Nitrogen deposition to the United States: distribution, sources, and processes
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Abstract. We simulate nitrogen deposition over the US in 2006–2008 by using the GEOS-Chem global chemical transport model at 1/2° x 2/3° horizontal resolution over North America and adjacent oceans. US emissions of NOx and NH3 in the model are 6.7 and 2.9 Tg N a−1 respectively, including a 20 % natural contribution for each. Ammonia emissions are a factor of 3 lower in winter than summer, providing a good match to US network observations of NHx (≡NH3 gas + ammonium aerosol) and ammonium wet deposition fluxes. Model comparisons to observed deposition fluxes and surface air concentrations of oxidized nitrogen species (NOy) show overall good agreement but excessive winter-time HNO3 production over the US Midwest and Northeast. This suggests a model overestimate N2O5 hydrolysis in aerosols, and a possible factor is inhibition by aerosol nitrate. Model results indicate a total nitrogen deposition flux of 6.5 Tg N a−1 over the contiguous US, including 4.2 as NOy and 2.3 as NHx. Domestic anthropogenic, foreign anthropogenic, and natural sources contribute respectively 78 %, 6 %, and 16 % of total nitrogen deposition over the contiguous US in the model. The domestic anthropogenic contribution generally exceeds 70 % in the east and in populated areas of the west, and is typically 50–70 % in remote areas of the west. Total nitrogen deposition in the model exceeds 10 kg N ha−1 a−1 over 35 % of the contiguous US.

1 Introduction

Atmospheric input of reactive nitrogen (often called “fixed nitrogen”) to ecosystems has increased by more than a factor of 3 globally due to human activity, significantly perturbing the global nitrogen cycle (Vitousek et al., 1997; Galloway et al., 2004). Adverse effects may include soil acidification (Bowman et al., 2008), eutrophication (Bowman et al. 2002), and a reduction in plant biodiversity (Stevens et al., 2004). Increased nitrogen deposition may enhance CO2 uptake by the land and ocean, though the climate benefit would be offset by associated N2O emission (Reay et al., 2008). The US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is presently developing secondary air quality standards for protection of ecosystems against the detrimental effects of nitrogen deposition (US EPA, 2008). This requires a better understanding of nitrogen deposition over the US in its various forms and including contributions from sources both natural and anthropogenic, foreign and domestic. We use here a nested version of the global GEOS-Chem chemical transport model (CTM) to address these issues.

The anthropogenic contribution to nitrogen deposition is mainly driven by emissions of nitrogen oxide radicals (NOx ≡NO + NO2) and ammonia (NH3). These species also have natural sources. NOx is emitted to the atmosphere by
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2 The GEOS-Chem nested-grid model

2.1 General description

The GEOS-Chem 3-D global chemical transport model (v8-02-03; http://geos-chem.org) was originally described by Bey et al. (2001) and Park et al. (2004). The model here is driven by GEOS-5 assimilated meteorological data for 2006-2008 from the NASA Global Modeling and Assimilation Office (GMAO). The GEOS-5 data are available with a temporal resolution of 6 hours (3 hours for surface variables and mixing depths), a horizontal resolution of 1/2° latitude by 2/3° longitude, and 72 vertical layers from the surface to 0.01 hPa. The lowest 5 layers are centered at 70, 200, 330, 470, and 600 m for a column above sea level. We use a nested version of GEOS-Chem (Wang et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2009) with the native 1/2° × 2/3° horizontal resolution over North America and adjacent oceans (140°–40° W, 10°–70° N), and 2° × 2.5° horizontal resolution for the rest of the world. Zhang et al. (2011) previously used the exact same model to estimate policy-relevant background ozone in surface air over the US.

The model includes a detailed simulation of tropospheric ozone-NOx-hydrocarbon-aerosol chemistry, as recently described for example by Mao et al. (2010). Formation of organic nitrates from the oxidation of biogenic isoprene emitted by vegetation can be a significant sink for NOx in the model (Horowitz et al., 1998). We assume that these isoprene nitrates are removed by wet and dry deposition at the same deposition velocity as HNO3, and do not regenerate NOx. Earlier versions of GEOS-Chem did not explicitly describe isoprene nitrates, treating them instead as HNO3 (Bey et al., 2001). Here we describe them explicitly in order to compare simulated HNO3 with observations and to quantify the contribution of isoprene nitrates to dry deposition.

