Compelling evidence from clinical trials testing multiple immunotherapeutic interventions demonstrates that the immune system has the potential to inhibit oncogenesis and tumor progression. Thus, to generate neoplastic lesions, malignant cells must evolve strategies that allow them to evade recognition and elimination by tumor-infiltrating cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs). These escape mechanisms are multiple, influencing most, if not all, the steps that underpin a productive immune response, from the presentation of tumor-associated antigens (TAAs) to the susceptibility of cancer cells to lysis. The mechanisms of immunoescape related to the effector phase of cellular immunity have been extensively described. Conversely, how malignant cells avoid the elicitation of cellular immune responses has been investigated to a limited extent, in spite of an increasing body of data showing that target cells have a major impact on the clinical response to T cell-based immunotherapy. Here, we will comment on immunoescape mechanisms stemming from defects in the signal transduction and activator of transcription (STAT) signaling pathway, emphasizing our recent results in models of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC).

We selected HNSCC for our studies since it employs several of the immunoescape mechanisms generally harnessed by malignant cells. Moreover, the etiology of HNSCC encompasses chemical carcinogenesis as well as viral carcinogenesis, hence providing a broad working model. One common mechanism whereby cancer cells evade immune recognition is the downregulation of MHC class I antigen-processing machinery (APM) components such as transporter of antigen processing, ATP-binding cassette, sub-family B (MDR/TAP) (TAP1), TAP2 and proteasome (prosome, macropain) subunit, β type, 9 (PSMB9, best known as LMP2), which results in limited TAA presentation.1 These defects are clinically relevant since they are often associated with poor disease outcome among patients affected by a variety of neoplasms. Furthermore, they have a negative impact on T cell-based as well as on antibody-based immunotherapy, at least in settings in which TAA-targeting antibodies trigger or enhance TAA-specific T-cell immune responses.

In HNSCC cells, the downregulation of the APM is mediated (at least in part) by the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) signaling. Thus, EGFR signaling may skew the tumor microenvironment to suppress cellular immune responses.
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transcription 1 (STAT1). Interestingly, this phenomenon can be counteracted by interferon gamma (IFNγ) treatment as well as by the inhibition of SHP2, which is actually overexpressed by HNSCC cells. We have recently shown that the depletion of SHP2 favors STAT1 activation, in turn promoting the expression of APM components, MHC class-I restricted TAA presentation and activation of TAA-specific CTLs. In addition, the SHP2-mediated suppression of STAT1 signaling inhibits the production of T₃,₁ cytokines by HNSCC cells, since SHP2 inhibition stimulated the secretion of interleukin (IL)-12p70 as well as of IFNγ-dependent chemokine (C-X-C motif) receptor 3 (CXCR3)- and chemokine (C-C motif) receptor 5 (CCR5)-binding chemokines. Interestingly, the activation of SHP2 by EGFR promotes mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling by increasing the half-life of GTP-bound RAS. Furthermore, it has recently been shown that the inhibition of v-raf murine sarcoma viral oncogene homolog B (BRAF) enhances the IFNγ-mediated upregulation of MHC class I molecules by melanoma cells. Hence, the upregulation of the MHC class I APM observed upon the depletion of SHP2 may be due to increased STAT1 activation as well as to the downregulation of MAPK signaling.

Remarkably, EGFR overexpression, which is frequent in HNSCC cells, not only reduces the level of phosphorylated STAT1 upon the activation of SHP2 but also stimulates the phosphorylation of STAT3, hence promoting the survival, proliferation and dissemination of cancer cells (Fig. 1). As a matter of fact, HNSCC cells also escape immunosurveillance by promoting the establishment of a tumor microenvironment rich in immunosuppressive lymphoid and myeloid cells. Such an immunosuppressive infiltrate forms in response to tumor-derived soluble factors including IL-6, IL-10, transforming growth factor β1 (TGFβ1) and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF).
dephosphorylation is under the control of various protein tyrosine phosphatases (PTP). Therefore, STAT3 hyperactivation can be the result of increased activatory signals and/or decreased inhibitory ones. As both EGFR and IL-6R promote STAT3 phosphorylation, simultaneously targeting both pathways by inhibiting a common downstream molecule stands out as the most logical strategy to reverse immunosuppressive activity of STAT3.

STAT1 and STAT3 play opposing roles in the course of oncogenesis and tumor progression, and an imbalance in STAT1 vs STAT3 signaling is observed in many epithelial cancers, in particular in settings in which EGFR simultaneously activates STAT3 while inhibiting STAT1 via SHP2. STAT1 and STAT3 are indeed considered as an oncosuppressor and an oncoprotein, respectively. Therefore, the activation of STAT1 coupled to the inhibition of STAT3 may underlie, at least in part, the therapeutic activity of EGFR-targeting antibodies, such as cetuximab or panitumumab, and EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors like erlotinib or gefitinib. Inhibiting EGFR can enhance STAT1 signaling, hence stimulating TAA presentation, and inhibit STAT3, hence favoring the conversion of an immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment into an immunostimulatory one. Clinical data obtained from cetuximab-treated patients as well as preclinical findings5 suggest that blocking the EGFR may synergize with targeted immunotherapeutics to shift the tumor microenvironment toward a STAT1-dominated state in which malignant cells are susceptible to antitumor immune responses.
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