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ABSTRACT

Selection has been invaluable for genetic manipulation, although counter-selection has historically exhibited limited robustness and convenience. TolC, an outer membrane pore involved in transmembrane transport in E. coli, has been implemented as a selectable/counter-selectable marker, but counter-selection escape frequency using colicin E1 precludes using tolC for inefficient genetic manipulations and/or with large libraries. Here, we leveraged unbiased deep sequencing of 96 independent lineages exhibiting counter-selection escape to identify loss-of-function mutations, which offered mechanistic insight and guided strain engineering to reduce counter-selection escape frequency by ~40-fold. We fundamentally improved the tolC counter-selection by supplementing a second agent, vancomycin, which reduces counter-selection escape by 425-fold, compared colicin E1 alone. Combining these improvements in a mismatch repair proficient strain reduced counter-selection escape frequency by 1.3E6-fold in total, making tolC counter-selection as effective as most selectable markers, and adding a valuable tool to the genome editing toolbox. These improvements permitted us to perform stable and continuous rounds of selection/counter-selection using tolC, enabling replacement of 10 alleles without requiring genotypic screening for the first time. Finally, we combined these advances to create an optimized E. coli strain for genome engineering that is ~10-fold more efficient at achieving allelic diversity than previous best practices.

INTRODUCTION

Selectable markers have long been critical tools in molecular genetics, enabling the genetic manipulation of model organisms. Classical selectable markers are often antibiotic resistance genes, such as aminoglycoside phosphotransferase (kanamycin resistance), whose gene products are required for growth in media containing kanamycin. Selectable markers are used for plasmid maintenance, engineered conjugation and genome manipulations (1). In contrast, counter-selectable markers such as sacB (2) or barnase (3) are useful tools for different applications, such as plasmid curing (4,5), scar-less gene deletion (2) or engineering double-crossovers (6). However, counter-selectable markers often require stringent growth conditions to achieve robust counter-selection performance, and there are few means to ensure proper function of counter-selectable markers in vivo, which limit their application. Because selectable and counter-selectable markers have desirable and nonredundant uses, markers with both selectable and counter-selectable selection schemes (‘dual selectable markers’) are uniquely powerful and versatile. Dual selectable markers are particularly advantageous for generating gene replacements, scar-less genome editing and selection-coupled biosensors (7). Several dual selectable markers have been reported [rpsL (8,9), galK (10), thyA (11), hsvTK (12), tetA (13) and tolC (14)], but as with counter-selectable markers, dual-selectable markers suffer from high counter-selection escape and/or reliance on minimal media for robust counter-selection (10).

Without a suitable dual selectable marker, notable genome engineering projects have relied on cumbersome workarounds. For example, the Keio collection of Escherichia coli single-gene deletion clones was generated through kanR cassette replacement of each coding region, followed by FLP-based deletion of the kanR cassette (15),
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which scars the genome and risks off-target recombination elsewhere on the genome. In another example, separate selectable and counter-selectable markers were used together as a facsimile of a dual selectable maker to engineer protein substrate specificity and reactivity (3) without a means to ensure function of the counter-selectable marker. Finally, to minimize the E. coli genome, Posfai et al. (16) implemented a cloning-intensive method relying on I-sceI-induced double-strand break repair for scar-less serial deletion of genome segments. In each of these cases, a robust dual selectable marker would have been more convenient, suffered from less counter-selection escape, enabled scarless editing, and thus provided a more scalable approach for these or other more ambitious projects.

We were motivated to develop robust dual selectable markers to address these deficiencies, and to augment the power of Multiplex Automatable Genome Engineering (MAGE) (17). MAGE leverages λ Red recombineering in E. coli (18–20) to introduce mismatches, insertions and deletions onto the host genome, permitting exploration of evolutionary potential by rapidly generating combinatorial allelic diversity in a mixed population. Recent advances in MAGE have increased the average number of edits per MAGE cycle by reducing oligonucleotide degradation (1,21,22), manipulating the replisome (23) and co-selecting for recombinant genomes using Co-Selection MAGE (CoS-MAGE) (24,25). CoS-MAGE selects for recombinants by pairing a recombination to fix a broken selectable marker with nearby recombination to introduce other edits of interest. Applying the associated selection leverages the significant linkage between nearby recombination events to enrich for highly modified clones after one cycle (24,25). However, MAGE is amenable to stable cycling, while CoS-MAGE using a selectable marker can only be performed once before the selectable marker must be inactivated anew. Without a robust dual selectable marker, CoS-MAGE is not amenable to repetitive cycling and requires time-intensive screening techniques that greatly limit its power and versatility.