Aerosol and gas-phase chemistry in GEOS-Chem are coupled through gas-aerosol partitioning of semi-volatile species including NH3 and HNO3, heterogeneous aerosol chemistry parameterized as reactive uptake coefficients (Jacob, 2000), and aerosol effects on photolysis rates (Martin et al., 2003). Partitioning of total NH3 and HNO3 between gas and aerosol phases is estimated with the RPMARES thermodynamic equilibrium model (Binkowski and Roselle, 2003). The reactive uptake coefficients γN2O5 for N2O5 in aerosols are from Evans and Jacob (2005), reduced by a factor of 10 as tested by Macintyre and Evans (2010). The resulting annual mean value of γN2O5 in surface air over the contiguous US is 0.003, comparable to measured values in the range of 0.0005–0.006 (Brown et al., 2009; Bertram et al., 2009).

We conduct three-year GEOS-Chem simulations for 2006–2008. We first conduct the global simulation at 2° × 2.5° resolution, and archive the output at 3-h temporal resolution for use as boundary conditions in the nested model. Output from the nested model does not affect the global simulation (one-way nesting).

2.2 Deposition

Wet deposition for aerosols follows the scheme described by Liu et al. (2001), with adaptation to soluble gases described by Mari et al. (2000) and Amos et al. (2012). The scheme includes scavenging in convective updrafts as well as in-cloud and below-cloud scavenging from large-scale precipitation. In warm (liquid) clouds with T > 268 K, aerosols are assumed to be 100% incorporated in cloud droplets and gases are partitioned following Henry’s law. In mixed (liquid/ice) clouds (248 < T < 268 K), where precipitation takes place by riming, aerosols are retained in the rime ice while gases are retained with varying efficiencies (unity for HNO3 but 0.05 for NH3;...
Table 1. Mean daytime dry deposition velocities over the contiguous US.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>( v_d ) (cm s(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{NH}_3 )</td>
<td>0.65±0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerosol ( \text{NH}_3 )</td>
<td>0.15±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{HNO}_3 )</td>
<td>2.7±1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{N}_2\text{O}_5 )</td>
<td>2.7±1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoprene nitrates</td>
<td>2.7±1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{NO}_2 )</td>
<td>0.36±0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAN(^b)</td>
<td>0.32±0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alkyl nitrates</td>
<td>0.32±0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerosol ( \text{NO}_3 )</td>
<td>0.15±0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Annual mean daytime (10-16 local time) values computed in GEOS-Chem for the ensemble of 1/3\(^{\circ}\)×1/3\(^{\circ}\) grid squares covering the contiguous US and for the midpoint of the lowest grid level (~70 m above the surface). Standard deviations describe the spatial variability of the annual means.

\(^b\) Peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) and higher peroxacyl nitrates.

Wang et al. (2008)). In cold (ice) clouds (\( T < 248 \, \text{K} \)), both aerosols and \( \text{HNO}_3 \) are scavenged with 100\% efficiency (\( \text{HNO}_3 \) is taken up as a monolayer; Abbott (1997)), while \( \text{NH}_3 \) is not scavenged.

Dry deposition of gases and aerosols is simulated with a standard big-leaf resistance-in-series model (Wesely, 1989). The dry deposition flux \( F_d \) out of the lowest model layer (midpoint \( z_1 \approx 70 \, \text{m} \) above the surface) is calculated as:

\[
F_d = n_a C(z_1) v_d(z_1)
\]

where \( n_a \) (molecules cm\(^{-3}\)) is the number density of air, \( C(z_1) \) is the mixing ratio of the depositing species at height \( z_1 \), and \( v_d \) is its deposition velocity (cm s\(^{-1}\)) at that height. The deposition velocity is a function of the local meteorological condition and surface type, as given by:

\[
v_d(z_1) = \left( R_a(z_1, z_0) + R_b + R_c \right)^{-1}
\]

Here \( R_a(z_1, z_0) \) is the aerodynamic resistance to turbulent transfer from \( z_1 \) to the roughness height \( z_0 \) close to the surface where turbulence vanishes, \( R_b \) is the boundary layer resistance to molecular diffusion from \( z_0 \) to the actual surface, and \( R_c \) is the canopy or surface uptake resistance.

Table 1 lists the annual mean daytime (10:00–16:00 local time) dry deposition velocities for different species computed in the model over the contiguous US. Values average 2.7±1.5 cm s\(^{-1}\) for \( \text{HNO}_3 \), \( \text{N}_2\text{O}_5 \), and isoprene nitrates; 0.65±0.40 cm s\(^{-1}\) for \( \text{NH}_3 \); and 0.15–0.36 cm s\(^{-1}\) for aerosols, \( \text{NO}_2 \), peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN), and other organic nitrates. Other nitrogen species are not significantly removed by dry deposition. Model values are consistent with experimental studies, which report daytime dry deposition velocities to land in the 2–10 cm s\(^{-1}\) range for \( \text{HNO}_3 \) (Sievering et al., 2001; Horii et al., 2005), and in the 0.1–1.0 cm s\(^{-1}\) range for PAN (Doskey et al., 2004; Turnipseed et al. 2006; Wolfe et al., 2009). Biosphere-atmosphere exchange of \( \text{NO}_x \) and \( \text{NH}_3 \) is bi-directional (Sutton et al., 1998; Lerdau et al., 2000; Ellis et al., 2011), but is treated here as uncoupled emission and deposition processes. The fluxes to the surface are parameterized as uni-directional dry deposition, and the fluxes back to the atmosphere are included as part of natural emissions.

