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Cue-Induced Dopamine Release Predicts Cocaine Preference: Positron Emission Tomography Studies in Freely Moving Rodents
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Positron emission tomography studies in drug-addicted patients have shown that exposure to drug-related cues increases striatal dopamine, which displaces binding of the D2 ligand, [11C]-raclopride. However, it is not known if animals will also show cue-induced displacement of [11C]-raclopride binding. In this study, we use [11C]-raclopride imaging in awake rodents to capture cue-induced changes in dopamine release associated with the conditioned place preference model of drug craving. Ten animals were conditioned to receive cocaine in a contextually distinct environment from where they received saline. Following conditioning, each animal was tested for preference and then received two separate [11C]-raclopride scans. For each scan, animals were confined to the cocaine and/or the saline-paired environment for the first 25 min of uptake, after which they were anesthetized and scanned. [11C]-raclopride uptake in the saline-paired environment served as a within-animal control for uptake in the cocaine-paired environment. Cocaine produced a significant place preference \( (p = 0.004) \) and exposure to the cocaine-paired environment decreased [11C]-raclopride binding relative to the saline-paired environment in both the dorsal (20%; \( p < 0.002 \)) and ventral striatum (22%; \( p < 0.05 \)). The change in [11C]-raclopride binding correlated with preference in the ventral striatum \( (R^2 = -0.87; p = 0.003) \). In this region, animals who showed little or no preference exhibited little or no change in [11C]-raclopride binding in the cocaine-paired environment. This noninvasive procedure of monitoring neurochemical events in freely moving, behaving animals advances preclinical molecular imaging by interrogating the degree to which animal models reflect the human condition on multiple dimensions, both biological and behavioral.

Introduction

Clinical positron emission tomography (PET) studies in drug-abusing populations and in healthy controls have shown that exposure to drug-associated cues increases brain concentrations of the neurotransmitter dopamine, which displaces striatal binding of the dopamine receptor ligand, [11C]-raclopride (Volkow et al., 2006; Wong et al., 2006; Boileau et al., 2007). This response mimics the effects of psychostimulants themselves. Positron emission tomography studies in drug-addicted patients have shown that exposure to drug-related cues increases striatal dopamine, which displaces binding of the D2 ligand, [11C]-raclopride. However, it is not known if animals will also show cue-induced displacement of [11C]-raclopride binding. In this study, we use [11C]-raclopride imaging in awake rodents to capture cue-induced changes in dopamine release associated with the conditioned place preference model of drug craving. Ten animals were conditioned to receive cocaine in a contextually distinct environment from where they received saline. Following conditioning, each animal was tested for preference and then received two separate [11C]-raclopride scans. For each scan, animals were confined to the cocaine and/or the saline-paired environment for the first 25 min of uptake, after which they were anesthetized and scanned. [11C]-raclopride uptake in the saline-paired environment served as a within-animal control for uptake in the cocaine-paired environment. Cocaine produced a significant place preference \( (p = 0.004) \) and exposure to the cocaine-paired environment decreased [11C]-raclopride binding relative to the saline-paired environment in both the dorsal (20%; \( p < 0.002 \)) and ventral striatum (22%; \( p < 0.05 \)). The change in [11C]-raclopride binding correlated with preference in the ventral striatum \( (R^2 = -0.87; p = 0.003) \). In this region, animals who showed little or no preference exhibited little or no change in [11C]-raclopride binding in the cocaine-paired environment. This noninvasive procedure of monitoring neurochemical events in freely moving, behaving animals advances preclinical molecular imaging by interrogating the degree to which animal models reflect the human condition on multiple dimensions, both biological and behavioral.
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then received two \( ^{11}C \)-raclopride scans: one in which radio-
ence (CPP) procedure. Animals were tested for preference and
where they received saline, using the conditioned place prefer-
receive cocaine in a contextually distinct environment from
striatum of freely moving rats. Animals were first conditioned to
study the conditioned behavioral effects of cocaine with small-animal
PET imaging. As in our previously established CPP protocol (Dewey et
al., 1998), animals were first tested for any natural preference for the
experimental environments. This pretest was followed by the condition-
phase, during which animals received both cocaine and saline on
alternating days. Twenty days after the first conditioning session, after
test, animals were scanned twice with \( ^{11}C \)-raclopride: once in which uptake occurred in the saline
paired environment and once in which uptake occurred in the cocaine
paired environment (Fig. 1). The order of the scans was randomized so
that one-half of the animals were scanned first in the saline-paired environment and one-half of the animals were scanned first in the cocaine-paired
environment, followed by 1–2 d of rest and then Scan 2, in which \( ^{11}C \)-raclopride uptake occurred in the opposite conditioning environment. After Scan 2, a second assessment of preference (CPP
Test 2) was performed to ascertain the stability of preference through the imaging process.