We chose the dual selectable marker, tolC, as a test case for optimization because it is associated with convenient selections that can be performed in either liquid or solid, rich media (14). The tolC gene encodes a 1.5-kb monomer of a homotrimer pore (Supplementary Figure S1A). TolC is anchored in the outer membrane, spans the periplasm (PP) and provides a route for efflux of a wide variety of compounds. As summarized in Supplementary Figure S1B, TolC provides resistance to sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), and confers sensitivity to bactericidal colicin E1 (colE1) (26). While SDS selection is highly robust, tolC+ strains can readily escape from colE1-based counter-selection, for example, oligonucleotide-based deletion of tolC exhibits counter-selection escape rates of less than 0.01 up to 0.75, when tested at a variety of loci in the E. coli genome (14). These escape rates preclude the use of tolC for genomic manipulations that occur at frequencies lower than this range. To improve the counter-selection performance of tolC, we applied a generalizable, high-throughput workflow, including whole genome re-sequencing of 96 independent clones harboring a counter-selection escape phenotype and duplicating the genes whose loss-of-function alleles cause this phenotype. Additionally, we demonstrated that pairing vancomycin with colE1 reduces counter-selection escape frequency by requiring that escape mutations break both counter-selection mechanisms. Whereas continuous CoS-MAGE cycling using tolC was not possible owing to counter-selection escape, our improved strain and selection conditions allowed us to use tolC to rapidly converge on highly modified populations, offering one example of how a robust dual-selectable marker exhibiting minimal counter-selection escape will benefit many applications in molecular biology and genome engineering.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Strains and culture methods**

The strains used in this work were derived from EcNR2 (Escherichia coli MG1655 ΔmutS::cat Δ(ybbB-bioAB):[λcI857 N(cro-ea59):tetR-bla]) (17). We generated EcM1.0 (EcM’, E. coli MAGE-optimized) by inactivating the xonA, exoX and xseA nucleases (22) and by modulating primase activity [dnaG_Q576A, (23)]. We generated EcM2.0 by duplicating tolQR A at position 1255700 in EcM1.0. All strains were grown in liquid culture using the Lennox formulation of lysogeny broth (LB) (27) with appropriate selective agents: carbenicillin (50 μg/ml), chloramphenicol (20 μg/ml), SDS (0.005% w/v) and vancomycin (64 μg/ml). During tolC counter-selections in liquid media, colicin E1 (colE1) was used at a 1:100 dilution from an in-house purification (28) that measured 14.4 μg protein/μl (1,29). Growth kinetics of representative tolC+ and tolC− strains under these culture conditions are presented in the Supplementary Figures S1C–H).

**Colicin E1 agar plates**

Clonal JC411 (28) isolates were picked, then passaged into 1 L LB1 production cultures. At OD600 = 0.1, we induced Colicin E1 expression using 0.5 μg/ml of mitomycin-C, and then incubated cultures overnight at 37°C. Cultures were cooled on ice, then centrifuged at 9000 relative centrifugal force (rcf) for 10 min at 4°C. The pellets were resuspended in LB2, washed by centrifugation at 4000 rcf for 5 min at 4°C, and resuspended in 50 mM K2HPO4, pH 7.5. The pellets were sonicated on ice using a probe sonicator (Misonix Sonicator 3000), outputting 21–24 W, using 30 s on/30 s off cycles for 10 total minutes. Sonicates were clarified by centrifugation at 16 100 rcf for 5 min. These sonicates were added to molten LB2 agar + Carb (12.5 mL of sonicate per 1 L of media). The colicin plates were protected from light, stored at 4°C and exhibited a shelf life of ~4 weeks.

**Oligonucleotides, polymerase chain reaction and isothermal assembly**

A complete list of oligonucleotides used in this study is listed in Supplementary Table S6.

All polymerase chain reaction (PCR) products to be used in recombination or Sanger sequencing were
amplified with Kapa Biosystems High-Fidelity polymerase, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Multiplex allele-specific PCR (masPCR) was used for multiplexed genotyping using the KAPA2G Fast Multiplex PCR Kit, according to previous methods (22,23). Sanger sequencing reactions were carried out through a third party (Genewiz, Eton Biosciences). To assemble multiple DNA fragments into a single contiguous sequence, we used isothermal assembly at 50°C for 60 min (30).

**Lambda red recombinations, MAGE and CoS-MAGE**

Lambda red recombineering, the basis for MAGE and CoS-MAGE, was carried out as described previously (17,22,23). In singleplex recombinations, [oligo] = 1 μM. In <5-plex recombinations, [oligo] = 1 μM. In multiplexed recombinations (CoS-MAGE) with 10+ oligos, [selectable oligo] = 0.2 μM, whereas [nonselectable oligo] = 5 μM. Oligos were designed to hybridize to the lagging strand of the replication fork, as optimized previously (31). When double-stranded PCR products were recombined, 100 ng of double-stranded PCR product was used.

**CoS-MAGE modeling**

The input data for CoS-MAGE modeling were the genotypes of the 10 targeted loci from 92 clones of a population of EcNR2.nuc5.-dhaG.Q576A cells that had been subjected to one cycle of CoS-MAGE (Figure 1AB, far right bar). The 92 genotypes from that data defined the probabilities of allele replacement (AR) patterns in a representative CoS-MAGE cycle. A complete description of the model is included in the Supplement.