2.3 Emissions

A description of \( \text{NO}_x \) emissions in the model is given in Zhang et al. (2011). We use global anthropogenic emissions of \( \text{NO}_x \), \( \text{CO} \), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and \( \text{SO}_2 \) from the EDGAR inventory (Olivier and Berdowski, 2001), and global anthropogenic emissions of \( \text{NH}_3 \) from GEIA (Bouwman et al., 1997). The global inventories are replaced with regional emission inventories including EPA NEI-2005 for the US, the CAC inventory for Canada (http://www.ec.gc.ca/pdb/cac/cac_home_e.cfm), BRAVO for Mexico (Kuhns et al., 2005), EMEP for Europe (Vestreng and Kleinkem, 2002), and Zhang et al. (2009) for Asia. The US anthropogenic emissions of \( \text{NH}_3 \) from NEI-2005 are further modified to match surface total reduced nitrogen concentrations as described below. These global and regional inventories are scaled to the simulation year (2006–2008) based on the energy statistics described by van Donkelaar et al. (2008), except for NEI-2005 that is fixed for the year 2005. The model also includes global \( \text{NO}_x \) emissions from fertilizer use and aircraft based on Yienger and Levy (1995) and Baughcum et al. (1996), respectively.

Natural \( \text{NO}_x \) sources include lightning, soil, and open fires. \( \text{NO}_x \) emissions from lightning are computed using the cloud top height parameterization of Price and Rind (1992), and vertically distributed following Pickering et al. (1998). The spatial distribution of lightning flashes is constrained with the 10-yr averaged OTD/LIS satellite observations as described by Sauvage et al. (2007). The \( \text{NO}_x \)
yield is estimated to be 500 mol per flash at northern mid-latitudes, and 125 mol per flash in the tropics (Hudman et al., 2007), and this gives a global lightning source of 6 Tg N a$^{-1}$ (Martin et al., 2007). Soil NO$_x$ emissions follow the algorithm of Yienger and Levy (1995) with canopy reduction factors (Wang et al., 1998). Open fires emissions are from the monthly GFED-v2 inventory (van der Werf et al., 2006). Natural NH$_3$ emissions from soil, vegetation, and the oceans are from the GEIA inventory (Bouwman et al., 1997). Biogenic VOC emissions, which are important for the conversion of NO$_x$ to organic nitrates, are from MEGAN (Guenther et al., 2006).

Figure 1 shows the spatial and seasonal distribution of US NO$_x$ emissions and Table 2 gives annual totals from each source over the contiguous US. Anthropogenic sources (5.6 Tg N a$^{-1}$ including fertilizer use and aircraft) account for 84% of the total NO$_x$ emissions. Natural sources from lightning, soil, and open fires account for 9.5%, 6.2%, and 0.7%, respectively. Natural contributions peak in summer, accounting for 39% of US NO$_x$ emissions in July.

Gilliland et al. (2003, 2006) and Pinder et al. (2006) previously found large seasonally varying errors in the US NEI emission inventory for NH$_3$ by model comparison with observed wet deposition fluxes of ammonium (NH$_4^+$) and atmospheric concentrations of total reduced nitrogen (NH$_x$ ≡ NH$_3$ gas + ammonium aerosol). Here we use NH$_x$ measurements from two networks (Fig. 2, left panel) to constrain the seasonality of NH$_3$ emissions: the Midwest Ammonia
Monitoring Project managed by the Midwest Regional Planning Organization (RPO) for 2004–2005 (http://www.ladco.org), and the Southeastern Aerosol Research and Characterization (SEARCH) for 2006 (Edgerton et al., 2006). Figure 2 (central panel) compares observations to model results in a simulation with the August NEI-2005 NH₃ emission applied to the whole year (no seasonality source). Results agree well with measurements in summer but are too high in winter, reflecting a lower NH₃ emission in winter than that in summer, as would be expected in view of the temperature dependence of NH₃ emission (Aneja et al., 2000). We fit monthly scaling factors as observed/simulated concentration ratios to the NEI emissions to correct the discrepancy shown in the central panel of Figure 2 and apply them nationwide. These scaling factors range from 0.9–1 in summer to 0.2–0.4 in winter. Independent comparison of the resulting model to the SEARCH data for 2006 (Fig. 2, right panel) shows good agreement and thus supports these seasonal scaling factors.