fraction of that released by the drug itself, whereas human PET
studies show a similar magnitude of \( ^{11}C \)-raclopride displace-
ment (or dopamine release) from both cue and stimulant exposure
in addicted patients (Volkow et al., 1999, 2001) and in healthy controls (Boileau et al., 2007). It is not known whether the difference in magnitude reported in human \( ^{11}C \)-raclopride PET studies versus animal microdialysis studies reflects a differ-
ence in measurements of synaptic versus extracellular dopamine, or
whether other differences such as species or the types of cues
(discrete cues such as paraphernalia are used in human studies whereas animal studies use contextual cues such as floor and wall patterning) explain the lower magnitude of dopamine release associated with cues versus the drugs themselves. The obvious value of animal PET measurements to resolve this controversy has been complicated by the need for anesthesia during scanning, which precludes any investigation of conscious behaviors such as
cue exposure.

We have recently developed a new imaging strategy in which biological measures with PET can be directly correlated with beha-
avioral observations in freely moving animals (Patel et al., 2008). In our strategy, radiotracer uptake occurs away from the
tomograph with little or no impact on behavior. In the present study, we used this new behavioral imaging strategy to test the hypothesis that exposure to environmental cues previously associated with cocaine will decrease \( ^{11}C \)-raclopride binding in the striatum of freely moving rats. Animals were first conditioned to receive cocaine in a contextually distinct environment from where they received saline, using the conditioned place prefer-
ence (CPP) procedure. Animals were tested for preference and
then received two \( ^{11}C \)-raclopride scans: one in which radiotracer uptake occurred in the environment where they received cocaine and a second in the environment in which they received saline. The incentive motivational effects of cocaine, thought to reflect craving for the drug, were measured directly using a place preference test, and this measure was correlated with individual differences in \( ^{11}C \)-raclopride binding between the two environments.

Materials and Methods
Study design
The study design is depicted in Figure 1. In these studies, a modified version of the CPP procedure provided an experimental platform to
study the conditioned behavioral effects of cocaine with small-animal

![Figure 1](image_url). Study design for imaging environmental cue-induced changes in \( ^{11}C \)-raclopride binding in freely moving animals. In the CPP pretest, animals are tested for an initial chamber preference before any drug exposure. One day after the pretest, animals begin environmental pairing sessions with cocaine (5.0 mg/kg i.v.) or saline on alternating days in their least preferred chamber. In this protocol, animals received 10 pairings of saline and 10 pairings with cocaine in contextually distinct environments, or chambers of the CPP apparatus. CPP Test 1 occurred 1 d after the last pairing session. One day later, animals were confined to one of the two conditioning environments, in which they received intravenous \( ^{11}C \)-rac instead of intravenous saline or cocaine (Scan 1). After 1 d of rest, animals were scanned again in the opposite chamber from the first scan (Scan 2). For clarity of presentation, the randomized scan order is not presented; however, the scan order was in fact randomized so that one-half of the animals were scanned first in the saline-paired environment and one-half of the animals were scanned first in the cocaine-paired environment, followed by 1–2 d of rest and then Scan 2, in which \( ^{11}C \)-raclopride uptake occurred in the opposite conditioning environment. After Scan 2, a second assessment of preference (CPP
Test 2) was performed to ascertain the stability of preference through the imaging process.
amine and xylazine (both from Aveco) at a dose of 15 mg/kg ketamine with 1.5 mg/kg xylazine, also given as a 5–10 s bolus.

**Radiosynthesis**

\[^{11}C\]-Raclopride was synthesized as detailed previously (Ehrin et al., 1986). The average specific radioactivity of \[^{11}C\]-raclopride was 7.73 Ci/μmol (range, 2.6–19.6 Ci/μmol) at the end of bombardment. Injected doses of \[^{11}C\]-raclopride ranged from 97 to 1110 μCi (mean, 730 μCi), with a mean injected raclopride mass of 4.27 ± 3.59 nmol/kg.

Using \[^{11}C\]-raclopride PET in male Sprague Dawley rats, it has been determined that the in vivo concentration at which one-half of the available D2 receptors are occupied (ED_{50}) is 17.1 nmol/kg (Hume et al., 1995). Using this mean ED_{50} value of 17.1 nmol/kg and the method of Hume et al. (1998) to calculate receptor occupancy, the present studies resulted in a maximal D2 receptor occupancy of 11% by the radiotracer doses.

