Intravitreal Fasudil Combined with Bevacizumab for Treatment of Refractory Diabetic Macular Edema; a Pilot Study
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Purpose: To evaluate the effect of intravitreal injection of a Rho-associated protein kinase (ROCK) inhibitor (Fasudil, Asahi Kasei Pharma Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) combined with intravitreal bevacizumab (IVB) on refractory diabetic macular edema (DME).

Methods: This prospective, interventional case series included 15 eyes of 15 patients with DME unresponsive to previous IVB injections. Eligible eyes underwent intravitreal injection of 0.025 mg Fasudil and 1.25 mg bevacizumab. Best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) and central macular thickness (CMT) were evaluated before and 4 weeks after treatment.

Results: Mean age was 64.6±7.3 (range, 49-79) years and mean number of previous IVB injections was 2.8. Mean pre-injection BCVA was 0.84±0.35 LogMAR, which was improved to 0.49±0.29 LogMAR four weeks after intervention (P=0.003). Mean CMT was decreased from 448±123 µm before treatment, to 347±76 µm at four weeks (P=0.001); no adverse event was observed during the study period.

Conclusion: Intravitreal ROCK inhibitors seem to entail structural and visual benefits in eyes with DME refractory to IVB monotherapy.
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INTRODUCTION

Non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy is characterized by retinal microvascular damage, leading to vascular hyper-permeability and diabetic macular edema (DME). Many studies have demonstrated that intravitreal injection of bevacizumab1-6, triamcinolone1,2,7,8 and sustained release dexamethasone9 have a beneficial effect on refractory DME in terms of central macular thickness (CMT) reduction and visual acuity (VA) improvement; but satisfying visual and anatomical results may not always be achieved. Anti vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) therapy requires monthly injection of the antibodies for a long time to maintain vision, which poses a cumulative risk of ocular and systemic complications. Therefore, new treatment modalities and intravitreal drugs with more efficacy and long term effects seem to be necessary.

Improved understanding of the pathophysiology of diabetic retinopathy has facilitated the development of new drugs for treatment of DME in cases refractory to current
therapies. In addition to the previously known high VEGF levels, increased activity of the Rho/Rock pathway has recently been demonstrated in diabetic patients. This pathway promotes leukocyte adhesion to the retinal vascular endothelium by increasing intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1) expression and stimulating myosin regulatory light chain (MLC) phosphorylation.\textsuperscript{10,11} Furthermore, increased activity of the Rho/Rock pathway inactivates endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS), thereby reducing physiological levels of nitric oxide (NO), a potent vasodilator and anti-apoptotic factor. Therefore, retinal endothelial cell damage occurs as a result of leukocyte adhesion and decreased eNOS activity.\textsuperscript{12} Experimental studies have demonstrated that Fasudil (Asahi Kasei Pharma Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) as a potent ROCK inhibitor can suppress leukocyte adhesion and prevent neutrophil-induced retinal endothelial cell damage.\textsuperscript{13}

In this prospective interventional case series, we evaluated the anatomical and visual outcomes of combined injection of intravitreal bevacizumab (IVB) and Fasudil in patients with refractory DME.

METHODS

Fifteen eyes of 15 patients with the following criteria were included: presence of type 2 diabetes mellitus and DME with no CMT reduction or VA improvement after one or more IVB injections, BCVA ≤ 20/40 and severe DME defined as CMT more than 320 µm associated with large cystoid changes and/or neurosensory detachment. Exclusion criteria were active proliferative diabetic retinopathy, monocular blindness or VA of fellow eye <20/40, other macular disorders, intravitreal bevacizumab injection within the past 3 months, and ocular surgery over the past 6 months.

All patients received IVB (1.25 mg/0.05 ml) and intravitreal Fasudil injection (0.025 mg/0.05 ml) using two separate syringes and at two separate sites followed by anterior chamber paracentesis. Best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) was measured and optical coherence tomography (OCT) images were obtained before and one month after the injections.

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Ophthalmic Research Center and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

RESULTS

Mean age of the patients was 64.6±7.3 (range, 49-79) years and the mean number of previous IVB injections was 2.8. Mean BCVA was 0.84±0.35 logMAR before intervention improving to 0.49±0.29 logMAR four weeks after treatment.

Figure 1. Optical coherence tomography images of three patients (A, B and C) show significant reduction of central macular thickness (CMT) one month after intravitreal injection of bevacizumab and Fasudil. CMT before treatment (top images) was 559, 516 and 455 µm decreasing to 281, 344 and 330 µm one month after treatment (lower images) in patients A, B and C, respectively.
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Mean pre-injection CMT was 448±123 µm decreasing to 347±76 µm four weeks after treatment (P=0.001) (Table 1 & Figure 1). No adverse effects such as intraocular inflammation, vascular accident and IOP rise were observed following intravitreal injection of Fasudil and bevacizumab.

