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The olfactory system encodes information about molecules by spatiotemporal patterns of activity across distributed populations of neurons and extracts information from these patterns to control specific behaviors. Recent studies used in vivo recordings, optogenetics, and other methods to analyze the mechanisms by which odor information is encoded and processed in the olfactory system, the functional connectivity within and between olfactory brain areas, and the impact of spatiotemporal patterning of neuronal activity on higher-order neurons and behavioral outputs. The results give rise to a faceted picture of olfactory processing and provide insights into fundamental mechanisms underlying neuronal computations. This review focuses on some of this work presented in a Mini-Symposium at the Annual Meeting of the Society for Neuroscience in 2012.

Introduction

Olfactory systems have evolved to perform sophisticated, survival-relevant analyses of the molecular environment. Odor information is sampled by a large number of odorant receptors, transformed into electrical activity by olfactory sensory neurons (OSNs), and presented to the brain as combinatorial patterns of activity across discrete input channels, the olfactory glomeruli. In vertebrates, these input patterns are processed in the olfactory bulb (OB) by neuronal circuits consisting of principal neurons, the mitral/tufted (MT) cells, and a variety of local interneurons. Output of the OB is conveyed to multiple cortical and subcortical target areas including piriform cortex, anterior olfactory nucleus, olfactory tubercle, cortical amygdala, and entorhinal cortex. Many of these brain areas are interconnected and project back to the OB. Consistent with these centrifugal projections, the activity of neurons in the OB and higher brain areas is not only modulated by odors but also by behavioral variables and context-dependent cues (Kay and Laurent, 1999; Doucette and Restrepo, 2008).

The array of glomeruli exhibits a coarse chemotopic organization that is, however, not as distinct as topographic maps in other sensory systems and does not predict the arrangement of glomeruli at fine spatial scales (Friedrich and Korsching, 1997; Uchida et al., 2000; Mori et al., 2006; Soucy et al., 2009), raising the question whether interactions between neurons associated with different glomeruli are organized by topography (Yokoi et al., 1995) or by other principles. At least some interglomerular interactions are sparse and extend over long distances (Fantana et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2011, 2012), but the underlying rules, if any, remain obscure. In piriform cortex, no obvious topography was detected in afferent projections, intracortical connectivity, and odor-evoked activity patterns (Johnson et al., 2000; Stettler and Axel, 2009; Yoksi et al., 2009; Franks et al., 2011; Ghosh et al., 2011; Miyamichi et al., 2011; Sosulski et al., 2011). The principles governing functional connectivity within and between olfactory brain areas are therefore an important subject of research in olfaction.

Patterns of odor-evoked activity exhibit temporal structure that may arise from at least three sources. First, temporal structure may be imposed upon a stimulus by odor-sampling mechanisms such as sniffing in mammals or antennal flicking in arthropods (Wachowiak, 2011). Second, slow modulations of firing rates and fast oscillatory synchronization can be generated by synaptic interactions in the OB and elsewhere (Laurent, 2002). Third, the concentration of an odor can fluctuate in time due to movements of the carrier medium (air or water). Such fluctuations may convey important information about an odor source, as demonstrated for insects (Murris et al., 1992). This raises the question how spatiotemporal odor representations are organized and decoded, and how features of such representations may be reflected in olfactory behaviors.

These and other questions were addressed in recent studies using a wide range of techniques including optogenetics (Yizhar et al., 2011). Using light rather than odors to stimulate neurons in the olfactory system allowed, for example, for systematic and precise manipulation of activity patterns in space and time, even in awake, behaving animals. The results have challenged prevailing hypotheses such as the notion that precise timing of sensory
responses is not exploited for olfactory coding. Together, recent results provided new insights into fundamental mechanisms of neuronal processing and substantially refined the current picture of olfactory processing in vertebrates. The focus of this review is on some of this work presented in a Mini-Symposium at the 42nd Annual Meeting of the Society for Neuroscience. Other recent findings, including results from invertebrates, can, unfortunately, not be reviewed in depth.