**Place conditioning**

**Place-conditioning apparatus.** Four standard commercial place conditioning apparatuses (ENV-013; Med Associates) were placed on a table 100 cm above the floor in a quiet, dimly lit laboratory. Each apparatus consisted of two larger conditioning chambers (29 × 25 cm; internal volume of 12 L) separated by guillotine doors from a smaller chamber (11 × 25 cm; internal volume of 5 L). Each of the two larger chambers was modified with distinct visual and tactile cues to become two separate conditioning environments. One environment had alternating white and black vertical stripes (2 cm wide, 2 cm apart) with a wire-mesh floor (1-mm-diameter stainless steel thread-weave into 4 × 4 mm squares) above orange paper flooring. The other environment had solid black walls with floors of stainless steel rods (4-mm-diameter stainless steel rods spaced 8 mm apart) above black paper flooring. The third, middle environment had smooth gray walls and a smooth PVC floor. Clear Plexiglas lids covered all three environments and allowed digital recording and remote observation during conditioning and testing. Each lid had standard holes for air and one larger hole (2 cm diameter) in the center for injection lines.

Above the table, a video camera (Sony Handycam DCR HC96 DV Camcorder) digitally recorded conditioning, testing, and imaging sessions. Locomotor activity and preference data were analyzed using an automated, computerized scoring system (TopScan version 1.0; Clever Sys.).

**Place conditioning procedures.** Cocaine was chosen for this study due to its ability to produce a place preference in our laboratory (Dewey et al., 1998) and also because we have previously shown with in vivo microdialysis that exposure to a cocaine-paired environment increases extracellular DA in the ventral striatum (Gerasimov et al., 2001). Like our previous study, each place conditioning experiment consisted of three phases: preconditioning, conditioning, and preference testing. To demonstrate the rewarding properties of the drug (and not the environment), our procedure used a biased method for drug conditioning. In this method, animals were paired with cocaine in their least preferred preconditioning environment.

Before each testing or conditioning session, polyurethane tubing was connected to the jugular vein catheter port (positioned in the infrasacral dorsal midline) in unrestrained animals as they were allowed free movement in their home cage. The size of the tubing was fixed (0.64 mm inner diameter, 30 cm in length, −100 μl of volume) to maintain a constant dead volume and to provide sufficient slack to permit free movement in either the animals’ home cage or in any of the conditioning environments. The tubing was attached at one end to a 23G blunt luer hub (Hamilton Kel-F Hub, Point Style 3 with dimensions of 0.64 mm outer diameter, 51 mm length and a volume of 4.3 μl; Hamilton Company) and at the other end to 23 gauge stainless steel tubing, 0.5 cm in length, which was inserted into the animal’s catheter port. A syringe containing heparinized saline was attached to the luer hub when the polyurethane tubing was not in use. During uptake of the radiotracer on a given scan day, the whole unit was taped to the outside of the home cage or conditioning environment. Because the line extended from the infrasacral dorsal midline of the animal up through the Plexiglas lids, animals were not encumbered by the line and only rarely became tangled or tried to chew the tubing.

**Preference test.** The CPP test was identical to the pretest described above. One day after the last pairing, drug-free animals were given free access to all conditioning chambers for 20 min. Time spent in each environment was videotaped and digitally analyzed to determine the amount of time (in seconds) spent in each environmental chamber. Each animal received two preference tests, the first occurred 1 d before \[^{11}C\]-raclopride scanning (Fig. 1, CPP Test 1), and the second occurred 1 d after the last \[^{11}C\]-raclopride scan (Fig. 1, CPP Test 2). This was done to ensure that the scanning process did not interfere with the development of conditioned behaviors. Between the first and second postconditioning preference tests, animals were anesthetized twice and had an additional day between scans to recover from the effects of the anesthesia. This meant that the second \[^{11}C\]-raclopride scan (Fig. 1, Scan 2) occurred as many as 4 d after the last cocaine pairing (1 d for CPP Test 1 plus 2 d of scanning separated by 1 d of rest). To account for this variability, we randomized the scan order so that some animals underwent \[^{11}C\]-raclopride uptake in the saline-paired environment first and \[^{11}C\]-raclopride uptake in the cocaine-paired environment second (and vice versa); however, the second preference test was necessary to ensure that the behavioral effects of conditioning were maintained through this process. All preference testing sessions were videotaped and digitally analyzed to determine the total duration (in seconds) spent in each conditioning environment as an index of drug seeking or preference.

**Conditioned locomotion**

All conditioning sessions were videotaped and digitally analyzed to determine the total distance (in millimeters) traveled during each pairing session as an index of locomotion. Locomotor activity was also measured during \[^{11}C\]-raclopride uptake, when animals were confined to either the saline or the cocaine-paired environment. Because the scanning sessions were identical to the conditioning sessions in every way except the actual drug administered (instead of cocaine or saline, animals received a nonpharmacologically active dose of \[^{11}C\]-raclopride and were confined to one of the two conditioning environments), locomotor activity was measured during the scanning ses-
sions (specifically, during [11C]-raclopride uptake), and this was taken as an index of conditioned locomotion.

**Positron emission tomography imaging**

After the environmental pairings and preference test described above, all animals received at least two [11C]-raclopride PET scans. For each scan, [11C]-raclopride uptake occurred as animals were confined to one of the two cue-specific environments, after which animals were anesthetized, placed in the PET gantry, and scanned. [11C]-raclopride scans were carried out in a randomized order as described in Study Design.