**tolC-based selections**

For recombinations inserting tolC or those reactivating tolC, cultures were recovered from electroporation for at least 1 h before applying the tolC selection using SDS. For recombinations deleting or inactivating tolC, cultures were recovered from electroporation for 5 hours, then passaged 1:100 into fresh LB, 2 hours before inoculating the counter-selection, using 1:100 colE1 (28). Growth kinetics of representative tolC+ and tolC- strains under these culture conditions are presented in the Supplementary Figure S1F and G. Kinetic monitoring of colE1 and SDS selections was performed on a shaking spectrophotometer (Spectramax M3, M5 or Biotek H4) at 34°C.

To quantify performance of liquid selections, we included a number of control selections that allowed us to devise a metric, called Normalized Selection Advantage (NSA, defined as 1 – [tRS × (tCNS/tRNS)]/tCS), including recombinant cultures (‘R’) and control cultures (‘C’) into both selective (‘S’) and nonselective (‘NS’) media. Growth curves were analyzed for the minimum time, t, where OD600 ≥ 0.4. Thus, tRS/tCS describes the growth advantage of recombinants in selective media (tRS), compared with negative controls in selective media (tCS). To normalize for disparate inoculums (due to variable culture growth or pipetting error), we divide by the corresponding ratio for nonselective media (tCNS/tRNS). When tCS >> tRS, there is no growth of negative controls in the selection, limtCS→∞ tRS/tCS = 0, and NSA → 1. If the selection has failed and there is no selective advantage, then tRS ∼ tCS, tRS/tCS → 1, and NSA → 0.

**High-throughput sequencing of tolC counter-selection escape clones**

To generate tolC counter-selection escape clones (SDS-resistant, colE1-resistant), we first cultured EcNR2.tolC+ in LB, plus SDS. Confluent cultures were then passaged 1:100 into LB, plus colE1 (counter-selection #1), then stamped into SDS (selection #2), then into colE1 (counter-selection #2), etc., until the fourth selection, after which each well was streaked onto SDS to isolate clones that were picked into LB, plus SDS & colE1 for expansion and library preparation. Whole genome library preparation was carried out based on previously published protocols (32). Complete methods can be found in the Supplementary Methods. Sequencing was carried out on an Illumina HiSeq using 50 base pair paired-end reads, which yielded 6.46 × 107 total reads. Raw reads were aligned to the *E. coli* K12 MG1655 reference genome (U00096) using BWA, and single nucleotide variants (SNVs) were called using the software tools GATK (33), SAMTools (34) and Freebayes (35) according to previously published methods (1). De-multiplexing the reads by barcode averaged 6.6 × 105 ± 2.7 × 105 reads/barcode (min, max: 1.95 × 105, 1.56 × 106), which translates into best-case read depth of 14.2 ± 5.8 (min, max: 4.2, 33.7). We identified 21 SNVs that deviated from reference in most of the 96 genomes (17 in 96 of 96, 2 in 95 of 96, 1 in 93 of 96 and 1 in 53 of 96 genomes), suggesting EcNR2-specific variants unrelated to the escape phenotype. These SNVs are reported in Supplementary Table S1.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Motivations for CoS-MAGE cycling**

Since 2009, MAGE has been the subject of constant technological development. We analyzed AR frequencies across 30 genomic loci on both replicohores (1) to assess how AR frequencies have improved with recent strain modifications (Figure 1A). We chose to average AR frequencies for three 10-plex oligo pools to control for locus- and oligo-specific variance. The far left bar of Figure 1A shows the population distribution of edits in EcNR2 after a single cycle of MAGE. Importantly, 72% of the population is unmodified and only 8.3% of the population harbors more than a single edit, yielding a population average of 0.43 ± 0.06 edits/clone/cycle (Figure 1B), demonstrating that repeated cycling is crucial to attain complex genotypes with MAGE. Co-selection in EcNR2 halves the unmodified portion of the population (35%) and significantly increases the population average to 1.39 ± 0.05 edits/clone/cycle (***P < 0.0001, MAGE versus CoS-MAGE), confirming the significant linkage between selectable and nonselectable mutations (24). A single cycle of MAGE in EcNR2.nuc5.-dhaG.Q576A (22,23) resulted in 2.87 ± 0.11 mean edits/clone/cycle and...
Figure 1. Repetitive tolC counter-selection rapidly generates a dysfunctional phenotype. (A and B). To motivate our work and demonstrate CoS-MAGE in improved strains, we averaged allele conversion data from recent studies (22,23) across 30 genomic loci [Sets 1–3 from (22,23)]. The data are reported as (A) Mean Allele Conversion ± SD of each population (n = 212, 821, 538, 561, 330, respectively) with the population mean reported within its respective bar, and (B) as a stacked bar graph where each color indicates the frequency of clones bearing that number of allele conversions. (C). The data from strain EcNR2.Nuc5.dnaG_Q576A were used to model the allele conversion distribution through 10 cycles of CoS-MAGE. This model did not account for any positional dependence for conversion of certain pairs of alleles (See Supplement and Figure S2). (D). A workflow diagram showing how the dual selectable marker, tolC, can be used for CoS-MAGE. Starting in the bottom left corner, the tolC^+_ genotype is recombined with a multiplexed oligo pool (gray oligos) plus the tolC_mut inactivation oligo (red). tolC^- recombinants pass subsequent counter-selection in colE1, whereas the parental genotype is killed off (bottom right corner). Counter-selected tolC^- population (top right corner) is then recombined with a multiplexed oligo pool (gray oligos) plus the tolC_rev reactivation oligo (green). tolC^+ recombinants pass subsequent tolC selection (bottom left corner), whereas the parental tolC^- genotype is killed (top left corner), and thus completes a tolC selection/counter-selection cycle (2 CoS-MAGE cycles). (E). Selection performance of CoS-MAGE cycling on EcNR2.2223749::tolC using three different concentrations of the selectable oligo (0.2, 0.5 and 2 μM) and the same concentration of the multiplexed, nonselectable oligos (5 μM), quantified as Normalize Selective Advantage (NSA, described in ‘Materials and Methods’ section) and presented as mean ± St. Dev. (n = 5+). Statistical significance was tested using a Kruskal–Wallis One-way ANOVA followed by Dunn’s test, where *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001. The plot background color indicates the selection (green) or counter-selection (red) step associated with that CoS-MAGE cycle. Over successive CoS-MAGE cycles, all three lineages escaped and NSA → 0.
only 9.6% of the population was unmodified (Figure 1A, right bar). Although MAGE performance in this strain is attractive, CoS-MAGE using selectable markers is not amenable to cycling and requires time-intensive screening between each CoS-MAGE cycle.