Odor representations by activity patterns across glomeruli
In the main olfactory system, axons of OSNs expressing the same odorant receptor converge onto a few defined glomeruli within the OB (Buck, 2000). Because an odorant activates multiple odorant receptors, odor information is encoded combinatorially by dynamic patterns of multiple responsive glomeruli (Leveteau and MacLeod, 1966; Friedrich and Korsching, 1997; Spors and Grinvald, 2002; Spors et al., 2006; Koulakov et al., 2007). Positions of individual glomeruli are conserved between individuals with a precision of one or a few glomerular diameters (Strotmann et al., 2000; Soucy et al., 2009). This stereotyped and precise layout of the glomerular array does not, however, imply a “chemotopic” spatial organization similar to topographic maps in other sensory systems. Rather, chemotopy is defined functionally, referring to a systematic mapping of features in molecular stimulus space onto spatial coordinates in the brain. In a chemotopic map, it may thus be expected that responses to odorants with different functional groups are mapped to distinct spatial domains of the OB, and that glomeruli responding to similar odorants are located in close proximity to each other.

In rodents, a chemotopic organization of the glomerular array was proposed based on the finding that odors with different functional groups and carbon chain length activated glomeruli or MT cells within different domains of the OB (Johnson and Leon, 2000; Uchida et al., 2000; Meister and Bonhoeffer, 2001; Mori et al., 2006). However, other widefield imaging studies, some of which used >100 odorants, have produced a more complex picture (Friedrich and Korsching, 1997; Bozza et al., 2004; Soucy et al., 2009; Murthy, 2011; Ma et al., 2012). Although spatial biases of glomerular responses to particular functional groups were observed at a coarse scale (~1 mm in rodents), apparent functional domains were fractured and spatially overlapping (Fig. 1A). Moreover, no obvious chemotopic organization was observed at finer spatial scales; rather, responses of neighboring glomeruli were as diverse as those of more distant ones (Soucy et al., 2009; but see also Ma et al., 2012) (Fig. 1B). An estimate for the spatial scale of polysynaptic interactions among MT cells associated with different glomeruli may, in first approximation, be derived from the length of their basal dendrites and by the distance of short axon cell projections. Both these lengths are in the range of 1 mm or less (Shepherd et al., 2004). Responses of MT cells may thus be influenced by functionally heterogeneous glomeruli scattered throughout a large region (Fantana et al., 2008), essentially unconstrained by chemotopic rules (D. F. Albeanu and V. Murthy, unpublished observations).

**Spatiotemporal activity patterns in the OB**

In the OB, glomerular activity patterns are processed by a network of MT cells and various classes of local interneurons including GABAergic periglomerular cells in superficial layers, GABAergic granule cells in deeper layers, glutamatergic external tufted cells, short-axon cells releasing both GABA and dopamine, and others (Wachowiak and Shipley, 2006) (Fig. 2A). This network has been proposed to perform various tasks including a normalization of response intensity, a decorrelation of overlapping activity patterns, and feature extraction (Yokoi et al., 1995; Friedrich and Laurent, 2001; Arevian et al., 2008; Niessing and Friedrich, 2010; Olsen et al., 2010; Padmanabhan and Urban, 2010; Wiechert et al., 2010; Koulakov and Rinberg, 2011; Cleland and Linster, 2012).