**Behavioral imaging protocol.** The [11C]-raclopride imaging protocol was specifically designed to resemble the protocol used for the conditioning phase of the CPP experiments described above (Fig. 1). Instead of cocaine or saline, the animals received [11C]-raclopride. For each imaging session, catheter lines were inserted while the animals were in their home cage and animals were then transported from their home cage to the cue-specific environment, where, the catheter line was extended from the animal out through the hole in the Plexiglas lid. Next, the saline syringe was replaced with a syringe containing a predetermined dose of [11C]-raclopride in a fixed volume of 0.3–0.6 ml. In all cases, [11C]-raclopride was administered as a 5–10 s i.v. bolus injection flushed immediately after with 0.2 ml of heparinized saline. The tubing was loosely taped to the side of the conditioning chamber. Rats were allowed to move undisturbed but confined to the cue-specific environment for 25 min of radiotracer uptake, after which the heparinized saline syringe was replaced with a syringe containing a mixture of ketamine and xylazine (10% xylazine in 100 mg/ml ketamine). A dose of 15 mg/kg ketamine with 1.5 mg/kg xylazine was administered as a 5–10 s bolus, and animals were nonresponsive to tail pinch and eyelid reflex in 5–15 s. Anesthetized animals were then removed from the apparatus and positioned on the bed of the tomograph in a nylon stereotaxic head holding device (Kopf Instruments) adapted for small-animal PET to eliminate attenuation artifact (Schiffer et al., 2006). The unit and the animal were advanced into the center of the PET field of view (automated to 160 mm forward). Precisely 5 min after the induction of anesthesia, a dynamic PET acquisition (five 300 s time frames) commenced for 25 min.

**Image acquisition.** Images were acquired using a microPET R4 tomograph (Concorde Microsystems), which has a transaxial field of view of 11.5 cm. All animals were positioned in the center of the field of view. Each PET scan included subtraction of random coincidences collected in a delayed time window. Three-dimensional sinograms were converted into two-dimensional sinograms before image reconstruction. Data were corrected for photon scatter using the method of tail-fitting of the projections. The measured attenuation correction method available for this system used a 99mTc point source, which requires very long acquisition times (30–60 min) to minimize image noise introduced by transmission scanning, and therefore was not carried out. In general, attenuation correction factors are constant over time and should not change the shape of time activity data. Because the data analysis methods described here rely on a ratio of [11C]-raclopride in a receptor-rich region (the striatum) with a receptor-poor region (the cerebellum), and because the striatum and cerebellum have approximately the same attenuation factor (Discussion in Alexoff et al., 2004), a constant attenuation correction factor for the striatum and cerebellum was not reasoned to be necessary. In line with this decision, we have previously demonstrated that attenuation correction using calibrated segmented image data had no effect on the measured distribution volume ratio (DVR) (Alexoff et al., 2004) and that the estimated binding ratio used here closely follows the DVR as binding potential (DVR-1; Patel et al., 2008). Scatter-corrected sinograms were reconstructed using the maximum likelihood expectation maximization (MLEM) algorithm, which with the 20 iterations used here yields an image resolution of ~1.5 mm full width at half-maximum at the center of the field of view. The parameters chosen for MLEM reconstructions were based on a series of experiments in which we established the number of iterations required for convergence, as reported by Vaska et al. (2006). The image pixel size in MLEM reconstructed images was 0.8 mm transaxially with a 1.21 mm slice thickness.

**Image processing.** All images were spatially normalized into a standard space, which we identified as Paxinos and Watson stereotaxic space (Paxinos and Watson, 1986). As a template, we used the magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) atlas provided by Schweinhardt et al. (2003), which is in stereotaxic space and for which we have previously developed [11C]-raclopride reference images for spatial preprocessing (Schiffer et al., 2005a). Data voxel size in the Schweinhardt atlas (2003) is scaled by a factor of 10 to enable a one-to-one relationship between the coordinates of the Paxinos atlas and the voxel display in the SPM software package. This also approximates the human brain size, allowing minimal modifications to the default parameter settings in this and other image processing software packages. The first steps were performed using the Pixelwise Modeling software package, PMOD, and included isotropic interpolation and rotation of the raw PET images in space to roughly match the Paxinos atlas. This included sorting each image from left to right, making the z-axis perpendicular to the coronal (Y) slice, and a flip of the x-axis 180° around the z-axis. Whereas in PMOD, the images were also scaled by a factor of 10 and resampled into a volume ("bounding box") that encompassed the spatial extent of the scaled Schweinhardt atlas, which was ~ 80 to +80 mm in the X-dimension (negative to the left of the midline and positive to the right), -120 to 10 mm in the Y-dimension (posterior to anterior), and -156 to +60 mm in the Z-dimension (ventral to dorsal). The template provided by Schweinhardt et al. (2003) swaps the X and Z dimensions to provide a layout that accommodates the SPM software package. In this template, the zero-reference plane was set to bregma, resulting in an image origin at 40.5 × 78.5 × 60.5 (X × Y × Z) in pixel space. The scaled voxel size was 2 × 2 × 2 mm (actual voxel size 0.2 × 0.2 × 0.2 mm), with an image volume of 80 × 63 × 108 voxels.