While MAGE has achieved highly modified genotypes through extensive cycling (1) and CoS-MAGE can achieve highly modified genotypes after a single cycle (23), thus we were interested to model continuous CoS-MAGE cycles using the AR frequency gathered for one CoS-MAGE cycle using EcNR2.dnaG_Q576A (23). Our models (Figure 1C and Supplementary Figure S2) predict that >50% of the population would achieve a completely modified state (10 of 10 edits) after 10 cycles of CoS-MAGE, whereas MAGE in EcNR2 could only accomplish this after 90 cycles [Supplementary Figure S3 of (17)], suggesting that CoS-MAGE in optimized strains is roughly 10-fold more efficient than MAGE at achieving allelic diversity (please see Supplement for additional discussion of our models).

Repititive tolC counter-selection rapidly generates a dysfunctional phenotype

We reasoned that a dual selectable marker would enable a variety of convenient genome editing applications, including CoS-MAGE, but would require serially using both selection schemes in a continuous workflow. We envisioned that CoS-MAGE using the dual-selectable marker, tolC, would follow the workflow diagram in Figure 1D, which depicts a continuous cycle of inactivating tolC–performing the counter-selection (colE1)–restoring tolC–performing the selection (SDS)–etc. To test tolC as a dual selectable marker in CoS-MAGE cycling, we generated an EcNR2-based strain (naive to the tolC counter-selection at the outset) as a test case. We began by deleting the endogenous tolC at nt 317 6137, then inserting a new tolC at nt 2 223 749 and using it to co-select for 10 TAG mutations [between 2 113 931 and 2 223 066 nt from (1)] in cycles of CoS-MAGE (Figure 1D). We quantified selection performance in these experiments by computing a Normalized Selective Advantage value (NSA, see ‘Materials and Methods’ section for discussion of the metric and its features/drawbacks). NSA = 1 signifies perfect selection with no negative control escape (Supplementary Figure S3A, left panel), and NSA = 0 indicates complete selection escape (Supplementary Figure S3A, right panel).

We conducted five replicates of counter-selection-coupled, endogenous tolC deletion using 5 × 10^4 cells and observed substantial escape (NSA = 0.44 ± 0.13, Cycle 1, Figure 1E) after a single counter-selection. We inserted the new tolC at nt 2 223 749 and continued with CoS-MAGE cycles using different concentrations of selectable oligos (0.2, 0.5 and 2 μM), which exhibited statistically significantly different NSAs (**P < 0.01, 2 μM versus both 0.5 and 0.2 μM). Higher concentrations of selectable oligo correlated with higher NSA, consistent with an increased mole fraction of an oligo increasing its allele recombination (AR) frequency within a multiplexed pool (24). Over subsequent cycles, NSA continued to decrease and the 0.2 and 0.5 μM lineages completely escaped at Cycle 5 (0.01 ± 0.02 and 0.03 ± 0.06, respectively; P = n.s., 0.2 versus 0.5 μM), whereas the 2 μM lineage completely escaped at Cycle 6 (0.06 ± 0.06; *P < 0.05, 2 μM versus 0.5 and 0.2 μM). These data suggest that tolC could not be used for repetitive selection/counter-selection schemes.

Supplemental experiments (Supplementary Figure S3) supported the hypothesis that counter-selection escape was mutational and not due to colE1 degradation or loss of activity (Supplementary Figure S3B). Sanger sequencing confirmed that the tolC coding region was intact. Thus, we hypothesized that whole-genome resequencing could shed light on counter-selection escape strategies.