Figure 1 shows the spatial and seasonal distribution of US NH₃ emissions with the above scaling factors applied, and Table 2 gives annual totals for each source. Emissions show a broad May-September maximum. The highest emissions are in areas of major livestock operations. Anthropogenic emissions (2.3 Tg N a⁻¹, 81%) dominate over natural emissions (0.56 Tg N a⁻¹, 19%).

Our NOₓ and NH₃ emission estimates can be compared with those of Smith and Mueller (2010), who implemented natural emission inventories into the CMAQ regional model for July 2002. For a model domain (130°–70° W, 23°–56° N) covering the contiguous US and large fractions of Canada and Mexico, they found natural emissions to contribute 44% of NOₓ emissions and 28% of NH₃ emissions. Our results for July 2006 over the same CMAQ domain are comparable, with natural emission contributions of 40% for NOₓ and 24% for NH₃. The largest difference is for open fire NOₓ emissions, which are a factor of 5 higher in Smith et al. (2010). The GFED-v2 fire emissions used in GEOS-Chem indicate that dry mass burned over the CMAQ domain was a factor of 4 higher in July 2002 than in July 2006, mostly due to large fires in eastern Canada in 2002.

3 Deposition patterns and surface concentrations

Figure 3a–c compares simulated and observed sulfate, ammonium, and nitrate wet deposition fluxes over the US and Canada for 2006. The observations are from the 251 sites of the National Atmospheric Deposition Program/National Trends Network (NADP/NTN; data available at https://nadp.isws.illinois.edu/) for the US, and 26 sites of the Canadian Air and Precipitation Monitoring Network (CAPMoN; data available at http://www.on.ec.gc.ca/hatchem/index.aspx) for Canada. We use sulfate as a check on the wet deposition processes in the model since the SO₂ source from coal combustion is well constrained by stack measurements. We summarize the comparison between the model (M) and observations (O) using the correlation coefficient, the normalized mean bias (NMB) computed as NMB = \( \frac{1}{N} \sum(M_i - O_i)/O_i \) for the N sites, and the mean normalized bias MNB = \( \frac{1}{N} \sum(M_i - O_i)/O_i \).

The NMB estimates the mean offset between the model and observations, while MNB provides a sensitive evaluation of the model performance for observed low values.

For all three species the model shows strong correlations with observations, no significant annual biases, and little seasonal bias. MNB and NMB generally agree within a few percent, except for some seasonal cases where MNB is ∼20% higher due to model overestimates of very low observations. Wet deposition generally peaks in summer for all three species, in the case of sulfate and nitrate because of higher SO₂ and NOₓ oxidant concentrations, and in the case of ammonium because of higher ammonia emissions. The summer peak of nitrate wet deposition is particularly pronounced around the Gulf of Mexico where it reflects the seasonal maxima in both lightning and precipitation. Simulated nitrate wet deposition peaks in Michigan and southeastern Canada in winter, caused by transport of HNO₃ and nitrate aerosols produced mostly from N₂O₅ hydrolysis. This winter maximum is less pronounced in the observations, suggesting that N₂O₅ hydrolysis in the model may be too fast as discussed further below. The model does not capture the observed high values of ammonium wet deposition in the upper Midwest, as previously noted by Fisher et al. (2011), likely because of regional underestimate of emissions. Our national scaling factors are derived from NH₄ measurements in the east (Fig. 2), and may fail to correct the regional emissions in the upper Midwest.

No routine direct measurements of dry deposition fluxes are available at US sites. However, the Clean Air Status and Trends Network (CASTNet) makes weekly integrated measurements of gas-phase HNO₃ concentrations from which dry deposition fluxes can be estimated using modeled dry deposition velocities (Clarke et al., 1997). Figure 4 compares annual mean HNO₃ concentrations from CASTNet with GEOS-Chem results in 2006. The model has a mean positive bias of 69%, which is due in part to the vertical gradient of concentrations between the lowest model grid-point (\( z_1 = 70 \) m) and the CASTNet measurement altitude (\( z_C = 10 \) m). This gradient can be quantified from the resistance-in-series formulation for dry deposition used in GEOS-Chem. We re-express the deposition flux in Eq. (1) as

\[
F_d = n_a C(z_1) v_d(z_1) = n_a C(z_1) - C(z_C) \quad \frac{R_d(z_1, z_C)}{R_d(z_1, z_C)} \quad \text{(3)}
\]

where \( R_d(z_1, z_C) \) is the aerodynamic resistance between \( z_1 \) and \( z_C \). In the GEOS-Chem resistance-in-series formulation the aerodynamic resistance is calculated between \( z_1 \) and \( z_0 \)
Fig. 3a. Annual and seasonal mean sulfate wet deposition fluxes measured at NADP and CAPMoN sites (left panels) and simulated by GEOS-Chem (central panels) in 2006. The right panels show scatter-plots of simulated versus observed values at individual sites. Correlation coefficients ($r$), normalized mean biases (NMB), and mean normalized biases (MNB) are shown inset. Reduced-major-axis regression lines (solid) and the 1:1 lines (dash) are also shown.