**Region of interest (ROI) analysis.** This was performed using an in-house ROI template implemented with the PMOD software package. ROIs for PET studies were chosen based on previous guidelines provided for primates by Black et al. (2004) and recently described for rodent PET data (Dalley et al., 2007). Rather than outlining the entire structure on the MRI template, this approach minimizes the effects of spillover by using spheres placed at the stereotaxic center of the dorsal and ventral striata, measuring 2 mm in diameter (left and right striata were combined; coordinates for the center of the dorsal striatal ROIs were ±2.5 mm lateral to bregma, +0.5 mm anterior to bregma, and 4 mm below bregma, while coordinates for the ventral striatal ROIs were ±0.5 mm lateral to bregma, +1.0 mm anterior to bregma, and 6 mm below bregma). Combining the left and right dorsal and ventral striatal ROIs gave two striatal regions with volumes of 8336 mm³ each, containing 1042 voxels. A single bilateral cerebellar region (volume, 9232 mm³ with center coordinates at ±0.0, −12.5, and −6.0 mm in the X, Y, and Z dimensions) was used as a reference region due to its low Dǝ receptor density (Wagner et al., 1983; Beart et al., 1986). ROIs are shown by volumetric rendering in supplemental Figure 2 (available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material).

**ROI quantitation.** The primary dependent variable in PET-imaging studies is the ratio of the distribution of radioligand in a receptor-rich region computed with its distribution in a region devoid of receptors. This ratio, termed the distribution volume ratio, provides a unitless number representing the ratio of receptor density to receptor affinity. With [11C]-raclopride, changes in the binding ratio reflect changes in synaptic dopamine levels. Increases in dopamine decrease [11C]-raclopride binding, whereas decreases in dopamine will increase [11C]-raclopride binding (Dewey et al., 1993). We have previously shown that the binding ratio faithfully reflects the distribution volume ratio when calculated as BR = (ST − CB)/CB, in which BR is the binding ratio, ST is the striatal ROI, and CB is the cerebellar ROI (Patel et al., 2008). The change in BR between the saline-paired environment (BR_SAL) and the cocaine-paired environment (BR_COCAINE) was calculated as (BR_COCAINE − BR_SAL)/BR_SAL × 100.

**Statistical analysis.** The conditioning procedure featured in the present study included a number of methodological modifications not used in previous studies of this nature. For instance, along with intravenous cocaine and saline delivery, this procedure involved several imaging sessions that included administration of radiotracer and anesthesia. Because all rats received both treatments, this enabled within-subject comparisons of the data.
The within-subjects design yields greater statistical power and reduces the number of subjects needed to conduct experiments.

CPP data were quantitated using a preference score, which is the time spent in the saline-paired environment subtracted from the time spent in the cocaine-paired environment. Preference was defined as a significant increase in time spent in the cocaine-paired environment, determined with a one-way repeated measures ANOVA, followed by a post hoc Bonferroni-corrected Student’s t test to assess the significance of the ANOVA. The level of significance for all statistical tests was p < 0.05.

Locomotor data were quantitated as distance (in millimeters) traveled during each pairing session. These data provided an index of the acute effects of cocaine and saline to ensure that the dose of cocaine was pharmacologically active and that the saline administration did not produce unexpected effects on animal behavior. For each animal after each pairing session, the distance traveled was plotted against time and an area under the curve (AUC) provided the final outcome measure. AUC data were grouped and analyzed using a two-way repeated measures ANOVA with factors of drug (cocaine or saline) and time (pairing day). Bonferroni-corrected Student’s t tests were used to determine the significance of the ANOVA.

For the imaging data, two-way repeated measures ANOVA was used to determine whether there were significant differences in dorsal or ventral striata (first factor) between the cocaine or saline-paired environments (second factor). Bonferroni-corrected Student’s t tests determined the significance of the ANOVA.

To establish the relationship between behavioral measures and change in [11C]-raclopride binding, several regression analyses were performed. Scan data from the first scan for all animals were used for this analysis (the second scan data from two animals were not included). The Pearson Product Moment Correlation was used to determine the strength of the association between specific variables of interest. This test was performed to assess the significance of the relationship between preference score and the difference in [11C]-raclopride binding between the saline-paired and the cocaine-paired environments. The same test was used to determine the relationship between locomotion in each environment (saline-paired versus cocaine-paired) and [11C]-raclopride uptake. All statistical analyses were performed using the Systat Software package (stat 3.5; Sigma).