High-throughput sequencing diagnosis of tolC counter-selection escape

To identify alleles that cause the tolC counter-selection escape, we re-sequenced the genomes of 96 independent tolC counter-selection escape clones (see ‘Materials and Methods’ section). Our analysis relies on re-sequencing many independent counter-selection escape clones to categorize genomic variants into those that are causal and those that are unrelated to counter-selection escape. Across the 96 genomes, there was an enrichment (108 of 3272 total mutations in the data set) for mutations in a 4-kb window from 774 000 to 778 000 nt, corresponding to the tolQRA operon (Figure 2A and Supplementary Figure S3D). tolQ contained 14, tolR contained 8, and tolA contained 23 distinct mutations in their respective coding regions (Figure 2B, bottom panel), yet zero mutations were identified in ybgC, also encoded by the tolQRA operon. After tolQ/tolR/tolA, lhr, ydeK and wvrB contained the next most distinct mutations each (n = 5), consistent with their large coding sizes (4687, 3978 and 2022 bp, respectively). The tolQ, tolR and tolA mutations were enriched for start codon mutations, premature stop codons and frameshifts (87% of all distinct mutations in tolA, 71% for tolQ and 63% for tolR, Figure 3C). Aside from the tolQRA operon, no other 4 kb window contained >13 total mutations, but there were a number of distinct mutations that were observed in multiple independent genomes (Figure 2B, columns to right of break in x-axis), necessitating validation. Finally and perhaps most indicative of causality, 89 of 96 genomes in the dysfunctional clone set contained at least one mutation in tolQRA.

Of the seven remaining dysfunctional genomes that lacked tolQRA mutations, two genomes shared the same nonsynonymous tolC L235P mutation, which is located on the exterior face of the PP-spanning equatorial domain of tolC, which protrudes from the exterior of the channel. Based on docking models (36), this protrusion is involved in stabilizing protein–protein interactions between TolC and its active transport systems in the IM (e.g. acrAB). We posit that the kink in the polypeptide backbone contributed by proline may interfere with interactions between TolC and TolA, perhaps making TolA...
Figure 2. High-throughput sequencing diagnosis of tolC counter-selection escape. (A). SNVs were binned into 2 kb bins along the genome from 0 to 4.6 Mb. These data are presented on the outermost ring in a circular bar format, where the position of a bar is indicative of the bin in which a mutation falls and the y-axis is mutations/bin. Other notable genomic features of these strains are marked in the inner ring, including duplication of the tolQRA operon (incl. ybgC), at position 1 255 700 (red chevron), the endogenous tolQRA operon (tolQRA\textsubscript{WT}), a prophage insertion at the bioAB locus (\Delta bioAB\textsubscript{R}), inactivated nuclease (exo\textsuperscript{X}, xonA\textsuperscript{+}, xse\textsuperscript{A} \textsuperscript{+}), mutS inactivation (mutS\textsuperscript{+}), endogenous tolC (tolC\textsubscript{WT} and the primase mutation (dnaGQ576A). A kb-scale version of this figure is presented in Supplementary Figure S3D, which gives resolution on the mutations that fall around the tolQRA operon (chr: 763 373–786 830). (B). SNVs were categorized by coding region (x-axis) and plotted versus Total Mutations (top panel), and by Distinct Mutations (bottom panel). Total Mutations was defined as the Distinct Mutations times incidence. The x-axes in both panels are sorted by the number of Distinct Mutations (bottom panel) from most [tolA, (23)] to least [frmR, hyfR, rep321e, rnhA, wza, ybaE, yjiE, (1)]. The x-axes are broken for the purposes of presentation, excluding coding regions exhibiting 1 or 2 Distinct Mutations. Among the coding regions exhibiting 1 or 2 Distinct Mutations, fixC, ydbM, frmR, hyfR, rep321e, rnhA, wza, ybaE, yjiE were the only coding regions exhibiting 5+ Total mutations (e.g. detected in multiple independent genomes) and are presented to the right of the break in the x-axis. At the right of both panels, mutation incidence is coded as a panel-specific heat map (blue for low incidence, red for high incidence) with panel-specific scaling indicated therein as the threshold value for inclusion. (C) Frequency of Mutation Type was calculated based on variant calls from SNPEff (33), including Start Lost (black), Frame Shift (dark red), Stop Gained (red), nSNV (nonsynonymous SNV, orange), sSNV (synonymous SNV, light green) and Stop Lost (yellow) and plotted on the same broken x-axis as in (B).
less available for colE1 binding (37). The five remaining genomes did not share any common mutations, suggesting rare escape mechanisms.