(Eq. 2) using Monin-Obukhov similarity with momentum, and the same expression can be used to calculate the aerodynamic resistance between $z_1$ and $z_C$:

$$R_a(z_1, z_C) = \int_{z_C}^{z_1} \frac{\Phi(\zeta)}{ka^*\zeta} d\zeta$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Here $\zeta = z/L$, $L$ is the Monin-Obukhov length that is function of the local surface fluxes of momentum and sensible heat, $\Phi$ is a stability-dependent function (Businger et al., 1971), $a^*$ is the friction velocity, and $k$ is the von Karman constant. The implied model concentration at the CASTNet height $z_C$ is related to the concentration at the lowest model gridpoint $z_1$ by:

$$C(z_C) = (1 - R_a(z_1, z_C)v_d(z_1))C(z_1)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

We retrieved $(1 - R_a(z_1, z_C)v_d(z_1))$ locally from GEOS-Chem, and applied it to $C(z_1)$ following Eq. (5). The right panel of Figure 4 shows the implied model HNO$_3$ concentrations at 10 m. They are on average 30%–40% lower than those at 70 m, and the normalized mean bias relative to the CASTNet measurements reduces to 18%. The model gradient between 70 and 10 m would be weaker than computed here if HNO$_3$ remained in equilibrium with aerosol nitrate, which has low deposition velocity. Measurements by Sievering et al. (1994) over a forest in Germany indicate much weaker vertical gradients for aerosol nitrate than for HNO$_3$, suggesting that equilibrium is not maintained on the short time scales associated with dry deposition.

The remaining model HNO$_3$ bias (18% annual mean) is driven by an overestimate in winter over the US industrial Midwest. The model has a NMB of 88% in winter and $-14\%$ in summer. This is similar to the
previously mentioned model discrepancy with observed nitrate wet deposition fluxes. Model formation of HNO₃ in winter is mostly from heterogeneous N₂O₅ hydrolysis in aerosols (Lamsal et al., 2010) and this process may be overestimated in the standard GEOS-Chem formulation (Evans and Jacob, 2005; Macintyre and Evans, 2010). The aerosol in winter has a large nitrate component, and laboratory studies have shown that γN₂O₅ is one order of magnitude smaller for nitrate than for sulfate aerosols because the nitrate inhibits N₂O₅ dissociation (Wahner et al., 1998; Mentel et al., 1999; Bertram and Thornton, 2009). This nitrate inhibition effect is not included in GEOS-Chem. In addition, recent field studies have shown that γN₂O₅ over the US reacts in chloride-containing aerosols to produce ClNO₂, which photolyzes to NO₂ the following morning and hence suppresses HNO₃ formation (Roberts et al., 2009; Thornton et al., 2010).

We further evaluated the model simulation using observed aerosol concentrations of sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium from the CASTNet and EPA Air Quality System sites. Figure 5 compares observed and simulated annual mean values for 2006. The model reproduces the observed annual mean sulfate concentrations ($r = 0.94–0.96$) with only small biases ($−4$ to $−1 \%$). This is important for constraining the model simulation of aerosol nitrate, which can form only if ammonia is in excess of sulfate. Observed ammonium and nitrate concentrations are highest in the Midwest, reflecting agricultural sources of NH₃ that control the formation of ammonium nitrate aerosol. The model has some success in capturing the observed spatial distributions ($r = 0.82–0.94$ for ammonium, $0.60–0.70$ for nitrate) but is too high by $17–34 \%$ for ammonium and $40–81 \%$ for nitrate. The overestimate is most severe over the US Midwest in winter, supporting the hypothesis that HNO₃ formation from N₂O₅ hydrolysis is too high in the model. The HNO₃ overestimate leads more ammonia to partition to the aerosol phase and form ammonium nitrate aerosol.
Finally, we evaluated the model with NO₂ tropospheric column measurements from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) aboard the Aura satellite. This provides an additional check on model NOₓ emissions as well as on the lifetime for NOₓ oxidation. The OMI NO₂ data (DOMINO v2.0) are from KNMI and are available at http://www.temis.nl (Boersma et al., 2011). Figure 6 compares the OMI tropospheric NO₂ column with GEOS-Chem for March–November 2006. We exclude the winter months due to large OMI retrieval errors over snow (O’Byrne et al., 2010). Model
results are sampled at the satellite overpass time (13:45 local time). The model closely reproduces the spatial distribution of NO$_2$ tropospheric columns ($r = 0.95$), but there is a mean 12 % low bias over the southeast US and 40 % low bias over California.