Results
Conditioned place preference
As a group, the animals showed no initial preference either chamber, measured as the duration of time spent in each chamber during the CPP pretest: 385 ± 191 and 409 ± 259 s in the black and white chambers, respectively. After conditioning, the first CPP test before scanning (CPP Test 1) showed that animals spent significantly more time in the cocaine-paired environment compared with the saline-paired environment: 436 ± 231 and 269 ± 76 s in the saline-paired and cocaine-paired environments, respectively [F(1,18) = 11.59; p < 0.005]. The second CPP test demonstrated that the place preference was maintained through the scanning process, with significantly more time spent in the cocaine-paired environment compared with the saline-paired environment: 401 ± 227 and 254 ± 51 s in the saline-paired environments, respectively [F(1,18) = 9.40; p < 0.015]. In Figure 2, these data are presented as the proportion of total time spent in each pairing environment relative to the total time spent in both pairing environments, which removes the variable of the time spent in the middle, neutral environment. Figure 2 also shows the preference score data, which was the outcome measure correlated with the imaging and locomotor results.

Locomotion
In each pairing session, cocaine significantly increased the total distance traveled relative to the distance traveled during saline pairings. A two-way repeated measures ANOVA revealed a significant interaction between pairing day and condition [F(11,1) = 3.67; p < 0.001], in which changes in locomotion over time were dependent on condition (saline treatment produced no change in locomotion over time, whereas the locomotor response to cocaine first increased and then decreased with increasing pairings) (supplemental Fig. 1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). The mean distance traveled during all of the cocaine pairing sessions was 1677 ± 295 mm and for the saline pairings was 713 ± 59 mm. Together, these data support two points: one, each dose of cocaine was pharmacologically active, and two, tolerance to the locomotor-stimulating effects of cocaine developed over time.

There was also a significant increase in conditioned locomotion measured during [11C]-raclopride uptake. During these sessions, animals were placed in the conditioning environments (cocaine-paired or saline-paired) and instead of receiving cocaine or saline, they received [11C]-raclopride. Animals in the cocaine-paired environment traveled a mean distance of 912 ± 158 mm, while animals in the saline-paired environment traveled 639 ± 77 mm (significant increase measured by a paired t test; p < 0.0005).

PET Imaging
The average time between [11C]-raclopride injection and microPET scan was 33.33 min. To show that an environment associated with cocaine significantly reduces [11C]-raclopride binding compared with a neutral environment, we tested for differences in the BR between the “baseline” condition (exposure to the saline-paired environment) and the “challenge” condition (exposure to the cocaine-paired environment). [11C]-Raclopride binding was...
In the present study, we used small-animal PET and \(^{11}\text{C}\)-raclopride in rats to investigate whether repeated pairing of an
environment with cocaine would lead to conditioned dopamine release in the striatum during reexposure to the same environment, in the absence of cocaine. We show in vivo evidence for environmental cue-induced release of striatal dopamine in awake, freely moving rats measured with $^{[11]C}$-raclopride and small-animal PET. We observed a robust place preference (Fig. 2) accompanied by conditioned increases in locomotion, both of which showed a strong relationship with individual changes in $^{[11]C}$-raclopride binding in the ventral striatum between the cocaine-paired versus neutral environment (Figs. 4, 5). In other words, animals with weak or no preference for the cocaine-paired environment have the same dopamine response to this environment as they do to a neutral, saline-paired environment. We also show that animals who strongly prefer the cocaine-paired environment show dramatic differences in dopamine release in this environment compared with the neutral environment.

Because clinical imaging studies are rarely, if ever, performed under anesthesia, it is clearly important to be able to image dopaminergic activity in awake, freely moving animals, allowing a comparison of behavior and physiological responses from preclinical to clinical imaging environments. We therefore developed a technique for imaging dopamine activity in awake, freely moving animals using a conventional animal tomograph. Although the animals are awake during $^{[11]C}$-raclopride uptake in this method, they are anesthetized for a short scan afterward, which might complicate comparisons with clinical PET-imaging strategies in which human subjects are not anesthetized during image acquisition.