Assessing causality of alleles identified via high-throughput sequencing

To functionally assess the alleles identified by sequencing, we designed MAGE oligos to generate the 55 most abundant mutations (XM oligos), and to knockout the 20 most frequently mutated coding regions (cvrALL oligos) seen in our data set. Each of these oligos was recombined into EcNR2.tolC\textsuperscript{+} and counter-selected using colE1. We hypothesized that oligos that confer mutations causing tolC\textsuperscript{+} counter-selection escape will shorten the culture time in colE1 that is required to reach OD\textsubscript{600} = 0.4, as a larger portion of the preselection population would be resistant to colE1. Presented in Supplementary Table S2, we quantified relative causality by including multiple controls, both background (mock recombination) and positive (tolC knockout recombination), which were used to calculate
Normalized Culture Time (see Supplemental Methods for Definition of this metric). An oligo exhibiting a Normalized Culture Time of ~0 encodes an escape mutation, whereas an oligo exhibiting a Normalized Culture Time of ~1 encodes an unrelated mutation. We acknowledge that the oligo-dependent variance in AR frequency seen in MAGE (1,17) will introduce an additional variable to our readout, leading to increased Type II errors.

Normalized Culture Time for all putative dysfunctional oligos fell between $-0.13 \pm 0.01$ and $1.31 \pm 0.12$ (Supplementary Table S2). As expected, the cvrALL_ tolC_3 oligo generates colE1 resistance through tolC knockout with a Normalized Culture Time of $0.01 \pm 0.01$. Causal mutations (defined as Normalized Culture Time < 0.6) included four frameshifts in tolA, 1 SNV in tolA, 2 frameshifts in tolR, 2 SNVs in tolR, as well as the cvrALL oligos for tolQ and tolR. The cvrALL oligo for tolA led to a Normalized Culture Time of only $0.73 \pm 0.11$, possibly because recombination frequency is low for this oligo or because in-frame ATG codons (such as M54, M67 or M83) rescue tolA translation downstream of the premature stop introduced by the oligo. Borderline mutations (defined as $0.6 \leq$ Normalized Culture Time < 1.0) included two frameshifts in tolA, one frameshift in tolQ and the cvrALL oligo for tolA. Examples where we invalidated borderline mutations like ogt, recR and treB can be found in the Supplement. The remainder of the 75 tested MAGE oligos produced Normalized Culture Times > 1, suggesting that they are not involved in counter-selection escape.

The domain structure of the 421 amino acid TolA protein corresponds to a single pass transmembrane protein with a tight, α-helical domain (38) extending into the PP. Published work has shown that a −1 frameshift in tolA after 1400 led to colE1 resistance (37), which agrees well with our data set showing a prevalence of tolA frameshifts that led to colE1 resistance (including XM_tola_776642_GGCAA_G where translation falls out of frame after G359; Supplementary Table S2), and suggests that colE1 engages the C-terminus of tolA. This mechanistic insight is further supported by the fact that 67 out of a total of 108 tolQRA mutations (62.0%) were in tolA (Figure 2B, top panel), which is a slight enrichment over the frequency expected based on coding region size alone (53.0%). Beyond the colE1-TolA interaction, proper activity of the entire TolQRA complex is required, as suggested previously (39) and as evinced by the causal cvrALL mutations for tolQ and tolR (Supplementary Table S2). TolQ has been implicated as a molecular motor for the Tol complex (39,40), while TolR is required to stabilize TolQ in the IM (39). In fact, TolRD23 mutations, which were previously shown to abolish the TolQ-TolR interaction and increase TolQ turnover [TolRD23A and TolRD23R (39)], were also seen in this data set (TolRD23G) and were determined to be causal when introduced by XM_tola_775139_A_G (Supplementary Table S2). Taken together, these results validate the hypothesis that loss-of-function mutations in tolQRA lead to tolC counter-selection escape.

**Engineering a strain with improved tolC counter-selection**

Based on a mechanistic understanding of tolC counter-selection escape born from whole-genome re-sequencing, we hypothesized that duplicating the tolQRA operon would safeguard against loss-of-function mutations and make the tolC counter-selection more robust (Figure 3C). To guide insertion of the duplicated tolQRA operon, candidate destinations were chosen to be separated from the wild-type operon by at least one essential gene, reducing the chances of recA-mediated recombination between identical operons. We generated the tolQRA-duplicated strain, EcNR2.1255700::tolQRA.tolC<sup>C</sup> and inoculated 32 replicates of $10^6$ mid-log EcNR2.tolC<sup>C</sup> or EcNR2.1255700::tolQRA.tolC<sup>C</sup> (tolQRA duplicated) cell into LB plus colE1 to analyze growth. AllEcNR2.tolC<sup>C</sup> replicates escaped, attaining OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.4 at 781.1 ± 18.2 min (mean ± stdv), whereas only 1 of the 32 EcNR2.1255700::tolQRA replicates grew over the 48 h course (OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.4 at 919.5 min). This demonstrates that tolQRA duplication protects against tolC counter-selection escape. Importantly, tolQRA duplication led to no apparent phenotypes in growth or recombination.

**tolQRA duplication enables stable CoS-MAGE cycling**

Leveraging reduced tolC counter-selection escape, we attempted to perform continuous stable CoS-MAGE cycling on a tolQRA-duplicated version of a recently optimized strain (22,23). However, because Nuc5<sup>-</sup>-based strains exhibit a slow recovery phenotype after recombination (22), this modification is not suited for cycling. By individually reverting each inactivated nuclease in Nuc5<sup>-</sup>, we determined that recJ reversion reduced this recovery phenotype (Figure 3A) without compromising the improved MAGE performance seen in the Nuc5<sup>+</sup> background (Figure 3B, see Supplement for our complete line of reasoning and discussion of these data). We defined EcNR2.ΔtolC.dnaQ_Q576A.exoX_xonA.xseA<sup>-</sup> as EcM1.0 (for _E. coli_ MAGE-optimized), then duplicated tolQRA in EcM1.0 to produce EcM2.0.

