People in turn consume endorsed items in hopes of acquiring these traits.\textsuperscript{16} Tobacco companies are infamous for using celebrities to sell their products. Through fostering close relations with movie studios and prominently featuring stars in advertisements,\textsuperscript{17,18} companies transfer the attractive and sophisticated image of celebrities to their cigarettes. The strategy works: smoking in movies has been found to alter perceptions of and susceptibilities towards smoking among adolescents.\textsuperscript{19,20} _Halo effect_—Celebrity credibility significantly influences an endorsement’s effectiveness.\textsuperscript{21,22} In acting as medical advisers, many celebrities often have, or portray themselves to have, an authentic connection to the promoted behaviour or product.\textsuperscript{23} Even celebrities without a genuine connection have been perceived as credible. This credibility may stem from the halo effect of celebrities’ success, which biases people’s judgments of celebrities’ other traits and gives them a cloak of generalised trustworthiness that extends well beyond their industry or expertise.\textsuperscript{24} Celebrities are in turn perceived to have greater credibility than their non-celebrity counterparts, such as doctors, despite having less medical knowledge and experience.
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Narratives from psychology

Classical conditioning—The psychological process of classical conditioning occurs when people learn to associate two stimuli such that exposure to either elicits similar responses.\(^2^6\) Celebrity endorsed items come to elicit the positive responses many associate with their favourite celebrities. Eventually, the items elicit the same positive sentiments even without the celebrity.\(^2^5\) One recent study found that coupling an attractive and trustworthy celebrity with a product led to significantly higher product ratings; stronger or more compatible pairings led to even greater conditioning and more positive attitudes.\(^2^8\) Medical advice from celebrities may be conditioned to evoke consumers’ positive perceptions of celebrities, an effect that is strengthened when the advice matches the celebrity’s image.
Self conception and celebrity endorsers—Advice from celebrities may have greater impact on health behaviours when it matches people’s self conception, which includes the thoughts and attitudes people have of their actual self, those they would like for their ideal self, and those they use to present their social self.\(^2^9\) People often use images projected by celebrities to define their self conception, which makes celebrity advice highly influential.\(^2^7\)\(^2^4\) For celebrities viewed as inspirational, their advice may be compatible with people’s ideal self such that the self esteem motive—to elevate one’s actual self towards one’s ideal self\(^2^7\)—pushes people to follow the advice. One study found that compatibility between a celebrity endorser’s image and a person’s ideal self was associated with higher advertisement ratings and greater purchase intention.\(^2^9\)
Conversely, for celebrities who portray themselves as similar to their admirers, their advice will be compatible with people’s actual self such that the self consistency motive—to maintain one’s actual self\(^2^9\)—may be the motivating factor.
Cognitive dissonance—The desire to maintain mental consistency and avoid cognitive dissonance may account for why the medical advice of celebrities is followed. People experience psychological discomfort when their decisions, behaviours, knowledge, beliefs, or opinions conflict, which is something people naturally avoid.\(^3^0\)\(^3^1\) For example, fans may experience dissonance if they ignore their favourite celebrity’s medical advice, because this act conflicts with their adoration for the celebrity. However, following the advice can also create dissonance since endorsed behaviours may require substantial changes or investments. To reduce this dissonance, followers unconsciously modify their cognitions, such as internalising the belief that the celebrity’s advice is more credible than
alternatives.\(^3^2\) They also adopt new beliefs or commit to actions that diminish inconsistencies, including seeking information supporting the celebrity advice.\(^3^3\) People even trivialise dissonant cognitions to make the conflict seem less important, such as minimising the costs and harms of the advice.\(^3^4\) In this way, people unconsciously justify following celebrities’ medical advice while strengthening their attachments to the celebrity in the process.