A variety of approaches has recently contributed to a more advanced understanding of olfactory processing in the OB and higher brain areas. Transgenic and viral methods have been used to target fluorescent proteins, genetically encoded calcium indicators, and optogenetic probes to defined glomeruli and neuron types. Together with advanced imaging technology, these approaches allow for electrophysiological and optical recordings from identified neurons and glomeruli in vitro and in vivo. Moreover, the combination of optogenetic stimulation and electrophysiology permits the identification of neuron types in extracellular recordings (Lima et al., 2009; Dhawale et al., 2010; Cohen et al., 2012). Importantly, optogenetic activation of OSNs or MT cells enables precise spatial and temporal control over the evoked activity patterns. This is desired for systematic approaches in sensory physiology but has been a notorious problem in olfaction. Moreover, recent in vivo studies in rodents achieved detailed analyses of spatial and temporal activity patterns in awake animals rather than under anesthesia (Cury and Uchida, 2010; Shusterman et al., 2011; Smear et al., 2011; Gschwend et al., 2012; Miura et al., 2012). This is an important step because activity patterns in the OB can differ significantly under these conditions (Adrian, 1950; Rinberg et al., 2006).

Many concepts of olfactory processing in vertebrates are based largely on olfactory coding by spatial patterns or neuronal ide-
tivity (Yokoi et al., 1995; Cleland and Lin- ster, 2012). However, odor-evoked activity patterns in the OB are also highly dynamic, sometimes evolving over hundreds of milliseconds or even seconds (Laurent, 2002). Moreover, odors can evoke oscillatory population activity in the theta, beta, and gamma frequency ranges that reflects the rhythmic synchronization of stimulus-specific neuronal ensembles. Pioneering work in insects, but also in vertebrates, indicates that this dynamics may convey additional stimulus information and may play important roles in the processing of odor representations (Laurent, 2002). Recent studies have now examined temporal patterning of activity in the rodent OB in detail.

Optical imaging using voltage- and calcium-sensitive dyes revealed odor-specific latencies and dynamics of glomerular responses (Spors and Grinvald, 2002; Spors et al., 2006) (Fig. 2B) and extracellular recordings uncovered rich temporal patterning of MT cell responses (Cury and Uchida, 2010; Dhawale et al., 2010; Shusterman et al., 2011). Importantly, olfactory processing in rodents is temporally constrained by sniffing, which changes in frequency from 2–3 Hz during slow breathing to 7–10 Hz during active exploration (Fig. 2C). Information processing is thus likely to occur within successive 100–200 ms epochs of transient activity (Cury and Uchida, 2010; Shusterman et al., 2011).

The prominence of respiration-driven dynamics at all levels of the mammalian olfactory CNS suggests that olfactory processing networks actively shape the temporal structure of odor-evoked activity. Indeed, external tufted cells can be entrained to phasic inputs in vitro, which enhance their drive onto MT cell activity at frequencies corresponding to natural sniffing (Hayar et al., 2004; Wachowiak and Shipley, 2006). Likewise, inhalation-locked temporal patterning of MT cell activity in vivo actually becomes sharper at higher sniff frequencies, even though it becomes weaker in sensory inputs (Carey and Wachowiak, 2011; Shusterman et al., 2011). Even in awake mice, where spontaneous activity is high, odor responses of MT cells are phase locked to the sniff cycle with a precision of ~10 ms, as revealed by temporally precise odor stimulation in head-fixed animals (Shusterman et al., 2011).

In awake mice performing odor-guided tasks, odor-evoked activity patterns across MT cells exhibit complex, phase-locked temporal dynamics within