**DISCUSSION**

The short term results of this case series showed that in DME refractory to IVB, combined intravitreal bevacizumab and Fasudil injection resulted in structural (CMT reduction) and functional (BCVA) improvement. These results are comparable or superior to other studies reporting intravitreal injection of bevacizumab, triamcinolone and sustained release dexamethasone in patients with refractory DME.1-9

Multiple factors contribute to hyper-permeability of retinal vessel walls in diabetic patients. Rho/ROCK pathway activity in diabetic patients leads to adhesion of leukocytes to vascular endothelial cells, damaging them by affecting the expression of adhesion molecules including ICAM-1 and integrin.10,11 Endothelial cell damage results in hyper-permeability of vessel walls, leading to macular edema. Fasudil is a potent and selective ROCK inhibitor. In vivo studies have demonstrated that intravitreal injection of Fasudil effectively suppresses increased ICAM-1 expression and retinal leukocyte adhesion in diabetic animals. Moreover, in a recent study, Fasudil effectively suppressed endothelial damage even when leukocytes firmly adhered to the endothelium.13 This suggests that Fasudil may protect vascular endothelial cells directly. This effect may be due to reversion of eNOS activity, in addition to inhibition of leukocyte adhesion.

We used 0.025 mg Fasudil intravitreally to achieve an intraocular concentration of 10µM/L.14 Previous animal studies have not shown any obvious electrophysiological or morphological toxicity up to a maximum concentration of 100 µM/L.15 ERG findings in our previous study14 and comprehensive ocular examinations in the current study did not show any toxic effect associated with intravitreal Fasudil injection.

The results of this study and our previous report on a smaller number of cases14

---

**Table 1. Number of previous intravitreal bevacizumab injections; best-corrected visual acuity and central macular thickness at baseline and 4 weeks after combined intravitreal injection of bevacizumab and Fasudil**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Eye</th>
<th>Hx MPC</th>
<th>Hx IVB</th>
<th>BCVA Snellen (logMAR)</th>
<th>CMT (µm)</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>4 wk after treatment</th>
<th>Change (%)</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>4 wk after treatment</th>
<th>Change (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Change (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Change (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1/10 (1)</td>
<td>3/10 (0.52)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3*</td>
<td>1/10 (1)</td>
<td>3/10 (0.52)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4/10 (0.4)</td>
<td>8/10 (0.1)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>CF 3 (1.3)</td>
<td>CF 3 (1.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>CF 2 (1.5)</td>
<td>3/10 (0.52)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1/10 (1)</td>
<td>6/10 (0.22)</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1/10 (1)</td>
<td>2/10 (0.7)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3/10 (0.52)</td>
<td>7/10 (0.15)</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2/10 (0.7)</td>
<td>4/10 (0.4)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4/10 (0.4)</td>
<td>4/10 (0.4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3/10 (0.52)</td>
<td>3/10 (0.52)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>559</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3/10 (0.52)</td>
<td>3/10 (0.52)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>784</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CF 4 (1.2)</td>
<td>2/10 (0.7)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3/10 (0.52)</td>
<td>5/10 (0.3)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1/10 (1)</td>
<td>3/10 (0.52)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± SD 0.84 ± 0.35 0.49 ± 0.29 39 ± 28 448 ± 123 347 ± 76 20 ± 14

P-value for the change (Wilcoxon signed rank test) 0.003 0.001

M, male; F, female; OD, right eye; OS, left eye; Hx, history of previous treatment; MPC, macular photocoagulation; IVB, intravitreal bevacizumab; BCVA, best-corrected visual acuity; CMT, central macular thickness; SD, standard deviation

*Also treated once by IVT
demonstrate a possible beneficial effect from intravitreal injection of Fasudil in combination with IVB in patients with DME unresponsive to monotherapy with IVB. This combination may reduce retinal vessel hyper-permeability via simultaneous inhibition of VEGF activity and endothelial cell damage more effectively than intravitreal injection of an anti-VEGF alone.

In summary, combined intravitreal injection of bevacizumab and Fasudil seems to entail a beneficial effect in terms of structural and functional outcomes in eyes with severe DME resistant to current therapeutic modalities. Studies with larger sample size and longer follow-up are required to establish the use of Fasudil for DME.
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