4 Nitrogen deposition processes

We now examine the contributions of different processes to nitrogen deposition over the US. We focus here on quantifying the relative contributions from wet vs. dry deposition, from individual nitrogen species, and from domestic anthropogenic vs. other sources. A three-year average of model results (2006–2008) is used to account for interannual variabil-

ity in nitrogen deposition. Relative interannual variability in the model is very small for the results presented here.

Figure 7 shows the annual wet and dry deposition fluxes of oxidized (NO$_y$) and reduced (NH$_x$) nitrogen, and Table 3 summarizes the annual total deposition amounts from each process and from individual species over the contiguous US. Dry deposition patterns closely follow emissions (Fig. 1). Wet deposition patterns depend on precipitation as well as on emissions. On the national scale, NO$_y$ is removed preferentially by dry rather than wet deposition (2.9 vs. 1.3 Tg N a$^{-1}$), while for NH$_x$ dry and wet deposition are comparable (1.0 vs. 1.3 Tg N a$^{-1}$). The more efficient dry deposition for NO$_y$ reflects the high dry deposition velocity for HNO$_3$ as shown in Table 1. Annually HNO$_3$ deposition represents 55 % of NO$_y$ dry deposition, NO$_2$ 22 %, isoprene nitrates 9 %, PAN

...
Fig. 7. Simulated annual total fluxes of NO$_3^-$ wet deposition, NO$_y$ dry deposition, NH$_4^+$ wet deposition, and NH$_x$ dry deposition. Values are 3-year means for 2006-2008. Annual totals over the contiguous US from each process are shown inset in unit of Tg N a$^{-1}$.

Table 3. Nitrogen deposition over the contiguous US$^a$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deposition process</th>
<th>Deposition (Tg N a$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO$_y$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet NO$_3^-$</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry HNO$_3$</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry NO$_2$</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry isoprene nitrates</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry N$_2$O$_5$</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry PANs</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry NO$_3^-$ aerosol</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry alkyl nitrates</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH$_x$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet NH$_4^+$</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry NH$_3$</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry NH$_4^+$ aerosol</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Annual total nitrogen deposition for 2006-2008 computed with the GEOS-Chem model.

3.0 %, and nitrate aerosol 2.3 %. Dry deposition of NH$_x$ is mainly through gaseous NH$_3$ (82 % of NH$_x$ dry deposition), reflecting its high deposition velocity relative to ammonium aerosol. The previously mentioned CMAQ simulation of Smith and Mueller (2010) simulates an annual NO$_y$ dry deposition flux of 1.9 Tg N a$^{-1}$ in 2002 over the contiguous United States, with 70 % contributed by HNO$_3$ dry deposition (J. W. Mallard and S. F. Mueller, personal communication, 2012). Future work is needed to understand the differences between the two models.

Holland et al. (2005) previously estimated annual nitrogen deposition fluxes over the contiguous US from the NADP wet deposition fluxes of nitrate and ammonium, together with the CASTNet-derived dry deposition fluxes of HNO$_3$, nitrate aerosol, and ammonium aerosol. Their wet deposition fluxes (1.28 and 1.08 Tg N a$^{-1}$ as NO$_y$ and NH$_x$ respectively) agree closely with our model results, but their dry deposition fluxes (1.20 and 0.18 Tg N a$^{-1}$ as NO$_y$ and NH$_x$ respectively) are much lower. The differences are in part because the CAST-Net data do not account for dry deposition of NO$_2$, organic nitrates, and NH$_3$.

Simulated NO$_y$ dry deposition fluxes can be directly compared to eddy covariance NO$_y$ flux measurements at Harvard Forest (42.53° N, 72.18° W). Concentration and eddy covariance flux measurements for 1999-2002 (black) are compared to model results averaged for 2006-2008 (red). The vertical bars indicate the range of the monthly mean values for the four years of measurements and three years of model results.

Fig. 8. Monthly NO$_y$ concentrations (top) and dry deposition fluxes (bottom) at Harvard Forest, Massachusetts (42.53° N, 72.18° W). Concentration and eddy covariance flux measurements for 1999-2002 (black) are compared to model results averaged for 2006-2008 (red). The vertical bars indicate the range of the monthly mean values for the four years of measurements and three years of model results.
and the model is 33% higher (7.2 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) a\(^{-1}\)). The measured flux was particularly high in 2000 (8.1 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) a\(^{-1}\)), and had little variation for the other three years (4.2–4.4 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) a\(^{-1}\)). Model results show little inter-annual variation for 2006-2008 (6.9–7.5 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) a\(^{-1}\)). The model overestimate of NO\(_y\) dry deposition in fall and winter may reflect in large part an excessive N\(_2\)O₅ hydrolysis in aerosols, as discussed above. Sparks et al. (2008) measured NO\(_y\) eddy flux measurements at Duke Forest (35.97° N, 79.08° W), North Carolina and estimated an annual NO\(_y\) dry deposition flux of 4.3 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) a\(^{-1}\) in 2003. The model is too high (7.2 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) a\(^{-1}\)) at that site, similar to the comparison at Harvard Forest. However, Sparks et al. (2008) stated that their NO\(_y\) eddy flux measurements could be biased low by up to 35% due to loss of HNO\(_3\) within the instrument inlet.