Figure 2. A, Schematic architecture of the olfactory bulb. Filled circles on the intersection of processes denote bidirectional synaptic connections; filled circles that abut neurons indicate polarized axo-dendritic synapses. Open circles indicate connections that are suspected but not directly confirmed or are mediated by diffuse extrasynaptic signaling. ET, External tufted; G, granule; M, mitral; PG, periglomerular; SA, short-axon; T, tufted. B, Top, Response time course of three glomeruli (see arrows with corresponding colors below) to ethyl butyrate (1% saturated vapor). Bottom, Patterns of fluorescence signals in 154 ms time windows centered on the time points indicated by lines (modified from Spors et al., 2006). C, Respiration (measured as intranasal pressure) in an awake, freely moving rat, showing changes in frequency, amplitude and duration of individual “sniffs” —as well as pauses in breathing —within a ~15 s span. Arrow indicates direction of inspiration (modified from Wachowiak, 2011). D, Spike trains from two sister MT cells (red and blue) and respiration (top). Sister cell responses to different odors have similar firing rates, but can have different phases (modified from Dhawale et al., 2010).
the timeframe of a sniff cycle (Chaput, 1986; Cury and Uchida, 2010; Shusterman et al., 2011; for anesthetized animals, see Dhaiwale et al., 2010; Carey and Wachowiak, 2011) (Fig. 2D). These temporal ensemble patterns contained substantial odor information, particularly during the first ~100 ms after inhalation onset. More pronounced firing rate modulations during short time windows within a sniff (~30 ms), but not firing rates averaged over the entire sniff (~160 ms), were correlated with shorter behavioral reaction times on a trial-by-trial basis, consistent with the hypothesis that the dynamics of odor responses is used for odor identification (Cury and Uchida, 2010).

When sniffing frequency was decreased by changing the task design, the duration of inhalation and the activity patterns during this phase were largely preserved, indicating that the dynamics of MT activity during the initial phase of the sniff cycle is remarkably stable (Cury and Uchida, 2010). In another study using head-fixed animals, trial-to-trial variations in sniff duration were associated with temporal stretching or compression of the MT cell activity pattern. As a consequence, the phase of MT cell responses relative to the sniff cycle was invariant to variability in sniff duration (Shusterman et al., 2011). This temporal scaling may be explained by the assumption that faster inhalation produces a steeper rise in odor concentration in the nose and, thus, faster responses of the bulb network. The somewhat different observations of these studies may now be further explored.

To examine whether mice are able to detect subtle differences in the timing of sensory input, OSNs expressing channelrhodopsin 2 (Chr2) were stimulated by light in mice implanted with an optical fiber in the nasal cavity (Smear et al., 2011). This approach made it possible to vary the timing of the stimulus relative to the optical fiber in the nasal cavity (Smear et al., 2011). These temporal ensemble patterns contained substantial odor information, particularly during the first ~100 ms after inhalation onset. More pronounced firing rate modulations during short time windows within a sniff (~30 ms), but not firing rates averaged over the entire sniff (~160 ms), were correlated with shorter behavioral reaction times on a trial-by-trial basis, consistent with the hypothesis that the dynamics of odor responses is used for odor identification (Cury and Uchida, 2010).

Changes in average firing of sister cells, mechanisms underlying temporal decorrelation remain elusive. One possibility is that sister cells receive distinct lateral inhibitory inputs from juxtaglomerular or granule cells. Together, these recent results argue for the coexistence of information in the rate and in the timing of action potential output from MT cells (Cury and Uchida, 2010; Dhaiwale et al., 2010; Shusterman et al., 2011). Moreover, the number of functionally distinct output channels of the OB is higher than the number of input channels (glomeruli).

Figure 3. Perception of stimulus time differences relatively to the sniffing cycle. A, Mice were implanted with a nasal optical fiber stub (OFS) to deliver light, gated by an acousto-optic modulator (AOM). A nasal pressure cannula (PC) coupled to a pressure sensor (P) measured sniffing. Inverted intranasal pressure signal is shown at top left. B, Top, Schematic of the sniff time discrimination problem, shown relative to a typical sniff waveform, with inhalation shaded green and exhalation shaded orange. Light was delivered 32 ms after inhalation onset (red arrow) in “go” trials and sometimes later (blue arrow) in “no-go” trials. Bottom, Performance of individual OMP-Chr2 mice (n = 5) (black dots). Green line and shaded region show average performance and SD (modified from Smear et al., 2011).