To test CoS-MAGE cycling in EcM2.0, we cycled the endogenous tolC to co-select for 10 nearby oligo-encoded TAG to TAA mutations [Set #1 from (22)]. We performed tolC selections (SDS) using $5 \times 10^6$ cells/selection, and performed tolC counter-selection (colE1) using $5 \times 10^6$ (Figure 3C), $5 \times 10^5$ (Figure 3D), $5 \times 10^6$ cells/counter-selection to test if all three counter-selection inocula would support continuous and stable cycles of selection/counter-selection. The first two lineages maintained ideal selections for $10^4$ cycles, whereas the $5 \times 10^6$ cells/counter-selection lineage escaped during the third counter-selection. MasePCR data from the two lineages exhibiting ideal selections (Figure 3CD) showed that both rapidly moved through the recoding landscape from unmodified (at Cycle 0) to completely modified (at Cycle 10), with 92% of the $5 \times 10^6$ lineage and 70% of the $5 \times 10^5$ lineage exhibiting 10 of 10 conversions. There was more diversity in the $5 \times 10^5$ lineage, consistent with larger counter-selection library size, while the $5 \times 10^6$ lineage often collapsed diversity to a single genotype (compare odd cycle #’s of Figure 3C and D, please see the
Supplement for additional discussion of these results in the context of our model). Taken together, these data suggest that the tolC counter-selection in tolQRA-duplicated lineages such as EcM2.0 supports stable CoS-MAGE cycling, but that a careful balance between library complexity and escape frequency must be maintained.

Other approaches to reduce tolC counter-selection escape
Since tolC has been implicated in efflux of a variety of compounds (electrolytes, ions, antibiotics, detergents, etc.), we searched for other tolC counter-selection agents that use a different mechanism than colE1. Assuming mechanistic independence, the escape frequency of the simultaneous application of two orthogonal counter-selection agents would be the product of the escape frequencies of each individual agent, thereby increasing the stringency of counter-selection. Vancomycin is an amino-glycoside antibiotic that inhibits the D-Ala-D-Ala Ligase and requires tolC to gain access to the PP in gram-negative bacteria (41). We tested the tolC-dependence of vancomycin across a dilution series from 0.5 to 512 μg/ml, using 10⁶ EcNR2 cells (Figure 4A, top panel) or 10⁶ EcNR2.ΔtolC cells (Figure 4A, bottom panel). EcNR2.ΔtolC exhibited an optimal selective advantage ~7 doublings (377 minutes) in 64 μg vancomycin/ml. We demonstrated the mechanistic independence of vancomycin escape from colE1 escape by showing that vancomycin selection was still effective on the 96 tolC counter-selection escape clones used for whole-genome re-sequencing (Figure 4B). Thirty-five clones exhibited no growth over 24 h, and the 61 clones that grew averaged a delay of 433 ± 118 min (minimum 176 min) with respect to nonselective media controls, similar to that of a colE1-naïve EcNR2 control (Figure 4B, blue square). These results suggest that applying colE1 and vancomycin together could further reduce tolC counter-selection escape.

Other approaches to improve the tolC counter-selection included increasing TolC turnover and restoring mutS mismatch repair. We attempted to ssrA tag tolC to reduce recovery time before tolC counter-selection, but found it difficult to balance adequate expression levels with quick turnover (Supplementary Figure S5, see Supplement for further discussion of these experiments). Mismatch repair deficiency improves λ Red-mediated AR frequencies (21), but also increases mutagenesis by 10- to 100-fold (42), thereby increasing the frequency at which tolC counter-selection escape mutations arise. For facile restoration of mismatch repair as needed, we restored mutS in place of ΔmutS::cat by selecting for insertion of tolC coupled to the 1.2 kb N-terminus of mutS, then counter-selecting for replacement of tolC using the 1.5 kb C-terminus of mutS, and finally using MAGE to inactivate the restored coding region to re-enable MAGE. This strain is designated EcM2.1.