Eddy covariance flux measurements of PAN have been reported at Duke Forest (Turnipseed et al., 2006), and at Blodgett Forest, California (Wolfe et al., 2009). Turnipseed et al. (2006) found that PAN deposition accounted for 20% of the daytime NO\(_x\) deposition at Duke Forest in July 2003, but Wolfe et al. (2009) found only a 4% contribution at Blodgett Forest in August-October 2007 after correcting for the PAN thermal decomposition between the altitude of measurement and the surface. We find in GEOS-Chem that PAN contributes respectively 5% and 4% of NO\(_y\) dry deposition at the two sites in summer.

We find in the model that 4.2 Tg N of NO\(_y\) and 2.3 Tg N of NH\(_x\) are deposited annually over the contiguous US. Comparison to US emissions in Table 2 indicates an annual net export of 2.5 Tg N as NO\(_y\) (38% of NO\(_x\) emissions) and 0.60 Tg N as NH\(_x\) (21% of NH\(_x\) emissions). Our results are consistent with Dentener et al. (2006), who found by averaging results from 23 chemical transport models that net export of NO\(_y\) from the US amounts to 37% of US NO\(_x\) emissions.

### Table 4. Source contributions to nitrogen deposition over the contiguous US^a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>NO(_y)</th>
<th>NH(_x)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anthropogenic</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a Nitrogen deposition fluxes from different sources computed by the GEOS-Chem model as described in the text. Values are annual total fluxes in unit of Tg N a\(^{-1}\).

## 5 Domestic, foreign, and natural contributions to nitrogen deposition

Figure 9 shows the simulated spatial distribution of annual total (wet and dry) nitrogen deposition over the US. Nitrogen deposition is generally >8 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) a\(^{-1}\) in the eastern US and 1–4 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) a\(^{-1}\) in remote areas of the west. It is highest in the industrial Midwest with regional values in excess of 15 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) a\(^{-1}\). Bobbink et al. (1998) and Bouwman et al. (2002) estimate a “critical load” threshold of 10 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) a\(^{-1}\) for sensitive ecosystems above which disturbance could be significant. In our simulation, 35% of the US land receives nitrogen deposition exceeding this load.

We separated the contributions to nitrogen deposition from domestic anthropogenic, foreign anthropogenic, and natural sources by conducting sensitivity simulations for 2006 with (1) US domestic NH\(_3\) and NO\(_y\) anthropogenic emissions shut off, (2) global anthropogenic emissions shut off. Table 4 summarizes the budgets for the contiguous US. Domestic anthropogenic emissions account respectively for 81% and 71% of NO\(_y\) and NH\(_x\) deposition to the US (78% of total nitrogen deposition). Foreign anthropogenic emissions contribute 6% of NO\(_y\) deposition, 8% of NH\(_x\) deposition, and 6% of the total deposition. Natural sources account for the rest: 13% of NO\(_y\) deposition, 21% of NH\(_x\) deposition, and 16% of total nitrogen deposition.

Figure 10 shows how these deposition enhancements and relative contributions vary by receptor region. The domestic anthropogenic contribution generally exceeds 70% in the east and in populated areas of the west, falling off to 50–70% in remote areas of the west. Foreign anthropogenic contributions are generally less than 10% but can rise up to 30% near the Canadian and Mexican borders. The rising NO\(_x\) and NH\(_3\) emissions from oil production and agriculture in western Canada (Schindler et al., 2006) could significantly affect Montana and North Dakota. Natural source contributions are less than 10% in the eastern US and the West Coast, and about 20-30% in the intermountain West, with maximum contributions of 40% over the southwest US due to lightning emissions and over Idaho due to wildfires.
6 Conclusions

We have presented a simulation of nitrogen deposition over the US in 2006–2008 using a nested-grid version of the GEOS-Chem global chemical transport model with $1/2^\circ \times 2/3^\circ$ horizontal resolution over North America and adjacent oceans (140$^\circ$–40$^\circ$ W, 10$^\circ$–70$^\circ$ N), and $2^\circ \times 2.5^\circ$ horizontal resolution for the rest of the world. The model includes a detailed representation of oxidant-aerosol chemistry. Our focus was to quantify the processes and species contributing to nitrogen deposition over the contiguous US as well as the relative contributions of domestic anthropogenic, foreign anthropogenic, and natural sources.