Transformations of spatiotemporal activity patterns in the OB and the underlying mechanisms are now further analyzed by optogenetic approaches. For example, in vitro studies predict that GABAergic (GAD65+) periglomerular interneurons play a role in shaping both the overall excitability and the temporal response pattern of MT cells (Gire and Schoppa, 2009; Shao et al., 2012). This hypothesis is now being tested by expressing the inhibitory opsin Arch in these neurons. Similar approaches are also used to test the functions of other interneurons in the OB of mice and zebrafish. Moreover, recent studies indicate that biophysical variation among neurons of the same type can contribute to the computational function of the OB network (Padmanabhan and Urban, 2010; Angelo et al., 2012).

Transformations of odor representations in olfactory cortex

The largest target area of the OB is the piriform cortex, a threelayered paleocortical brain area that has been proposed to estab-
lish synthetic representations of olfactory objects and to function as an auto-associative memory network (Haberly, 2001; Wilson and Sullivan, 2011; Chapuis and Wilson, 2012). Pyramidal neurons in piriform cortex receive nontopographic excitatory input from diverse sets of MT cells (Nagayama et al., 2010; Ghosh et al., 2011; Miyamichi et al., 2011; Sosulski et al., 2011; Igarashi et al., 2012) and form sparse connections with other pyramidal neurons independent of distance (Johnson et al., 2000; Franks et al., 2011). Moreover, pyramidal neurons receive powerful inhibitory input from different classes of local GABAergic interneurons (Poo and Isaacson, 2009; Stokes and Isaacson, 2010; Suzuki and Bekkers, 2010) (Fig. 4A). Consistent with the distributed character of afferent and intrinsic connections, large-scale multiphoton calcium imaging showed that odors evoke scattered, moderately sparse patterns of activity in piriform cortex and in the homologous brain area in zebrafish, the posterior zone of the dorsal telencephalon (Dp) (Stettler and Axel, 2009; Yaksi et al., 2009) (Fig. 4B). These studies also demonstrated that activity patterns evoked by binary mixtures deviated substantially from responses to the individual components. Optical stimulation of glomeruli using Chr2 or glutamate uncaging showed that piriform neurons integrate spatially distributed inputs from the OB, often exhibiting superlinear responses to multiple inputs (Arenkiel et al., 2007; Davison and Ehlers, 2011). These results are consistent with the notion that odor representations are synthetic in nature (Haberly, 2001; Wilson and Sullivan, 2011).

Extracellular recordings in rats performing an odor discrimination task showed that neurons in piriform cortex responded to odor stimulation with simple, burst-like activities time locked to inhalation onset (Miura et al., 2012). Spike counts of these bursts conveyed more reliable and rapid information than temporal patterns, suggesting that the significance of temporal patterns is greatly reduced in the anterior piriform cortex compared with the olfactory bulb. Cortical circuits may therefore detect timing differences between MT cells and transform them into a firing rate response. In theory, this could be accomplished by various mechanisms including delay lines, feedforward inhibition, or recurrent inhibition (Perez-Orive et al., 2002; Luna and Schoppa, 2008; Stokes and Isaacson, 2010). These issues can now be further explored by temporally precise optical stimulation of MT cells in the OB.

The impact of temporally patterned MT cell activity on higher-order neurons was examined in area Dp of adult zebrafish, the homolog of piriform cortex in other vertebrates. Since zebrafish inhabit slow or still waters and do not sniff, natural stimuli are unlikely to exhibit pronounced temporal structure. However, temporal patterning of MT cell activity results from interactions among neurons in the OB. Odor stimuli with a rise time of ~600 ms produced MT cell activity patterns that were dynamically reorganized before they approached a steady state after a few hundred milliseconds (Friedrich and Laurent, 2001; Niessing and Friedrich, 2010). Concomitantly, subsets of MT cells rhythmically synchronized their action potentials at a frequency near 20 Hz. Activity patterns across synchronized MT cells conveyed information about the molecular category of an odorant, but information about its precise identity was represented mostly by ensembles of nonsynchronized MT cells during the steady state (Friedrich et al., 2004). The information that is retrieved from MT activity patterns therefore depends on the impact of synchronization on higher-order neurons, and on the time window during the response.