After implementing all strain improvements, we quantified tolC counter-selection escape frequencies with agar plates containing colE1 (Figure 4C), prepared as described in the ‘Materials and Methods’ section, and validated as described in the (Supplementary Figure S6). EcNR2 escaped counter-selection at a frequency of 3.4E-5 ± 5E-6 on colE1 agar plates (LB²Cco), while tolQRA duplicated lineages (EcM2.0) exhibited a 40-fold reduction in escape frequency (8.5E-7 ± 1.4E-7). Notably, triplicated tolQRA or duplicated btuB strains did not exhibit reduced escape frequencies compared with EcM2.0, suggesting higher copy number does not offer additional protection from tolC counter-selection escape. While the escape frequencies for agar plates containing only vancomycin (LB²CV) were very high, for example 8.3E-2 ± 3.6E-2 in EcNR2, escape frequencies for plates containing colicin and vancomycin (LB²CccoV) were very low, for example 2.0E-9 ± 6E-10 in EcM2.0, which was a ~400-fold reduction in escape frequency from that on LB²Cco and a 170 000-fold reduction from LB²CV alone. Platings on LB²CV also suggested that tolQRA duplication (EcM2.0-based strains) is associated with a ~150-fold reduction in vancomycin escape, despite seemingly independent mechanisms (Figure 4B). Finally, mutS reactivation in EcM2.1 led to the lowest observed escape frequency (4.3E-11, or 1 clone in 2.3E10 total cells plated, Figure 4C), making tolC counter-selection as effective as many selectable markers. Low escape frequencies will support larger library sizes in the tolC counter-selection and enable the use of tolC for inefficient genomic manipulations, such as conjugal transfer of large genome segments (1).

CONCLUSIONS
Robust selectable markers are essential for basic molecular biology research as well as genome engineering applications (1,25,29). To support these efforts, we have developed an extensible workflow to diagnose mechanisms of selection escape and have developed several strategies to improve selection robustness. Based on our experience with dual selectable markers such as galK (10), thyA (11), hsvTK (12) and tetA (13), we chose tolC (14) as a test case because of convenient selection (SDS) and counter-selection (colE1) schemes. We used whole-genome re-sequencing for the unbiased identification of genes involved in tolC counter-selection escape. The results were consistent with biochemical studies (37–39) indicating that tolQ, tolR and tolA are involved in colE1 import, but surprisingly indicated no likely role for btuB (40). Based on these results, we found that tolQRA duplication, but not btuB duplication, reduced tolC counter-selection escape frequency by 40-fold. We further reduced tolC counter-selection escape ~425-fold by using vancomycin together with colE1. In EcM2.1 (mutS”), this resulted to a ~1E-11 counter-selection escape frequency, which totals a 1.3E6-fold improvement over our initial methods. Similar to how vancomycin and colE1 synergize to improve the tolC counter-selection, our colleagues (43) recently published on a dual-selectable, tetA-sacB tandem cassette where fusaric acid and sucrose synergize to achieve more robust counter-selection than either marker alone. Robust dual-selectable markers, like tolC and tetA-sacB, are welcome and complementary tools for genome editing. It will be interesting to compare
Figure 4. Other approaches to reduce toIC counter-selection escape. (A) We tested the toIC-dependence of vancomycin sensitivity on EcNR2.toIC\textsuperscript{+} (top panel, blue) and EcNR2.toIC\textsuperscript{-} (bottom panel, red) by kinetically measuring growth across a 2-fold dilution series from 2μg\textsubscript{Van}/μL (lightest curve) to 512μg\textsubscript{Van}/μL (darkest curve). At 64μg\textsubscript{Van}/μL (curves marked with ‘x’), EcNR2.toIC\textsuperscript{-} cells grew normally, whereas EcNR2.toIC\textsuperscript{+} growth was impaired, leading to a maximal growth advantage. (B) To test mechanistic independence of counter-selection in vancomycin from that of colE1, we cultured the escape clones used for whole genome re-sequencing (n = 96, 10\textsuperscript{5} cells/well, black circles) with or without 64μg\textsubscript{Van}/μL. The data are presented as the Growth Delay (in minutes) in vancomycin with respect to no vancomycin. Many clones (n = 35) did not show any growth within the 48-h kinetic experiment and were plotted as ‘No Growth’ above the broken y-axis. Of the clones that did grow (n = 61), the mean (heavy dashed line) and standard deviation (light dashed line) of Growth Delay are plotted with the data. An EcNR2.toIC\textsuperscript{+} control was also tested (blue square), to show how vancomycin delays naïve toIC\textsuperscript{+} cells. (C) To quantify our improvements to the toIC counter-selection, we measured escape frequency by plating known amounts of toIC\textsuperscript{-} strains onto vancomycin plates (LB\textsuperscript{+}CV), colicin E1 plates (LB\textsuperscript{+}CCo) and colicin E1/vancomycin plates (LB\textsuperscript{+}CCoV). Data were gathered by counting colonies from at least four independent biological replicates and are presented as Mean ± SEM, except for EcM2.1 on LB\textsuperscript{+}CCoV, which is based on a single data point (1 escape clone out of 2.32 × 10\textsuperscript{10} total cells plated).
these systems (and others as they emerge), as fundamental aspects of each system will dictate relative performance. To conclude, we have created an optimized strain for genome engineering, called EcM2.1 (*E. coli* MAGE-optimized 2.1), on which we performed stable, continuous cycles of CoS-MAGE using tolC to generate a completely modified population of cells possessing 10 of 10 desired modifications without requiring any intermittent screening or direct selection. This unprecedented capability will facilitate repetitive selection/counter-selection cycles using large library sizes, which will be an important tool for genome editing and synthetic biology.
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