Narratives from sociology

Celebrity advice spreads through social networks—The widespread uptake of celebrity medical advice can also be explained as a social contagion that diffuses through social networks, which are systems of people linked through personal connections.\(^3^5\) One person’s health decisions create externalities, by which connected people experience indirect consequences.\(^3^6\) Observational studies have found these ties to have significant effects on people’s health, including smoking,\(^3^7\) obesity,\(^3^8\) sexual activities,\(^3^9\) and happiness.\(^4^0\) Although celebrities’ social ties to most people are weak, their newsworthiness and star quality—and the intense unidirectional interactions super fans have with them, known as parasocial relationships—allow them to feature prominently within social networks and achieve great influence as medical advisers to the masses.
Commoditising celebrity and acquiring social capital—Celebrity has become commoditised in contemporary society as something that can be bought and sold.\(^4^1\) People “purchase” celebrity by acquiring celebrities’ products, mimicking their lifestyles, and heeding their medical advice. These parasocial relationships have been conceptualised as a means of acquiring celebrities’ social capital: the benefits and resources accrued through social relationships.\(^4^2\) For people seeking to raise their social status, one strategy is to imitate the behaviours of celebrities.\(^4^3\) Celebrities and their coveted status, in this sense, have become resources in forming consumers’ social identities, used to shape the ways people see themselves and want others to see them.\(^4^4\)
Following celebrity medical advice may be a method for consumers to gain social capital and participate in the practices that make celebrities “special,” thereby elevating them in society.

Discussion

Celebrities have substantial sway as health advisers. There are strong biological, psychological, and social bases accounting
for why people follow celebrities’ medical advice. Celebrities can thereby be helpful or threaten the public’s health. Their power can be harnessed to disseminate information based on the best available research evidence, or it can be abused to promote useless products and bogus treatments.

Health professionals can counter the negative influences of celebrities by speaking to their patients about the validity of celebrity advice and sources of reputable health information. Those times when patients mention the latest celebrity endorsement should be seen as meaningful opportunities to start important educational conversations rather than as annoyances. Doing so not only informs patients about the kinds of health behaviours that are truly beneficial, but also encourages them to place more trust in their trained health professionals.

The medical community can also improve its efforts to increase public understanding of health issues and to discredit the most egregious examples of celebrity advice. One method may be to enact restrictions on celebrity endorsements to ensure promoted messages are supported by research evidence. Requiring celebrities to disclose conflicts of interest, such as financial compensation, is one option. Another is to actually work with celebrities. By partnering with celebrities in productive ways to disseminate science and share basic critical appraisal skills, celebrities can be used as powerful tools for health literacy and health promotion. Public health authorities can take inspiration from previous partnerships that have leveraged the clout of celebrities for good. Chef Jamie Oliver collaborated with government officials and charities to make school meals healthier in the United Kingdom, an effort found to have had a lasting effect on students’ educational performance. Actor Glenn Close is a recognised advocate for mental illness. Model Christy Turlington released a commercial with the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention urging viewers to refrain from smoking. Collaborations with celebrities can be further complemented by counter marketing and social media efforts to discredit incorrect messages from celebrities while spreading evidence based advice. Ultimately, there is a need to fundamentally rethink and better understand where people obtain their health information and what makes them act on it. Understanding why people follow celebrities’ medical advice and developing strategies to exploit the implicated biological, psychological, and social processes to promote evidence based practices represents a good start. Doing so may require fostering constructive relationships with celebrities, allowing them to become important partners in improving health.
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What is already known on this topic

Medical advice from celebrities can have a substantial influence on people, motivating the adoption of new behaviours and altering attitudes and beliefs on health issues.

Celebrities can both promote and dissuade healthy behaviours, depending on whether the best available research evidence supports or contradicts their advice.

What this study adds

There are strong biological, psychological, and social bases for people’s adoration of celebrities and trust in their medical advice.

Health professionals can counter the negative influences of celebrities by speaking to their patients about the validity of the celebrities’ advice and sources of reputable health information, especially when patients ask about the latest celebrity endorsement.

The medical community can use celebrities’ influence for good by partnering with them in productive ways, including counter marketing initiatives that discredit bogus medical advice while promoting evidence-based practices.
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