Nevertheless, the binding ratios for $^{[11]C}$-raclopride in the present study were higher than ratios obtained from anesthetized animals in our previous reports (Schiffer et al., 2005a; Patel et al., 2008), and with this, there was a higher between-animal variability (Fig. 3). As evidenced in Figure 4, we maintain that some of this variability lies in natural individual variation; animals who showed little or no preference exhibited little or no change in $^{[11]C}$-raclopride binding in the drug-paired environment. However, additional variability may arise from noise in the time activity of $^{11}$C-raclopride when measured 30–60 min after injection. Because it has been shown with microdialysis that cue-induced increases in dopamine persist for the duration of cue exposure (Duvauchelle et al., 2000; Gerasimov et al., 2001), it may be possible to expose animals to the cue, followed by anesthesia and conventional $^{[11]C}$-raclopride scan in which the radiotracer is injected after cue exposure. This would permit the acquisition of a full-time activity curve, at the expense of the signal from changing dopamine concentrations, if changes in dopamine do not persist beyond cue exposure. Even in a conventional $^{[11]C}$-raclopride scan in which the entire time-activity curve is obtained from an anesthetized animal, the last 25 min of the scan typically have low counts and more noise, especially in the cerebellum in which there is little specific binding. These data are particularly susceptible to artifact from scattered coincidences, arising from radiotracer accumulation in extracranial structures that typically have much higher uptake than the brain (e.g., Harderian glands, see below). Together with our previous demonstration that four times less radiotracer is reaching the brain (Patel et al., 2008), the critical time points used for quantitation are even more vulnerable to artifact in a region that, by definition, has low radioactivity concentrations. In addition, iterative reconstruction methods such as those used here increase resolution by increasing image contrast (for review, see Vaska et al., 2006), which makes hot areas hotter and cold areas colder. Thus, lower counts and increased noise in the cerebellum, which is the denominator and thus the foundation for quantitating with ratio methods, most likely underlie the higher binding ratios and account for some of the variability in the present studies (Logan et al., 2007).

A related concern was the selection of ROIs, both in terms of size and location. The current study compared the magnitude of cue-induced changes in $^{[11]C}$-raclopride binding in two striatal regions in rodents to assess whether there was a differential sensitivity to cues paired with cocaine between the dorsal and ventral striata. Separating the striatum into these subregions permits a direct comparison with in vivo microdialysis measurements of dopamine levels performed using a similar protocol by our group (Gerasimov et al., 2001) and others (Duvauchelle et al., 2000) in which only ventral striatal dopamine levels were measured. In agreement with these previous reports, we also observed a significant increase in ventral striatal dopamine release; however, this was similar to in magnitude to the dopaminergic response from the dorsal striatum (Table 1). Because these structures are small relative to the resolution of our small-animal PET system, such quantitative neuroimaging assessments are also subject to potential bias from the blurring associated with the scanner partial volume effects and from inaccuracies in the PET image coregistration. Whereas iterative reconstruction methods account for some of the partial volume effects (for review, see Vaska et al., 2006), regional PET measurements can still be affected by spilling in of radioactivity from surrounding tissues and dilutional effects from adjacent structures with low radiotracer uptake. In particular, $^{[11]C}$-raclopride accumulation in the retro-orbital Harderian glands and/or the dorsal striatum may lead to an overestimation of radioactivity concentrations in the ventral striatum as a result of spillover effects. We expect that ventral striatal ROI values would be similarly influenced by Harderian radioactivity concentrations during both conditions and thus cancel out in relative measurements of change reported here. However, the higher radioactivity concentrations in the dorsal striatal ROI might influence measurements from the ventral striatum, given the higher concentration of $D_1/D_2$ receptors in the dorsal relative to the ventral striatum (Hall et al., 1994). Consistent with previous reports, BR values from the dorsal striatum were approximately twice that of the ventral striatum (Drevets et al., 1999;
Dalley et al., 2007). ROIs centered over the ventral striatum also overlap with the olfactory tubercle. This region has been shown through self-administration studies to be a substrate of cocaine’s reinforcing effects, which were blocked by local administration of raclopride (Ikemoto, 2003). Despite the clear separation of radioactivity concentrations in the two regions (Fig. 6, sagittal slices), the axial separation of our dorsal and ventral ROIs was 0.7 mm (see supplemental Fig. 2, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material), which is less than the 1.5 mm axial resolution of our reconstructed images.

These data also show a significant increase in locomotion in the cocaine-paired environment, in the absence of cocaine. Because locomotor measurements occurred during [11C]-raclopride uptake, we were able to directly correlate conditioned locomotion and conditioned dopamine release. In fact, we observed a significant relationship between these two variables that was stronger in the dorsal striatum (Fig. 5). This complicates teasing apart the relationship between cocaine-induced changes in conditioned locomotion and cocaine-induced changes in preference for the paired environment. The only comparison we have is to clinical studies in which humans are exposed to drug-related cues during [11C]-raclopride uptake. However humans can be instructed to be still inside the tomograph, removing confounding influence of locomotion on [11C]-raclopride binding. Because the present animal studies show similar changes in [11C]-raclopride binding as clinical experiments, we maintain that the drugs themselves do not serve as the unconditioned stimuli, rather it is their action within the nervous system that provides the stimulus for an adaptive response (Eikelboom and Stewart, 1982; Stewart et al., 1984; Di Ciano et al., 1998). Accordingly, the increase in dopamine in the striatum could contribute to the actual unconditioned stimulus, and it is the reaction of other neural systems to this neural state that most likely underlie the unconditioned responses of preference for the cocaine-paired environment or increased motor activity. Reliable pairing of the conditioned stimulus with the unconditioned increase in dopaminergic activity elicited by cocaine should enable the conditioned stimulus alone to elicit a similar neural state, through the process of stimulus–stimulus association. This in turn would lead to the activation of the neural systems that underlie specific conditioned responses of preference and enhanced locomotion. The critical point here is that changes in dopaminergic activity are likely to be linked to the stimulus and not the motor response.