Total NO$_x$ and NH$_3$ emissions in the contiguous US in the model are 6.7 and 2.9 Tg N a$^{-1}$ respectively. Natural sources account for about 20 % annually for both (up to 39 % for NO$_x$ in summer). Previous studies (Gilliland et al., 2003, 2006; Pinder et al., 2006) identified large seasonal biases in US emission inventories for NH$_3$. Our model imposes a seasonality of NH$_3$ emissions fitted to surface NH$_x$ measurements from the Midwest RPO and SEARCH networks, such that emissions in winter are about a third those in summer. Successful simulation of observations for NH$_x$ concentrations and ammonium wet deposition fluxes lends support to the NH$_3$ emissions used in the model, except in the upper Midwest where emissions appear to be too low.

We evaluated the model with an ensemble of relevant data sets for deposition fluxes and concentrations. The model reproduces the wet deposition fluxes of sulfate, nitrate and ammonium measured at the NADP sites in the US and the CAP-MoN sites in Canada with high correlations and no significant bias. Comparison to observed HNO$_3$ concentrations at CASTNet sites shows a mean positive model bias of 69 %, but we show that this largely reflects the expected concentration gradient between the CASTNet measurement altitude (10 m) and the midpoint of the lowest model layer (70 m). Correcting for this gradient reduces the mean model bias over the US to 18 % and localizes it to the industrial Midwest in winter (88 %). Comparisons with aerosol measurements of sulfate, ammonium and nitrate at CASTNet and EPA-AQS networks show no significant biases for sulfate, but positive biases of 17–34 % for ammonium and 40–81 % for nitrate. The model reproduces closely the spatial pattern of satellite NO$_2$ tropospheric column measurements from OMI with a 12 % low bias over the Southeast US and 40 % low bias over California. Comparison to multi-year eddy correlation
measurements of NO\textsubscript{y} dry deposition fluxes at Harvard Forest, Massachusetts shows good agreement in summer but a factor of 2 high bias in winter.

The main model flaw identified through comparison to the ensemble of observations is excessive HNO\textsubscript{3} production in winter. This production in the model is mainly from N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} hydrolysis in aerosols, with a mean reactive uptake coefficient $\gamma_{N_2O_5} \approx 0.003$ averaged across all aerosol types (Evans and Jacob, 2005; Macintyre and Evans, 2010) that is not inconsistent with values inferred from field observations in summer (Brown et al., 2009). However, the model does not account for inhibition of hydrolysis by aerosol nitrate (Davis et al., 2008; Bertram and Thornton, 2009), which would be important in winter when nitrate is a major constituent of the aerosol. It also does not account for reaction of N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} with chloride aerosol (Roberts et al., 2009; Thornton et al., 2010), which would decrease the HNO\textsubscript{3} yield. These effects should be included in future versions of the model.

We analyzed model results for 2006–2008 to quantify the processes contributing to nitrogen deposition. We find that 6.5 Tg N a\textsuperscript{-1} is deposited over the contiguous US: 4.2 Tg N as NO\textsubscript{y} and 2.3 Tg N as NH\textsubscript{3}. Dry deposition accounts for 70 % of total deposition for NO\textsubscript{y} and 43 % for NH\textsubscript{3}. NH\textsubscript{3} dry deposition is mainly through NH\textsubscript{3} gas (82 %). Dry deposition of NO\textsubscript{y} is partitioned as 55 % HNO\textsubscript{3}, 22 % NO\textsubscript{2}, 9 % isoprene nitrates, 3.0 % PAN, 2.3 % nitrate aerosol, and 8.7 % other species. The US is a net annual exporter of 2.5 Tg N as NO\textsubscript{y} (38 % of domestic NO\textsubscript{y} emissions) and 0.60 Tg N as NH\textsubscript{3} (21 % of domestic NH\textsubscript{3} emissions). Domestic anthropogenic emissions contribute respectively 81 % and 71 % of NO\textsubscript{y} and NH\textsubscript{3} deposited over the contiguous US, foreign anthropogenic emissions contribute 6 % and 8 %, and natural emissions 13 % and 21 %. The contribution from domestic anthropogenic sources to total nitrogen deposition generally exceeds 70 % in the east and populated areas of the west, and is typically 50–70 % in remote areas of the west. 35 % of the land surface in the contiguous US receives nitrogen deposition in excess of 10 kg N ha\textsuperscript{-1} a\textsuperscript{-1}. A follow-up study will provide a more detailed source attribution of nitrogen deposition in the US.
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