To examine how activity patterns are temporally filtered in Dp, axon terminals of OSNs expressing Chr2 in the OB were optically stimulated using a digital micromirror array. Using this approach, it was possible to vary oscillatory synchrony among distributed ensembles of MT cells without major changes in firing rates (Blumhagen et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2012). Surprisingly, firing rates of Dp neurons did not increase with synchrony in their inputs, and odor stimulation evoked only small oscillatory membrane potential fluctuations. Although these fluctuations influenced action potential timing, firing rates of Dp neurons depended primarily on the magnitude of a large and slow depolarization, which in turn depended on the balance between excitatory and inhibitory synaptic input (Yaksi et al., 2009; Blumhagen et al., 2011). Neuronal circuits in Dp therefore attenuate the impact of synchrony, at least in part because passive neuronal properties act as strong low-pass filters. Moreover, most responses occurred during the decorrelated steady state of MT cell input (Blumhagen et al., 2011). Temporal filtering therefore tunes Dp neurons to those features of input patterns that are particularly informative about precise odor identity.

The olfactory brain, like other sensory areas, does not simply integrate sensory information in a passive manner to create a complete and accurate representation of the sensory scene. Instead, sensory responses are modulated by the behavioral state and prior experience even at the earliest processing stages. Such top-down modulation is thought to involve projections from central back to more peripheral brain areas (Knudsen, 2007; Restrepo et al., 2009), which are prominent in the olfactory system. The OB itself receives dense projections from olfactory cortex as well as neuromodulatory inputs from other areas. Moreover, different cortical regions are interconnected with each other (Shepherd et al., 2004; Matsutani and Yamamoto, 2008). Recent in vitro studies have begun to uncover the synaptic properties of centrif-
uual axons projecting back to the OB (Gao and Strowbridge, 2009), but the cellular targets of feedback projections, their functional properties, and their effects on olfactory processing in vivo remain largely unknown.

Optogenetic methods have a particular advantage over other techniques when examining connectivity across different areas because long-distance projection axons can be selectively activated near the target regions (Petreanu et al., 2007). This capability has recently been exploited in rodents to study functional connectivity patterns among the anterior olfactory nucleus, the anterior piriform cortex, and posterior piriform cortex (Hagiwara et al., 2012). Moreover, optogenetic stimulation of pyramidal neurons demonstrated that excitatory connectivity within piriform cortex is extremely widespread and sparse, whereas inhibitory connections are more local (Franks et al., 2011).

Optogenetic manipulations are also used to examine the functional role of feedback connections from cortical areas to the OB. Initial studies have focused on the dense bilateral projections from the anterior olfactory nucleus to the OB (F. Markopoulos, D. Rokni, D. Gire, and V. Murthy, unpublished data). By expressing Chr2 in the anterior olfactory nucleus and optical stimulation of the projecting axons in the OB, feedback was found to provide strong synaptic input to interneurons in the glomerular layer and to granule cells. Consistent with this connectivity pattern, activation of feedback projection triggered disynaptic inhibition in mitral/tufted cells. Intriguingly, optical stimulation of feedback projections from the anterior olfactory nucleus could also excite mitral cells with sufficient strength to trigger precisely timed spikes under some conditions. These methods can now be adapted to study the role of cortical feedback on odor coding and perception, for example by activating or inhibiting specific sources of feedback during odor-guided behaviors.

Conclusions and outlook
Careful quantitative measurements and manipulations of spatio-temporal activity patterns have refined current views of information processing at successive stages in the olfactory system. These studies also highlighted the power of optogenetic approaches to control neuronal activity patterns in space and time, and to explore systematic relationships between activity patterns and behavioral outputs. These approaches may now be used to address further important questions such as the roles of defined cell types in network function, the functions of different higher brain areas, and the role of top-down projections in the olfactory system.
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