Functional neuroimaging in animals represents an essential technology toward understanding the complex relationship between behavior and underlying brain function. As clinical experiments move more into the realm of cognitive and behavioral perturbations of PET tracers, animal studies must follow. For one, behavioral imaging provides a necessary platform to test and refine animal models of human behavior, in which the biological outcome measure is virtually identical across species (e.g., change in radiotracer binding), and the behavioral correlate can be weighed against the biological measure. In other words, behaviors measured in humans and animals are hard to compare and difficult to interpret in terms of producing animal models of a disease that are truly predictive of the human condition (Borsook et al., 2006). In fact, it may not even be valid to assume that behaviors can be directly compared between animals and hu-

---

**Figure 6.** Cue-induced changes in [11C]-raclopride binding reflect preference for the cocaine-paired environment. In a, mean [11C]-raclopride binding ratio images are shown for animals that showed a weak preference for the cocaine-paired environment (defined as a preference score <15, n = 3). In b, mean [11C]-raclopride binding ratio images are shown for animals that demonstrated a strong preference for the cocaine-paired environment (defined as a preference score >30, n = 5). Parametric images are overlaid on the atlas from Schweinhardt et al. (2003), in which the coronal, horizontal, and sagittal planes are shown. Distance from bregma is given in millimeters on the atlas slice for each orientation, in which the coronal slice is anterior to bregma, the horizontal slice is ventral to bregma, and the sagittal slice is to the right of bregma. The ventral striatal ROI is shown on the atlas images; center coordinates are given in the text. Regional nonspecific uptake of [11C]-raclopride in lipophilic regions of the brain is similar across all conditions.
mans. It may be that common underlying neural circuits activated by drugs lead to different behaviors in animals and humans. In that case, using imaging to develop profiles of neural activation corresponding to specific dimensions of dependence might help in establishing the validity of the behavioral model. Imaging provides a strong, independent, and objective link based on the biological underpinnings of the behavior in question. As an example, CPP is a notoriously difficult procedure to interpret (for review, see Bardo and Bevins, 2000). We have recently used functional imaging with 2-deoxy-2-[^18F]-fluoro-d-glucose in rodents to show that the pattern of activations measured during the expression of place preference to methamphetamine strongly resembles the pattern of brain activations in humans who are expecting a psychostimulant challenge (Carrion et al., 2008) and shows less correspondence with the pattern of activation classically associated with drug-craving (for review, see Lingford-Hughes, 2005). Only when individual differences in preference are factored into the imaging data do patterns of activation, which resemble those described in human studies, appear (Carrion et al., 2008). This suggests that expectation plays a key role in the behavioral measure of conditioned preference, and it most likely contributes to the change in dopamine observed here.

The present demonstration of increased striatal dopamine release in response to cocaine-related cues has clinical implications. At this time, there are no known medications to be clinically effective against cue-induced craving. The current study reveals that changes in striatal [^11C]-raclopride binding can predict whether an animal will prefer a specific environment associated with the drug. Unraveling the ways in which neural chemistry forms an animal’s predilection for an environment associated with drug use, or vice versa, fosters a whole new world of clinical treatments or therapies aimed at treating the craving that instigates drug seeking and eventually, relapse to drug abuse. The results obtained here support our previous studies (Gerasimov et al., 2001), which show that strategies aimed at inhibiting cue-induced striatal dopamine release in response to the expectation of a drug reward hold promising implications for the treatment of drug addiction (Brodie et al., 2003, 2005; Schiffer et al., 2005b). It is important to note that although this study was designed to model cue exposure in humans, the strategy used here of exposing animals to an environment in which they received cocaine differs from human studies in two fundamental ways. First, cocaine was administered to our animals noncontingently by an experimenter; they did not choose to receive the cocaine. Humans, however, choose to administer the drug, a difference that may influence the biological response to addictive drugs and also to cues associated with their use (Porrino et al., 2002; Volkow et al., 2006). Second, the number of pairing sessions in the present experiment is relatively small compared with the number of times a human might choose to abuse a drug before the formation of a cue association. Nevertheless, this noninvasive procedure of monitoring neurochemical events in freely moving, behaving animals advances preclinical molecular imaging by assessing the degree to which animal models reflect human behavior on multiple dimensions, both biological and behavioral.
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